

## CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF UNIFORMLY STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

M. K. AOUF, R. M. EL-ASHWAH, AND S. M. EL-DEEB

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, convex linear combinations and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the subclass  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  of uniformly starlike and convex functions, we consider integral operators associated with functions in this class. Furthermore partial sums  $f_n(z)$  of functions  $f(z)$  in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  are considered and sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of  $f(z)$  to  $f_n(z)$  and  $f'(z)$  to  $f'_n(z)$  are determined.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $f \in S$  be given by (1.1) and  $g \in S$  be given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_k \geq 0),$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined (as usual) by

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z).$$

Following Goodman ([4] and [5]), Ronning ([9] and [10]) introduced and studied the following subclasses:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic, univalent, uniformly, convolution, partial sums.

(i) A function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class  $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$  of uniformly  $\beta$ -starlike functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ .

(ii) A function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class  $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$  of uniformly  $\beta$ -convex functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ .

It follows from (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$(1.6) \quad f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha, \beta).$$

For  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , we let  $S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  be the subclass of  $S$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) and the functions  $g(z)$  of the form (1.2) and satisfying the analytic criterion:

$$(1.7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

Let  $T$  denote the subclass of  $S$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$(1.8) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0).$$

Further, we define the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  by

$$(1.9) \quad TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) = S_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta) \cap T.$$

We note that:

- (i)  $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = S_p T(\alpha)$  and  $TS_0(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; \alpha, 1) = TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; \alpha, 1) = UCT(\alpha)$ , ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) (see Bharati et al. [3]);
- (ii)  $TS_1(f, \frac{z}{(1-z)}; 0, \beta) = UCT(\beta)$  ( $\beta \geq 0$ ) (see Subramanian et al. [15]);
- (iii)  $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ) (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [6,7]);
- (iv)  $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$  ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ) (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [11]);

- (v)  $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = D(\beta, \alpha, \lambda)$  ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda > -1$ ) (see Shams et al. [14]);
- (vi)  $TS_0(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$  ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, n \in N_0$ ) (see Aouf and Mostafa [2]);
- (vii)  $TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$  ( $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ ) (see Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [8]);
- (viii)  $TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_q^s(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$  (see Ahuja et al. [1]), where

$$(1.10) \quad \Gamma_k = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!}$$

( $\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q; \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, s; q \leq s + 1; q, s \in N_0$ ).

Also we note that

$$(1.11) \quad TS_{\gamma}(f, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{\gamma}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in T : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)z(D^n f(z))' + \gamma z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D^n f(z) + \gamma D^{n+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|, -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in N_0, z \in U \right\}.$$

## 2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

**Theorem 1.** *A function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.8) is in  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

where  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\
& \quad - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \\
& \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\
& \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by  $(1 - \alpha)$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] |a_k| b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

and hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f(z)$  of the form (1.8) to be in the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  is that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 1, we need only to prove the necessity. If  $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  and  $z$  is real, then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} \right|.$$

Letting  $z \rightarrow 1^-$  along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  be defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then*

$$(2.3) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$(2.4) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

### 3. DISTORTION THEOREMS

**Theorem 3.** Let the function  $f(z)$  be defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then for  $|z| = r < 1$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad |f(z)| \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2,$$

provided that  $b_k \geq b_2$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} z^2,$$

at  $z = r$  and  $z = re^{i(2k+1)\pi}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

*Proof.* Since for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2 \leq [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k,$$

using Theorem 2, we have

$$(3.4) \quad (2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha$$

that is, that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2}.$$

From (1.8) and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad |f(z)| \geq r - r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(2 - \alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma)b_2} r^2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

**Theorem 4.** Let the function  $f(z)$  be defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then for  $|z| = r < 1$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \left| f'(z) \right| \geq 1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2} r$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \left| f'(z) \right| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2} r,$$

provided that  $b_k \geq b_2$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). The result is sharp for the function  $f(z)$  given by (3.3).

*Proof.* From Theorem 2 and (3.5), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(2-\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma)b_2}.$$

Since the remaining part of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3, we omit the details.  $\square$

#### 4. CONVEX LINEAR COMBINATIONS

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mu_v \geq 0$  for  $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $\sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \leq 1$ . If the functions  $F_v(z)$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad F_v(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,v} z^k \quad (a_{k,v} \geq 0; v = 1, 2, \dots, l)$$

are in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  for every  $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , then the function  $f(z)$  defined by

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v a_{k,v} \right) z^k$$

is in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$

*Proof.* Since  $F_v(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ , it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] [1+\gamma(k-1)] a_{k,v} b_k \leq 1-\alpha,$$

for every  $v = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] \left( \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v a_{k,v} \right) b_k \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_{k,v} b_k \right) \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) \sum_{v=1}^l \mu_v \leq 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, it follows that  $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . □

**Corollary 2.** *The class  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  is closed under convex linear combinations.*

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f_1(z) = z$  and*

$$(4.3) \quad f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2)$$

for  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if it can be expressed in the form:

$$(4.4) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z),$$

where  $\mu_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \mu_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{1 - \alpha} \\ & \times \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \mu_k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 2,  $f(z) \in TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ .

Conversely, assume that the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.8) belongs to the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then

$$(4.7) \quad a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Setting

$$(4.8) \quad \mu_k = \frac{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] a_k b_k}{1 - \alpha} \quad (k \geq 2)$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k,$$

we can see that  $f(z)$  can be expressed in the form (4.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *The extreme points of the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  are the functions  $f_1(z) = z$  and*

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

## 5. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

**Theorem 7.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is close-to-convex of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) in  $|z| < r_1$ , where*

$$(5.1) \quad r_1 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho)[k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{k(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

*The result is sharp, the extremal function being given by (2.4).*

*Proof.* We must show that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_1,$$

where  $r_1$  is given by (5.1). Indeed we find from the definition (1.8) that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho,$$

if

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k}{1 - \rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1.$$



But, by Theorem 2, (5.2) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho}\right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$(5.3) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{k(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Theorem 7 follows easily from (5.3). □

**Theorem 8.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is starlike of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) in  $|z| < r_2$ , where*

$$(5.4) \quad r_2 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (2.4).

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \text{ for } |z| < r_2,$$

where  $r_2$  is given by (5.4). Indeed we find, again from the definition (1.8) that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho$$

if

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\rho)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq 1.$$

But, by Theorem 2, (5.5) will be true if

$$\frac{(k-\rho) |z|^{k-1}}{(1-\rho)} \leq \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(1-\alpha)}$$

that is, if

$$(5.6) \quad |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\rho) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Theorem 8 follows easily from (5.6). □

**Corollary 4.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is convex of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) in  $|z| < r_3$ , where*

$$(5.7) \quad r_3 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{k(k - \rho)(1 - \alpha)} \right\} \frac{1}{k - 1}.$$

*The result is sharp, with the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (2.4).*

## 6. A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In view of Theorem 2, we see that  $z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k$  is in  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  as long as  $0 \leq d_k \leq a_k$  for all  $k$ . In particular, we have

**Theorem 9.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.8) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  and  $c$  be a real number such that  $c > -1$ . Then the function  $F(z)$  defined by*

$$(6.1) \quad F(z) = \frac{c + 1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1)$$

*also belongs to the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* From the representation (6.1) of  $F(z)$ , it follows that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k,$$

where

$$d_k = \left( \frac{c + 1}{c + k} \right) a_k \leq a_k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

On the other hand, the converse is not true. This leads to a radius of univalence result.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.** *Let the function  $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  ( $a_k \geq 0$ ) be in the class  $TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$ , and let  $c$  be a real number such that  $c > -1$ . Then the function  $f(z)$  given by (6.1) is univalent in  $|z| < R^*$ , where*

$$(6.2) \quad R^* = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(c + 1) [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k - 1)] b_k}{k(c + k)(1 - \alpha)} \right\} \frac{1}{k - 1}.$$

*The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* From (6.1), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c} [z^c F(z)]'}{(c + 1)} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c + k}{c + 1} \right) a_k z^k \quad (c > -1).$$

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 \text{ whenever } |z| < R^*,$$

where  $R^*$  is given by (6.2). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus  $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$  if

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$

But Theorem 2 confirms that

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] a_k b_k}{1 - \alpha} \leq 1.$$

Hence (6.3) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} |z|^{k-1} < \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{(1 - \alpha)},$$

that is, if

$$(6.5) \quad |z| < \left[ \frac{(c+1) [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{k(c+k)(1 - \alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Therefore, the function  $f(z)$  given by (6.1) is univalent in  $|z| < R^*$ . Sharpness of the result follows if we take

$$(6.6) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{(c+k)(1-\alpha)}{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] [1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k (c+1)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

□

### 7. PARTIAL SUMS

Following the earlier works by Silverman [12] and Siliva [13] on partial sums of analytic functions, we consider in this section partial sums of functions in the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of  $f(z)$  to  $f_n(z)$  and  $f'(z)$  to  $f'_n(z)$ .

**Theorem 11.** Define the partial sums  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_n(z)$  by

$$f_1(z) = z \text{ and } f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k, \quad (n \in N \setminus \{1\}).$$

Let  $f(z) \in TS_\gamma(f, g; \alpha, \beta)$  be given by (1.1) and satisfies the condition (2.2) and

$$(7.1) \quad c_k \geq \begin{cases} 1, & k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ c_{n+1}, & k = n+1, n+2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where, for convenience,

$$(7.2) \quad c_k = \frac{[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)][1 + \gamma(k-1)] b_k}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Then

$$(7.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \quad (z \in U; n \in N)$$

and

$$(7.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}}.$$

*Proof.* For the coefficients  $c_k$  given by (7.2) it is not difficult to verify that

$$(7.5) \quad c_{k+1} > c_k > 1.$$

Therefore we have

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1.$$

By setting

$$(7.7) \quad g_1(z) = c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and applying (7.6), we find that

$$(7.8) \quad \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq 1.$$

From the condition (2.2), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|$$

which is equivalent to

$$(7.9) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n (c_k - 1) |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{n+1}) |a_k| \geq 0,$$

which readily yields the assertion (7.3) of Theorem 11. In order to see that

$$(7.10) \quad f(z) = z + \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_{n+1}}$$

gives sharp result, we observe that for  $z = re^{\frac{i\pi}{n}}$  that  $\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^n}{c_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}$  as  $z \rightarrow 1^-$ . Similarly, if we take

$$(7.11) \quad g_2(z) = (1 + c_{n+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and making use of (7.6), we can deduce that

$$(7.12) \quad \left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - (1 - c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.4) of Theorem 11.

The bound in (7.4) is sharp for each  $n \in N$  with the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (7.10). The proof of Theorem 11 is thus complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.** *If  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.2). Then*

$$(7.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}},$$

and

$$(7.14) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1 + c_{n+1}},$$

where  $c_k$  defined by (7.2) and satisfies the condition

$$(7.15) \quad c_k \geq \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k, & \text{if } k = n+1, n+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The results are sharp with the function  $f(z)$  given by (7.10).

*Proof.* By setting

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.16) \quad g(z) &= \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_n(z)} - \left( 1 - \frac{n+1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}} \\
 &= 1 + \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k z^{k-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(7.17) \quad \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1,$$

if

$$(7.18) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq 1,$$

since the left hand side of (7.18) is bounded above by  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k|$  if

$$(7.19) \quad \sum_{k=2}^n (c_k - k) |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left( c_k - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k \right) |a_k| \geq 0$$

and the proof of (7.13) is complete.

To prove the result (7.14), define the function  $g(z)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(z) &= \left( \frac{n+1+c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1+c_{n+1}} \right\} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (7.19), we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1}\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| - \left(1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1}\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \leq 1,$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.14) of Theorem 12.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and N. Magesh. Integral means for uniformly convex and starlike functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8(4):Article 118, 9, 2007.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa. Some properties of a subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients. *Demonstratio Math.*, 41(2):353–370, 2008.
- [3] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham, and A. Swaminathan. On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions. *Tamkang J. Math.*, 28(1):17–32, 1997.
- [4] A. W. Goodman. On uniformly convex functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 56(1):87–92, 1991.
- [5] A. W. Goodman. On uniformly starlike functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 155(2):364–370, 1991.
- [6] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh. A new subclass of uniformly convex functions and a corresponding subclass of starlike functions with fixed second coefficient. *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(4):Article 85, 10 pp. (electronic), 2004.
- [7] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh. Linear operators associated with a subclass of uniformly convex functions. *IJPAMS*, 3(1):113–125, 2006.
- [8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Rosy, and K. Muthunagai. Carlson-Shaffer operator and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions. *Gen. Math.*, 15(4):131–143, 2007.
- [9] F. Rønning. On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sktodowska Sect. A*, 45:117–122 (1992), 1991.
- [10] F. Rønning. Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(1):189–196, 1993.
- [11] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy. Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions. *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)*, 15(2):231–242, 2004.
- [12] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, and J. M. Jahangiri. Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (53-56):2959–2961, 2004.
- [13] H. Silverman. Partial sums of starlike and convex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 209(1):221–227, 1997.
- [14] E. M. Silvia. On partial sums of convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . *Houston J. Math.*, 11(3):397–404, 1985.
- [15] K. G. Subramanian, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, P. Balasubrahmanyam, and H. Silverman. Subclasses of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions. *Math. Japon.*, 42(3):517–522, 1995.

*Received June 28, 2009.*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,  
MANSOURA 33516, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* mkaouf127@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AT DAMIETTA,  
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,  
NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* r.elashwah@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AT DAMIETTA,  
UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA,  
NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com