## Solutions 3

1. a) Show that $j: \Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ gives a bijection between $\Gamma \backslash \overline{\bar{H}}$ and the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. More precisely, $j$ maps $\infty$ to $\infty$ and induces a bijection between $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ and the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.
Solution : For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the difference function $d:=(12)^{3} g_{2}^{3}-\alpha \Delta$ defines a modular form of weight 12 . Hence, the valence formula reads

$$
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ord}_{i}(d)+\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{ord}_{\varrho}(d)+\sum \operatorname{ord}_{p}(d)=1
$$

with each order being a non-negative integer. It follows that there is exactly one point $p \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ such that $j(p)=\alpha$.
b) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the standard fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma$ on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.

Find $j(i), j(\varrho)$, and determine all $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $j(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then show that $j$ maps the left half of $\mathcal{F}$ onto $\mathbb{H}$ and the right half of $\mathcal{F}$ onto the lower half plane.
Solution : Plugging the relations $i^{2}=-1$ and $\varrho^{3}=1$ into the definition of Eisenstein series yields

$$
G_{6}(i)=\sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{\left(m i+n\left(-i^{2}\right)\right)^{6}}=\frac{1}{i^{6}} \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{(m-n i)^{6}}=-G_{6}(i)
$$

and similar relations for $G_{4}(\varrho)$ so that we conclude that $G_{6}(i)=0$ and $G_{4}(\varrho)=0$. Therefore, $j(i)=(12)^{3}$ and $j(\varrho)=0$.
Next, we determine all $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $j(\tau)$ is real. The $q$-expansion of the $j$-function has the form

$$
j=\frac{1}{q}+\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} q^{n}
$$

One can immediately read from this expansion the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{j(\tau)}=j(-\bar{\tau}), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, points that are reflections of one another with respect to the imaginary axis will be mapped to conjugate values. In particular, all points in $\mathcal{F}$ that lie on the imaginary axis will be mapped to real values by the $j$-function. Secondly, all points in $\mathcal{F}$ that lie on the unit circle centered at the origin - that is, explicilty, all points on the circular arc connecting $\varrho$ and $i-$ satisfy $\tau \bar{\tau}=1$ and hence

$$
j(\tau)=\overline{j(-\bar{\tau})}=\overline{j(-1 / \tau)}=\overline{j\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& -1 \\
1 &
\end{array}\right) \tau\right)}=\overline{j(\tau)}
$$

where the last equality follows from the modularity of $j$. Hence, all points on the circular arc between $\varrho$ and $i$ also map to real values. Thirdly, any point lying on the left vertical boundary of $\mathcal{F}$ is expressed in rectangular coordinates as $-1 / 2+i y$ for some $y \geq \sqrt{3} / 2$, and it is immediate from plugging in these values in the $q$-expansion of $j$ that

$$
j(-1 / 2+i y)=-e^{2 \pi y}+\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n}(-1)^{n} e^{-2 \pi n y} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the contour encircling the left half of $\mathcal{F}$, that is the closed path composed of the vertical half-line going from $i \infty$ to $\varrho$, the circular arc from $\varrho$ to $i$ and the vertical half-line from $i$ back to $i \infty$. If one runs along $\mathcal{C}$, then the enclosed left half $\mathcal{F}_{L}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is always to the left, so that under $j$, that region will be mapped to the left of the real axis, that is to the upper half plane. By the symmetry relation above, the right-half $\mathcal{F}_{R}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is mapped to the lower half plane. Hence, by part (a), $j(\mathcal{C})=\mathbb{R}, j\left(\mathcal{F}_{L}\right)=\mathbb{H}$, and $j\left(\mathcal{F}_{R}\right)$ is the lower half plane.
2. Given a lattice $L=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{2}:=g_{2}(L)=g_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=60 \sum_{m, n}\left(m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2}\right)^{-4} \\
& g_{3}:=g_{3}(L)=g_{3}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=140 \sum_{m, n}\left(m \omega_{1}+n \omega_{2}\right)^{-6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These two functions $g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are called the invariants of $L$. Observe that $g_{2}^{3}-27 g_{3}^{2} \neq 0$.
Prove that given two complex numbers $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ satisfying $a_{2}^{3}-27 a_{3}^{2} \neq 0$, there exist complex numbers $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ such that $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is not real, and $g_{2}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=a_{2}, g_{3}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=a_{3}$.

Solution : Set $\alpha:=(12)^{3} \frac{a_{2}^{3}}{a_{2}^{3}-27 a_{3}^{2}}$. First note that given a point $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we can associate to it the lattice $L_{z}:=\mathbb{Z} z \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
g_{2}\left(L_{z}\right)=60 \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{(m z+n)^{4}}=g_{2}(z)
$$

and the same goes for $g_{3}\left(L_{z}\right)=g_{3}(z)$.
We know from Ex. 1 that there is exactly one point $z \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $j(z)=\alpha$. Moreover, we also know that if $a_{2}=0$, this point must be $z=\varrho$, and that if $a_{3}=0$, this point must be $z=i$. (The condition $a_{2}^{3}-27 a_{3}^{2} \neq 0$ insures that the two complex numbers can not be both zero.)
Assume first that $a_{2}=0$ and take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $\lambda^{6}=\frac{g_{3}(\varrho)}{a_{3}}$. (Note that $g_{3}(\varrho)$ is non-zero since we know from Ex. 1 that $g_{2}(\varrho)=0$ and $\Delta$ is never zero.) Then

$$
g_{3}\left(\lambda L_{\varrho}\right)=\frac{g_{3}\left(L_{\varrho}\right)}{\lambda^{6}}=a_{3}
$$

and $g_{2}\left(\lambda L_{\varrho}\right)=a_{2}=0$. If $a_{3}=0$, the same argument shows that $g_{2}(L)=a_{2}$ and $g_{3}(L)=a_{3}$ for $L=\frac{g_{2}(i)}{a_{2}} L_{i}$.

Finally, suppose that $a_{2} a_{3} \neq 0$. Then $j(z)=\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$
(12)^{3} \frac{g_{2}^{3}(z)}{g_{2}^{3}(z)-27 g_{3}^{2}(z)}=(12)^{3} \frac{a_{2}^{3}}{a_{2}^{3}-27 a_{3}^{2}}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}^{3}}=\frac{g_{3}^{2}(z)}{g_{2}^{3}(z)}=\frac{g_{3}^{2}\left(L_{z}\right)}{g_{2}^{3}\left(L_{z}\right)}=\frac{g_{3}^{2}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)}{g_{2}^{3}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)}
$$

for any $\lambda \neq 0$. now, take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $\lambda^{2}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{3}} \frac{g_{3}}{g_{2}}$. Then

$$
\frac{a_{3}^{2}}{a_{2}^{3}}=\frac{g_{3}^{2}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)}{g_{2}^{3}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)}=\left(\frac{a_{3}}{a_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{g_{2}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)}
$$

hence $g_{2}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)=a_{2}$ and, similarly, one can show that $g_{3}\left(\lambda L_{z}\right)=a_{3}$. In terms of basis elements $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$, we can then choose the pair $(\lambda z, \lambda)$.
3. Let $V=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: z^{3}-27 w^{2}=0\right\}$. Let $S^{3}$ denote the 3 -sphere. Then $T=V \cap S^{3}$ is the trefoil knot.

Prove that the space of lattices $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ can be identified with the complement of the trefoil knot $S^{3} \backslash T$.

Note : In fact, they are even diffeomorphic.
Solution : Each lattice can be written as $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ for a choice of basis $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. A unimodular lattice is a lattice $\Lambda$ of covolume 1 , that is, $\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} / \Lambda\right)=1$ or, equivalently, $\operatorname{det}\left(\omega_{1} \mid \omega_{2}\right)=1$. (The notation $\left(\omega_{1} \mid \omega_{2}\right)$ refers to the two-by-two matrix with $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ as column vectors.)

We first show that the quotient $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ parametrizes the set of unimodular lattices. Any unimodular lattice can be seen to arise from an element of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ via the map

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}\binom{a}{b} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\binom{c}{d}=: \mathbb{Z} \omega_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}
$$

This map then factors through the quotient $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as can be checked by direct computation. This reflects the fact that if one takes another basis $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ for the unimodular lattice $\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ above, then the new basis elements can be expressed in terms of the old ones, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1}^{\prime} & =a \omega_{1}+b \omega_{2} \\
\omega_{2}^{\prime} & =c \omega_{1}+d \omega_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a, b, c, d$ integer coefficients and a simple computation would establish that $\operatorname{det}\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime} \mid \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)=$ $(a d-b c) \operatorname{det}\left(\omega_{1} \mid \omega_{2}\right)$.

In a similar fashion, one can show that the quotient $\operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ parametrizes all lattices up to homothety, that is the set of all equivalence classes $[\Lambda]$ where $\Lambda$ is a lattice and $[\lambda \Lambda]=[\Lambda]$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The claim is more transparent if we identify $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. This identification is given by the map $\Lambda \mapsto[\Lambda]$. In fact, each equivalence class has a unimodular representative

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} / \Lambda\right)}} \Lambda
$$

and for two images of this map such that $[\Lambda]=\left[\Lambda^{\prime}\right]$, there is a scalar $\lambda>0$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}=\lambda \Lambda$. But because $\operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} / \Lambda^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{2} \operatorname{vol}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} / \Lambda\right)$ and both $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ are taken to be unimodular, $\lambda=1$.

By Exercise 2, we know that the map

$$
\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash V, \quad \Lambda \mapsto\left(g_{2}(\Lambda), g_{3}(\Lambda)\right)
$$

defined on the set $\mathcal{L}$ of all lattices is surjective. Consider the composition with the projection to the 3 -sphere, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{L} \rightarrow S^{3} \backslash K
$$

Then for two lattices $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ with the same image in $S^{3} \backslash K$, there must be some positive scalar $\lambda$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}=\lambda \Lambda$. We can conclude that $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong S^{3} \backslash K$.
4. Prove Picard's Theorem :

Every non-constant entire function attains every complex value with at most one exception.
Proof : We will prove the equivalent statement : Each entire function $f$ that omits two distinct points $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ is constant.

The idea of proof is as follows: Given an entire function $g$ that is never 0 or $(12)^{3}$, the map $\exp \left(i\left(j^{-1} \circ g\right)\right)$ is entire and bounded to the unit disk, hence constant by Liouville.

The function

$$
g=(12)^{3} \frac{f-a}{b-a}
$$

is such a function ; it is entire and will never be either 0 or $(12)^{3}$. We can see the $j$-function $j: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as an infinitely-sheeted branched covering map (indeed each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ has preimage an infinite $\Gamma$-orbit), with branch points at $j^{-1}(0)$ and $j^{-1}\left((12)^{3}\right)$. Hence, the restriction to

$$
j: \mathbb{H} \backslash\left\{j^{-1}(0), j^{-1}\left((12)^{3}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,1\}
$$

defines an infinitely sheeted unbranched covering. Fix a branch for the multi-valued inverse function $j^{-1}$. Then the composition map $h:=j^{-1} \circ g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \backslash\{\varrho, i\}$ can be analytically continued to all of $\mathbb{C}$, and this, by the Monodromy Theorem, as a single-valued analytic function, which we also denote $h$.

Now, the map $\varphi(z)=e^{i h(z)}$ is also entire but as $|\varphi(z)|=e^{-\operatorname{Im}(h(z))}$ and $h(z) \in \mathbb{H}$, it is bounded by the unit disk, and hence constant. It follows that $h$ and hence $g$ are constant, therefore $f=a+(b-a) g$ is a constant function.

