

## A RESULT ON THE EXISTENCE OF CRITICAL POINTS

by  
**Gabriela Clara Crişan**

**Abstract :** The main purpose of this paper is to present a short review on two variants of the so called three critical points theorem. The first variant was given in the context of Finsler manifolds in the paper [2] and the second one is presented in under some locally linking hypotheses.

**2001 Mathematics Subject Classifications :** 58E05

### 1. Preliminaries on the existence of critical points

Let  $M$  be a  $C^1$  Banach manifold without boundary ( $\partial M = \emptyset$ ) and let  $T(M)$  be the total space of tangent bundle of  $M$ . A continuous function

$\|\cdot\| : T(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a Finsler structure on  $T(M)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For each  $x \in M$ , the restriction  $\|\cdot\|_x = \|\cdot\| / T_x(M)$  is an equivalent norm on  $T_x(M)$  ;

(ii) For each  $x_0 \in M$ , and  $k > 1$ , there is a trivializing neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} \|\cdot\|_x \leq \|\cdot\|_{x_0} \leq k \|\cdot\|_x$  for all  $x \in U$ .

$M$  is said to be a Finsler manifold if it is regular (as a topological space) and if it has a Finsler structure on  $T(M)$ .

It is known that every paracompact  $C^1$ -Banach manifold admits Finsler structures on its tangent bundle and that every  $C^1$ -Riemannian manifold is a Finsler manifold.

Suppose that  $M$  is connected. For  $x, y \in M$  define  $\Omega(x, y) = \{ \sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, C^1 \text{ such that } \sigma(0) = x, \sigma(1) = y \}$ . The length of curve  $\sigma \in \Omega(x, y)$  is given by

$$l(\sigma) = \int_0^1 \left\| \sigma'(t) \right\|_{\sigma(t)} dt. \quad (1)$$

Consider the Finsler metric on  $M$  defined as follows

$$d_F(x, y) = \inf \{l(\sigma) : \sigma \in \Omega(x, y)\} . \quad (2)$$

The pair  $(M, d_F)$  is a metric space and the induced topology is equivalent to the topology of the manifold of  $M$  (see K.Deimling [4]).

To a given Finsler structure on  $T(M)$  there correspond a dual structure on the cotangent bundle  $T^*(M)$  given by

$$\|\mu\| = \sup \{ \mu(x) : \|x\|_p = 1 \} , \mu \in T^*(M) . \quad (3)$$

Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -differentiable mapping .A locally Lipschitz continuous vector field  $v : M \rightarrow T(M)$  such that for each  $x \in M$  the following relations are satisfied: ( i )  $\|v_x\| \leq 2\|(df)_x\|$

$$(ii) (df)_x(v_x) \geq \|(df)_x\|^2$$

where  $\|(df)_x\|$  is given by Finsler structure on  $T_x^*(M)$ , is called a pseudogradient vector field of  $f$  ( in short p.g.f. of  $f$  ) .

If  $M$  is a  $C^2$ -Finsler manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow R$  is a  $C^1$ -differentiable mapping, then  $\nabla(f) \neq \emptyset$ , where

$$\nabla(f) = \{v \in X(M) : v \text{ is p.g.f. of } f \} . \quad (4)$$

Let us note that if  $M$  is a Hilbert manifold with the Riemannian structure  $\|\cdot\|$ , the norms  $\|\cdot\|_x$  come from inner product by  $\|\cdot\|_x = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x^{1/2}$ , and we can define a p.g.f. of  $f$  by  $p \mapsto (\text{grad } f)(p)$ , where  $(\text{grad } f)(p)$  is given via Riesz representation theorem by

$$(df)_p(X) = \langle X, (\text{grad } f)(p) \rangle_p , \forall X \in T_p(M) .$$

Let  $M$  be a  $C^2$ -Finsler manifold , connected and without boundary . For a  $C^1$ -differentiable real-valued function  $f : M \rightarrow R$ , let us define by

$$C(f) = \{p \in M : (df)_p = 0\} \quad (5)$$

the critical set of  $f$  and by  $B(f) = f(C(f))$  the bifurcation set of  $f$  . The elements of  $C(f)$  are called the critical points of  $f$  and the elements of  $B(f)$  represent its critical values . If  $p \notin C(f)$ ,  $s \notin B(f)$ , then  $p$  is a regular point and  $s$  is regular value of the mapping  $f$  .

For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  denote by  $C_s(f) = C(f) \cap f^{-1}(s)$ , the critical point set of  $f$  at the level  $s$ . It is obvious that  $s$  a regular value of  $f$  if and only if  $C_s(f) = \emptyset$ . We also consider the set  $f^s := M_s(f) = f^{-1}((-\infty, s])$ .

It is well-known that if  $s \notin B(f)$  then  $f^{-1}(s)$  is  $\emptyset$  or a differentiable submanifold of  $M$ , of codimension 1, and  $M_s(f)$  is a differentiable submanifold with boundary of  $M$ , of codimension 0, and  $\partial M_s(f) = f^{-1}(s)$ .

Suppose that the manifold  $M$  and the mapping  $f$  satisfy the following hypotheses:

- (a) (Completeness)  $(M, d_F)$  is a complete metric space, where  $d_F$  represents the Finsler metric on  $M$  defined by (2).
- (b) (Boundedness from below) If  $B = \inf \{f(x) : x \in M\}$  then  $B > -\infty$ .
- (c) (The Palais-Smale condition) Any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$  in  $M$  with the properties that  $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 0}$  is bounded and  $\|(df)_{x_n}\| \rightarrow 0$  has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 0}$ , with  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p$ .

The above conditions (a)-(c) are sometimes called compactness conditions because if  $M$  is a compact manifold they are automatically verified. It is clear that the point  $p$ , which appears in condition (c) of Palais-Smale, is a critical point of  $f$ ,  $p \in C(f)$ .

Let  $v \in \nabla(f)$  be a p.g.f. of  $f$  and let  $x \in M$  be a fixed point. Because  $v$  is locally Lipschitz the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\varphi}(t) = -v_{\varphi(t)} \\ \varphi(0) = x \end{cases} \quad (6),$$

has a unique maximal solution  $\varphi^v : (\omega_-^v(x), \omega_+^v(x)) \rightarrow M$ , where  $\omega_-^v(x) < 0 < \omega_+^v(x)$ . Denote by  $\varphi_t^v(x)$  the above solution and by  $t \rightarrow \varphi_t^v(x)$  the corresponding integral curve of (6). Taking into account the hypotheses (a)-(c) it follows that  $\omega_+^v(x) = +\infty$ , i.e.  $\{\varphi_t^v\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  (see K.Deimling [4]).

For a vector  $v \in X(M)$  let us consider the sets  $Z(v) = \{p \in M : v_p = 0\}$ ,  $Fix(\varphi^v) = \{x \in M : \varphi_t^v(x) = x, \forall t \in (\omega_-^v(x), \omega_+^v(x))\}$ . It is easy to see that the following relations hold :

$$C(f) = \bigcap_{v \in \nabla(f)} Z(v) \quad (7)$$

$$C(f) = \bigcap_{v \in \nabla(f)} \text{Fix}(\phi^v) \quad (8)$$

If  $x \notin C(f)$ , then  $f(\phi_t^v(x)) < f(x)$  for  $t > 0$  and  $f(\phi_t^v(x)) > f(x)$  for  $t < 0$

The following three critical points type result was proved in the paper [2].

**Theorem 1:** Let  $M$  be a  $C^2$ -Finsler manifold, connected and without boundary, and let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -differentiable real-valued mapping. Assume that the hypotheses (a)-(c) are satisfied and there exist two local minima points of  $f$ . Then  $f$  possesses at least three distinct critical points.

The following two corollaries are obtained from the above important result.

**Corollary 1:** Let  $M$  be a  $C^2$ -Finsler manifold, connected and without boundary, and let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -differentiable real-valued mapping. Assume that the hypotheses (a)-(c) are satisfied and  $f$  has a local minimum point which is not a global minimum point. Then  $f$  possesses at least three distinct critical points.

**Corollary 2:** Let  $M^m$  be a  $m$ -dimensional  $C^2$ -manifold which is closed (i.e.  $M$  is compact and without boundary) and connected. If  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$ -differentiable real-valued mapping with two local minima points, then  $f$  possesses at least four distinct critical points.

*Remark :* The following example shows that exist smooth functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  having two global minima points and without other critical points,

Hence some supplementary hypotheses are necessary to be imposed. The polynomial function  $f(x, y) = (x^2 - x - 1)^2 + (x^2 - 1)^2$  has global minima at the points (1,2) and (-1,0) and it has no other critical points.

## 2. Existence of critical points under some linking conditions

In what follows we prove the existence of three critical points for a function which is bounded below and has a local linking at 0.

**Definition 1:** Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a direct sum decomposition  $X = X^1 \oplus X^2$ . The function  $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  has a *local linking at 0*, with respect to

the pair of subspaces  $(X^1, X^2)$ , if, for some  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &\geq 0, u \in X^1, \|u\| \leq r, \\ f(u) &\leq 0, u \in X^2, \|u\| \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark:* If mapping  $f$  has a local linking at 0, then 0 is a critical point of  $f$ .

Consider two sequences of subspaces:

$X_0^1 \subset X_1^1 \subset \dots \in X^1, X_0^2 \subset X_1^2 \subset \dots \subset X^2$ , such that  $X^j = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n^j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

For every multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , we denote by  $X_\alpha$  the space  $X_{\alpha_1}^1 \oplus X_{\alpha_2}^2$ .

Let us recall that  $\alpha \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2$ .

We say that a sequence  $(\alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is *admissible* if, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$  there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq m \Rightarrow \alpha_n \geq \alpha$ .

For every  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $f_\alpha$  the function  $f$  restricted to  $X_\alpha$ .

We shall use the following compactness conditions.

**Definition 2:** Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ . The function  $f$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c^*$  condition if every sequence  $(u_{\alpha_n})$  such that  $(\alpha_n)$  is admissible and  $u_{\alpha_n} \in X_{\alpha_n}, f(u_{\alpha_n}) \rightarrow c, f'_{\alpha_n}(u_{\alpha_n}) \rightarrow 0$ , contains a subsequence which converges to a critical point of  $f$ .

**Definition 3:** Let  $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ . The function  $f$  satisfied the  $(PS)^*$  condition if every sequence  $(u_{\alpha_n})$  such that  $(\alpha_n)$  is admissible and  $u_{\alpha_n} \in X_{\alpha_n}, \sup f(u_{\alpha_n}) \rightarrow \infty, f'_{\alpha_n}(u_{\alpha_n}) \rightarrow 0$ , contains a subsequence which converges to a critical point of  $f$ .

*Remarks:* 1) When  $X_n^1 := X, X_n^2 := \{0\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $(PS)_c^*$  conditions is a usual Palais-Smale conditions at the level  $c$ .

2) The  $(PS)^*$  conditions implies the  $(PS)_c^*$  conditions for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us recall some standard notations in this context:

$$\begin{aligned} S_\delta &= \{u \in X : \text{dist}(u, S) \leq \delta\}, \\ f^c &= \{u \in X : f(u) \leq c\}, \\ K_c &= \{u \in X : f(u) = c, f'(u) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1:** Let  $f$  be a function of class  $C^1$  defined on a real Banach space  $X$ . Let  $S \subset X, \varepsilon, \delta > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that, for every  $u \in f^{-1}([c - 2\varepsilon, c + 2\varepsilon]) \cap S_{2\delta}$ , the following inequality holds

$$\|f'(u)\| \geq 4\varepsilon / \delta,$$

then there exists  $\eta \in C([0,1] \times X, X)$  such that

- (i)  $\eta(0, u) = u, \forall u \in X,$
- (ii)  $f(\eta(\cdot, u))$  is non increasing,  $\forall u \in X,$
- (iii)  $f(\eta(t, u)) < c, \forall t \in ]0, 1], \forall u \in f^c \cap S,$
- (iv)  $\eta(1, f^{c+\varepsilon} \cap S) \subset f^{c-\varepsilon},$
- (v)  $\|\eta(t, u) - u\| \leq \delta, \forall t \in [0, 1], \forall u \in X.$

**Definition 4:** Let  $A, B$  be closed subsets of  $X$ . By definition,  $A \prec^\infty B$  if there is  $\beta \in N^2$  such that, for every  $\alpha \geq \beta$  there exist  $\eta_\alpha \in C([0,1] \times X_\alpha, X_\alpha)$  such that

- (i)  $\eta(0, u) = u, \forall u \in X_\alpha,$
- (ii)  $\eta(1, u) \in B, \forall u \in A \cap X_\alpha.$

**Lemma 2** Let  $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}, \rho > 0$ . Let  $N$  be an open neighborhood of  $K_c$ . Assume that  $f$  satisfies  $(PS)_c^*$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough,  $f^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N \prec^\infty f^{c-\varepsilon}$ . Moreover the corresponding deformations  $\eta_\alpha : [0,1] \times X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$  satisfy

$$\|\eta_\alpha(t, u) - u\| \leq \rho, \forall t \in [0,1], \forall u \in X_\alpha, \quad (9)$$

$$f(\eta_\alpha(t, u)) < c, \forall t \in ]0, 1], \forall u \in f_\alpha^c \setminus N. \quad (10)$$

**Proof** The condition  $(PS)_c^*$  implies the existence of  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\beta \in N^2$  such that, for every  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $u \in f_\alpha^{-1}([c - 2\gamma, c + 2\gamma]) \cap (X_\alpha \setminus N)_{2\gamma}, \|f'_\alpha(u)\| \geq \gamma.$

It suffices then to choose  $\delta := \min\{\gamma/2, \rho, 4\}, 0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta\gamma/4$  and to apply Lemma 1 to  $S := X_\alpha \setminus N.$

**Lemma 3** Let  $f \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  be bounded below and let  $d := \inf_X f$ . If  $(PS)_d^*$  holds then  $d$  is a critical value of  $f$ .

**Proof.** If  $d$  is not a critical value of  $f$ , then, by Lemma 2, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f^{d+\varepsilon} \prec^\infty f^{d-\varepsilon}. \quad (11)$$

From the definition of  $d$ ,  $f_\alpha^{d-\varepsilon}$  is empty for all  $\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha^{d+\varepsilon}$  is non-empty for  $\alpha$  large enough. This contradicts (11).

**Lemma 4:** *Let  $f \in C^1(X, R)$  be bounded below. If  $(PS)_c^*$  holds for all  $c \in R$ , then  $f$  is coercive.*

**Proof.** If  $f$  bounded below and not coercive then  $c := \sup\{d \in R : f^d \text{ is bounded}\}$  is finite. It is easy to verify that  $K_c$  is bounded. Let  $N$  be an open bounded neighborhood of  $K_c$ . By Lemma2, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N \prec^\infty f^{c-\varepsilon}. \quad (12)$$

Moreover we can assume that the corresponding deformations satisfy (9) with  $\rho=1$ . It follows from the definition of  $c$  that  $f^{c+\varepsilon/2} \setminus N$  is unbounded and that  $f^{c-\varepsilon} \subset B(0, R)$  for some  $R > 0$ . It follows from (9) and (12) that, for all  $\alpha$  large enough,  $f_\alpha^{c+\varepsilon} \setminus N \subset B(0, R+1)$ . But then  $f_\alpha^{c+\varepsilon/2} \setminus N \subset B(0, R+1)$ .

This is a contradiction.

The main result in this section is the following:

**Theorem 2** *Suppose that  $f \in C^1(X, R)$  satisfies the following assumptions*

(A1)  $f$  has a local linking at 0;

(A2)  $f$  satisfies  $(PS)^*$ ;

(A3)  $f$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets;

(A4)  $f$  is bounded from below and  $d := \inf_X f < 0$ .

*Then  $f$  has least three critical points.*

**Proof.** 1) We assume that  $\dim X^1$  and  $\dim X^2$  are positive, since the other cases are similar. By Lemma3,  $f$  achieves its minimum at some point  $v_0$ . Supposing  $K := \{0, v_0\}$  to be the critical set of  $f$ , we will be led to a contradiction. We may suppose that  $r < \|v_0\|/3$  and  $B(v_0, r) \subset f^{d/2}$ . (13)

By assumption (A2) and Lemma2, applied to  $f$  and to  $g := -f$ , there exists  $\varepsilon \in ]0, -d/2[$  such that

$$f^\varepsilon \setminus B(0, r/3) \prec^\infty f^{-\varepsilon}, \quad (14)$$

$$g^\varepsilon \setminus B(0, r/3) \prec^\infty g^{-\varepsilon}, \quad (15)$$

$$f^{d+\varepsilon} \setminus B(v_0, r) \prec^\infty f^{d-\varepsilon} = \emptyset. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, we can assume that the corresponding deformations exists for  $\alpha \geq (m_0, m_0)$  and satisfy (9) with  $\rho = r/2$ . Assumption (A2) implies also the existence of  $m_1 \geq m_0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that, for  $\alpha \geq (m_1, m_1)$ ,

$$u \in f_\alpha^{-1}([d + \varepsilon, -\varepsilon]) \Rightarrow \|f'_\alpha(u)\| \geq \delta. \quad (17)$$

2) Let us write  $\alpha := (n, n)$  where  $n \geq m_1$  is fixed. It follows from (13) and (16) that

$$f_\alpha^{d+\varepsilon} \subset X_\alpha \cap B(v_0, r) \subset f_\alpha^{d/2}. \quad (18)$$

Using (14) and (17), it is easy to construct a deformation  $\sigma : [0, 1] \times S_n^2 \rightarrow X_\alpha$ , where  $S_n^j := \{u \in X_n^j : \|u\| = r\}$ ,  $j=1,2$ , such that

$$f(\sigma(t, u)) < 0, \forall t \in ]0, 1], \forall u \in S_n^2 \text{ and } f(\sigma(1, u)) = d + \varepsilon, \forall u \in S_n^2. \quad (19)$$

By (18) there exists  $\psi \in C(B_n^2, X_\alpha)$ , where  $B_n^j := \{u \in X_n^j : \|u\| \leq r\}$ ,  $j=1,2$  such that  $\psi(u) = \sigma(1, u)$ ,  $u \in S_n^2$ ,

$$\psi(B_n^2) \subset X_\alpha \cap B(v_0, r) \subset f_\alpha^{d/2}. \quad (20)$$

Set  $Q := [0, 1] \times B_n^2$  and define a mapping  $\Phi : \partial Q \rightarrow f_\alpha^0$  by

$$\Phi(t, u) = u, t = 0, u \in B_n^2,$$

$$\Phi(t, u) = \sigma(t, u), 0 < t < 1, u \in S_n^2,$$

$$\Phi(t, u) = \psi(u), t = 1, u \in B_n^2.$$

Lemma 4 implies the existence of  $R > 0$  such that  $f^0 \subset B(0, R)$ .

Hence there is a continuous extensions of  $\Phi$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi} : Q \rightarrow X_\alpha$ , such that

$$\sup_Q f(\tilde{\Phi}) \leq c_0 := \sup_{B(0, R)} f. \quad (21)$$

By assumption (A3),  $c_0$  is finite.

3) Let  $\eta$ , depending on  $\alpha$ , be the deformation given by (15). We claim that  $\Phi(\partial Q)$  and  $S := \eta(1, S_n^1)$  link nontrivially. We have to prove that, for any extension  $\tilde{\Phi} \in C(Q, X_\alpha)$  of  $\Phi$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .

Assume, by contradiction, that

$$\eta(1, u_1) \neq \tilde{\Phi}(t, u_2) \quad (22)$$

for all  $u_1 \in S_n^1, u_2 \in B_n^2, t \in [0, 1]$ . It follows from (15), (19) and (9) that (22) holds for all  $u_1 \in B_n^1, u_2 \in S_n^2, t \in [0, 1]$ . By (20) we obtain (22) for  $t = 1$  and for all

$u_1 \in B_n^1, u_2 \in B_n^2$  . Using homotopy invariance and Kronecker property of the degree , we have

$$\deg(F_0, \Omega, 0) = \deg(F_1, \Omega, 0) = 0 \quad , \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= B_n^1 \times B_n^2, \\ F_t(u) &:= \eta(1, u_1) - \tilde{\Phi}(t, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from (9)

$$\eta(t, u_1) \neq u_2 \quad (24)$$

for all  $u_1 \in B_n^1, u_2 \in S_n^2, t \in [0, 1]$  . It follows from (15) that (24) holds for all  $u_1 \in S_n^1, u_2 \in B_n^2, t \in [0, 1]$  . Let us define on  $[0, 1] \times \Omega$  the map  $G_t(u) := \eta(t, u_1) - u_2$  .

Using (23) and homotopy invariance of the degree , we have  $0 = \deg(G_1, \Omega, 0) = \deg(G_0, \Omega, 0) = \deg(P_n^1 - P_n^2, \Omega, 0) \neq 0$  , a contradiction .

4) Let us define  $c := \inf_{\tilde{\Phi} \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in Q} f(\tilde{\Phi}(u))$  , where

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \tilde{\Phi} \in C(Q, X_\alpha) : \tilde{\Phi}(u) = \Phi(u), \forall u \in \partial Q \right\}$$

It follows from (21) and from the preceding step that  $\varepsilon \leq c \leq c_0$  .

Assumption (A2) implies the existence of  $m_2 \geq m_1$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that , for  $\alpha \geq (m_2, m_2)$  ,

$$u \in f_\alpha^{-1}([\varepsilon, c_0]) \Rightarrow \|f'_\alpha(u)\| \geq \gamma \quad . \quad (25)$$

By the standard minimax argument ,  $c \in [\varepsilon, c_0]$  is a critical value of  $f_\alpha$  , contrary to (25) .

**Corollary3:** Assume that  $f \in C^1(X, R)$  satisfies (A2) and (A3) . If  $f$  has a global minimum and a local maximum then  $f$  has a third critical point

## References

- [1] Andrica , D. , *Critical Point Theory and Some Applications* , University of Ankara , 1993 .
- [2] Andrica , D. , *Note on critical point theorem* , Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai , Mathematica , XXXVIII.2 , 65-73 (1993) .
- [3] Chang , K-C. , *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems* , Birkhäuser , Boston-Basel-Berlin , 1993 .

- [4] Deimling , K. , *Nonlinear Functional Analysis* , Springer-Verlag , 1985 .
- [5] Durfee , A. a.o. , *Counting Critical Points of Real Polynomials in two Variables* , The Amer. Math. Monthly 100 (1993) ,no.3 , 255-271 .
- [6] Palais , R.S. , *Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory on Banach manifolds* , Topology 5 (1966) , 1-16 .
- [7] Palais , R.S. , Terng , C-L. , *Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry* , Springer-Verlag , 1988 .
- [8] Schechter , M. , *Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory* , Birkhäuser , Boston-Basel-Berlin , 1999 .

**Author:**

Crişan Clara Gabriela  
Crasna High School,  
Salaj, Romania