

STRONG STABILITY OF WEIGHTED SUMS OF NA RANDOM VARIABLES

GAN SHIXIN

Received 5 July 2003 and in revised form 1 April 2004

We study the almost sure (strong) stability of weighted sums of NA random variables and obtain some new results which extend earlier results of Matula (1992), Chow and Teicher (1971), Jamison et al. (1965), and Petrov (1975).

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We start with definitions. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space. The random variables we deal with are all defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . A random variable sequence $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be strongly stable if there exist two constant sequences $\{b_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$ with $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ such that

$$b_n^{-1}Y_n - d_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (1.1)$$

A random variable sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be stochastically dominated by a nonnegative random variable X (write $\{X_n\} < X$) if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$P(|X_n| > t) \leq cP(X > t) \quad \forall t > 0, \forall n \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

A finite family of random variables $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is said to be negatively associated (abbreviated to NA) if for any disjoint subsets A and B of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and any real coordinatewise nondecreasing functions f on \mathbb{R}^A and g on \mathbb{R}^B ,

$$\text{Cov}(f(X_i, i \in A), g(X_j, j \in B)) \leq 0 \quad (1.3)$$

whenever the covariance exists. An infinite family of random variables $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ is NA if every finite subfamily is NA. This concept was introduced by Joag-Dev and Proschan [5]. They also pointed out and proved in their paper that a number of well-known multivariate distributions possess the NA property. Now people know that NA random variables have wide application in reliability theory and multivariate statistical analysis. Recently Su et al. [12] show that NA structure plays an important role in risk management. Because of these reasons, the notions of NA random variables have received more and more attention in recent years. A great number of papers for NA random variables have appeared in

the literature. We refer to Joag-Dev and Proschab [5] for fundamental properties, Newmann [8] for the central limit theorem, Matula [7] for the three-series theorem, Shao and Su [11] for the law of the iterated logarithm, Shao [10] for moment inequalities, Liu et al. [6] for the Hájek-Rényi inequality, and Barbour et al. [1] for Poisson approximation.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the strong stability of weighted sums of NA random variables and try to obtain some new results which extend the corresponding results of Matula [7], Chow and Teicher [2], Jamison et al. [4], and Petrov [9]. For this goal, we need some lemmas. The following lemma is a simple extension of [7, Theorem 3].

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables with finite second moments and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ a sequence of real numbers with $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_n)/b_n^2 < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n - EX_n)/b_n$ converges a.s., and therefore $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - EX_i)/b_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

Note that $\{X_n/b_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA random variables by [5, property P₆]. By using [7, Theorem 3], we can immediately obtain Lemma 1.1.

For a random variable X , write $X^{(c)} = XI(|X| \leq c) + cI(X > c) - cI(X < -c)$ for some $c > 0$.

LEMMA 1.2 (see [7, Theorem 4]). *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables. If, for some $c > 0$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} EX_n^{(c)}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_n^{(c)})$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq c)$ are convergent, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is convergent a.s.*

LEMMA 1.3. *Let X be a random variable and X_0 a nonnegative random variable. If, for any $t > 0$, $P(|X| > t) \leq cP(X_0 > t)$, then for all $p > 0, t > 0$,*

$$E|X|^p I(|X| \leq t) \leq c(t^p P(X_0 > t) + EX_0^p I(X_0 \leq t)). \tag{1.4}$$

Proof. By the integral equality

$$p \int_0^t s^{p-1} P(|X| > s) ds = t^p P(|X| > t) + E|X|^p I(|X| \leq t), \tag{1.5}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E|X|^p I(|X| \leq t) &\leq p \int_0^t s^{p-1} P(|X| > s) ds \leq cp \int_0^t s^{p-1} P(X_0 > s) ds \\ &= c(t^p P(X_0 > t) + EX_0^p I(X_0 \leq t)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

□

LEMMA 1.4. *Let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ be two sequences of positive numbers with $c_n = b_n/a_n$ and $b_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of mean zero variables with $\{X_n\} < X$, where X is a nonnegative random variable. Define $N(x) = \text{Card}\{n : c_n \leq x\}, x > 0$. If*

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) < \infty$,
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} P(|X_n| > sc_n) ds < \infty$, or
- (1') $EN(X) < \infty$,
- (2') $\int_1^{\infty} EN(X/s) < \infty$, then

$$b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i EX_i^{(c_i)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Proof. Obviously (1') \Rightarrow (1) and (2') \Rightarrow (2). It suffices to show that under conditions (1) and (2) we have (1.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i |EX_i^{(c_i)}|}{b_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-1} |E(X_i - c_i)I(X_i > c_i) + E(X_i + c_i)I(X_i < -c_i)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-1} E(|X_i| + c_i)I(|X_i| > c_i) \tag{1.8} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-1} E|X_i|I(|X_i| > c_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-1} E|X_i|I(|X_i| > c_i) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^{-1} \left(c_i P(|X_i| > c_i) + \int_{c_i}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > t) dt \right) \tag{1.9} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} P(|X_i| > sc_i) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (1.8) and (1.9), $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i EX_i^{(c_i)}/b_i$ converges. By Kronecker's lemma, we have (1.7). □

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of mean zero random variables with $\{X_n\} < X \in L \log^+ L$. If*

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > n) < \infty$,
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} P(|X_n| > sn) ds < \infty$, then

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^{(i)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

Proof. Clearly $c_n = n, n \geq 1$. The first part of proof is like in Lemma 1.4. We only give the last part of proof:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-1} E|X_i|I(|X_i| > i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-1} \left[iP(|X_i| > i) + \int_i^{\infty} P(|X_i| > t) dt \right] \tag{1.11} \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X > i) + c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-1} \int_i^{\infty} P(X > t) dt \\ &\leq cEX + c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-1} \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} P(X > k) \leq cEX + c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k i^{-1} P(X > k) \\ &\leq cEX + c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + \log k) P(X > k) \leq cEX + cEX \log^+ X < \infty. \tag{1.12} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^{(i)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Throughout this paper, the symbol c stands for a generic positive constant which may differ from one place to another.

2. Strong stability

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables and $\{g_n(x), n \geq 1\}$ a sequence of even functions, positive and nondecreasing in the interval $x > 0$. If one of the following conditions is satisfied for every $n \geq 1$:*

- (1) $x/g_n(x) \uparrow$ as $0 < x \uparrow$,
- (2) $x/g_n(x) \downarrow$ and $g_n(x)/x^2 \uparrow$ as $0 < x \uparrow$ and also $EX_n = 0$,

then for any positive real-number sequence $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ with $b_n \uparrow \infty$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)} < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n/b_n$ converges almost surely, and therefore $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/b_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$, put $Z_n = X_n/b_n$. Then $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ remains a sequence of NA random variables by [5, property P₆]. Take $c = 1$ in Lemma 1.2. Since $g_n(x) \uparrow$ as $x > 0$, then $g_n(|X_n|) \geq g_n(b_n)$ on $\{|Z_n| \geq 1\}$. So

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Z_n| \geq 1) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{g_n(|X_n|)I(|Z_n| \geq 1)}{g_n(b_n)} dP \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)} < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

We will suppose that the function $g_n(x)$ satisfies condition (1), then, in the interval $|x| \leq b_n$, we have $x^2/b_n^2 \leq g_n^2(x)/g_n^2(b_n) \leq g_n(x)/g_n(b_n)$. If, however, n is such that (2) is satisfied, then, in the same interval, we have $x^2/b_n^2 \leq g_n(x)/g_n(b_n)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(Z_n^{(1)})^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int Z_n^2 I(|Z_n| \leq 1) dP + \int I(|Z_n| > 1) dP \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int \frac{g_n(X_n)I(|X_n| \leq b_n)}{g_n(b_n)} dP + \int \frac{g_n(X_n)I(|X_n| > b_n)}{g_n(b_n)} dP \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

If condition (1) is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned} |EZ_n^{(1)}| &\leq \int \frac{|X_n|I(|X_n| \leq b_n)}{b_n} dP + \int I(|X_n| > b_n) dP \\ &\leq \int \frac{g_n(|X_n|)I(|X_n| \leq b_n)}{g_n(b_n)} dP + \int \frac{g_n(|X_n|)I(|X_n| > b_n)}{g_n(b_n)} dP \\ &= \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If condition (2) is satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |EZ_n^{(1)}| &= \left| \int (Z_n - 1)I(Z_n > 1)dP + \int (Z_n + 1)I(Z_n < -1)dP \right| \\
 &\leq \int |Z_n|I(|Z_n| > 1)dP + \int I(|Z_n| > 1)dP \\
 &\leq \int \frac{g_n(|X_n|)I(|Z_n| > 1)}{g_n(b_n)}dP + \int \frac{g_n(|X_n|)I(|Z_n| > 1)}{g_n(b_n)}dP \\
 &\leq \frac{2Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |EZ_n^{(1)}| \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(b_n)} < \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus (2.2), (2.3), (2.6), and Lemma 1.2 imply the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n/b_n$ almost surely.

Take $g_n(x) = |x|^p, n \geq 1, 1 \leq p \leq 2$, in Theorem 2.1. We can infer the following important special case which is a further extension of Lemma 1.1. □

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA mean zero random variables and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ a sequence of real numbers with $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty, 1 \leq p \leq 2$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E|X_n|^p/b_n^p < \infty$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/b_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ be two sequences of positive numbers with $c_n = b_n/a_n$ and $b_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables which is stochastically dominated by a nonnegative random variable X . Set $N(x) = \text{Card}\{n : c_n \leq x\}, x > 0, 1 \leq p \leq 2$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $EN(X) < \infty$,
- (2) $\int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1}P(X > t) \int_t^{\infty} N(y)/y^{p+1}dydt < \infty$,

then there exist $d_n \in \mathbb{R}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - d_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i^{(c_i)}, n \geq 1$. Obviously we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i \neq X_i^{(c_i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X > c_i) \leq cEN(X) < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma for any sequence $\{d_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, the sequences $\{b_n^{-1}T_n - d_n\}$ and $\{b_n^{-1}S_n - d_n\}$ converge on the same set and to the same limit. We will show that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. which gives the theorem with $d_n = b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i EX_i^{(c_i)}$. Now note that $\{a_i(X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}), i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA mean zero random variables by

[5, property P₆]. It follows from c_r -inequality and Lemma 1.3 that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \left| a_n \left(X_n^{(c_n)} - EX_n^{(c_n)} \right) \right|^p}{b_n^p} \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{-p} E |X_n|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > c_n) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{-p} \left(c_n^p P(X > c_n) + EX^p I(X \leq c_n) \right) + c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X > c_n) \\ &\leq cEN(X) + c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p c_n^{-p} \int_0^{c_n} t^{p-1} P(X > t) dt, \\ &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p c_n^{-p} \int_0^{c_n} t^{p-1} P(X > t) dt = p \int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} P(X > t) \sum_{\{n: c_n > t\}} c_n^{-p} dt \\ &\leq p^2 \int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} P(X > t) \int_t^{\infty} \frac{N(y)}{y^{p+1}} dy dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{n: c_n > t\}} c_n^{-p} &= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\{n: t < c_n < u\}} c_n^{-p} = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^u y^{-p} dN(y) \\ &= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left(u^{-p} N(u) - t^{-p} N(t) + \int_{t < y \leq u} y^{-(p+1)} N(y) dy \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

and $u^{-p} N(u) \leq p \int_u^{\infty} y^{-(p+1)} N(y) dy \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(X_n^{(c_n)} - EX_n^{(c_n)})|^p / b_n^p < \infty$. By Corollary 2.2, it follows that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

Remark 2.4. Heyde’s [3, Theorem 2] extended [4, Theorem 2] of Jamsion et al. to more general weights, which are just the same weights considered in our paper. So Theorem 2.3 is an extension of [3, Theorem 2].

From Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 1.4, we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.3 be fulfilled and $EX_n = 0, n \geq 1$, and $\int_1^{\infty} EN(X/s) ds < \infty$. Then $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA mean zero random variables and $\{X_n\} < X$.*

- (1) *If $X \in L \log^+ L$, then $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*
- (2) *If $X \in L^r, 1 < r < 2$, then $n^{-1/r} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

Proof. (1) Take $a_n = 1, b_n = n, n \geq 1, p > 1$, in Theorem 2.3, then $c_n = n, N(x) = \text{Card}\{n : c_n \leq x\} \leq x, x \geq 0$. It is easy to show that conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 2.3 hold true. Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 1.5 guarantee that $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

(2) Take $a_n = 1, b_n = n^{1/r}, n \geq 1, p = 2$, in Theorem 2.3. Put $\delta = 2 - r > 0$, then $N(x) = \text{Card}\{n : n^{1/r} \leq x\} \leq x^r, x \geq 0$. It is easy to verify that the conditions in Corollary 2.5 hold true. By Corollary 2.5, we have $n^{-1/r} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s. □

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ be two sequences of positive numbers with $c_n = b_n/a_n$ and $b_n \uparrow \infty$. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables which is stochastically dominated by a nonnegative random variable X . $N(x) = \text{Card}\{n : c_n \leq x\}, x > 0. 1 \leq p \leq 2$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $EN(X) < \infty$,
- (2) $\int_1^\infty EN(X/s)ds < \infty$,
- (3) $\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} c_j^p \sum_{j=n}^\infty c_j^{-p} = O(n)$,

then

$$b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.12}$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X_n \neq X_n^{(c_n)}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(|X_n| > c_n) \leq c \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X > c_n) \leq cEN(X) < \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, it suffices to show that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i^{(c_i)} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. From Lemma 1.4, we need only to show that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. Note that $\{a_i(X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}), i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA mean zero random variables.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{E \left| a_n (X_n^{(c_n)} - EX_n^{(c_n)}) \right|^p}{b_n^p} \\ & \leq c \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n^{-p} E |X_n^{(c_n)}|^p = c \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n^{-p} (E |X_n|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + c_n^p P(|X_n| > c_n)) \\ & \leq c \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n^{-p} (c_n^p P(X > c_n) + EX^p I(X \leq c_n)) + c \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X > c_n) \\ & \leq c \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X > c_n) + c \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{EX^p I(X \leq c_n)}{c_n^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Clearly

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(X > c_n) \leq EN(X) < \infty. \tag{2.15}$$

Put $\varepsilon_n = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} c_j$, $\varepsilon_0 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{EX^p I(X \leq c_n)}{c_n^p} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{EX^p I(X \leq \varepsilon_n)}{c_n^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EX^p I(\varepsilon_{j-1} < X \leq \varepsilon_j)}{c_n^p} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(\varepsilon_{j-1} < X \leq \varepsilon_j) \varepsilon_j^p \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_n^p} \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P(\varepsilon_{j-1} < X \leq \varepsilon_j) \\ &= c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^j P(\varepsilon_{j-1} < X \leq \varepsilon_j) = c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X > \varepsilon_{n-1}) \\ &\leq c \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X > c_n) \right) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

By Corollary 2.2, we have $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. The proof is complete. \square

Afterwards let $\alpha(x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive, nonincreasing function with $a_n = \alpha(n)$, $b_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, $c_n = b_n/a_n$, $n \geq 1$, where

$$b_n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.17}$$

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} c_n \alpha(\log c_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} c_n \alpha(\log c_n) < \infty, \tag{2.18}$$

$$x\alpha(\log^+ x) \text{ is nondecreasing for } x > 0. \tag{2.19}$$

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed NA random variables. If $E|X_1| \alpha(\log^+ |X_1|) < \infty$, then there exist $d_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - d_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

Proof. Since $0 < \alpha(x) \downarrow, b_n \uparrow \infty$, then $c_n \uparrow \infty$. By (2.18) we can choose $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma > 0, \beta > 0$, such that, for $n \geq m_0$,

$$\gamma n \leq c_n \alpha(\log c_n) \leq \beta n. \tag{2.20}$$

Hence, for $n \geq m \geq m_0$, we have $c_n \geq \gamma n (\alpha(\log c_m))^{-1}$ which guarantees that

$$\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \leq \frac{\alpha^2(\log c_m)}{\gamma^2 m}, \quad m \geq m_0. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that $\{X_i^{(c_i)}, i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA random variables by [5, property P₆]. For $m \geq m_0$,

$$\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{E(X_j^{(c_j)})^2}{c_j^2} = \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} EX_j^2 I(|X_j| \leq c_j) + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(|X_j| > c_j). \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.20) and (2.21), it follows that, for $m \geq m_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(|X_j| > c_j) &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(|X_j| \alpha(\log^+ |X_j|) \geq c_j \alpha(\log c_j)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(|X_j| \alpha(\log^+ |X_j|) \geq \gamma j) \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(|X_1| \alpha(\log^+ |X_1|) \geq \gamma j) < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} EX_j^2 I(|X_j| \leq c_j) &= \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} EX_1^2 I(|X_1| \leq c_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \left(\int_{\{|X_1| \leq c_{m-1}\}} X_1^2 dP + \sum_{i=m}^j \int_{\{c_{i-1} < |X_1| \leq c_i\}} X_1^2 dP \right) \\ &\leq O(1) + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \sum_{i=m}^j \int_{\{c_{i-1} < |X_1| \leq c_i\}} X_1^2 dP \\ &\leq O(1) + \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \gamma^{-2} i^{-1} \alpha^2(\log c_i) \int_{\{c_{i-1} < |X_1| \leq c_i\}} X_1^2 dP \\ &\leq O(1) + \beta \gamma^{-2} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \alpha(\log c_i) \int_{\{c_{i-1} < |X_1| \leq c_i\}} |X_1| dP \\ &\leq O(1) + \beta \gamma^{-2} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \int_{\{c_{i-1} < |X_1| \leq c_i\}} |X_1| \alpha(\log^+ |X_1|) dP < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

From (2.22)–(2.24), it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Var}(X_j^{(c_j)})}{c_j^2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(X_j^{(c_j)})^2}{c_j^2} < \infty. \tag{2.25}$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. On the other hand, by (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i \neq X_i^{(c_i)}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0-1} P(|X_i| > c_i) + \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) \\ &\leq m_0 - 1 + \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_1| > c_i) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Take $d_n = b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i EX_i^{(c_i)}$, $n \geq 1$. By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we know that the theorem holds true. □

If $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is not identically distributed, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables (not necessarily identically distributed). $1 \leq p \leq 2$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-p} E|X_n \alpha(\log^+ |X_n|)|^p < \infty$, then there exist $\{d_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - d_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

Proof. Using the same notations and similar method of Theorem 2.8, we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i \neq X_i^{(c_i)}) &\leq m_0 - 1 + \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_i| > c_i) \\
 &\leq m_0 - 1 + \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_i \alpha(\log^+ |X_i|)| > c_i \alpha(\log c_i)) \\
 &\leq m_0 - 1 + \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_i \alpha(\log^+ |X_i|)| \geq \gamma i) \\
 &\leq m_0 - 1 + \gamma^{-p} \sum_{i=m_0}^{\infty} i^{-p} E|X_i \alpha(\log^+ |X_i|)|^p < \infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.27}$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma for any sequence $\{d_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, the sequences $\{b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i^{(c_i)} - d_n\}$ and $\{b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i - d_n\}$ converge on the same set and to the same limit. We will show that $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. which gives the theorem with $d_n = b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i EX_i^{(c_i)}$, $n \geq 1$. Now note that $\{a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}), i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA mean zero random variables.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^{-p} E \left| a_n (X_n^{(c_n)} - EX_n^{(c_n)}) \right|^p \\
 &\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{-p} E |X_n^{(c_n)}|^p \\
 &\leq c(m_0 - 1) + c \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} c_n^{-p} (E|X_n|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + c_n^p P(|X_n| > c_n)) \\
 &\leq c(m_0 - 1) + c \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} c_n^{-p} (E|X_n|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + c \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > c_n)) \\
 &\leq c(m_0 - 1) + c\gamma^{-p} \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-p} (\alpha(\log c_n))^p E|X_n|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + O(1) \\
 &\leq c(m_0 - 1) + c\gamma^{-p} \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} n^{-p} E|X_n \alpha(\log^+ |X_n|)|^p I(|X_n| \leq c_n) + O(1) < \infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.28}$$

By Corollary 2.2, we have $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i^{(c_i)} - EX_i^{(c_i)}) \rightarrow 0$ a.s. The proof is complete. □

From Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 2.9 we can get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let the conditions of Theorem 2.9 be fulfilled and $EX_n = 0, n \geq 1$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} P(|X_n| > sc_n) ds < \infty$. Then $b_n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s.*

Remark 2.11. Since an independent random variable sequence is a special NA sequence, Theorems 2.1, 2.3, 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9 all hold true for independent random variable sequences. So Theorems 2.1, 2.3, 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9 are extensions of corresponding results of Petrov [9, Chapter IX], Jamison et al. [4], Chow and Theicher [2], and Heyde [3].

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 10071058). The author thanks the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. D. Barbour, L. Holst, and S. Janson, *Poisson Approximation*, Oxford Studies in Probability, vol. 2, The Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [2] Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, *Almost certain summability of independent, identically distributed random variables*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **42** (1971), 401–404.
- [3] C. C. Heyde, *On almost sure convergence for sums of independent random variables.*, *Sankhyā Ser. A* **30** (1968), 353–358.
- [4] B. Jamison, S. Orey, and W. Pruitt, *Convergence of weighted averages of independent random variables*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **4** (1965), 40–44.
- [5] K. Joag-Dev and F. Proschan, *Negative association of random variables, with applications*, *Ann. Statist.* **11** (1983), no. 1, 286–295.
- [6] J. Liu, S. Gan, and P. Chen, *The Hájek-Rényi inequality for the NA random variables and its application*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **43** (1999), no. 1, 99–105.
- [7] P. Matuła, *A note on the almost sure convergence of sums of negatively dependent random variables*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **15** (1992), no. 3, 209–213.
- [8] C. M. Newman, *Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables*, *Inequalities in Statistics and Probability* (Lincoln, Neb., 1982) (Y. L. Tong, ed.), IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser., vol. 5, Inst. Math. Statist., California, 1984, pp. 127–140.
- [9] V. V. Petrov, *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, vol. 82, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [10] Q.-M. Shao, *A comparison theorem on moment inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables*, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **13** (2000), no. 2, 343–356.
- [11] Q.-M. Shao and C. Su, *The law of the iterated logarithm for negatively associated random variables*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **83** (1999), no. 1, 139–148.
- [12] C. Su, T. Jiang, Q. H. Tang, and H. Y. Liang, *The safety of negatively associated dependence structure*, *Chinese J. Appl. Probab. Statist.* **18** (2002), no. 4, 400–404.

Gan Shixin: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
E-mail address: shixingan@sina.com

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk