

DOI: 10.2478/ausm-2020-0012

Fixed points for a pair of weakly compatible mappings satisfying a new type of ϕ - implicit relation in S - metric spaces

Valeriu Popa "Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău, 157 Calea Mărăşeşti, Bacău, 600115, Romania email: vpopa@ub.ro Alina-Mihaela Patriciu "Dunărea de Jos" University of Galați, Faculty of Sciences and Environment, Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, 111 Domnească Street, Galați, 800201, Romania email: Alina.Patriciu@ugal.ro

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new type of ϕ -implicit relation in S - metric spaces and to prove a general fixed point for a pair of weakly compatible mappings, which generalize Theorems 1, 2, 4 [23], Theorems 1-7 [13], Corollary 2.19 [13], Theorems 2.2, 2.4 [19], Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 [20] and other known results.

1 Introduction

Let X be a nonempty set and $f, g: X \to X$ two self mappings. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a coincidence point of f and g if fx = gx = w. The set of all coincidence points of f and g is denoted C(f, g) and w is said to be a point of coincidence of f and g.

In [8], Jungck defined f and g to be weakly compatible if fgx = gfx, for all $x \in C(f, g)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10

Key words and phrases: S - metric space, fixed point, weakly compatible mappings, φ -implicit relation

The notion of weakly compatible mappings is used to proof the existence of common fixed point for pairs of mappings.

A new class of generalized metric space, named D - metric space, is introduced in [5, 6]. In [11, 12], Mustafa and Sims proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structures on D - metric spaces are incorrect and introduced a new generalized metric spaces, named G - metric space. There exists a vast literature in the study of fixed points in G - metric spaces.

In [10], Mustafa initiated the study of fixed points for weakly compatible mappings in G - metric spaces.

Recently in [22], the authors introduced a new class of generalized metric space, named S - metric space. Quite recently in [7], the authors proved that the notions of G - metric spaces and S - metric space are independent.

Other results in the study of fixed points in S - metric space are obtained in [13, 19, 20, 21] and in other papers. Some results of fixed points for weakly compatible mappings in S - metric spaces are obtained in [23, 2].

In [14, 15], several classical fixed point theorems and common fixed point theorems have been unified considering a general condition by implicit function.

The study of fixed point for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in G -metric spaces is initiated in [16, 17] and in other papers.

The notion of ϕ - maps is introduced in [9]. In [3], Altun and Turkoglu introduced a new class of implicit relation satisfying a ϕ - map.

A general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying ϕ - implicit relations in G - metric spaces is obtained in [18].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new type of ϕ - implicit relation in S - metric spaces and to prove a general fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in S - metric spaces, generalizing Theorems 1, 2, 4 [23], Theorems 1-7 [13], Corollary 2.19 [13], Theorems 2.2, 2.4 [19], Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 [20] and other known results.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([21, 22]) A S - metric on a nonempty set X is a function $S: X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x, y, z, a \in X$:

 $(S_1): S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if x = y = z;

 $(S_2): S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a).$

The pair (X, S) is called a S - metric space.

Example 1 Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|. Then, S(x, y, z) is a S - metric on \mathbb{R} and is named the usual S - metric on X.

Lemma 1 ([4, 5]) If S is a S - metric on a nonempty set X, then

$$S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2 ([22]) Let (X, S) be a S - metric space. For r > 0 and $x \in X$ we define the open ball with center x and radius r, denoted $B_S(x, r)$, respectively closed ball, denoted $\overline{B}_S(x, r)$, the sets:

$$B_{S}(x,r) = \{y \in X : S(x,x,y) < r\},\$$

respectively,

$$\overline{B}_{S}(x,r) = \{y \in X : S(x,x,y) \le r\}$$

The topology induced by S - metric on X is the topology determined by the base of all open balls in X.

Definition 3 ([22]) a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a S - metric space (X, S) is convergent to x, denoted $x_n \to x$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$, if $S(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, that is, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$ we have $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.

b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, S) is a Cauchy sequence if $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$, that is, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \ge n_0$ we have $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

c) A S - metric space (X, S) is complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Example 2 (X, S) by Example 1 is complete.

Lemma 2 ([22]) Let (X, S) be a S - metric space. If $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$, then $S(x_n, x_n, y_n) \to S(x, x, y)$.

Lemma 3 ([22]) Let (X, S) be a S - metric space and $x_n \to x$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ is unique.

Lemma 4 ([4]) Let (X,S) be a S - metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

If $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers with $n_k > m_k > k$ such that

$$S\left(x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k}}, x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k}}, x_{\mathfrak{n}_{k}}\right) \geq \epsilon, \ S\left(x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k-1}}, x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k-1}}, x_{\mathfrak{n}_{k}}\right) < \epsilon$$

and

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) = \varepsilon$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} S\left(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_{k-1}}\right) = \varepsilon$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n\to\infty} S\left(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}\right) = \varepsilon$,
- $(\mathrm{iv}) \ \lim_{n \to \infty} S\left(x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k-1}}, x_{\mathfrak{m}_{k-1}}, x_{\mathfrak{n}_{k-1}}\right) = \epsilon.$

Definition 4 ([9]) Let Φ be the set of all functions such that $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. If $\phi \in \Phi$, then ϕ is called ϕ - mapping. Furthermore, if $\phi \in \Phi$, then:

- (i) $\phi(t) < t$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $\phi(0) = 0$.

The following theorems are recently published in [23].

Theorem 1 (Theorem 1 [23]) Let (X, S) be a S - metric space. Suppose that the mappings $f, g: X \to X$ satisfy

 $S(fx, fy, gz) \le \phi(\max\{S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), S(gz, gz, fz)\})$ (1)

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and one of f(X) or g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence.

Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 2 [23]) Let (X, S) be a S - metric space. Suppose that the mappings $f, g: X \to X$ satisfy

$$S(fx, fy, fz) \le \max\{\phi(S(gx, gx, fx)), \phi(S(gy, gy, fy)), \phi(S(gz, gz, fz))\}$$
(2)

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and one of f(X) or g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point. **Theorem 3 (Theorem 4 [23])** Let (X,S) be a S - metric space. Suppose that the mappings $f, g: X \to X$ satisfy

$$\begin{split} S\left(fx,fy,fz\right) &\leq k_1\varphi\left(S\left(gx,gx,fx\right)\right) + k_2\varphi\left(S\left(gy,gy,fy\right)\right) + k_3\varphi\left(S\left(gz,gz,fz\right)\right) \\ & (3) \end{split}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 < 1$.

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$ and one of f(X) or g(X) is a complete subspace of X, then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 1 1) Since $\phi(t)$ is nondecreasing, then

 $\varphi\left(\max\left\{t_{2},t_{3},t_{4},t_{5},t_{6}\right\}\right)=\max\left\{\varphi\left(t_{2}\right),\varphi\left(t_{3}\right),\varphi\left(t_{4}\right),\varphi\left(t_{5}\right),\varphi\left(t_{6}\right)\right\}.$

Hence, Theorem 2 is Theorem 1.
2) By (3) we obtain

$$\begin{split} S(fx, fy, fz) &\leq (k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \max\{ \phi \left(S(gx, gx, fx) \right), \\ & \phi \left(S(gy, gy, fy) \right), \phi \left(S(gz, gz, fz) \right) \} \\ &= (k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \phi \left(\max\{ S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), \\ & S(gz, gz, fz) \} \right) \\ &\leq \phi \left(\max\{ \max\{ S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), S(gz, gz, fz) \} \} \right). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$S(fx, fy, fz) \leq \varphi(\max\{S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), S(gz, gz, fz)\}),\$$

which is the inequality (1). Hence, Theorem 3 is a particular case of Theorem 1.

3) In the proof of Theorem 1 is used x = y. Hence in Theorem 1 we have a new form of inequality (1):

 $S\left(fx,fx,fy\right) \leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{S\left(gx,gx,fx\right),g\left(fy,gy,fy\right)\right\}\right).$

3 ϕ - implicit relations

Let \mathcal{F}_{φ} be the set of all lower semi - continuous functions $F: \mathbb{R}^6_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

 (F_1) : F is nonincreasing in variable t_6 ,

 $\begin{aligned} (F_2): \quad & \text{There exists } \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi \text{ such that for all } \mathfrak{u}, \nu \geq 0, F(\mathfrak{u}, \nu, \nu, \mathfrak{u}, 0, 2\mathfrak{u} + \nu) \leq \\ \mathfrak{0} \text{ implies } \mathfrak{u} \leq \varphi(\nu); \end{aligned}$

 $(F_3): \quad F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right) > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

In all the following examples, (F_1) is obviously.

Example 3 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2, t_3, ..., t_6\}, where k \in [0, \frac{1}{3}).$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (F_2): & \operatorname{Let} u, \nu \geq 0 \ \mathrm{and} \ F(u,\nu,\nu,u,0,2u+\nu) = u - k \ (u+2\nu) \leq 0. \ \mathrm{If} \ u > \nu, \\ \mathrm{then} \ u \ (1-3k) \ \leq \ 0, \ \mathrm{a} \ \mathrm{contradiction}. \ \mathrm{Hence}, \ u \ \leq \nu, \ \mathrm{which} \ \mathrm{implies} \ u \ \leq \ 3k\nu \\ \mathrm{and} \ F \ \mathrm{satisfies} \ (F_2) \ \mathrm{for} \ \varphi \ (t) = \ 3kt. \end{array}$

 $(F_3): \quad F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right) = t\left(1-k\right) > 0, \; \forall t > 0.$

Example 4
$$F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{3}\right\}$$
, where $k \in [0, 1)$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (F_2): & \operatorname{Let} u, \nu \geq 0 \ \mathrm{and} \ F(u,\nu,\nu,u,0,2u+\nu) = u - k \max\left\{u,\nu,\frac{2u+\nu}{3}\right\} \leq \\ 0. \ \mathrm{If} \ u > \nu, \ \mathrm{then} \ u \ (1-k) \leq 0, \ \mathrm{a} \ \mathrm{contradiction}. \ \mathrm{Hence}, \ u \leq \nu, \ \mathrm{which} \ \mathrm{implies} \\ u \leq k\nu \ \mathrm{and} \ F \ \mathrm{satisfies} \ (F_2) \ \mathrm{for} \ \varphi \ (t) = kt. \end{array}$

 $(F_3): F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t(1-k) > 0, \forall t > 0.$

Example 5 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - ct_4 - dt_5 - et_6$, where $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$ and a + b + c + 3e < 1 and a + d + e < 1.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (F_2): \ \ {\rm Let} \ u,\nu \geq 0 \ {\rm and} \ \ F(u,\nu,\nu,u,0,2u+\nu) = u-a\nu-b\nu-cu-e\,(2u+\nu) \leq 0. \ {\rm If} \ u>\nu, \ {\rm then} \ u\,[1-(a+b+c+3e)] \leq 0, \ {\rm a} \ {\rm contradiction}. \\ {\rm Hence}, \ u \leq \nu, \ {\rm which} \ {\rm implies} \ u \leq (a+b+c+3e)\nu \ {\rm and} \ \ F \ {\rm satisfies} \ (F_2) \ {\rm for} \\ \varphi \left(t\right) = (a+b+c+3e) \, t. \end{array}$

 $(F_3): \quad F(t,t,0,0,t,t)=t\,[1-(a+d+e)]>0, \; \forall t>0.$

Example 6 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1^2 - t_1 (at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4) - dt_5 t_6$, where $a, b, c, d \ge 0$, a + b + c < 1 and a + d < 1.

 $\begin{array}{ll} (F_2): & \mbox{Let } u, \nu \geq 0 \mbox{ and } F(u,\nu,\nu,u,0,2u+\nu) = u^2 - u \left(a\nu + b\nu + cu \right) \leq 0. \\ \mbox{If } u > \nu, \mbox{ then } u^2 \left[1 - (a+b+c) \right] \leq 0, \mbox{ a contradiction. Hence, } u \leq \nu, \mbox{ which implies } u \leq (a+b+c) \nu \mbox{ and } F \mbox{ satisfies } (F_2) \mbox{ for } \varphi(t) = (a+b+c) t. \\ \end{array}$

 $(F_3): \quad F(t,t,0,0,t,t) = t^2 \left[1 - (a+d)\right] > 0, \ \forall t > 0.$

 $\mathbf{Example \ 7} \ F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1^2 - \alpha t_2^2 - \frac{bt_5t_6}{1+t_3^2+t_4^2}, \ \text{where} \ a,b \geq 0 \ \text{and} \ a+b < 1.$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (F_2): & \operatorname{Let} u, \nu \geq 0 \ \mathrm{and} \ F(u, \nu, \nu, u, 0, 2u + \nu) = u^2 - a\nu^2 \leq 0, \ \mathrm{which \ implies} \\ u \leq \sqrt{a}\nu. \ \mathrm{Hence}, \ F \ \mathrm{satisfies} \ (F_2) \ \mathrm{for} \ \varphi(t) = \sqrt{a}t. \\ (F_3): & F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t^2 \left[1 - (a + b)\right] > 0, \ \forall t > 0. \\ & \mathrm{Let} \left[1 - (a + b)\right] > 0, \ \forall t > 0. \end{array}$

In the following examples, if $\varphi \in \Phi$, then F satisfy properties (F_1) , (F_2) , (F_3) .

Example 8
$$F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \phi \left(\max \left\{ t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{3} \right\} \right).$$

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ and

$$F(u,v,v,u,0,2u+v) = u - \phi\left(\max\left\{u,v,\frac{2u+v}{3}\right\}\right) \le 0.$$

If u > v, then $u \le \varphi(u) < u$, a contradiction. Hence, $u \le v$, which implies $u \le \varphi(v)$.

 $(F_{3}):\quad F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right)=t-\varphi\left(t\right)>0, \ \forall t>0.$

Example 9
$$F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \phi\left(\max\left\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5 + t_6}{3}\right\}\right).$$

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ and

$$F(u,v,v,u,0,2u+v) = u - \phi\left(\max\left\{u,\frac{u+v}{2},\frac{2u+v}{3}\right\}\right) \le 0.$$

If u > v, then $u \le \varphi(u) < u$, a contradiction. Hence, $u \le v$, which implies $u \le \varphi(v)$.

 $(F_{3}):\quad F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right)=t-\varphi\left(t\right)>0, \ \forall t>0.$

Example 10 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \varphi(at_2 + b \max\{t_3, t_4\} + c \max\{t_5, t_6\})$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + b + 3c < 1.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ and

 $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) = u - \phi (av + b \max\{u, v\} + c (2u + v)) \le 0.$

If u > v, then $u - \varphi((a + b + 3c)u) \le 0$, which implies $u \le \varphi(u) < u$, a contradiction. Hence, $u \le v$ and $u \le \varphi(v)$.

 $\begin{array}{rl} (F_3): & F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right) \,=\, t-\varphi\left(at+ct\right) \,\geq\, t-\varphi\left(\left(a+b+3c\right)t\right) \,\geq\, t-\varphi\left(t\right) > 0, \; \forall t > 0. \end{array}$

(F₂): Let $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) = u - \phi(a\sqrt{uv} + b\sqrt{uv}) \le 0$. If u > v, then $u \le \phi((a + b)u) < u$, a contradiction. Hence, $u \le v$, which implies $u \le \phi(v)$.

 $\begin{array}{rl} (F_3): & F\left(t,t,0,0,t,t\right) \, = \, t - \varphi\left(\left(a + c\right) t\right) \, \geq \, t - \varphi\left(\left(a + b + c\right) t\right) \, \geq \, t - \varphi\left(t\right) > 0, \; \forall t > 0. \end{array}$

(F₂): Let $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) = u - \phi(av) \le 0$. If u > v, then $u - \phi(av) \le 0$ implies $u \le \phi(u) < u$, a contradiction. Hence, $u \le v$, which implies $u \le \phi(v)$.

 $(F_3): F(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t - \phi((a + b)t) \ge t - \phi(t) > 0, \forall t > 0.$

In the following examples, the proofs are similar to the proof of Example 12 and thus are omitted.

Example 13 $F(t_1,...,t_6) = t_1 - \alpha t_2 - b \max\{t_3,t_4,t_5,t_6\},$ where $\alpha,b \geq 0$ and $\alpha + 3b < 1.$

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \leq 0$, then we have $u \leq \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (a + 3b)t$.

Example 14 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - ct_4 - d \max\{t_5, t_6\}$, where $a, b, c, d \ge 0$ and a + b + c + 3d < 1.

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \le 0$ then we have $u \le \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (a + b + c + 3d)t$.

Example 15 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - d \max\{t_3, t_4\} - bt_5 - ct_6$, where $a, b, c, d \ge 0$, $a + 3c + d \ge 0$, a + 3c + d < 1 and a + b + c < 1.

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \leq 0$ then $u \leq \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (a + 3c + d)t$.

Example 16 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - et_4 - ct_5 - dt_6 - f \max\{t_2, t_3, ..., t_6\},$ where a, b, c, d, e, f ≥ 0 , a + b + e + 3d + 3f < 1 and a + c + e + f < 1.

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \le 0$ then $u \le \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (a + b + e + 3d + 3f)t$.

Example 17 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - a(t_5 + t_6) - bt_2 - c \max\{t_3, t_4\}$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and 3a + b + c < 1.

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \leq 0$ then $u \leq \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (3a + b + c) t$.

Example 18 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \alpha (t_3 + t_4) - bt_2 - c \max\{t_5, t_6\}, \text{ where } a, b, c \ge 0 \text{ and } 2a + b + 3c < 1.$

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \leq 0$ then $u \leq \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (2a + b + 3c) t$.

Example 19 $F(t_1, ..., t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \max\{t_4 + t_5, t_3 + t_6\} - bt_2$, where $\alpha, b, c \ge 0$ and $4\alpha + b < 1$.

If $F(u, v, v, u, 0, 2u + v) \leq 0$ then $u \leq \varphi(v)$, where $\varphi(t) = (4a + b) t$.

4 Main results

Lemma 5 ([1]) Let f and g be weakly compatible self mappings of a nonempty set X. If f and g have a unique point of coincidence w = fx = gx for some $x \in X$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

Theorem 4 Let (X, S) be a S - metric space and $f, g: X \to X$ such that

$$\mathsf{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} S\left(\mathsf{fx},\mathsf{fx},\mathsf{fy}\right), S\left(\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{gy}\right), S\left(\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{fx}\right),\\ S\left(\mathsf{gy},\mathsf{gy},\mathsf{fy}\right), S\left(\mathsf{gy},\mathsf{gy},\mathsf{fx}\right), S\left(\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{gx},\mathsf{fx}\right)\end{array}\right) \leq 0 \tag{4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$.

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$ (or $g(X) \subset f(X)$) and g(X) (or f(X)) is a complete subspace of (X, S), then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X. Since $f(X) \subset g(X)$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = gx_1$. Continuing this process we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying

$$fx_n = gx_{n+1}$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, by (4) for $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ we have

$$\mathsf{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} S\left(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n}\right), S\left(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n}\right), S\left(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}\right), \\ S\left(gx_{n}, gx_{n}, fx_{n}\right), S\left(gx_{n}, gx_{n}, fx_{n-1}\right), S\left(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, fx_{n}\right) \end{array}\right) \leq 0$$

$$\mathsf{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right),S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right),S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right),\\S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right),0,S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n+1}\right)\end{array}\right) \leq 0$$
(5)

By (S_2) and Lemma 1 we have

$$S(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) \le 2S(gx_n, gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + S(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}, gx_n).$$

By (5) and (F_1) we obtain

 $\mathsf{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right),S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right),S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right),\\ S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right),0,2S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right)+S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right)\end{array}\right) \leq 0.$

By (F_2) we obtain

 $S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right) \leq \varphi\left(S\left(gx_{n-1},gx_{n-1},gx_{n}\right)\right), \ {\rm for} \ n=1,2,...$

which implies

$$S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gx_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi^{n}\left(S\left(gx_{0},gx_{0},gx_{1}\right)\right).$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S\left(gx_n,gx_n,gx_{n+1}\right) = 0.$$

We prove that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in g(X). Suppose that $\{gx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then, by Lemma 4, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences \mathfrak{m}_k and \mathfrak{n}_k with $\mathfrak{n}_k > \mathfrak{m}_k > k$ and $S(\mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{m}_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{m}_k}, \mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{n}_k}) \ge \varepsilon$ and $S(\mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{m}_k-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{m}_k-1}, \mathfrak{x}_{\mathfrak{n}_k}) < \varepsilon$ and satisfying the inequalities (i) - (iv) by Lemma 4.

By (4) for $x = x_{m_k-1}$ and $y = x_{n_k-1}$ we have

$$\mathsf{F} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}\right), \\ \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}\right), \\ \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{fx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}\right), \\ \mathsf{F} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}\right), \\ \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}}\right), \\ \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}}\right), \\ \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{n}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}\right), \mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}-1}, \mathsf{gx}_{\mathsf{m}_{k}}\right), \\ \end{array} \right) \leq \mathbf{0}. \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 1,

$$S(gx_{m_{k}-1}, gx_{m_{k}-1}, gx_{n_{k}}) = S(gx_{n_{k}}, gx_{n_{k}}, gx_{m_{k}-1})$$

and

$$S(gx_{n_k-1}, gx_{n_k-1}, gx_{m_k}) = S(gx_{m_k}, gx_{m_k}, gx_{n_k-1}).$$

Letting n tend to infinity in (6) we obtain

$$F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0, 0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \leq 0,$$

a contradiction of (F_3) .

Hence, $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in g(X). Since g(X) is complete, then $\{gx_n\}$ is convergent to a point $t \in g(X)$. Hence, there exists $p \in X$ such that gp = t and $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gp$. We prove that fp = gp.

By (4) for $x = x_n$ and y = p we have

$$\mathsf{F}\left(\begin{array}{c} S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},fp\right),S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},gp\right),S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},fx_{n}\right),\\ S\left(gp,gp,fp\right),S\left(gp,gp,fx_{n}\right),S\left(gx_{n},gx_{n},fp\right)\end{array}\right) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain

$$\mathsf{F}\left(\mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{fp}\right),\mathsf{0},\mathsf{0},\mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{fp}\right),\mathsf{0},\mathsf{S}\left(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{fp}\right)\right) \leq \mathsf{0}.$$

By (F_1) we have

$$F(S(gp, gp, fp), 0, 0, S(gp, gp, fp), 0, 2S(gp, gp, fp)) \leq 0,$$

which implies S(gp, gp, fp) = 0. Hence gp = fp = t.

We prove that t is the unique point of coincidence of f and g. Suppose that there exists z = fw = gw. By (4) we obtain

$$\mathsf{F} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{fp},\mathsf{fp},\mathsf{fw}),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gw}),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{fp}),\\ \mathsf{S}(\mathsf{gw},\mathsf{gw},\mathsf{fw}),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{gw},\mathsf{gw},\mathsf{fp}),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{gp},\mathsf{fw}) \end{array} \right) \leq \mathsf{0}, \\ \mathsf{F}(\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t},z),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t},z),\mathsf{0},\mathsf{0},\mathsf{S}(z,z,\mathsf{t}),\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{t},z)) \leq \mathsf{0}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$F(S(t, t, z), S(t, t, z), 0, 0, S(t, t, z), S(t, t, z)) \le 0,$$

a contradiction of (F_3) if S(t, t, z) > 0. Hence, z = t and t is the unique point of coincidence of f and g.

Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then by Lemma 5, f and g have a unique common fixed point t. \Box

If $\phi(t) = kt$, $k \in [0, 1)$, by Example 8 and Theorem 4 we obtain

Corollary 1 Let (X, S) be a S - metric space and $f, g: X \to X$ such that

$$S(fx, fx, fy) \le k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S(gx, gx, gy), S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), \\ \frac{S(gy, gy, fx) + S(gx, gx, fy)}{3} \end{array} \right\}$$
(7)

where $k \in [0, 1)$.

If $f(X) \subset g(X)$ (or $g(X) \subset f(X)$) and g(X) (or f(X)) is a complete subspace of (X, S), then f and g have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if f and g are weakly compatible, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Example 20 Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|. Then S(X) is a complete S - metric space. Let fx = 2x - 2, gx = 3x - 4. Then $f(X) = \mathbb{R}$, $g(X) = \mathbb{R}$ and $f(X) \subset g(X)$. If fx = gx, then x = 2 which implies $C(f, g) = \{2\}$ and fg2 = gf2 = 2 and x = 2 is the unique point of coincidence of f and g and f and g are weakly compatible. On the other hand, S(fx, fx, fy) = 4|x - z| and S(gx, gx, gy) = 6|x - y|. Hence, $S(fx, fx, fy) \leq kS(gx, gx, gy)$, for $k \in \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right)$. This implies

$$S(fx, fx, fy) \le k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} S(gx, gx, gy), S(gx, gx, fx), S(gy, gy, fy), \\ \frac{S(gy, gy, fx) + S(gx, gx, fy)}{3} \end{array} \right\}$$

for $k \in \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right)$. By Corollary 1, f and g have a unique common fixed point x = 2.

If g(x) = x, then by Theorem 4 we obtain

Theorem 5 Let (X,S) be a complete S - metric space and $f:X \to X$ such that

 $\mathsf{F}\left(S\left(\mathsf{f}x,\mathsf{f}x,\mathsf{f}y\right),S\left(x,x,y\right),S\left(x,x,\mathsf{f}x\right),S\left(y,y,\mathsf{f}y\right),S\left(y,y,\mathsf{f}x\right),S\left(x,x,\mathsf{f}y\right)\right)\leq\mathsf{0},$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2 Let (X,S) be a complete S - metric space and $f:X\to X$ such that

$$S(fx, fx, fy) \le k \max\{S(x, x, y), S(x, x, fx), S(y, y, fy), S(x, x, fy), S(x, x, fy)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. The proof follows by Theorem 5 and Example 4.

Remark 2 1) By Examples 13 - 19 and Theorem 4 we obtain Theorems 1-7 [13].

2) By Example 4 and Theorem 4 we obtain Corollary 2.19 [13].

3) By Example 5 and Theorem 4 we obtain Theorems 2.2, 2.4 [19] and Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 [20].

References

- M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **215** (5) (2009), 262–269.
- [2] J. M. Afra, Fixed point theorems in S metric spaces, Theory Approx. Appl., 10 (1) (2014), 57–68.
- [3] I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Taiwanesse J. Math.*, **13** (4) (2009), 1291–1304.
- [4] G. V. R. Babu and B. K. Leta, Fixed points of (α, ψ, φ) generalized weakly contractive maps and property (P) in S - metric spaces, *Filomat*, **31** (14) (2017), 4469–4481.
- [5] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84 (1992), 329–336.
- [6] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and topological structures I, An. Stiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi, Mat., 46 (1) (2000), 3–20.
- [7] N. V. Dung, N. T. Hieu, S. Radojević, Fixed point theorems for gmonotone maps on partially ordered S - metric spaces, *Filomat*, 28 (9) (2014), 1885–1898.
- [8] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9 (1986), 771–779.
- [9] J. Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 62 (2) (1997), 344–348.
- [10] Z. Mustafa, Common fixed point of weakly compatible mappings in G metric spaces, Appl. Math. Sci., 92, 6 (2006), 4589–4601.

- [11] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D metric spaces, Proc. Conf. Fixed Point Theory Appl., Valencia (Spain), 2013, 189–198.
- [12] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 7 (2006), 280–297.
- [13] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some generalizations on fixed point theorems on S - metric spaces, In: Rassias T., Pardalos P. (eds) Essays in Mathematics and its Applications. Springer, Cham, 229–261.
- [14] V. Popa, Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings, Stud. Cerc. Stiint. Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău, 7 (1997), 129–133.
- [15] V. Popa, Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstr. Math.*, **32** (1) (1999), 157–163.
- [16] V. Popa, A general fixed point theorem for several mappings in G metric spaces, Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform., 32 (1) (2011), 205–214.
- [17] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, A general fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in G - metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 5 (2) (2012), 151–160.
- [18] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, A general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying an φ - implicit relation in G - metric spaces, *Gazi Univ. J. Sci.*, 25 (2012), 401–408.
- [19] K. Prudhvi, Fixed point results in S metric spaces, Univer. J. Comput. Math., 3 (2015), 19–21.
- [20] K. Prudhvi, Some fixed point results in S metric spaces, J. Math. Sci. Appl., 4 (1) (2016), 1–3.
- [21] S. Sedghi and N. V. Dung, Fixed point theorems on S metric spaces, Mat. Vesn., 66 (1) (2014), 113–124.
- [22] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S - metric spaces, *Mat. Vesn.*, 64 (3) (2012), 258–266.
- [23] S. Sedghi, M. M. Rezaee, T. Došenović, S. Radenović, Common fixed point theorems for contractive mappings satisfying φ - maps in S - metric spaces, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Math., 8 (2) (2016), 298–311.