

Mathematical Finance

Exercise Sheet 3

Exercise 3-1

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space and $X = (X_k)_{k=0, \dots, T}$ an adapted process, which is null at 0.

- (a) Suppose that X is a local martingale. Show that X is a true martingale if and only if $X_T^- \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$. *Hint:* The “ \Leftarrow ”-part is the difficult one. Divide the proof into two steps:
- Show by backward induction first that $X_k^- \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ and then that $X_k \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ for $k = T-1, \dots, 0$. To this end, let $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a localising sequence and use that $(X^{\tau_n})^-$ is a submartingale for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - Show that an integrable local martingale in discrete time is a martingale.
- (b) Suppose that X is locally integrable. Show that X is a local martingale if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[(\vartheta \bullet X)_T] \leq 0$$

for all predictable processes ϑ with $(\vartheta \bullet X)_T^- \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$.

Remark: Only the trivial “ \Rightarrow ”-direction of part (a) extends to continuous time. All other directions are *wrong* in continuous time.

Exercise 3-2

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions.

- (a) Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a nonnegative supermartingale, and define the stopping time τ_0 by

$$\tau_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \wedge X_{t-} = 0\}.$$

Show that $X = 0$ on $[[\tau_0, \infty]]$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Hint: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the stopping time $\tau_n := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t < 1/n\}$.

Remark: This result is known as the *minimum principle* for nonnegative supermartingales.

- (b) Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a strictly positive local martingale with $X_0 = 1$. Show that there exists a unique local martingale $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ null at 0 such that $X = \mathcal{E}(M)$. M is called the *stochastic logarithm* of X and denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Hint: Use part (a) and use without proof the fact that if Y is a local martingale and H is predictable and locally bounded, then $H \bullet Y$ is again a local martingale.

Exercise 3-3

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions.

- (a) Let $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a local martingale null at 0 and $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a *continuous* local martingale null at 0. Show that there exist $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ and a local martingale $L = (L_t)_{t \geq 0}$ null at 0 such that

$$N = H \bullet M + L \quad \text{and} \quad [M, L] \equiv 0.$$

This is a generalised version of the *Kunita-Watanabe decomposition*.

Hint: Apply the usual Kunita-Watanabe decomposition to N^c .

- (b) Let $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous semimartingale and $S = S_0 + M + A$ its canonical decomposition. Prove that S satisfies the structure condition (SC), i.e., there exists $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ such that

$$A_t = \int_0^t H_s d\langle M \rangle_s, \quad t \geq 0,$$

if and only if there exists an *equivalent local martingale deflator* $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ for S , i.e., a strictly positive local \mathbb{P} -martingale Z with $Z_0 = 1$ such that ZS is a local \mathbb{P} -martingale.

Hint: For “ \Leftarrow ” use Exercise 3-2 (b) and part (a).

Exercise 3-4

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space supporting a Poisson process $N = (N_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with rate $\lambda > 0$. Denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t^N)_{t \in [0, T]}$ the natural (completed) filtration of N . Define the process $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ by $dS_t = S_{t-}(\mu dt + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d\tilde{N}_t)$, $S_0 = s_0 > 0$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma > 0$ and \tilde{N} denotes the compensated Poisson process.

- (a) Show that S satisfies NFLVR if and only if $\mu < \sigma\sqrt{\lambda}$, and find an equivalent martingale measure \mathbb{Q}^λ for S in that case.

Hint: Use Exercises 1-4 and 1-5.

Assume for the rest of the question that S satisfies NFLVR. Moreover, use without proof that the equivalent martingale measure \mathbb{Q}^λ from part (a) is unique. For $\rho > 0$ denote by $\bar{\Psi}_\rho$ the tail distribution function of a Poisson random variable with parameter ρ , i.e., $\bar{\Psi}_\rho(x) := \mathbb{P}[X_\rho > x]$, where X_ρ has a Poisson distribution with parameter ρ .

- (b) Show that the arbitrage-free price of a *cash-or-nothing call option* $\mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}$ with maturity T and strike $K > 0$ is given by

$$\bar{\Psi}_{(\lambda - \frac{\mu}{\sigma}\sqrt{\lambda})T} \left(\frac{\log \frac{K}{S_0} + (\sigma\sqrt{\lambda} - \mu)T}{\log \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)} \right).$$

- (c) Show that the arbitrage-free price of a *stock-or-nothing call option* $S_T \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}$ with maturity T and strike $K > 0$ is given by

$$S_0 \bar{\Psi}_{(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\lambda}})(\lambda - \frac{\mu}{\sigma}\sqrt{\lambda})T} \left(\frac{\log \frac{K}{S_0} + (\sigma\sqrt{\lambda} - \mu)T}{\log \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)} \right).$$

Hint: Define the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}^\lambda \approx \mathbb{Q}^\lambda$ on \mathcal{F}_T by $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}^\lambda}{d\mathbb{Q}^\lambda} := S_T/S_0$, and work under this measure.

- (d) Derive the arbitrage-free price C_0^λ of a call option $(S_T - K)^+$ with maturity T and strike K . Moreover, show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C_0^\lambda = S_0 \Phi \left(\frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} + \frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}} \right) - K \Phi \left(\frac{\log \frac{S_0}{K} - \frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where Φ denotes the distribution function of a standard normal random variable. This means that for large λ , the arbitrage-free price in the Poisson model is very close to the Black-Scholes price with the same parameter σ .

Hint: Use that if X_ρ has a Poisson distribution with parameter ρ , then $\frac{X_\rho - \rho}{\sqrt{\rho}}$ converges weakly to a standard normal random variable for $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, use the fact that if $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of distribution functions converging *pointwise* to a *continuous* distribution function F , then the convergence is also *uniform*.

Exercise 3-5

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions. Let $R = (R_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a jump diffusion of the form $R_t = \mu t + \sigma W_t + a N_t$, $t \in [0, T]$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma, a > 0$, $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion and $N = (N_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an independent Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$. Suppose about the filtration that R is a Lévy process with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Define the process $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ by $dS_t = S_{t-} dR_t$, $S_0 = s_0 > 0$, i.e., $S = s_0 \mathcal{E}(R)$.

- (a) Show that for each $\ell > 0$ there exists $\mathbb{Q}^\ell \approx \mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{F}_T such that $R = \sigma W^{\mathbb{Q}^\ell} + a \tilde{N}^{\mathbb{Q}^\ell}$, where $W^{\mathbb{Q}^\ell}$ is a \mathbb{Q}^ℓ -Brownian motion and $\tilde{N}^{\mathbb{Q}^\ell}$ is an independent compensated \mathbb{Q}^ℓ -Poisson process with rate ℓ .

Hint: Use Girsanov's theorem and Exercise 1-4.

- (b) Show that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^\ell} [\mathbb{1}_{\{S_T > K\}}] = 0.$$

Hint: Condition on $W^{\mathbb{Q}^\ell}$, use Chebyshev's inequality and dominated convergence.

- (c) Show that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^\ell} [S_T \mathbb{1}_{\{S_T \leq K\}}] = 0.$$

Hint: For $\ell > 0$, define $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}^\ell \approx \mathbb{Q}^\ell$ on \mathcal{F}_T by $\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}^\ell}{d\mathbb{Q}^\ell} := S_T/S_0$, and work under this measure.

- (d) Compute the superreplication prices of a call and a put option with strike $K > 0$ and maturity T , i.e., calculate $\Pi_s((S_T - K)^+)$ and $\Pi_s((K - S_T)^+)$.

Hint: Use parts (b) and (c).