

Existence of Nonelliptic mod ℓ Galois Representations for Every $\ell > 5$

Luis Dieulefait

CONTENTS

1. Examples for Every $\ell > 7$

2. The Case $\ell = 7$

References

For $\ell = 3$ and 5 it is known that every odd, irreducible, two-dimensional representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with values in \mathbb{F}_ℓ and determinant equal to the cyclotomic character must “come from” the ℓ -torsion points of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . We prove, by giving concrete counter-examples, that this result is false for every prime $\ell > 5$.

1. EXAMPLES FOR EVERY $\ell > 7$

In [Shepherd-Barron and Taylor 97] it is shown that for $\ell = 3$ and 5 every odd, irreducible, two-dimensional Galois representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with values in \mathbb{F}_ℓ and determinant the cyclotomic character is “elliptic,” i.e., it agrees with the representation given by the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the ℓ -torsion points of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} .

In this note we will show that this is false for every prime $\ell > 5$, i.e., that for every such prime there exists a Galois representation verifying the above properties but “nonelliptic,” i.e., not corresponding to the action of Galois on torsion points of any elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . We will show this by giving concrete examples of nonelliptic representations. For any prime $\ell > 7$, the example will be constructed starting from a weight-4 classical modular form, corresponding to a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold. The case of $\ell = 7$ will be treated separately in the next section.

We consider the cuspidal modular form $f \in S_4(25)$ (i.e., of weight 4, level 25, and trivial nebentypus) which has all eigenvalues in \mathbb{Z} and whose attached Galois representations $\rho_{f,\ell}$ agree (see [Schoen 86, Yui 03]) with the Galois representations on the third étale cohomology groups of the Schoen rigid Calabi-Yau threefold. This threefold is obtained (after resolving the singularities) from

$$Y : X_0^5 + X_1^5 + X_2^5 + X_3^5 + X_4^5 - 5X_0X_1X_2X_3X_4 = 0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4.$$

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 11G05;
Secondary 11F80

Keywords: Elliptic curves, Galois representations

We list the first eigenvalues a_p of f (for $p \neq 5$):

$$a_2 = 1; a_3 = 7; a_7 = 6; a_{11} = -43.$$

From now on we will assume $\ell > 5$. For any prime ℓ let $\bar{\rho}_\ell := \bar{\rho}_{f,\ell}$ be the residual mod ℓ representation corresponding to $\rho_{f,\ell}$: it is unramified outside 5ℓ , its conductor or Serre’s level (defined as the prime-to- ℓ part of its Artin conductor) divides 25, and it has values in \mathbb{F}_ℓ and determinant χ^3 (χ denotes the mod ℓ cyclotomic character). For every prime $p \nmid 5\ell$, we have $\text{trace}(\bar{\rho}_\ell(\text{Frob } p)) \equiv a_p \pmod{\ell}$.

Let us show that for any $\ell > 5$, $\bar{\rho}_\ell$ is (absolutely) irreducible. As explained in [Dieulefait and Manoharmayum 03], since ρ_ℓ is attached to a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold, as long as $\ell > 4$ and ℓ is not 5 (so that ℓ is a prime of good reduction), if $\bar{\rho}_\ell$ is reducible it must hold that

$$\bar{\rho}_\ell \cong \epsilon \oplus \epsilon^{-1}\chi^3,$$

where ϵ is a character unramified outside 5 (the same description follows also from the fact that the representation is attached to a weight-4 cuspform). Since

$$\text{cond}(\epsilon)\text{cond}(\epsilon^{-1}) = \text{cond}(\epsilon)^2 = \text{cond}(\bar{\rho}_\ell) \mid 25,$$

we have $\text{cond}(\epsilon) \mid 5$. In particular, if $\ell \neq 11$, we have $\epsilon(11) = 1$, therefore

$$-43 = a_{11} \equiv \text{trace}(\bar{\rho}_\ell(\text{Frob } 11)) \equiv 1 + 11^3 \pmod{\ell}.$$

But no prime $\ell > 5, \ell \neq 11$ divides $11^3 + 1 + 43$, and this proves irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}_\ell$ for every $\ell > 5$ except 11.

To show that $\bar{\rho}_{11}$ is also irreducible, observe that since it is an odd representation, irreducibility and absolute irreducibility are equivalent for it. Thus, it is enough to find a prime $p \nmid 55$ such that the reduction modulo 11 of the characteristic polynomial $x^2 - a_p x + p^3$ is irreducible. Equivalently, we need the discriminant $\Delta_p = a_p^2 - 4p^3$ to be a nonsquare modulo 11. For $p = 2$ we have $\Delta_2 = -31 \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$, which is a nonsquare, and this gives the irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}_{11}$.

We define $\bar{\rho}'_\ell := \bar{\rho}_\ell \otimes \chi^{(\ell-3)/2}$, for any $\ell > 5$. It is also irreducible and odd, but the advantage is that its determinant is χ .

We ask the following: is there any elliptic curve E defined over \mathbb{Q} such that the Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ corresponding to its ℓ -torsion points gives $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ for some ℓ ?

Let us show that this cannot happen for any $\ell > 7$.

Suppose the opposite. Then, since $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ is unramified at 2 and $2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, if $\bar{\rho}'_\ell \cong \bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ it is known (see [Carayol 89] and [Ribet 91]) that $\rho_{E,\ell}$, the ℓ -adic representation corresponding to the ℓ -adic Tate module of E ,

must be unramified or semistable at 2. If it is unramified at 2, let us call c_2 the trace of $\rho_{E,\ell}(\text{Frob } 2)$. Since $|c_2| \leq 2\sqrt{2}$, it should be $c_2 = 0, \pm 1$ or ± 2 .

Comparing the traces of $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ and $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ at Frob 2 we obtain

$$a_2 2^{(\ell-3)/2} \equiv 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \pmod{\ell}. \tag{1-1}$$

If $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is semistable at 2, since $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ is modular and $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is also modular (because all elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are modular) then we obtain from $\bar{\rho}'_\ell \cong \bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ by level raising (see [Ghate 02])

$$\text{trace}(\bar{\rho}'_\ell(\text{Frob } 2)) \equiv \pm(2 + 1) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{\ell}.$$

Thus

$$a_2 2^{(\ell-3)/2} \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{\ell}. \tag{1-2}$$

We conclude from (1-1) and (1-2) that if for some $\ell > 5$, $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ comes from an elliptic curve, it must hold that (recall that $a_2 = 1$)

$$2^{(\ell-3)/2} \equiv 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3 \pmod{\ell}.$$

Thus $2^{\ell-3} \equiv 1, 4, 9 \pmod{\ell}$.

Applying Fermat’s little theorem, this gives $2^{-2} \equiv 1, 4, 9 \pmod{\ell}$, and this is false for every prime $\ell > 7$.

Remark 1.1. It is natural that our result does not apply to $\ell = 7$ since independently of the value of a_2 , we would never get a contradiction for $\ell = 7$ because $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ cover all possible values modulo 7.

We conclude that for any prime $\ell > 7$ the representation $\bar{\rho}'_\ell$ is nonelliptic.

2. THE CASE $\ell = 7$

We will consider the example of a mod 7 representation attached to a weight-2 cuspform f such that the field \mathbb{Q}_f generated by its eigenvalues is not \mathbb{Q} , there is a prime in \mathbb{Q}_f dividing 7 of residue class degree 1, and the representation is irreducible but it cannot come from any elliptic curve for the following simple reason: the conductor of the representation is too large, compared with the universal bounds for conductors (see [Silverman 94]) of elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} . Recall that the p -part of the conductor of any elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} must divide 256 if $p = 2$, 243 if $p = 3$, and p^2 if $p > 3$.

Concretely, we take the following example: let $f \in S_2(512)$ be the cuspform with $\mathbb{Q}_f = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \sqrt{2}, & a_5 &= -2\sqrt{2}, \\ a_7 &= -4, & a_{11} &= \sqrt{2}, \\ a_{13} &= 2\sqrt{2}, \dots, & a_{29} &= 6\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

(we obtain these values from the web site [Stein 00]).

The corresponding mod 7 representation $\bar{\rho}_7$ has values in \mathbb{F}_7 and it is irreducible because the discriminant Δ_{29} is a nonsquare modulo 7.

The conductor of any of the representations $\rho_\lambda := \rho_{f,\lambda}$ in the family attached to f ($\lambda \nmid 2$), is equal to 512, the level of f . Therefore, (see [Carayol 89], page 789) the conductor of $\bar{\rho}_7$ is also 512.

Since the 2-part of the conductor of any elliptic curve is at most 256, this implies that $\bar{\rho}_7$ cannot correspond to any elliptic curve. Thus $\bar{\rho}_7$, whose determinant is the cyclotomic character, is nonelliptic.

Remark 2.1. We have computed another example, using [Stein 00], with $f \in S_2(2560)$, with the same properties: $\bar{\rho}_7$ irreducible, valued in \mathbb{F}_7 , but nonelliptic for the same reason. The field \mathbb{Q}_f corresponds to a root of the polynomial $x^4 - 316x^2 + 8836$ (in [Stein 00] one can obtain a list of eigenvalues of f); it is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7})$ in which $\sqrt{7}$ splits.

Remark 2.2. Observe that from the “bounds for conductors” in [Serre 87], since $7 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ and $7 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ for any $p > 3$, every odd, irreducible Galois representation valued in \mathbb{F}_7 must have the p -part of its conductor bounded with the same bound holding for elliptic curves, for any $p > 2$. Thus, it is only by searching for representations with “large 2-part of the conductor” that one can obtain a representation valued in \mathbb{F}_7 not satisfying the universal bounds for conductors of elliptic curves.

On the other hand, since $7 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$, the bound for the 2-part of conductors given in [Serre 87] does not apply to the case of representations with values in \mathbb{F}_7 .

Luis Dieulefait, Dept. d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain (ldieulefait@ub.edu)

Received March 30, 2004; accepted May 6, 2004.

REFERENCES

- [Carayol 89] H. Carayol. “Sur les représentations galoisiennes modulo ℓ attachées aux formes modulaires.” *Duke Math. J.* 59 (1989), 785–801.
- [Dieulefait and Manoharmayum 03] L. Dieulefait and J. Manoharmayum. “Modularity of Rigid Calabi-Yau Threefolds over \mathbb{Q} .” In *Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry*, pp. 159–166, Fields Institute Communications 38. Providence, RI: AMS, 2003.
- [Ghate 02] E. Ghate. “An Introduction to Congruences between Modular Forms.” In *Current Trends in Number Theory, (Proceedings of the International Conference on Number Theory)*, edited by S. D. Adhikari, S. A. Katre, and B. Ramakrishnan, pp. 39–58. New Delhi: Hindustan Book Agency, 2002.
- [Ribet 91] K. Ribet. “Report on mod ℓ Representations of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})$.” In *Motives*, pp. 639–676, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, Part 2. Providence, RI: AMS, 1994.
- [Shepherd-Barron and Taylor 97] N. Shepherd-Barron and R. Taylor. “Mod 2 and mod 5 Icosahedral Representations.” *J.A.M.S.* 10 (1997), 283–298.
- [Schoen 86] C. Schoen. “On the Geometry of a Special Determinantal Hypersurface Associated to the Mumford-Horrocks Vector Bundle.” *J. reine angew. Math.* 36 (1986), 85–111.
- [Serre 87] J. -P. Serre. “Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q})$.” *Duke Math. J.* 54 (1987), 179–230.
- [Silverman 94] J. Silverman. *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [Stein 00] W. Stein. “The Modular Forms Explorer.” Available from World Wide Web (<http://modular.fas.harvard.edu/mfd/mfe/>), 2000.
- [Yui 03] N. Yui. “Update on the Modularity of Calabi-Yau Varieties.” In *Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry*, pp. 307–362, Fields Institute Communications 38. Providence, RI: AMS, 2003.