

## Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus

### Sketch of Solution Sheet 10

1. a) Being a nonnegative local martingale with  $Z_0 = 1$ ,  $Z := \mathcal{E}(\int b dW)$  is a supermartingale and hence has a nonincreasing mean function. To show that it is a martingale, it thus suffices to show that  $E[Z_{t_n}] = E[Z_{t_0}] = 1$  for all  $n$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$  and set  $b^n := b1_{\llbracket t_{n-1}, t_n \rrbracket}$ . Then

$$E \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int b^n dW \right]_{\infty} \right) \right] = E \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} b_s^2 ds \right) \right] < \infty.$$

Therefore, Novikov's criterion yields that  $\mathcal{E}(\int b^n dW)$  is a (uniformly integrable) martingale. Finally, noting that  $Z_{t_n} = Z_{t_{n-1}} \mathcal{E}(\int b^n dW)_{t_n}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\int b^n dW)_{t_{n-1}} = 1$ , we obtain

$$E[Z_{t_n}] = E \left[ Z_{t_{n-1}} E \left[ \mathcal{E} \left( \int b^n dW \right)_{t_n} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}} \right] \right] = E[Z_{t_{n-1}}].$$

- b) Fix  $T \geq 0$  and  $(Z^T)_t := Z_{t \wedge T}$  the stopped process. With  $L := \int b dW$ , we have  $Z^T = \mathcal{E}(L^T)$ . Since  $Z^T$  is a uniformly integrable martingale, Girsanov's theorem yields that

$$W - \langle L^T, W \rangle = W - \left\langle \int b1_{\llbracket 0, T \rrbracket} dW, W \right\rangle = W - \int b1_{\llbracket 0, T \rrbracket} dt$$

is a martingale (even a Brownian motion) under the measure  $Q^T$  given by  $dQ^T = Z^T dP$ . By Bayes' formula (cf. Proposition 4.(4.4) part 2), it follows that

$$\left( W - \int b1_{\llbracket 0, T \rrbracket} dt \right) Z^T$$

is a  $P$ -martingale. Hence also  $M^T = \left( W^T - \int b1_{\llbracket 0, T \rrbracket} dt \right) Z^T$  is a  $P$ -martingale. Since  $T$  was arbitrary,  $M$  is a  $P$ -martingale.

2. a) By the Markov property of Brownian motion, we have for any  $0 \leq t < T$ ,

$$M_t = E[1_{\{a \leq W_T \leq b\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] = K_{T-t}(W_t, [a, b])$$

**Bitte wenden!**

where  $K$  is the Gaussian transition kernel. Define  $g : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x, t) = K_{T-t}(x, [a, b]).$$

Then, denoting the standard normal distribution function by  $\Phi$ , we have

$$g(x, t) = \Phi\left(\frac{b-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right).$$

In particular,  $g$  is  $C^{2,1}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$ .

**Alternative computation.** Noting that  $W_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and  $W_T - W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, T-t)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= E[F|\mathcal{F}_t] = P[a \leq W_T \leq b|\mathcal{F}_t] = P[a - W_t \leq W_T - W_t \leq b - W_t|\mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{b-W_t}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a-W_t}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) = g(W_t, t). \end{aligned}$$

- b) Since  $M_t = g(W_t, t)$  is a martingale, the sum of all finite variation terms in Itô's formula applied to  $g(W_t, t)$  vanishes and we obtain for  $t \in (0, T)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} M_t - M_0 &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(W_s, s) dW_s \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-s}} \left( \phi\left(\frac{a-W_s}{\sqrt{T-s}}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{b-W_s}{\sqrt{T-s}}\right) \right) dW_s, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi = \Phi'$  denotes the standard normal density.

- c) Since  $x\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , it is easy to see that the integrand in (1) converges  $P$ -a.s. to 0 as  $s \uparrow T$ . Hence,

$$H := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-s}} \left( \phi\left(\frac{a-W_s}{\sqrt{T-s}}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{b-W_s}{\sqrt{T-s}}\right) \right) 1_{[0, T]}$$

is a continuous, adapted process. Thus,  $H \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(W)$  and (1) yields for  $0 \leq t < T$  that

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t H_s dW_s. \quad (2)$$

Since both sides in (2) are local martingales on  $[0, \infty)$  and hence continuous, we can let  $t \uparrow T$  to get

$$M_T = M_0 + \int_0^T H_s dW_s.$$

To conclude, it suffices to note that  $M_T = F$ ,  $M_0 = E[F]$ , and  $\int_0^T H_s dW_s = \int_0^\infty H_s dW_s$  since  $H$  is zero on  $[T, \infty]$ . Moreover,  $\int H dW$  is a martingale as  $M$  is one.

**Siehe nächstes Blatt!**

3. a) We know from Ex 9-3 that the process  $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  defined by

$$Y_t := e^{(\lambda - \tilde{\lambda})t} \left( \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^{N_t} \quad (3)$$

is a true  $(P, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale which satisfies  $\mathbb{E}_P[Y_t] = Y_0 = 1$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Moreover,  $Y$  is clearly strictly positive. Hence  $D = Y_T$  is a strictly positive  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable, which satisfies  $\mathbb{E}_P[D] = 1$ . Therefore, we can define a probability measure  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  by  $dQ = D dP$ , which is equivalent to  $P$ . Moreover, its density process  $Z$  is given by

$$Z_t := \mathbb{E}_P[D | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}_P[Y_T | \mathcal{F}_t] = Y_t, \quad P.a.s. \quad (4)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore, in addition, we have shown in EX 9-3 b)

$$dZ_t = \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\lambda} Z_{t-} d\tilde{N}_t. \quad (5)$$

b) Using that for  $P$ -almost all  $\omega$ , we have  $\Delta N_s \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $s \in (0, T]$ , we have  $P.a.s.$  for all  $s \in (0, T]$

$$\frac{Z_{s-}}{Z_s} \Delta N_s = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} \right)^{\Delta N_s} \Delta N_s = \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} \Delta N_s. \quad (6)$$

Recalling that we have  $P.a.s.$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$[\tilde{N}]_t = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta N_s^2 = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta N_s = N_t, \quad (7)$$

using the properties of the quadratic variation and (5), we get  $P.a.s.$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} d[Z, \tilde{N}]_s &= \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\lambda} \frac{Z_{s-}}{Z_s} d[\tilde{N}]_s = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\lambda} \frac{Z_{s-}}{Z_s} \Delta N_s \\ &= \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\lambda} \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} \Delta N_s = \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta N_s = \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} N_t. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

c) By Girsanov's theorem and part b) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_t - \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} d[Z, \tilde{N}]_s &= \tilde{N}_t - \frac{\tilde{\lambda} - \lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} N_t = N_t - \lambda t - N_t + \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} N_t \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} (N_t - \tilde{\lambda} t), \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

is a local  $(Q, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale. Since  $\frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\lambda}} \neq 0$  is a constant and since local martingales form a vector space, it follows that  $N_t - \tilde{\lambda} t$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , is a local  $(Q, \mathcal{F})$ -martingale, too.

**Bitte wenden!**

4. a) Since the  $\mathcal{L}^1$  norm is a composition of two norms it is again a norm. To show completeness, it suffices to check that for any sequence

$$(f_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega; \mathcal{D}([0, \infty)))$$

such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} < \infty$  the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$  exists. With the triangle inequality we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{\infty} \right\|_{L^1(P)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \|f_j\|_{\infty} \right\|_{L^1(P)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}.$$

Therefore, by monotone convergence theorem we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\infty} \right\|_{L^1(P)} < \infty.$$

In particular, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\infty} < \infty$  P.-a.s. Consequently, for  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $t \geq 0$  the function

$$f(\omega, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\omega, t)$$

exists a.s. and the series converges a.s. uniformly in  $t$ . In particular,  $f$  has RCLL trajectory. Finally, since

$$\left\| f(\omega) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\omega) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{j \geq n+1} \|f_j(\omega)\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j(\omega)\|_{\infty} \in L^1(P).$$

The claim now follows from dominated convergence.

- b) Now, let  $(M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1$  be a Cauchy sequence. By construction,  $(M^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{D}([0, \infty)))$ . Therefore, by part (a) there exists a  $M \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{D}([0, \infty)))$  such that  $\|M^n - M\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It remains to check that  $M$  is a martingale. Note that for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\|M^n(t) - M(t)\|_{L^1(P)} \leq \|M^n(t) - M(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (10)$$

Hence,  $M$  is a martingale by Ex 3-2.

## 5. Matlab Files

```
1 function [expleft, expright]=bmsc104anew
2 % In this exercise we simulated the expectation of $cos(
   \int_0^1 s \, ds +
```

**Siehe nächstes Blatt!**

```

3 % B_1) where B is a P-BM by using Girsanov's Theorem,
   that is we check it
4 % is equal to  $E[\exp(\int_0^1 s dB_s - 1/2 \int_0^1 a_s^2 ds) \cos(B_1)]$ .
5 tic
6 %% parameter input
7 % horizon
8 T=1;
9 % sample size
10 Nplot=10^4;
11 % grid points
12 M=10^3;
13 % time step
14 dt= T/M;
15
16 %% Simulation
17 % BM
18 PBM = [zeros(1,Nplot);sqrt(T/M)*cumsum(randn(M,Nplot))];
19
20 % approximation of the integral  $\int_0^1 s dB_s$  (cf. EX
   8-4)
21 agrid = repmat((0:dt:T)',1,Nplot);
22 Int = [zeros(1,Nplot);cumsum(agrid(1:(end-1),:)).*(PBM(2:
   end,:)-PBM(1:(end-1),:))];
23 % weights=  $\exp(\int_0^1 s dB_s - 1/2 \int_0^1 s^2 ds)$ 
24 weights = (exp(Int(end,:) - 1/6));
25
26 % LHS of (1)
27 expleft= mean(cos(PBM(end,:)+1/2));
28 % RHS of (1)
29 expright= mean(weights.* cos(PBM(end,:)));
30
31 toc

```