

**A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH  
THE HURWITZ - LERCH ZETA FUNCTION**

SHAHRAM NAJAFZADEH AND ELNAZ PEZESHKI

ABSTRACT. Making use of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, we introduce a new class of analytic functions  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  defined in the open unit disc, and investigate its various characteristics. Further we obtained distortion bounds, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1}$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open disc  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ . For functions  $f \in A$  given by (1) and  $g \in A$  given by  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ , we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{2}$$

We now recall a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  (cf., e.g., [18]) defined by

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+a)^s} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\mathbb{Z}_0^-\}; s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 1 \text{ and } |z| = 1) \tag{3}$$

where, as usual,

$$\mathbb{Z}_0^- := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\mathbb{N}\}, \quad (\mathbb{Z} := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}); \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} .$$

Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  can be found in the recent investigations by Choi and Srivastava [4], Ferreira and Lopez [5], Garg et al. [7], Lin and Srivastava [11], Lin et al. [12], and others. In 2007, Srivastava and Attiya [17] (see also Riaducanu and Srivastava [14], Prajapat and Goyal [13]) introduced and investigated the linear operator:

$$J_{\mu,b} : A \rightarrow A$$

defined, in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), by

$$J_{\mu,b}f(z) = g_{\mu,b} * f(z), \tag{4}$$

( $z \in \mathbb{U}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\mathbb{Z}_0^-\}; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; f \in A$ ), where, for convenience,

$$g_{\mu,b}(z) := (1 + b)^\mu [\Phi(z, \mu, b) - b^{-\mu}] \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5}$$

We recall here the following relationships (given earlier in [13, 14]) which follow easily by using (1), (4) and (5)

$$J_{\mu,b}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^k, \tag{6}$$

where

$$C_k(b, \mu) = \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^\mu, \tag{7}$$

and (throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters  $\mu$  and  $b$  are constrained as  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\mathbb{Z}_0^-\}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(1) For  $\mu = 0$ ,

$$J_{0,b}f(z) := f(z). \tag{8}$$

(2) For  $\mu = 1, b = 0$ ,

$$J_{1,0}f(z) := \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt := L_b f(z). \tag{9}$$

(3) For  $\mu = 1$  and  $b = \nu$  ( $\nu > -1$ ),

$$J_{1,\nu}f(z) := \frac{1+\nu}{z^\nu} \int_0^z t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\nu}{k+\nu}\right) a_k z^k := F_\nu f(z). \tag{10}$$

(4) For  $\mu = \sigma$  ( $\sigma > 0$ ) and  $b = 1$

$$J_{\sigma,1}f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k := J^\sigma f(z), \tag{11}$$

where  $L_b(f)$  and  $F_\nu$  are the integral operators introduced by Alexander [1] and Bernardi [3], respectively, and  $j^\sigma(f)$  is the Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [9] closely related to some multiplier transformations studied by Flett [6]. Making use of the operator  $J_{\mu,b}$  we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients, and discuss some standard properties of geometric function theory in relation to this generalized class. For  $\lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , we let  $P(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  be the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the inequality

$$\left| \frac{J_{\mu,b,\lambda} f(z) - 1}{2\gamma(J_{\mu}^{b,\lambda} f(z) - \alpha) - (J_{\mu}^{b,\lambda} f(z) - 1)} \right| < \beta, \tag{12}$$

where

$$J_{\mu}^{b,\lambda} f(z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{J_{\mu,b} f(z)}{z} + \lambda (J_{\mu,b} f(z))', \tag{13}$$

$0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and  $J_{\mu}^{b,\lambda} f(z)$  is given by (6). We further let

$$PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) = P(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \cap T,$$

where

$$T := \{f \in A : f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})\} \tag{14}$$

is a subclass of  $A$  introduced and studied by Silverman [16]. Furthermore, we note that by suitably specializing the values of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\lambda$  the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  and the above subclasses reduce to the various subclasses introduced and studied in the literature, for example see [2,9].

In the following section we obtain coefficient estimates and extreme points for the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

## 2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

**Theorem 1.** Let the function  $f$  be defined by (14). Then  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k - 1)) [1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)| a_k \leq 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha). \tag{15}$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)}{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))} [1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)| z^k, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{16}$$

where  $C_k(b, \mu)$  is defined by (7).

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  satisfies (15). Then for  $|z| < 1$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - 1 \right| - \beta \left| 2\gamma(j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - \alpha) - (j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - 1) \right| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ & \quad - \beta \left| 2\gamma(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1))(2\gamma - 1) C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) |C_k(b, \mu)| a_k - 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) \beta(2\gamma - 1) |C_k(b, \mu)| a_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) [1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)| a_k - 2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha) \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (15). Hence, by the maximum modulus Theorem and (12),  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Conversely, assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - 1}{2\gamma(j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - \alpha) - (j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z) - 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^{k-1}}{2\gamma(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1))(2\gamma - 1) C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ & \leq \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Or, equivalently,

$$Re\left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) |C_k(b, \mu)| a_k z^{k-1}}{2\gamma(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1))(2\gamma - 1) C_k(b, \mu) a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} < \beta. \quad (17)$$

Since  $Re(z) \leq |z|$  for all  $z$ , choose values of  $z$  on the real axis so that  $j_\mu^{b,\lambda} f(z)$  is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (17) and letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  through real values, we obtain the desired inequality (15).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** If  $f(z)$  of the form (14) is in  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  then

$$a_k \leq \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad (18)$$

with equality only for functions of the form (16).

**Theorem 2.** Let

$$f_1(z) = z$$

and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|} z^k, \quad k \geq 2, \quad (19)$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k f_k(z), \quad (20)$$

where  $\omega_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(z)$  can be written as in (20). Then

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|} z^k.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \omega_k \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|} \\ = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k = 1 - \omega_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Conversely, let  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then by using (18), we set

$$\omega_k = \frac{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)} a_k, \quad k \geq 2$$

and  $\omega_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k$ . Then we have  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k f_k(z)$ , and hence this completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

3.DISTORTION BOUNDS

In this section we obtain distortion bounds for the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} r - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|}r^2 &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r + \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|}r^2 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

holds if the sequence  $\{\sigma_k(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)\}_{k=2}^\infty$  is non-decreasing, and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{4\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|}r &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{4\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|}r \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

holds if the sequence  $\{\sigma_k(\lambda, \beta, \gamma)/k\}_{k=2}^\infty$  is non-decreasing, where

$$\sigma_k(\lambda, \beta, \gamma) = (1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)| .$$

The bounds in (21) and (22) are sharp, since the equalities are attained by the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|}z^2, \quad z = \pm r . \tag{23}$$

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k \leq \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|} . \tag{24}$$

Using (14) and (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k . \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 r - r^2 \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|} &\leq |f(z)| \\
 &\leq r + r^2 \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|} .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{25}$$

Hence (21) follows from (25). Further,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{4\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_2(b,\mu)|} .$$

Hence (22) follows from

$$1 - r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k .$$

□

#### 4. RADIUS OF STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

The radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  are given in this section.

**Theorem 4.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (14) belong to the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ , Then  $f(z)$  is close-to-convex of order  $\delta$ , ( $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ) in the disc  $|z| < R_1$ , where

$$R_1 := \inf_{k \geq 2} \left[ \frac{(1-\delta)(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]|C_k(b,\mu)|}{2k\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{26}$$

The result is sharp, with extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (19).

*Proof.* Given  $f \in T$  and  $f$  is close-to-convex of order  $\delta$ , we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 - \delta . \tag{27}$$

For the left hand side of (27) we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1} .$$

The last expression is less than  $1 - \delta$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k}{1 - \delta} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1 .$$

Using the fact that  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)]a_k |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} \leq 1,$$

So (27) is true if

$$\frac{k}{1 - \delta} |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} .$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{k-1} \leq \left[ \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2k\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} \right],$$

which completes the proof. □

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then

(1)  $f$  is starlike of order  $\delta$ , ( $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ), in the disc  $|z| < R_2$ , where

$$R_2 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(k - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

(2)  $f$  is convex of order  $\delta$ , ( $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ), in the disc  $|z| < R_3$ , that is where

$$R_3 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)k(k - \delta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} .$$

Each of these results is sharp for the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (19).

*Proof.* (1) Given  $f \in T$  and  $f$  starlike of order  $\delta$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \delta . \tag{28}$$

For the left hand side of (28) we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}} .$$



The last expression is less than  $1 - \delta$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k - \delta}{1 - \delta} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1 .$$

Using the fact that  $f \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] a_k |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} < 1,$$

we can say (28) is true if

$$\frac{k - \delta}{1 - \delta} |z|^{k-1} < \frac{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} .$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{k-1} < \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)] |C_k(b, \mu)|}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(k - \delta)}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

(2) Using the fact that  $f$  is convex if and only if  $zf'$  is starlike, we can prove (2) on lines similar to the proof of (1). □

### 5. NEIGHBORHOOD PROPERTY

In this section we study neighborhood property for functions in the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Definition.** For functions  $f$  belong to  $P(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  of the form (1) and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , we define  $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of  $f$  by

$$N_{\gamma}^{\eta}(f) = \{g(z) \in P(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) : g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k| \leq \gamma\},$$

where  $\eta$  is a fixed positive integer.

By using the following lemmas we will investigate the  $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of functions in  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $p \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq \theta < 1$ . if  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  satisfies

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} |b_k| \leq \frac{2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)}{1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1)},$$

then  $g(z) \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* By using Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda(k - 1))[1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1)]}{2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} \left(\frac{\rho + 1}{\rho + k}\right)^\mu = \frac{k^{\rho+1}}{2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} (1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1)) .$$

But

$$\frac{[1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1)]}{2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} \left(\frac{\rho + 1}{\rho + k}\right)^\mu \leq \frac{k^{\rho+1}}{2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} [1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1)] .$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$Q(k, \rho) = \frac{\left(\frac{\rho+1}{\rho+k}\right)^\mu}{k^{\rho+1}} \leq 1 .$$

The result follows because the last inequality holds for all  $k \geq 2$ . □

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . If  $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} a_k \leq \frac{2^{\rho+1} [2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 + \epsilon)]}{(1 + \lambda)[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)]} \left(\frac{b + 2}{b + 1}\right)^\mu$$

where either  $\rho = 0$  and  $b \geq 0$  or  $\rho = 1$  and  $1 \leq b \leq 2$ . The result is sharp with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 + \epsilon)}{(1 + \lambda)[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)]} \left(\frac{b + 2}{b + 1}\right)^\mu z^2, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) .$$

*Proof.* Letting  $g(z) = \frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon}$  we have

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{1 + \epsilon} z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) .$$

In view of Theorem 2,  $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k g_k(z)$  where  $\omega_k \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_k = 1$ ,

$$g_1(z) = z$$

and

$$g_k(z) = z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+k}{b+1}\right)^\mu z^k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \omega_1 g_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k \left[ z - \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+k}{b+1}\right)^\mu z^k \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k \left[ \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+k}{b+1}\right)^\mu \right] z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \omega_k \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\rho+1} a_k \leq 2^{\rho+1} \left[ \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+k}{b+1}\right)^\mu \right].$$

Since whenever  $\rho = 0$  and  $b \geq 0$  or  $\rho = 1$  and  $1 \leq b \leq 2$  we conclude

$$W(k, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \epsilon, b, \mu) = k^{\rho+1} \left[ \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda(k-1))[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+k}{b+1}\right)^\mu \right],$$

is a decreasing function of  $k$ , the result will follow. So the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Let either  $\rho = 0$  and  $b \geq 0$  or  $\rho = 1$  and  $1 \leq b \leq 2$ . Suppose  $-1 \leq \beta < 1$ , and

$$-1 \leq \theta < \frac{[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)](1+\lambda)(b+1)^\mu - 2^{\eta+1}[2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)(b+2)^\mu]}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)](b+1)^\mu},$$

$f(z) \in T$  and  $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then the  $\eta - \gamma$ -neighborhood of  $f$  is the subset of  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ , where

$$\gamma = \frac{[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]2\theta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\lambda)(b+1)^\mu - 2^{\eta+1}[2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)(b+2)^\mu(1+\theta(2\gamma-1))]}{(1+\theta(2\gamma-1))(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)](b+1)^\mu}.$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* For  $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k$ , let  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  be in  $N_\gamma^\eta(f)$ . So by Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |b_k| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\eta+1} |a_k - b_k - a_k|$$

$$\leq \gamma + 2^{\eta+1} \left[ \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda)[1+(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+2}{b+1}\right)^\mu \right]$$

By using Lemma 2,  $g(z) \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  if

$$\gamma + 2^{\eta+1} \left[ \frac{2\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\epsilon)}{(1+\lambda)[1+\beta(2\gamma-1)]} \left(\frac{b+2}{b+1}\right)^\mu \right] \leq \frac{2\theta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{1+\theta(2\gamma-1)}.$$

That is,  $\gamma \leq$

$$\frac{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)2\theta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 + \lambda)(b + 1)^\mu - 2^{k+1}[2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 + \epsilon)(b + 2)^\mu(1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1))]}{(1 + \lambda)[1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)](b + 1)^\mu(1 + \theta(2\gamma - 1))}$$

and the proof is complete. □

### 6. PARTIAL SUMS

In last section we verify some properties of partial sums of functions in the class  $PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f(z) \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$  and define the partial sums  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_n(z)$  by

$$f_1(z) = z$$

and

$$f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1) \tag{29}$$

If

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1, \tag{30}$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{[1 + \lambda(k - 1)][1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)]}{2\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)} \left(\frac{b + 1}{b + k}\right)^\mu. \tag{31}$$

Then  $f_k(z) \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Moreover

$$Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)}\right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{32}$$

$$Re\left\{\frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \tag{33}$$

*Proof.* It is easy to show that  $f_1(z) = z \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . So by TLemma 2, and condition (30), we have  $N_1^n(z) \subset PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ , so  $f_k \in PT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ . Next, for the coefficient  $c_k$  it is easy to show that

$$c_{k+1} > c_k > 1 .$$

Therefore by using (30) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k |a_k| \leq 1. \tag{34}$$

By putting

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(z) &= c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}}\right) \right\} = 1 + c_{n+1} \left( \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + c_{n+1} \left( \frac{z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k}{z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k} - 1 \right) = 1 + c_{n+1} \left( \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}} - 1 \right) \\ &= 1 + c_{n+1} \left[ \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} - 1 - \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}} \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (34), for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h_1(z) - 1}{h_1(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}} + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (32). Similarly, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(z) &= \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} (1 + c_{n+1}) \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1})}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (34) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{h_2(z) - 1}{h_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which yields the condition (33). So the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is obtained from the project with the same title which was approved by the support of Payame Noor Univeresity.

#### References

- [1] Alexander J.W , *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. of Math. 17, 1915, 12-22.
- [2] Altintas O., *A subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Hacettepe Univ. Bull. Nat. Sciences & Engineering 19, 1990, 15- 24.
- [3] Bernardi, S.D., *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 1969, 429-446.
- [4] Choi, J. and Srivastava, H. M., *Certain families of series associated with the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function*, Appl. Math. Comput. 170, 2005, 399 - 409.
- [5] Ferreira, C. and Lopez, J. L., *Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 298, 2004, 210 - 224.
- [6] Flett, T. M., *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38, 1972, 746 - 765.
- [7] Garg, M., Jain, K. and Srivastava, H. M., *Some relationships between the generalized Apostol - Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 17, 2006, 803 - 815.
- [8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *A subclass of analytic functions associated with the hurwitz- lerch zeta function*, Volume 39(2), 2010, 265- 272.
- [9] Jung, I. B., Kim, Y. C. and Srivastava, H. M., *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one - parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176, 1993, 138 - 147.

- [10] Owa, S. and Lee, S. K., *Certain generalized class of analytic functions with negative coefficient*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 82, 1990, 284 - 289.
- [11] Lin, S. -D. and Srivastava, H. M., *Some families of the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta functions and associated fractional derivative and other integral representations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 154, 2004, 725 - 733.
- [12] Lin, S. D., Srivastava , H. M. and Wang, P. - Y., *Some expansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta functions*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 17, 2006, 817 - 827.
- [13] Prajapat, J. K. and Goyal, S. P., *Applications of Srivastava - Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions*, J. Math. Inequal. 3, 2009, 129 - 137.
- [14] Riaducanu, D. and Srivastava, H. M., *A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 18, 2007, 933 - 943.
- [15] Sh.Najafzadeh and Ali Ebadian, *Neighborhood and Partial sum property for univalent holomorphic functions in terms of komatu operators* No, 19, 2009.
- [16] Silverman, H., *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51, 1975, 109 - 116.
- [17] Srivastava, H. M. and Attiya, A. A., *An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct. 18, 2007, 207 - 216.
- [18] Srivastava, H. M. and choi, J., *Series associated with the Zeta and related functions*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2001.

Shahram Najafzadeh and Elnaz Pezeshki  
Department of Mathematics  
Payam Noor University  
Iran  
email: najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie, elnaz \_pezeshki@yahoo.com