

## Solutions of exercise sheet 9

The content of the marked exercises (\*) should be known for the exam.

1. (\*) Let  $K$  be a field.

1. Suppose that  $P \in K[X]$  is a non-zero polynomial of degree  $d$ . Prove that  $P$  has at most  $d$  roots in  $K$ . [*Hint*: Exercise 2.3 from Exercise sheet 8].
2. Is the previous point also true if  $K$  is just supposed to be a division ring? [*Hint*: Exercise 1 from Exercise sheet 6].
3. Now suppose that  $K$  is an infinite field, and that  $P \in K[X]$  is such that  $P(\alpha) = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in K$ . Prove:  $P = 0$  in  $K[X]$ .
4. Still supposing that  $K$  is an infinite field, show that if  $P \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is such that for every  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K^n$  one has  $P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$ , then  $P = 0$  in  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

### Solution:

1. Let  $V(P) \subseteq K$  be the set of roots of the polynomial  $P \in K[X]$ . For every finite collection of distinct roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in V(P)$ , we have that  $(X - \alpha_i) | P$ . Since the polynomials  $X - \alpha_i$  have degree 1, and  $K$  is a field, we have that the only possible decompositions of  $X - \alpha_i$  are of the form  $c \cdot q(X)$  for some polynomial  $q(X)$  of degree 1 and constant  $c \in K \setminus \{0\} = K^\times$ . Hence the polynomials  $X - \alpha_i$  are distinct irreducible elements in  $K[X]$  which all divide  $P$ . We claim that then

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (X - \alpha_i) | P \quad (*),$$

and being  $K$  a field we have  $k = \deg(\prod_{i=1}^k (X - \alpha_i)) \leq \deg P = d$ . Hence all finite subsets of  $V(P)$  have cardinality  $\leq d$ , implying that  $|V(P)| \leq d$ , that is,  $P$  has at most  $d$  roots.

We are only left to prove the claim (\*). This is true more in general for any UFD  $A$  (and  $A = K[X]$  is a UFD): if  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  are distinct irreducible elements dividing  $f \in A$ , then their product divides  $f$  as well. To prove it, we work by induction on  $k$ , the case  $k = 1$  being trivial. So we can suppose that  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{n-1} | f$ , and write  $f = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{n-1} \cdot g$  for some  $g \in A$ . Decomposing  $g$  into irreducible and using uniqueness of decomposition into irreducible, we have that  $\gamma_n | g$ , and this gives our claim.

**Please turn over!**

2. No, it is not true. For example, the polynomial  $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{H}[X]$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{i}$ ,  $\mathfrak{j}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  (see Exercise 1 from Exercise sheet 6).
3. By contradiction, assume that  $P \neq 0$ . Then by Point 1 we have that  $P$  has less than  $\deg(P)$  roots. Since every  $\alpha \in K$  is a root, we get  $\infty = |K| \leq \deg(P) < \infty$ , contradiction.
4. We prove this by induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being proved in previous point. So we can prove the statement by supposing that it holds for  $n - 1$ . For  $d = \deg_{X_n}(P)$  and some  $a_i \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ , we can write

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})X_n^d.$$

Then for every  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in K^{n-1}$  we define

$$q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}(Y) = P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})Y^d \in K[Y],$$

and we observe that by construction  $q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}} \in K[Y]$  vanishes on all elements in  $K$ , so that by the previous point we have  $q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}}(Y) = 0$ , meaning that for all  $i = 0, \dots, d$  and  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  we have  $a_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = 0$ , so that inductive hypothesis (applied on all the  $a_i$ 's) gives  $a_i = 0$ , which implies  $P = 0$ .

2. Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a positive prime number.

1. Prove that there exists a unique ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]$  sending  $X \mapsto X$ , and that it is surjective. For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , we denote by  $\bar{f}$  its image via this map.
2. Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be such that  $p|a_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $p \nmid a_n$ . Prove that  $f$  is a monomial in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and deduce that if  $f = gh$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $g$  and  $h$  non-constant polynomials, then  $p^2|a_0$  [Hint:  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field, hence  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a principal ideal domain].
3. Conclude: if  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is such that  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ ,  $p \nmid a_n$ ,  $p|a_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  and the coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  are coprime, then  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . (This is known as Eisenstein's Criterion).
4. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ , we denote by  $W_n$  the set of primitive  $n$ -th roots of unity, and define the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial

$$\Phi_n(t) := \prod_{\zeta \in W_n} (X - \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}[X].$$

For  $n = p$  a prime number, show that  $\Phi_p(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and that it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . [Hint: First, find  $(X-1)\Phi_p(X)$ . Then take also in account the polynomial  $Q(X) = \phi_p(X+1)$ ]

**Solution:**

**See next page!**

- Let  $B = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Applying Exercise 1 from Exercise sheet 8 (in particular, parts 4 and 8) with  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that for every  $b \in B$  and ring homomorphism  $s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B$  there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow B$  such that  $X \mapsto b$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \ni m \mapsto s(m)$ . Of course, this association  $(b, s) \mapsto \lambda$  gives all the ring homomorphisms  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow B$ , as from  $\lambda$  we can recover  $b = \lambda(X)$  and  $s = \lambda|_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . But since  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is generated as abelian group by  $1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which is mapped to  $1_B$  by any ring map  $s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B$ , there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B$ , and hence a unique ring homomorphism  $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow B$  sending  $X \mapsto X$ .  
More explicitly, we see that for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\gamma(m) = \bar{m} := m + p\mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $\gamma$  just reduces the coefficients of  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  modulo  $p$ .
- If  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is such that  $p|a_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $p \nmid a_n$ , then  $\bar{f} = \bar{a}_n X^n$  is a monomial, and as  $\gamma$  is a ring homomorphism, we have that  $f = gh$  implies  $\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h}$ . Since  $B = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a UFD (as it is a principal ideal domain) where  $X \in B$  is an irreducible element (by reasoning on the degrees of possible divisors), we have that  $\bar{g}, \bar{h}$  are monomials of some positive (by hypothesis) degrees  $d$  and  $e$  such that  $d + e = n$ . Then  $p$  divides all coefficients of  $g$  and  $h$  but the leading ones. Since the constant terms of  $f$  is the product of the constant terms of  $g$  and  $h$ , which are both divisible by  $p$ , we get that  $p^2|a_0$ .
- This follows immediately by assuming by contradiction that  $f$  is not irreducible, meaning that  $f = gh$  for some polynomials  $g, h$  which are not invertible. The two polynomials  $g$  and  $h$  need then to have positive degree, because if one of them were a non-invertible constant which would divide all the coefficients of  $f$ , contradiction with the fact that they are coprime. Then  $g, h$  have positive degree, and the previous point gives  $p^2|a_0$ , contradiction.
- If  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  is a  $p$ -th root of unity, then  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , and  $|\zeta|^p = 1$  (by Exercise 2.1 of Exercise sheet 2), so that  $|\zeta| = 1$ . Then we can write  $\zeta = \exp(i\vartheta) = \cos(\vartheta) + i \sin(\vartheta)$  for some  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and get

$$1 = \zeta^p = \exp(ip\vartheta),$$

which implies  $\vartheta = 2k\pi/p$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that increasing  $k$  by  $p$ , the resulting  $\zeta$  does not vary. Moreover, if  $\zeta$  is a non-primitive root of unity, then it has as order (in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ ) a proper divisor of  $p$ , which gives  $\zeta = 1$ . So we have

$$W_p = \{\exp(2k\pi/p) : k = 1, \dots, p-1\},$$

and  $(X-1) \cdot \phi_p(X) = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (X - \exp(2k\pi/p))$ , a polynomial of degree  $p$  whose roots are all the  $p$ -th roots of unity. Since they are roots of  $X^p - 1$ , by applying Factorization Lemma as we did in Exercise 1.1 and using the fact that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is a UFD, we can conclude that the two polynomials are the same up to a multiplicative constant, which has to be 1 (by comparing the leading coefficients). Hence

$$\phi_p(X) = \frac{X^p - 1}{X - 1} = X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \dots + X + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Defining  $Q(X) := \phi_p(X+1)$ , we have that  $Q$  is irreducible if and only if  $\phi_p$  is

**Please turn over!**

(since their factorizations are in a degree-preserving correspondence). But

$$Q(X) = \phi_p(X+1) = \frac{(X+1)^p - 1}{X+1-1} = \sum_{i=1}^p \binom{p}{i} X^{i-1},$$

and we claim that  $Q$  satisfies the conditions to apply Eisenstein's Criterion. Then  $Q$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and so is  $\phi_p(X)$ .

To prove the claim on  $Q(X)$ , write  $a_k = \binom{p}{k+1}$ , so that  $Q = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k X^k$ . Then  $a_{p-1} = \binom{p}{p} = 1$ , so that  $p \nmid a_{p-1}$  and the coefficients are all coprime. For  $k = 0, \dots, p-2$ , we have  $1 \leq k+1 \leq p-1$ , and we shall prove that in this case  $p \mid \binom{p}{k+1}$ . Indeed, one has

$$\binom{p}{k+1} = \frac{p \cdots (p-k)}{(k+1) \cdots 1},$$

and  $p$  appears as a factor only in the numerator, proving that this binomial coefficient is divisible by  $p$ . Finally, we have  $a_0 = \binom{p}{1} = p$ , so that  $p^2 \nmid a_0$  and we have all the required conditions.

**3.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}] = \{a + bi\sqrt{5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

1. Show that  $R$  is a ring, and determine  $R^\times$ . [*Hint:* Suppose that  $\alpha \in R^\times$ . What can we say about  $|\alpha|^2$ ?]
2. Show that  $2 \cdot 3 = (1 + i\sqrt{5}) \cdot (1 - i\sqrt{5})$  are two non-equivalent factorizations of  $6 \in R$ , so that  $R$  is not a UFD.
3. Prove that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (2, 1 + i\sqrt{5}) \subseteq R$  is maximal but not principal. [*Hint:* Compute  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  and deduce that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal. Working by contradiction and using irreducibility of 2, you can prove that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal.]

**Solution:**

1. We define operations on  $R$  as in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we want to check that  $R$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . This is easily done by noticing that  $0, 1 \in R$ , and that for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  one has

$$(a + bi\sqrt{5}) - (c + di\sqrt{5}) = (a - c) + (b - d)i\sqrt{5} \in R$$

and

$$(a + bi\sqrt{5}) \cdot (c + di\sqrt{5}) = (ac - 5bd) + (ad + bc)i\sqrt{5} \in R,$$

so that  $R$  is closed by multiplication, sum, and taking inverses. Let  $\alpha = a + bi\sqrt{5} \in R$ . Then  $|\alpha|^2 = \alpha\bar{\alpha} = a^2 + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then if  $\alpha \in R^\times$ , and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ , we get  $1 = 1 \cdot \bar{1} = \alpha\beta\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} = |\alpha|^2|\beta|^2$ , and  $|\alpha|^2$  can only be equal to 1 (as also  $|\beta|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Then  $5b^2 \leq a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$  implies that  $b = 0$  and  $a = \pm 1$ , hence  $R^\times = \{\pm 1\}$ .

**See next page!**

2. Let us first prove that 2 is irreducible. Suppose that  $2 = \alpha\beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ . Then we have  $4 = |\alpha|^2|\beta|^2$ , and  $|\alpha|^2, |\beta|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Moreover, we have seen before in proving the previous point that if  $|\alpha|^2 = 1$  we get  $\alpha = \pm 1 \in R^\times$ , and the same holds for  $\beta$ . Hence the only possibility for the factorization  $\alpha\beta = 2$  to be proper is that  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 2$ , which is not possible since  $5b^2 \leq a^2 + 5b^2 = 2$  implies  $b = 0$ , and  $a^2 = 2$  which cannot hold. Then 2 is an irreducible element of  $R$ .

As 2 clearly does not divide  $1 \pm i\sqrt{5}$  (as  $(1 \pm i\sqrt{5})/2 \in \mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{5}]$  has non-integer coefficients, so that it cannot lie in  $R$  because  $1, i\sqrt{5}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear independent elements in  $\mathbb{C}$ ), we get that the two given factorizations of 6 cannot be equivalent, so that  $R$  is not a UFD.

3. Let  $A = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Notice that  $i\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m} = -1 + \mathfrak{m} = 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ , so that  $a + bi\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m} = a + b + \mathfrak{m}$ . This suggests that  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : A = \frac{R}{\mathfrak{m}} &\rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \\ a + bi\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m} &\mapsto a + b + 2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that the above is indeed a ring isomorphism. First, notice that we have

$$\begin{aligned} a + bi\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m} = a' + b'i\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m} &\iff (a - a') - (b - b') \in 2\mathbb{Z} \iff \\ &\iff (a - a') + (b - b') \in 2\mathbb{Z} \iff (a - b) - (a' - b') \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\phi$  is a well defined injective map. It is clear that  $\phi$  is additive, and that  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(1) = 1$ , so that  $\phi$  is surjective. Finally, we check multiplicativity:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + bi\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m})(c + di\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m})) &= \phi((ac - 5bd) + (ad + bc)i\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m}) = \\ &= ac + bd + ad + bc + 2\mathbb{Z} = (a + b + 2\mathbb{Z})(c + d + 2\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \phi(a + bi\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m}) \cdot \phi(c + di\sqrt{5} + \mathfrak{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to the field  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , implying that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal in  $R$ .

Now we prove by contradiction that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal. Suppose by contradiction that  $\mathfrak{m} = (\gamma)$ . We have  $\gamma \notin R^\times$  (else it would generate the unit ideal  $R$ ), and that  $\gamma|2$ , so that being 2 irreducible we have  $\gamma = 2 \cdot u$ , for some  $u \in R^\times$  (explicitly,  $\gamma = \pm 2$ ), so that  $(2, 1 + i\sqrt{5}) = (\gamma) = (2)$ . Then  $2|1 + i\sqrt{5}$ , which is false. Contradiction. Hence  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not a principal ideal.