



## On the positive correlations in Wiener space via fractional calculus

Toufik Guendouzi

Laboratory of Mathematics,  
Djillali Liabes University  
PO. Box 89, 22000 Sidi Bel Abbès, Algeria  
email: [tf.guendouzi@gmail.com](mailto:tf.guendouzi@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the correlation inequality in the Wiener space using the Malliavin and the fractional calculus. Under positivity and monotonicity conditions we give a proof of the positive correlation between two random functionals  $F$  and  $G$  which are assumed smooth enough. The main argument is the Itô-Clark representation formula for the functionals of fractional Brownian motion.

### 1 Introduction

It is well-known that the correlation inequalities are one of the most powerful tools of the stochastic analysis due to its vast range of applications. So, The theoretical study of these inequalities has matured tremendously since the seminal work of Fortuin, Kasteleyn and Ginibre [5]. In general, several authors have been interested in finding applications of these inequalities in some areas including statistical mechanics (see, for instance, Bakry and Michel [1], Preston [15]).

Recently Mayer-John Üstünel and Zakai obtained general covariance inequalities in an abstract Wiener space. They consider such inequalities for functionals satisfying either monotonicity or convexity properties [13]. Hence Houdré and Peter A. Breu in [9] used Malliavin calculus techniques to obtain

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 60E15, 60F15, 60G05, 60G10, 60H07, 60J60

**Key words and phrases:** Wiener space, positive correlations, Malliavin calculus, Clark formula, FKG inequality, fractional Brownian motion

This paper was published in Int. Math. Forum 5, (2010) 61-64 and General Mathematics 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

covariance identities and inequalities for functionals of the Wiener and the Poisson processes.

The purpose of this paper is to use the Malliavin calculus techniques to study the positive correlations between two functionals on the Wiener space via fractional calculus. Our proofs rely in general on the Itô-Clark representation formula for the functionals of a fractional Brownian motion and the monotonicity condition for  $F$  and  $G$  on the Wiener space. Here, the fractional Brownian motion of index  $H \in (0, 1)$  is the centred Gaussian process whose covariance kernel is given by

$$R_H(s, t) = \mathbb{E}_H[W_s^H W_t^H],$$

and for  $f$  given in  $[a, b]$ , each of the expressions

$$(D_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} I_{a+}^{1-\{\alpha\}} f(x), \quad (D_{b-}^\alpha f)(x) = \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{[\alpha]+1} I_{b-}^{1-\{\alpha\}} f(x),$$

are respectively called right and left fractional derivative where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of  $\alpha$ ,  $\{\alpha\} = \alpha - [\alpha]$  and  $(I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) = 0$ ,  $(I_{b-}^\alpha f)(x)$  are right and left fractional integral of the order  $\alpha > 0$  (see [3]). Hence for  $H \in (0, 1)$  the integral transform  $K_H f$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} K_H f &= I_{0+}^{2H} x^{1-H} I_{0+}^{H/2-H} x^{H-1/2} f, & H \leq 1/2 \\ K_H f &= I_{0+}^{1-H} I_{0+}^{H-1/2} I_{0+}^{H-1/2-H} f, & H \geq 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

$K_H$  is an isomorphism from  $L^2([0, 1])$  onto  $I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0, 1]))$ . If  $H \geq 1/2$ ,  $r \rightarrow K_H(t, r)$  is continuous on  $(0, 1)$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, we shall give some preparation and state main result. We begin by recalling the basic notions of Malliavin calculus, the gradient operator and Sobolev-type space  $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}$ , the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, the Itô-Clark representation formula for functional of Brownian motion. In Section 3, we shall study the positive correlation between two functionals of the Wiener space satisfying monotonicity property.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section gives some basic notions of analysis on the Wiener space  $(W, \mathcal{F}^H, \mathbb{P}_H)$ . The reader can consult [14] for a complete survey on this topic. Let  $W$  represented as  $C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  of continuous function  $\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

This paper was published in *Int. Math. Forum* 5, (2010) 61-64 and *General Mathematics* 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

$w(0) = 0$ , equipped with the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm i.e  $W$  is also a (separable) Banach-space,  $W^\boxtimes$  is its topological dual and  $(W_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  be a canonical Brownian motion generating the filtration  $(F_t^H)_{t \in [0,1]}$ . Random-variables on  $W$  are called Wiener functionals and the coordinate process  $\omega(t)$  is a Brownian motion under  $P_H$ . So we write  $\omega(t) = W(t, \omega) = W(t)$ . Recall that  $P_H$  is the unique probability measure on  $W$  such that the canonical process  $(W(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a centered Gaussian process with the covariance Kernel  $R_H$ :

$$E_H[W(t)W(s)] = R_H(t, s).$$

The Cameron-Martin space  $H_H$  is an subspace of  $W$  defined as

$$H_H = \{K_H \dot{h}; \dot{h} \in L^2([0,1], dt)\},$$

i.e, any  $h \in H_H$  can be represented as  $h(t) = K_H \dot{h}(t) = \int_0^1 K_H(s, t) \dot{h}(s) ds$ ,  $\dot{h}$  belongs to  $L^2([0,1])$ . The scalar product on the space  $H_H$  is given by  $(h, g)_{H_H} = (K_H \dot{h}, K_H \dot{g})_{H_H} = (\dot{h}, \dot{g})_{L^2([0,1])}$ .

We note that for any  $H \in (0,1)$ ,  $R_H(t, s)$  can be written as

$$R_H(t, s) = \int_0^1 K_H(t, r) K_H(s, r) dr,$$

and  $R_H = K_H K_H^\boxtimes$ , where  $K_H$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator introduced in the first section.  $R_H$  is also the injection from  $W$  into the space  $H_H$  and it can be decomposed as  $R_H \eta = K_H(K_H^\boxtimes \eta)$ , for any  $\eta$  in  $W^\boxtimes$  (see, [18]). The restriction of  $K_H^\boxtimes$  to  $W^\boxtimes$  is the injection from  $W^\boxtimes$  into  $L^2([0,1])$ .

If  $y$  is an  $H_H$ -valued random variable, we denote by  $\dot{y}$  the  $L^2([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ -valued random variable such that  $y(t, \omega) = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) \dot{y}(\omega, s) ds$ . Here, for  $F \in S(\chi)$  the H-Grassmann derivative of  $F$ , denoted by  $\boxtimes F$  and is the  $H_H \boxtimes \chi$ -valued mapping defined by

$$\boxtimes F(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\langle l_1, \omega \rangle, \dots, \langle l_n, \omega \rangle) R_H(l_i) \boxtimes x_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $S(\chi)$  is the set of  $\chi$ -valued smooth cylindrical functionals, and for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $l_i$  is in  $W^\boxtimes$  and  $x_i$  belongs to  $\chi$ . Hence, for any  $R_H \eta \in H_H$  we have by the Cameron-Martin theorem

$$E_H[F(\omega + R_H \eta)] = \int F(\omega) \exp\left(\langle \eta, \omega \rangle - \|R_H \eta\|_{H_H}^2 / 2\right) dP_H(\omega). \quad (2)$$

This paper was published in Int. Math. Forum 5, (2010) 61-64 and General Mathematics 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup  $\{T_t^H, t \geq 0\}$  of bounded operators which acts on  $L^p(\mathbb{P}_H, \chi)$  for any  $p \geq 1$  can be described by the Mehler formula:

$$(T_t^H F)(\omega) = \int_W F(e^{-t}\omega + \sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}\omega') \mathbb{P}_H(d\omega') \quad (3)$$

The directional derivative of  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\chi)$  in the the direction  $R_H \eta \in \mathcal{H}_H$  is given by

$$(\nabla F, R_H \eta)_{\mathcal{H}_H} = \frac{d}{dt} F(\omega + t \cdot R_H \eta) \Big|_{t=0} \quad (4)$$

and from (2) we have  $\nabla F$  depends only on the equivalence classes with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_H$  and  $\mathbb{E}_H((\nabla F, R_H \eta)_{\mathcal{H}_H}) = \mathbb{E}_H(F \langle \omega, \eta \rangle)$ .

For any  $p \geq 1$  we define Sobolev space  $\mathcal{D}_{p,k}^H(\chi)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , as the completion of  $\mathcal{S}(\chi)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{p,k,H} = \|F\|_{L^p_H} + \|\nabla^k F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_H, \chi)},$$

hence the operator  $\nabla$  can be extended as continuous linear operator from  $\mathcal{D}_{p,k}^H(\chi)$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{p,k-1}^H(\mathcal{H}_H \otimes \chi)$  for any  $p \geq 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see [18]). Thus  $\nabla : \mathcal{D}_{p,k}^H(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{p,k-1}^H(\mathcal{H}_H \otimes \chi)$  is formal adjoint with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_H$  is the operator  $\delta_H$  in the sense that  $F \in \mathcal{S}, \forall y \in \mathcal{H}_H, \mathbb{E}_H[F \delta_H y] = \mathbb{E}_H[(\nabla F, y)_{\mathcal{H}_H}]$ , and since  $\nabla$  has continuous extensions  $\delta_H$  is also a continuous linear extension from  $\mathcal{D}_{p,k}^H(\mathcal{H}_H)$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{p,k-1}^H$  for any  $p \geq 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Recall the following, unique, Wiener-Itô chaos expansion for all  $\mathbb{P}_H$ -square integrable functions  $F$  from [18]:

$$F = \mathbb{E}F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n^H F, \quad (5)$$

where  $J_n^H F$  is the  $n$ -fold iterated Itô integral of  $F$ . If  $y \in \mathcal{H}_H$  and  $\vartheta_1^y = \exp(\delta_H y - 1/2 \|y\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2)$ , then we have

$$J_n^H \vartheta_1^y = \frac{1}{n!} \delta_H^{(n)} y^{\otimes n}. \quad (6)$$

More precisely, if  $F \in \cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_{2,k}^H$ ,

$$J_n^H F = \frac{1}{n!} \delta_H^{(n)} (\mathbb{E}_H \nabla^{(n)} F).$$

This paper was published in Int. Math. Forum 5, (2010) 61-64 and General Mathematics 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

For  $H \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\{\pi_t^H; t \in [0, 1]\}$  be the family of orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}_H$  defined by

$$\pi_t^H(K_H y) = K_H(y \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}), \quad y \in L^2([0, 1]). \quad (7)$$

The operator  $\Upsilon(\pi_t^H)$  is the second quantization of  $\pi_t^H$  from  $(\mathcal{F}_t^H)$  into itself defined by

$$F = \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_H^{(n)} f_n \mapsto \Upsilon \pi_t^H(F) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_H^{(n)} ((\pi_t^H \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_H}) f_n).$$

Thus we have, for  $y \in \mathcal{H}_H$ ,

$$\Upsilon(\pi_t^H)(\vartheta_1^y) = \exp(\delta_H(\pi_t^H y) - 1/2 \|\pi_t^H y\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2) = \vartheta_1^y, \quad (8)$$

hence the bijectivity of the operator  $K_H$  has the following consequence

$$\mathcal{F}_t^H = \sigma\{\delta_H(\pi_s^H y) : y \in \mathcal{H}_H\} \vee \mathcal{N}_H$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_H$  is the set of the  $\mathbb{P}_H$ -negligible events.

We also note that for any  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_H)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\pi_t^H)F = \mathbb{E}_H[F|\mathcal{F}_t^H],$$

and in particular

$$\mathbb{E}_H[\exp(\delta_H(\pi_t^H y) - 1/2 \|\pi_t^H y\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2) | \mathcal{F}_t^H] = \int_0^t [K_H^{-1}(s) \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(s) \delta_H W_s,$$

$$\mathbb{E}_H[\exp(\delta_H(\pi_t^H y) - 1/2 \|\pi_t^H y\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2) | \mathcal{F}_t^H] = \exp(\delta_H(\pi_t^H y) - 1/2 \|\pi_t^H y\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2),$$

for any  $y \in \mathcal{H}_H$ .

We shall recall the following results

**Theorem 1 ([3])** Let  $F$  be  $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$ . Then  $F$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_t^H$  iff  $\nabla F = \pi_t^H \nabla F$ .

**Theorem 2 (Itô-Clark representation formula)** For any  $F \in \mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F - \mathbb{E}_H[F] &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \delta_H W_s \\ &= \delta_H \left( K_H(\mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(\cdot) | \mathcal{F}_\cdot^H]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This paper was published in Int. Math. Forum 5, (2010) 61-64 and General Mathematics 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

### 3 Monotonicity and positive correlations

Our method relies on the Itô-Clark formula which plays a crucial role to establish positive correlation between two random functionals under some hypotheses. Thus we recall here the following correlation identity in the first lemma, which is based on the Clark formula and the Itô isometry. We refer to [3] and [9] for tutorial references on this identity.

**Lemma 1** *For any  $F, G \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_H)$  we have*

$$\text{Cov}(F, G) = \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] ds \right]. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(F, G) &= \mathbb{E}_H \left[ (F - \mathbb{E}_H[F])(G - \mathbb{E}_H[G]) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \delta_H W_s \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \delta_H W_s \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 1** *Let  $G$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_t^H$ -measurable element of  $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$ . Then the identity (9) can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(F, G) &= \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(s) ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H \left[ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] K_H^{-1}(\pi_t^H \nabla G)(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The new result is an immediate consequence of (9).

**Lemma 2** *Let  $F, G \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_H)$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s^H] \geq 0, \quad ds \times d\mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

*Then  $F$  and  $G$  are positively correlated and we have  $\text{Cov}(F, G) \geq 0$ .*

The main results of this section are the following:

**Corollary 1** *If  $F, G \in \mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$  satisfy  $K_H^{-1}[\nabla F](t) \geq 0, K_H^{-1}[\nabla G](t) \geq 0$  a.s., then  $F$  and  $G$  are positively correlated.*

This paper was published in Int. Math. Forum 5, (2010) 61-64 and General Mathematics 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.

**Corollary 2** If  $G \in \mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$ , and if  $\mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s)|\mathcal{F}_s^H] \geq 0$ ,  $K_H^{-1}(\nabla G)(t) \geq 0$  a.s., then  $F$  and  $G$  are positively correlated.

The next theorem studies the positivity of  $K_H^{-1}[\nabla F](t)$ , for any functional  $F \in \mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$  under the monotonicity assumption.

**Theorem 3** For any increasing functional  $F \in \mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$  we have

$$K_H^{-1}[\nabla F](t) \geq 0, \quad dt \times d\mathbb{P}_H - \text{a.s.}$$

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be increasing functional i.e.  $F(\cdot + y) \geq F(\cdot)$  a.s., for all  $y \in \mathcal{H}_H$  and  $\{u_n^t, n \geq 0\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $L^2([0,1])$ , for  $H \in (0,1)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n^t$  be the  $\sigma$  field generated by  $\{\delta_H K_H u_i^t, i \leq n\}$ . Since  $\bigvee_n \mathcal{V}_n^t = \mathcal{F}_t^H$ , the sequence  $F_n = \mathbb{E}_H[F|\mathcal{V}_n^t]$  converge to  $F$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{2,1}^H$ , and for  $\pi_t^H K_H u_n^t = K_H u_n^t$ , for  $F_n$  we have  $\nabla F_n = \pi_t^H \nabla F_n$  and  $\nabla F = \pi_t^H \nabla F$  a.s. Hence, by the Cameron-Martin formula (2) we have for any  $\mathcal{V}_n^t$ -measurable and square-integrable random variable  $\vartheta_n^t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_H[F_n(\omega + y_n^t)] &= \mathbb{E}_H[\exp(\delta_H y_n^t - 1/2 \|y_n^t\|_{\mathcal{H}_H}^2) F_n(\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[\vartheta_n^t F_n(\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[\vartheta_n^t \mathbb{E}_H[F|\mathcal{V}_n^t](\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[\mathbb{E}_H[\vartheta_n^t F|\mathcal{V}_n^t](\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[\vartheta_n^t F(\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[F(\omega + y_n^t)]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any square-integrable function  $f$  on  $[0,1]^n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\omega + y) &= F_n(\omega + y^t) \\ &= f(\delta_H K_H u_0^t + (K_H u_0^t, K_H^{-1} y^t)_{L^2([0,1])}, \dots, \\ &\quad \dots, \delta_H K_H u_n^t + (K_H u_n^t, K_H^{-1} y^t)_{L^2([0,1])}) \\ &= f(\delta_H K_H u_0^t + (K_H u_0^t, \pi_t^H K_H^{-1} y_n^t)_{L^2([0,1])}, \dots, \\ &\quad \dots, \delta_H K_H u_n^t + (K_H u_n^t, \pi_t^H K_H^{-1} y_n^t)_{L^2([0,1])}) \\ &= F_n(\omega + y_n^t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_H[F(\omega + y_n^t)|\mathcal{V}_n^t] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_H[F|\mathcal{V}_n^t](\omega) \\ &= F_n(\omega) - \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that the smooth function  $F_n(\omega + \tau y)$  is increasing in  $\tau$ , for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\pi_t^H K_H^{-1} y_n^t = K_H^{-1} y_n^t$  is positive, hence we have from (4) that  $(\nabla F_n, K_H^{-1} y)_{L^2([0,1])}$  is positive. Since  $\nabla F_n$  is positive and  $\nabla F_n \rightarrow \nabla F$  then  $\nabla F$  is also positive.

To complete the proof, it suffices to use the fact that

$$\delta_H(\pi_t^H \nabla F) = \int_0^t K_H^{-1}[\nabla F](s) \delta_H \nabla F(s) ds$$

and because  $\nabla F$  positive we get  $K_H^{-1}[\nabla F](s) \geq 0$ , a.s.  $\square$

**Theorem 4** For any increasing functional  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_H)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla F)(s)|\mathcal{F}_s^H] \geq 0, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad d\mathbb{P}_H - \text{a.s.}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\{T_t^H, t \geq 0\}$  be a semigroup defined as in (3), and assume that  $F$  is increasing functional in  $L^2(\mathbb{P}_H)$ . Taking  $t = 1/n$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$ , we have  $T_{1/n}^H F$  is also increasing from (3) and element of  $\mathcal{D}_{H,1}^H$ . Hence from lemma 3,  $\nabla T_{1/n}^H F$  is positive and also  $K_H^{-1}[\nabla T_{1/n}^H F](s) \geq 0$ , a.s. then  $\mathbb{E}_H[K_H^{-1}(\nabla T_{1/n}^H F)(t)|\mathcal{F}_t^H]$  follows. Finally, using the fact that  $T_{1/n}^H F \rightarrow F$  as  $n$  goes to infinity we get the result.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. Bakry, D. Michel, Sur les inégalités FKG, *Séminaire de Probabilités. XXVI. Lecture Note in Math.*, **526** (1992), 170–188.
- [2] D. Barilo, FKG inequality for Brownian motion and stochastic differential equations, *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, **10** (2005), 7–16.
- [3] F. Friz, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, T. Zhang, *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and applications*, Springer-Verlag, London Limited 2008.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli, Monotonicity properties of optimal transportation and the FKG and related inequalities, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **214** (2000), 547–563.
- [5] C. M. Fortuin, P. W. Kasteleyn, J. Ginibre, Correlation inequalities on some partially ordered sets, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **22** (1971), 89–103.

This paper was published in *Int. Math. Forum* 5, (2010) 61-64 and *General Mathematics* 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.



- [6] E. Giné, C. Houdré, D. Nualart, *Stochastic inequalities and applications*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Berlin 2003.
- [7] G. Hargé, Inequalities for the Gaussian measure and an application to Wiener space, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I Math.*, **333** (2001), 791–794.
- [8] I. Herbst, L. Pitt, Diffusion equation techniques in stochastic monotonicity and positive correlations, *Probab. Theory Related Fields.*, **87** (1991), 275–312.
- [9] C. Houdré, V. Perez-Abreu, Covariance identities and inequalities for functionals on Wiener space and Poisson space, *Ann. Probab.*, **23** (1995), 400–419.
- [10] Y. Z. Hu, Itô-Wiener chaos expansion with exact residual and correlation, variance inequalities, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **10** (1997), 835–848.
- [11] S. J. Lin, Stochastic analysis of fractional Brownian motions, *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.*, **55** (1995), 1–140.
- [12] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1997.
- [13] E. Mayer-Wolf, A. S. Üstünel, M. Zakai, Some covariance inequalities in Wiener space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255** (2002), 2563–2578.
- [14] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, Probability and its applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [15] C. J. Preston, A generalization of the FKG inequalities, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **36** (1974), 232–241.
- [16] M. Sanjole, *Malliavin calculus. With applications to stochastic partial differential equations*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [17] M. Sanjole, Solenoid spaces of Wiener functionals and Malliavin's calculus, *Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **25** (1985), 31–48.
- [18] A. S. Üstünel, *An introduction to analysis on Wiener space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1610, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

Received: February 25, 2010

This paper was published in *Int. Math. Forum* 5, (2010) 61-64 and *General Mathematics* 19(2) (2011) 73-80 too, with the same title and essentially identical content.