

**APPLICATIONS OF QUASI-SUBORDINATION OF COEFFICIENTS  
ESTIMATES FOR NEW SUBCLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT  
FUNCTIONS**

W. G. ATSHAN, E. İ. BADAWI, H. Ö. GÜNEY

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of the present paper is to introduce and investigate a new subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk, which are associated with the quasi-subordination. We obtain estimates on the initial coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  of functions in these subclasses. Also several known and new consequences of these results are pointed out.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

*Keywords*: analytic function; univalent function; bi-univalent function; subordination; quasi-subordination.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of analytic functions defined on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized with

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (1)$$

Further, let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of form (1) which are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . We say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $F$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , written as  $f \prec F$ , if and only if  $f(z) = F(w(z))$  for some analytic function  $w$  such that  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec p(z) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec p(z),$$

where  $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ , then we say that  $f$  is starlike function and convex function, respectively. These functions form known classes denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ , respectively.

From Koebe one quarter theorem [10], it is well known that every function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$ , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq 1/4), \quad (2)$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (3)$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  when both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$  given by (1). The functions  $\frac{z}{1-z}$ ,  $-\log(1-z)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  are in the class  $\Sigma$  (see details in [12]). However, the familiar Koebe function is not bi-univalent. Lewin [7] investigated the class of bi-univalent functions  $\Sigma$  and obtained a bound  $|a_2| \leq 1.51$ . Motivated by the work of Lewin [7], Brannan and Clunie [3] conjectured that  $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ . Later Netanyahu [9] proved that  $\max|a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$  for  $f \in \Sigma$ . Brannan and Taha [3] also worked on certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Sigma$  and obtained estimates for their initial coefficients. Various classes of bi-univalent functions were introduced and studied. In recent times, the study of bi-univalent functions gained momentum mainly due to the work of Srivastava et al.[12]. Motivated by this, many researchers (see [3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 14] also the references cited there in) recently investigated several interesting subclasses of the class  $\Sigma$  and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients.

In 1970, the concept of quasi subordination was first defined by Robertson in [11]. Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination were introduced and studied. [2, 5, 6].

For the functions  $f$  and  $\varphi$ , if there exists analytic functions  $h$  and  $w$ , with  $|h(z)| \leq 1, w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that the equality

$$f(z) = h(z)\varphi(w(z))$$

holds, then the function  $f$  is said to be quasi-subordinate to  $\varphi$  demonstrated by

$$f(z) \prec_q \varphi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (4)$$

Especially, preferring  $h(z) \equiv 1$ , the quasi-subordination given in (3) turns into the subordination  $f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ . Thus, the quasi-subordination is a universality of the well known subordination and majorization (see [11]).

Ma and Minda have given a unified treatment of various subclass consisting of starlike and convex functions for either one of the quantities  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  and  $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$  is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. The class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  introduced by Ma and Minda [8] consists of starlike functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and corresponding class  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  of convex functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \varphi(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . For this purpose, they considered  $\varphi$  an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disc  $\mathbb{U}$ , satisfying  $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi'(0) > 0$  and  $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$  is symmetric with the respect to the real axis. The functions in the classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  are called starlike function of Ma-Minda type or convex function of Ma-Minda type respectively. By  $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$ , we denote to bi-starlike function of Ma-Minda type and bi-convex function of Ma-Minda type respectively [1]. In this investigation, we assume that

$$h(z) = A_0 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots, (|h(z)| \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots, (B_1 > 0). \quad (6)$$

In order to derive our main results, we shall need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** ([10]) *If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $|p_i| \leq 2$  for each  $i$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the family of all functions  $p$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , for which*

$$\Re\{p(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In this paper, we will define three subclasses of the function class  $\Sigma$  by method of quasi-subordination and obtain the bounds for the modulus of initial coefficients of the functions in these classes. Some interesting results are also pointed out.

## 2. THE SUBCLASS $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\beta, \varphi)$

**Definition 1.** *A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^{\alpha}(\beta, \varphi)$  if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:*

$$\left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^{\beta} \left[ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(z) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right]^\beta \left[ (1-\alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(w) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U} \quad (8)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (3).

For  $\beta = 0$ , we have the following subclass which was introduced and studied by Goyal and Kummar in [5]. Especially, the case  $h(z) \equiv 1$  was studied by Ali et.al in [1].

**Remark 1.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^\alpha(0, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^\alpha(\varphi)$  if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\left[ (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(z) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\left[ (1-\alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(w) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U} \quad (10)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (3).

For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$ , we have the following subclass which was introduced and studied by Brannan and Clunie et.al in [3].

**Remark 2.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^0(0, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(z) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right] - 1 \prec_q (\varphi(w) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U} \quad (12)$$

where  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (3).

**Theorem 2.** If the function  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^\alpha(\beta, \varphi)$ , then we have

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}}{\sqrt{\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}}, \frac{\sqrt{2}|A_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)| A_0 B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2(B_2 - B_1)|}} \right\} \quad (13)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}{\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{2A_0^2 B_1^3}{[|\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)| A_0 B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2 (B_2 - B_1)]} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} \right\} \quad (14)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $\varphi(z)$  is given by (6).

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^\alpha(\beta, \varphi)$  and  $g = f^{-1}$  given by (3). Then, there exists two analytic functions  $u, v : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$  with  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $|u(z)| < 1$ ,  $|v(w)| < 1$  and a function  $h$  defined by (5) satisfies

$$\left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^\beta \left[ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] - 1 = h(z)(\varphi(u(z)) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right]^\beta \left[ (1 - \alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] - 1 = h(w)(\varphi(v(w)) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (16)$$

Determine the functions  $p(z)$  and  $q(w)$  by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (17)$$

and

$$q(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + \dots \quad (18)$$

Or equivalently,

$$u(z) := \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1 z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right] \quad (19)$$

and

$$v(w) := \frac{q(w) - 1}{q(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ d_1 w + \left( d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \dots \right]. \quad (20)$$

Using (19) and (20) in (15) and (16), respectively, we have

$$\left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^\beta \left[ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] - 1 = h(z) \left( \varphi \left( \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} \right) - 1 \right) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right]^\beta \left[ (1-\alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right] - 1 = h(z) \left( \varphi \left( \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} \right) - 1 \right), \quad w \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (22)$$

Using (5) and (6) in the right hands of the relations (21) and (22), we obtain

$$h(z) \left( \varphi \left( \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 c_1 z + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 c_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 B_2}{4} c_1^2 \right\} z^2 + \dots \quad (23)$$

$$h(z) \left( \varphi \left( \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} \right) - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 d_1 w + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 d_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left( d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 B_2}{4} d_1^2 \right\} w^2 + \dots \quad (24)$$

By equalizing (15), (16) and (24), respectively, we get

$$(1 + \alpha + \beta) a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 c_1, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(2\alpha + \beta + 1) a_3 + \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha)) - (3\alpha + \beta + 1) \right] a_2^2 \\ = \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 c_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 B_2}{4} c_1^2 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and

$$-(1 + \alpha + \beta) a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 d_1, \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ (5\alpha + 3\beta + 3) + \frac{1}{2} (\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha)) \right] a_2^2 - 2(2\alpha + \beta + 1) a_3 \\ = \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 d_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left( d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 B_2}{4} d_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

From (25) and (27), we have

$$c_1 = -d_1, \quad (29)$$

and

$$8(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2 a_2^2 = A_0^2 B_1^2 ((c_1^2 + d_1^2)). \quad (30)$$

By summing (26) and (28) and using  $|c_i| \leq 2, |d_i| \leq 2$ , we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{|A_0| (|B_1| + |B_2 - B_1|)}}{\sqrt{\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}}. \quad (31)$$

Now, by summing (26) and (28) and using  $|c_i| \leq 2, |d_i| \leq 2$  and (30) we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} |A_0| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{[\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)] A_0 B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2 (B_2 - B_1)}}. \quad (32)$$

From (31) and (32), we get the desired inequality (13). Next, for the bound on  $|a_3|$ , by subtracting (28) from (26), we obtain

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{2A_1B_1c_1 + A_0B_1(c_2 - d_2)}{8(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (33)$$

Using (31) with  $|c_i| \leq 2$  and  $|d_i| \leq 2$ , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}{\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (34)$$

Now, using (32) with  $|c_i| \leq 2$  and  $|d_i| \leq 2$ , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2A_0^2B_1^3}{|[\beta(\beta - 1) + 2\beta(1 + \alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)]A_0B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha + \beta)^2(B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (35)$$

From (34) and (35), we get the desired inequality (14).

By putting  $\beta = 0$  in the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{q,\Sigma}^\alpha(\varphi)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}}{\sqrt{2(1 + \alpha)}}, \frac{\sqrt{2}|A_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|[2(1 + \alpha)]A_0B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha)^2(B_2 - B_1)|}} \right\} \quad (36)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}{2(1 + \alpha)} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(2\alpha + \beta + 1)}, \frac{2A_0^2B_1^3}{|[2(1 + \alpha)]A_0B_1^2 - 2(1 + \alpha)^2(B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(2\alpha + \beta + 1)} \right\} \quad (37)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\varphi(z)$  is given by (6).

By putting  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  in the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.** *If the function  $f$  given by (1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$ , then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{|A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}, \frac{|A_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|A_0B_1^2 - (B_2 - B_1)|}} \right\} \quad (38)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ |A_0|(B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|) + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2}, \frac{A_0^2B_1^3}{|A_0B_1^2 - (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{B_1(|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2} \right\} \quad (39)$$

where  $\varphi(z)$  is given by (6).

3. THE SUBCLASS  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^\delta(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$

**Definition 2.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^\delta(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$  if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda f(z)} + \delta z f''(z) - 1 \right] \prec_q (\varphi(z) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{wg'(w)}{(1-\lambda)w + \lambda g(w)} + \delta w g''(w) - 1 \right] \prec_q (\varphi(w) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U} \quad (41)$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (3).

For  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$  we have the subclass  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^0(1, 1, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  given by Remark 2.

**Theorem 5.** If the function  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^\delta(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ , then we have

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma| |A_0| (B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}}{\sqrt{3(1+2\delta) + \lambda(\lambda-3)}}, \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{[3(1+2\delta) + \lambda(\lambda-3)] \gamma A_0 B_1^2 - (2(1+\delta) - \lambda)^2 (B_2 - B_1)}} \right\} \quad (42)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| (B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}{3(1+2\delta) + \lambda(\lambda-3)} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1 (|A_0| + |A_1|)}{3(1+2\delta) - \lambda}, \frac{|\gamma| A_0^2 B_1^3}{|[3(1+2\delta) + \lambda(\lambda-3)] \gamma A_0 B_1^2 - (2(1+\delta) - \lambda)^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1 (|A_0| + |A_1|)}{3(1+2\delta) - \lambda} \right\} \quad (43)$$

where  $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $\varphi(z)$  is given by (6).

*Proof.* Proceedings as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can get the relations as follows:

$$\frac{(2(1+\delta) - \lambda)}{\gamma} a_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 c_1, \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{(3(1+2\delta) - \lambda)}{\gamma} a_3 - \frac{\lambda(2-\lambda)}{\gamma} a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} A_1 B_1 c_1 + \frac{1}{2} A_0 B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{A_0 B_2}{4} c_1^2 \quad (45)$$



and

$$-\frac{(2(1+\delta)-\lambda)}{\gamma}a_2 = \frac{1}{2}A_0B_1d_1, \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{(6(1+2\delta)+\lambda(\lambda-4))}{\gamma}a_2^2 - \frac{(3(1+2\delta)-\lambda)}{\gamma}a_3 = \frac{1}{2}A_1B_1d_1 + \frac{1}{2}A_0B_1\left(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{A_0B_2}{4}d_1^2. \quad (47)$$

From (44) and (46), we have

$$c_1 = -d_1, \quad (48)$$

and

$$8(2(1+\delta)-\lambda)^2a_2^2 = A_0^2B_1^2\gamma^2((c_1^2+d_1^2)). \quad (49)$$

By summing (45) and (47) and using  $|c_i| \leq 2, |d_i| \leq 2$ , we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma||A_0|(B_1+|B_2-B_1|)}}{\sqrt{3(1+2\delta)+\lambda(\lambda-3)}}. \quad (50)$$

Now, by summing (45) and (47) and using  $|c_i| \leq 2, |d_i| \leq 2$  and (49), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2|A_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{[3(1+2\delta)+\lambda(\lambda-3)]\gamma A_0B_1^2 - (2(1+\delta)-\lambda)^2(B_2-B_1)}}. \quad (51)$$

From (50) and (51), we get the desired inequality (42). Next, for the bound on  $|a_3|$ , by subtracting (45) from (47), we obtain

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\gamma(2A_1B_1c_1 + A_0B_1(c_2 - d_2))}{4(3(1+2\delta)-\lambda)}. \quad (52)$$

Using (50) with  $|c_i| \leq 2$  and  $|d_i| \leq 2$ , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||A_0|(B_1+|B_2-B_1|)}{3(1+2\delta)+\lambda(\lambda-3)} + \frac{|\gamma|B_1(|A_0|+|A_1|)}{3(1+2\delta)-\lambda}. \quad (53)$$

Now, using (51) with  $|c_i| \leq 2$  and  $|d_i| \leq 2$ , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|A_0^2B_1^3}{[3(1+2\delta)+\lambda(\lambda-3)]\gamma A_0B_1^2 - (2(1+\delta)-\lambda)^2(B_2-B_1)} + \frac{|\gamma|B_1(|A_0|+|A_1|)}{3(1+2\delta)-\lambda}. \quad (54)$$

From (53) and (54), we get the desired inequality (43).

For  $\delta = 0, \lambda = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$  we have the subclass  $\mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^0(1, 1, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  given by Corollary 4.

4. THE SUBCLASS  $\mathcal{H}_{q,\Sigma}^\sigma(\gamma, \varphi)$

**Definition 3.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{q,\Sigma}^\sigma(\gamma, \varphi)$  if the following quasi-subordination conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{(1-\sigma)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{(1-\sigma)z f'(z) + \sigma f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec_q (\varphi(z) - 1) \quad , z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (55)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{(1-\sigma)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{(1-\sigma)w g'(w) + \sigma g(w)} - 1 \right] \prec_q (\varphi(w) - 1) \quad , w \in \mathbb{U} \quad (56)$$

where  $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (3).

For  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , we have the subclass  $\mathcal{H}_{q,\Sigma}^0(1, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  given by Remark 2.

**Theorem 6.** If the function  $f$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{H}_{q,\Sigma}^\sigma(\gamma, \varphi)$ , then we have

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma| |A_0| (B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}}{\sqrt{|2(3-2\sigma) - (2-\sigma)^2|}}, \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| B_1 \sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|[2(3-2\sigma) - (2-\sigma)^2] \gamma A_0 B_1^2 - (2-\sigma)^2 (B_2 - B_1)|}} \right\} \quad (57)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\gamma| |A_0| (B_1 + |B_2 - B_1|)}{2(3-2\sigma) - (2-\sigma)^2} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1 (|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(3-2\sigma)}, \frac{|\gamma|^2 A_0^2 B_1^3}{|[2(3-2\sigma) - (2-\sigma)^2] \gamma A_0 B_1^2 - (2-\sigma)^2 (B_2 - B_1)|} + \frac{|\gamma| B_1 (|A_0| + |A_1|)}{2(3-2\sigma)} \right\} \quad (58)$$

where  $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  and  $\varphi(z)$  is given by (6).

*Proof.* The proof of theorem is similar to above proofs.

For  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , we obtain the subclass  $\mathcal{H}_{q,\Sigma}^0(1, \varphi) = \mathcal{S}_{q,\Sigma}^*(\varphi)$  given by Corollary 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, and S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25(3), (2012), 344-351.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, S. Owa, Majorizations and Quasi-Subordinations for Certain Analytic Functions, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 68, Ser. A (1992), 181-185.
- [3] D. A. Brannan, J. Clunie: *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, New York (1980).
- [4] B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24(9)(2011) 1569–1573.
- [5] S. P. Goyal, and R. Kumar, Coefficient estimates and quasi-subordination properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 65(3), (2015), 533–544.
- [6] S. Kant, Coefficients estimate for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with quasi-Subordination, *Journal of Fractional and Applications*, vol. 9(1), (2018), 195-203.
- [7] M. Lewin: *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 18(1967) 63-68.
- [8] W.C. Ma, and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, 1992*, vol. I of *Lecture Notes for Analysis*, International Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, (1994), 157–169.
- [9] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in  $|z| < 1$ , *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 32, (1969), 100 – 112
- [10] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck - Ruprecht, Gottingen, Germany.(1975).
- [11] M. S. Robertson, Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjecture, *Bull.Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76, (1970), 1–9.
- [12] H. M.Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23(2010) 1188–1192.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Hohlov operator, *Global J. Math. Anal.* 1(2013), no. 2, 67–73.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, S.Bulut, M.Cagler and N. Yagmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat.* 27(2013), 831–842.

Waggas Galib Atshan  
Department of Mathematics,,  
College of Science,  
University of Al-Qadisiyah,,  
Diwaniyah, Iraq  
email: *waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq*

Elaf İbrahim Badawi  
Department of Mathematics,,  
College of Science,  
University of Al-Qadisiyah,,  
Diwaniyah, Iraq  
email: *elafibraheem911994@gmail.com*

Hatun Özlem Güney  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Dicle University,  
21280 Diyarbakır, Turkey  
email: *ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr*