

## RESTRICTED BOOLEAN GROUP RINGS

DINESH UDAR, R.K. SHARMA, AND J.B. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study restricted Boolean rings and group rings. A ring  $R$  is *restricted Boolean* if every proper homomorphic image of  $R$  is boolean. Our main aim is to characterize restricted Boolean group rings. A complete characterization of non-prime restricted Boolean group rings has been obtained. Also in case of prime group rings necessary conditions have been obtained for a group ring to be restricted Boolean. A counterexample is given to show that these conditions are not sufficient.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $R$  will denote an associative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$  and  $G$  a non-trivial group. A ring  $R$  is called *Boolean* if  $r^2 = r$  for all  $r \in R$ . The Jacobson radical of a ring  $R$  is denoted by  $J(R)$ , and  $l(J(R))$ ,  $r(J(R))$  respectively will denote the left, right annihilator of  $J(R)$  in  $R$ . We define that a ring  $R$  is *restricted Boolean* if every proper homomorphic image of  $R$  is Boolean. Clearly every Boolean ring is restricted Boolean, but the converse is not true. For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ , the ring of integers modulo 4. Then  $J(R) = \langle 2 \rangle$  is the only proper ideal of  $R$  and  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . So  $R$  is a restricted Boolean ring, but it is not a Boolean ring. A ring  $R$  is called *clean* if every element of it can be written as a sum of an idempotent and a unit. A ring  $R$  is *neat* if every proper homomorphic image of  $R$  is clean. Since every Boolean ring is clean, the class of restricted Boolean rings is a proper subclass of neat rings. Commutative neat rings were studied by Warren Wm. McGovern [5].

The group ring of a group  $G$  and a ring  $R$  is denoted by  $RG$ . If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\omega H$  will denote the right ideal of  $RG$  generated by  $\{1 - h \mid h \in H\}$ . In particular, if  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  then  $\omega H$  is a two sided ideal of  $RG$  and  $RG/\omega H \cong R(G/H)$ . If  $H = G$ , then  $\omega G$  is the *augmentation ideal* of  $RG$ . It is easy to see that  $\omega G$  is the kernel of the *augmentation map*,  $\omega: RG \rightarrow R$ , where  $\omega(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} r_g$  and  $RG/\omega G \cong R$ . If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $IG$  is an ideal of  $RG$  and  $RG/IG \cong (R/I)G$ . For group ring related results we refer to Connell [2] and Passman [6]; and for ring theory we refer to Lam [4].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 16S34; secondary 20C05, 20C07.

*Key words and phrases*: group rings, restricted Boolean rings, Boolean rings, neat rings, prime group rings.

Received April 27, 2016, revised March 2017. Editor J. Trlifaj.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2017-3-155

It is easy to see that a group ring  $RG$  is Boolean if and only if  $R$  is Boolean and  $G$  is trivial. In this paper our main focus is on the study of restricted Boolean group rings which are not Boolean. Various properties of restricted Boolean group rings have been investigated. We obtain a complete characterization of non-prime restricted Boolean group rings. It is proved that a non-prime group ring  $RG$  is restricted Boolean, but not Boolean if and only if  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G \cong C_2$ , the finite cyclic group of order 2. Certain necessary conditions have been obtained in case of prime restricted Boolean group rings. A counterexample has been given to show that these conditions are not sufficient.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $R$  is a restricted Boolean ring, then any non-trivial prime ideal of  $R$  is maximal.*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a non-trivial prime ideal of  $R$ . So  $R/P$  is prime and Boolean. As a Boolean ring is commutative,  $R/P$  is a commutative prime ring, and hence it is a domain. A Boolean domain is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . So  $P$  is a maximal ideal.  $\square$

The following lemma is easy to verify.

**Lemma 2.2.** *A restricted Boolean ring which is semiprime, but not prime is Boolean.*

The next theorem characterizes commutative restricted Boolean rings.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then  $R$  is restricted Boolean if and only if any one of the following is satisfied:*

- (1) *the ring  $R$  is a field, or*
- (2) *the ring  $R$  is Boolean, or*
- (3)  *$J(R)$  is the only proper ideal of  $R$  and  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .*

**Proof.** First, we assume that  $J(R) = 0$ . Then  $(xR)^2 \neq 0$ , for any  $x(\neq 0) \in R$ . Thus, by assumption,  $R/(xR)^2$  is Boolean. Since  $xR/(xR)^2$  is a nilpotent ideal in the Boolean ring  $R/(xR)^2$ , we must have  $xR = (xR)^2$ . Therefore,  $x \in x^2R$ . Hence  $R$  is von Neumann regular. Now, if  $R$  is prime, then  $R$  is a von Neumann regular domain. Thus,  $R$  is a field. This proves (1). The converse of (1) holds by using the fact that each simple ring has the property that all its proper factors are Boolean. Now if  $R$  is not prime, then by Lemma 2.2,  $R$  is Boolean. This proves the (2).

Now suppose that  $J(R) \neq 0$ . Then  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. So we get that  $J(R) \subseteq I$ , for all non-trivial ideals  $I$  of  $R$ . Thus  $l(J(R)) = r(J(R))$  is a prime ideal. Since  $R$  is not prime, there exists two non-trivial ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of  $R$  such that  $I_1 I_2 = 0$ . Thus we have  $J(R)^2 = 0$ . Therefore,  $l(J(R)) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $l(J(R))$  is maximal. Further by [1, Theorem 5 and Theorem 6],  $l(J(R)) \subseteq R$ . Thus  $l(J(R)) = R$ . Hence,  $J(R)$  is the only proper ideal  $R$  and  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . This proves the (3).

The converse of (2) and (3) is straightforward.  $\square$

We now consider the restricted Boolean group rings.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial group. If  $RG$  is restricted Boolean but not Boolean, then  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G$  is a simple group.*

**Proof.** First we prove that  $R$  is a field with  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Since  $RG$  is restricted Boolean and  $RG/\omega(G) \cong R$ , we get that  $R$  is Boolean. Now, let  $I \neq 0$  be an ideal of  $R$ , then  $(R/I)G$  is Boolean, which implies that  $G = \{1\}$ . But this is not possible, because  $G$  is non-trivial. Thus,  $R$  does not have any non-trivial ideal  $I$ , so  $R$  is simple. And any simple Boolean ring is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus,  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Now Let  $H$  be a non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . So  $R(G/H)$  is Boolean, and thus  $G/H$  is trivial. So  $G$  has no non-trivial normal subgroups. Hence  $G$  is simple. □

**Remark 2.5.** If  $G$  is trivial, then the above Theorem need not hold. For example, if we take  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $G = \{1\}$ , then  $RG$  is restricted Boolean, but not Boolean and  $R \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

The converse of the Theorem 2.4 need not hold.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G$  be an infinite alternating group, i.e.,  $G = Alt_\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is an infinite set and each element of  $G$  moves only finitely many points. Clearly  $G$  is a simple locally finite group and  $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$ . We form the permutation module  $V = \{\sum_{i \in \Omega} a_i i \mid a_i \in R, i \in \Omega, a_i = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } i\}$  for  $RG$ . Now  $V$  has as a  $R$ -basis the elements of  $\Omega$  and  $G$  acts on  $V$  by appropriately permuting this basis. If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are two disjoint permutations in  $G$ , for example, take  $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, i_3)$  and  $\tau = (i_4, i_5, i_6)$ , where  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5$  and  $i_6$  are distinct elements. Then it can be easily seen that  $(\sigma - 1)(\tau - 1) \neq 0$  and  $(\sigma - 1)(\tau - 1)$  belongs to the ideal  $I = Ann_{RG} V$ , but  $(\sigma - 1) \notin I$ . So,  $I$  is a non-trivial proper ideal of  $RG$ . We claim that  $RG/I$  is not Boolean. Because if it would had been so then  $\sigma^2 - \sigma \in I$ , and thus  $\sigma - 1 \in I$ . But as  $\sigma - 1 \notin I$ . Hence  $RG$  is not restricted Boolean.

We characterize, below, non-prime restricted Boolean group rings.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial group. A non-prime group ring  $RG$  is restricted Boolean, but not Boolean if and only if  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G \cong C_2$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $RG$  is restricted Boolean but not Boolean, then by Theorem 2.4,  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G$  is a simple group.

First we show that  $\omega G$  is the only non-zero ideal of  $RG$ . Since  $RG/I$  is Boolean for all nontrivial ideals  $I$  of  $RG$ , so  $g - g^2 \in I$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $(1 - g) \in I$  for all  $g \in G$ . So  $\omega G \subseteq I$  for all nontrivial ideals  $I$  of  $RG$ . But  $\omega G$  is maximal ideal because  $RG/\omega G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $\omega G$  is the only non-zero ideal of  $RG$ .

Since  $RG$  is not a prime ring,  $RG$  has two non-zero two-sided ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  with  $I_1 I_2 = 0$ . From above we have  $I_1 = \omega G$  as well as  $I_2 = \omega G$ . This proves that  $(\omega G)^2 = 0$ . By Connell [2, Theorem 9],  $G$  is a finite 2-group. Since  $G$  is a simple group,  $G \cong C_2$ .

Conversely, let  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G \cong C_2$ . In this case we have  $J(RG) = \omega G$ , and it is the only proper ideal of  $RG$ . Thus  $RG$  is restricted Boolean but not Boolean. □

From the above it can be easily seen that  $\omega G$  is the only non-zero ideal of  $RG$  even if  $RG$  is prime restricted Boolean but not Boolean. Thus, restricted Boolean group rings can be characterized in terms of simple augmentation ideal as follows.

**Corollary 2.8.** *The group ring  $RG$  is restricted Boolean if and only if  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\omega G$  is the only proper ideal of  $RG$ .*

The *FC-subgroup*  $\Delta(G)$  of a group  $G$  is the set of all elements of  $G$  which have finitely many conjugates in  $G$ , i.e.  $\Delta(G) = \{x \in G \mid [G : C_G(x)] < \infty\}$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be an FC group (abelian or finite in particular), then  $RG$  is restricted Boolean but not Boolean if and only if  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G \cong C_2$ .*

**Proof.** We prove that  $RG$  can not be prime. Let us suppose on the contrary that  $RG$  is prime, then by Connell [2, Theorem 8],  $\Delta(G)$  is a torsion free abelian group. By Theorem 2.4,  $G$  is a simple group and also  $G$  is an FC group. Hence, two cases arise either  $G = \Delta(G) = \{1\}$  or  $G = \Delta(G) \neq \{1\}$ . The first case is not possible because  $RG$  is restricted Boolean, but not Boolean. Thus,  $G$  is a non-trivial torsion free abelian group. But there is no simple torsion free abelian group possible. Thus, the second case is also not possible. Hence, our assumption is wrong and  $RG$  is non-prime. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

The following example shows that the above Corollary does not hold when  $G$  is locally finite.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $G$  be a universal locally finite group, then  $G$  is a simple group,  $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$  and  $RG$  is prime ([6, Theorem 9.4.9]). By Passman [6] Corollary 9.4.10,  $\omega G$  is the unique proper ideal of  $RG$ . Since  $RG/\omega G \cong R$ , so  $R$  is the only proper homomorphic image of  $RG$ . Thus  $RG$  is restricted Boolean, but not Boolean as  $G$  is non-trivial. And a universal locally finite group need not be a 2-group ([6, Theorem 9.4.8]).

**Remark 2.11.** A complete characterization has been obtained if  $RG$  is non-prime restricted Boolean. But if we take  $RG$  to be prime then in view of example 2.6, example 2.10 and Corollary 2.8, a characterization of prime restricted Boolean group rings amounts to an old question due to I. Kaplansky [3] that for which groups  $G$  and which fields  $K$  the augmentation ideal  $\omega G$  is the only proper two sided ideal in  $KG$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are extremely thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions, which improved the overall presentation of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brown, B., McCoy, N.H., *The maximal regular ideal of a ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (2) (1950), 165–171.
- [2] Connell, I.G., *On the group ring*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 650–685.

- [3] Kaplansky, I., *Notes on ring theory*, Mimeographed lecture notes. University of Chicago (1965).
- [4] Lam, T.Y., *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, second ed., Springer Verlag New York, 2001.
- [5] McGovern, W.Wm., *Neat rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **205** (2006), 243–265.
- [6] Passman, D.S., *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
DELHI, INDIA

*E-mail:* [dineshudar@yahoo.com](mailto:dineshudar@yahoo.com) [rksharmaitd@gmail.com](mailto:rksharmaitd@gmail.com) [jbsrivas@gmail.com](mailto:jbsrivas@gmail.com)