

NOTES ON COUNTABLE EXTENSIONS
OF $p^{\omega+n}$ -PROJECTIVES

PETER DANCHEV

ABSTRACT. We prove that if G is an Abelian p -group of length not exceeding ω and H is its $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective subgroup for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that G/H is countable, then G is also $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective. This enlarges results of ours in (Arch. Math. (Brno), 2005, 2006 and 2007) as well as a classical result due to Wallace (J. Algebra, 1971).

Unless we do not specify some else, by the term “group” we mean “an Abelian p -group”, written additively as is the custom when dealing with such groups, for some arbitrary but a fixed prime p . All unexplained exclusively, but however used, notions and notations are standard and follow essentially those from [7]. For instance, a group is called *separable* if it does not contain elements of infinite height. As usual, for any group A , A_r denotes the reduced part of A .

A recurring theme is the relationship between the properties of a given group and its countable extension (see, e.g., [1]). The study in that aspect starts incidentally by Wallace [12] in order to establish a complete set of invariants for a concrete class of mixed Abelian groups. Specifically, his remarkable achievement states as follows.

Theorem (Wallace, 1971). *Let G be a reduced group with a totally projective subgroup H so that G/H is countable. Then G is totally projective.*

Since any reduced group is summable precisely when its socle is a free valuated vector space, as application of ([8], Lemma 7) one can derive the following.

Theorem (Fuchs, 1977). *Let G be a reduced group with a summable isotype subgroup H so that G/H is countable. Then G is summable.*

Without knowing then the cited attainment of Fuchs, we have proved in [1] an analogous assertion for summable groups of countable length via the usage of a more direct group-theoretical approach. In [5] was also showed via the construction of a concrete example that when the summable subgroup H is not isotype in G , G may not be summable.

Likewise, in [5] (see [1] too) it was obtained the following affirmation.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 20K10; Secondary: 20K25.

Key words and phrases: abelian groups, countable factor-groups, $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups.

Received May 28, 2007. Editor J. Trlifaj.

Theorem (Danchev and Keef, 2005 and 2008). *Let G be a group with a subgroup H so that G/H is countable. If*

- (a) *H is σ -summable, then G is σ -summable provided that it is of limit length and H is isotype in G (when H is not isotype in G , G may not be σ -summable);*
- (b) *H is a Σ -group, then G is a Σ -group;*
- (c) *H is a Q -group, then G is a Q -group provided that it is separable;*
- (d) *H is weakly ω_1 -separable, then G is weakly ω_1 -separable provided that it is separable.*

In [1] and [3] we have shown the following statement as well.

Theorem (Danchev, 2005 and 2006). *Let G be a group with a $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective subgroup H so that G/H is countable and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If*

- (e) *H is pure and nice in G , then G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective;*
- (f) *H is pure in the separable G , then G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective.*

Note that in [4] we have established such type results for ω -elongations of totally projective groups by $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups or summable groups by $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective groups, respectively.

The purpose of the present brief work is to discuss some questions as those alluded to above concerning when a given separable group is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective provided that it has a modulo countable proper $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective subgroup, but by removing the pureness of the subgroup in the whole group.

We are now in a position to proceed by proving the next extension of point (f) (see [5] as well).

Theorem. *Suppose that G is a group of length at most ω which contains a subgroup H such that G/H is countable. Then G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective if and only if H is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, whenever $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. The necessity is immediate because $p^{\omega+n}$ -projectives are closed with respect to subgroups (see, for example, [10]). As for the sufficiency, according to the classical Nunke's criterion for $p^{\omega+n}$ -projectivity (see [10]), there exists $P \leq H[p^n]$ with H/P a direct sum of cyclic groups. But observing that $(G/P)_r / ((G/P)_r \cap H/P) \cong ((G/P)_r + H/P) / H/P \subseteq G/P/H/P \cong G/H$ is countable with $(G/P)_r \cap H/P \subseteq H/P$ a direct sum of cyclic groups, we appeal to Wallace's theorem, quoted above, to infer that $(G/P)_r$ is totally projective. Hence G/P is simply presented. Referring now to [11] (see [7], v. II, too), we deduce that $G/P / (G/P)^1 = G/P / P_G^- / P \cong G / P_G^-$ is a direct sum of cycles, where $P_G^- = \bigcap_{i < \omega} (P + p^i G)$ is the closure of P in G . It is a straightforward argument that $p^n P_G^- \subseteq p^\omega G$. Since G is separable, that is $p^\omega G = 0$, we derive that $p^n P_G^- = 0$, so employing once again the Nunke's criterion we are finished. \square

The condition on separability may be avoided if the following strategy is realizable: Since P is bounded, one can write $P = \bigcup_{m < \omega} P_m$, where $P_m \subseteq P_{m+1} \leq P$ with $p^k P_m = 0$ for each $m < \omega$ and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is readily seen that

$P_G^- = \cup_{m < \omega} K_m$, where $K_m = \cap_{i < \omega} (P_m + p^i G)$. The crucial moment is whether we may choose a nice subgroup N of G such that $N \subseteq P_m$ and such that $P_m \cap p^m G \subseteq N$ for each integer $m \geq 1$; thus P/N is strongly bounded in G/N in terms of [2]. Consequently, complying with the modular law from [7], we calculate that $K_m \cap p^m G = \cap_{m \leq i < \omega} (P_m + p^i G) \cap p^m G = \cap_{m \leq i < \omega} (P_m \cap p^m G + p^i G) \subseteq \cap_{m \leq i < \omega} (N + p^i G) = N + \cap_{m \leq i < \omega} p^i G = N + p^\omega G \leq P_G^- [p^n]$. Furthermore, we elementarily observe that $P_G^- / (N + p^\omega G) = \cup_{m < \omega} [K_m / (N + p^\omega G)]$ where, for each $m < \omega$, we compute with the aid of the modular law in [7] and the foregoing calculations that $(K_m / (N + p^\omega G)) \cap p^m (G / (N + p^\omega G)) = [K_m \cap (p^m G + N)] / (N + p^\omega G) = (N + K_m \cap p^m G) / (N + p^\omega G) \subseteq (N + p^\omega G) / (N + p^\omega G) = \{0\}$. Besides, by what we have already shown above, $G / (N + p^\omega G) / P_G^- / (N + p^\omega G) \cong G / P_G^-$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Knowing this, we apply the Dieudonné's criterion from [6] (see also [2]) to deduce that $G / (N + p^\omega G)$ is, in fact, a direct sum of cycles. Hence and from Nunke's criterion in [10], we conclude that G is $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective, as asserted. This completes our conclusions in all generality.

Remark. Actually, $G/P = (G/P)_r$ since $p^{\omega+n}(G/P) = 0$ by seeing that $(G/P)^1 = \cap_{i < \omega} (p^i G + P) / P \subseteq G[p^n] / P$ with $P \leq G[p^n]$ and $G^1 = 0$. However, our approach in the proof gives a more general strategy even for inseparable groups. Nevertheless, this general case is still in question.

A group A is said to be C -decomposable if $A = B \oplus K$, where B is a direct sum of cycles with $\text{fin } r(B) = \text{fin } r(A)$.

We also pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture. Suppose G is a group whose subgroup H is C -decomposable and G/H is countable. Then G is C -decomposable.

In closing, we notice that Hill jointly with Megibben have found in ([9], Proposition 1) that if G is a reduced group which possesses a torsion-complete subgroup H such that $G/H \cong \mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$, then G is torsion-complete.

So, we are ready to state the following.

Problem. Suppose G is a group with a subgroup H which belongs to the class \mathcal{K} of Abelian p -groups. If $(G/H)[p]$ is finite, then whether or not G also belongs to \mathcal{K} ?

Investigate with a priority when \mathcal{K} coincides with the class of thick groups, torsion-complete groups, semi-complete groups, quasi-complete groups or pure-complete groups, respectively.

It is worthwhile noticing that according to the main result, stated above, the results from [4] can be improved by dropping off some unnecessary additional limitations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Danchev, P., *Countable extensions of torsion abelian groups*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **41** (3) (2005), 265–272.
- [2] Danchev, P., *Generalized Dieudonné criterion*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. **74** (1) (2005), 15–26.

- [3] Danchev, P., *A note on the countable extensions of separable $p^{\omega+n}$ -projective abelian p -groups*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **42** (3) (2006), 251–254.
- [4] Danchev, P., *On countable extensions of primary abelian groups*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **43** (1) (2007), 61–66.
- [5] Danchev, P., Keef, P., *Generalized Wallace theorems*, Math. Scand. (to appear).
- [6] Dieudonné, J., *Sur les p -groupes abéliens infinis*, Portugal. Math. **11** (1) (1952), 1–5.
- [7] Fuchs, L., *Infinite Abelian Groups, I, II*, Mir, Moskva, 1974 and 1977, (in Russian).
- [8] Fuchs, L., *Subfree valued vector spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **616** (1977), 158–167.
- [9] Hill, P., Megibben, C., *Extensions of torsion-complete groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (2) (1974), 259–262.
- [10] Nunke, R., *Topics in Abelian Groups*, ch. Purity and subfunctors of the identity, pp. 121–171, Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, 1963.
- [11] Nunke, R., *Homology and direct sums of countable abelian groups*, Math. Z. **101** (3) (1967), 182–212.
- [12] Wallace, K., *On mixed groups of torsion-free rank one with totally projective primary components*, J. Algebra **17** (4) (1971), 482–488.

13, GENERAL KUTUZOV STREET, BL. 7, FLOOR 2, FLAT 4
4003 PLOVDIV, BULGARIA
E-mail: pvdanchev@yahoo.com