

On Minimal Solutions of Diophantine Equations

Martin Henk Robert Weismantel*

*Fachbereich Mathematik, IMO, Otto-von Guericke-Universität Magdeburg
Universitätsplatz 2, D-39106 Magdeburg, Germany
e-mail: henk@imo.mathematik.uni-magdeburg.de
e-mail: weismantel@imo.mathematik.uni-magdeburg.de*

Abstract. This paper investigates the region in which all the minimal solutions of a linear diophantine equation lie. We present best possible inequalities which must be satisfied by these solutions and thereby improve earlier results.

Keywords: linear Diophantine equations, Hilbert basis, pointed rational cones.

1. Introduction

For two nonnegative integral vectors $a \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $n, m \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{L}(a, b) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^m : a^\top x = b^\top y\} \quad (1.1)$$

be the set of all nonnegative solutions of the linear Diophantine equation $a^\top x = b^\top y$. Here we are interested in the *minimal solutions* of this linear Diophantine equation, where $(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(a, b)$ is called minimal if it can not be written as the sum of two other elements of $\mathcal{L}(a, b) \setminus \{0\}$. The set of all minimal solutions is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$. By definition we have

$$\mathcal{L}(a, b) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p q_i h^i : q_i, p \in \mathbb{N}, h^i \in \mathcal{H}(a, b) \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ is a minimal subset of $\mathcal{L}(a, b)$ having this generating property.

*Supported by a Gerhard-Hess-Forschungsförderpreis of the German Science Foundation (DFG)

In other words, $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ is the *Hilbert basis* of the pointed rational cone

$$C(a, b) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m : a^\top x = b^\top y\}. \quad (1.2)$$

A Hilbert basis of an arbitrary pointed rational polyhedral cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the unique minimal generating system (w.r.t. nonnegative integral combinations) of the semigroup $C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Observe, that $C(a, b) \cap \mathbb{N}^{n+m} = \mathcal{L}(a, b)$. The existence of such a system of finite cardinality was already shown by Gordan [5] for any rational cone. Van der Corput [1] proved the uniqueness for pointed rational cones.

The set $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ of all minimal solutions of a linear Diophantine equation has been studied for a long time in various contexts, see e.g., [3], [4], [6] and the references within. The purpose of this note is to generalize a result of Lambert [7] and Diaconis/Graham/Sturmfels [2] by proving that the elements of $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ satisfy a certain system of inequalities.

We assume throughout that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)^\top \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $n \geq m \geq 1$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$, $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_m$. It is not hard to see that

$$C(a, b) = \text{pos} \{b_j e^i + a_i e^{n+j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\},$$

where pos denotes the positive hull and $e^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ denotes the i -th unit vector. A trivial system of valid inequalities for the elements of $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ is given by the facet defining hyperplanes of the zonotope

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : (x, y)^\top = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} (b_j e^i - a_i e^{n+j}), 0 \leq \lambda_{ij} \leq 1 \right\},$$

because it is well-known (and easy to see) that the Hilbert basis of a pointed rational cone is contained in the zonotope spanned by the generators of the cone. Stronger inequalities were given by Lambert ([7]) and independently by Diaconis/Graham/Sturmfels [2]. They proved that every $(x, y)^\top \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq b_m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \leq a_n. \quad (1.3)$$

Here we show

Theorem 1. *Every $(x, y)^\top \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$ satisfies the $n + m$ inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} [J_l] : \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{b_l - b_j}{a_n} \right\rfloor y_j \leq b_l + \sum_{j=l+1}^m \left\lceil \frac{b_j - b_l}{a_1} \right\rceil y_j, \quad l = 1, \dots, m, \\ [I_k] : \quad & \sum_{j=1}^m y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{a_k - a_i}{b_m} \right\rfloor x_i \leq a_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \left\lceil \frac{a_i - a_k}{b_1} \right\rceil x_i, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ ($\lfloor x \rfloor$) denotes the smallest integer not less than x (the largest integer not greater than x).

Observe, that $[J_m]$ and $[I_n]$ are generalizations of the inequalities stated in (1.3).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

In the following we denote by \leq (respectively by $<$) the usual partial order, i.e., for two vectors x, y we write $x \leq y$ if for each coordinate holds $x_i \leq y_i$ and we write $x < y$ if, in addition, there exists a coordinate with $x_j < y_j$. The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following observation.

Lemma 1. *Let $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})^\top \in \mathcal{L}(a, b)$ and let $(x^1, y^1)^\top, (x^2, y^2)^\top \in \mathbb{N}^{n+m}$ such that $0 < (x^2 - x^1, y^2 - y^1)^\top < (\hat{x}, \hat{y})^\top$ and $a^\top x^1 - b^\top y^1 = a^\top x^2 - b^\top y^2$. Then $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})^\top$ is not an element of $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$.*

Proof. Let $(z_x, z_y) = (x^2 - x^1, y^2 - y^1)$. By assumption we have $(z_x, z_y)^\top, (\hat{x} - z_x, \hat{y} - z_y)^\top \in \mathcal{L}(a, b) \setminus \{0\}$. Thus $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = (\hat{x} - z_x, \hat{y} - z_y) + (z_x, z_y)$ can be written as a non-trivial combination of two elements of $\mathcal{L}(a, b) \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})^\top \in \mathcal{H}(a, b)$. By symmetry it suffices to consider only the inequalities $[J_l]$, $l = 1, \dots, m$. Let us fix an index $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i$, $v = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{y}_j$. We choose a sequence of points $x^i \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $0 \leq i \leq \xi$, such that

$$0 = x^0 < x^1 < x^2 < \dots < x^\xi = \tilde{x}. \quad (2.1)$$

Next we define recursively a sequence of points $y^j \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $0 \leq j \leq v$, by $y^0 = 0$ and $y^j = y^{j-1} + e^{d(j)}$, $j \geq 1$, where the index $d(j)$ is given by $d(j) = \min\{1 \leq d \leq m : y_d^{j-1} + e^d \leq \tilde{y}_d\}$. Observe that here e^d denotes the d -th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^m . Obviously, we have

$$0 = y^0 < y^1 < y^2 < \dots < y^v = \tilde{y}. \quad (2.2)$$

For two points $x \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{N}^m$ let $r(x, y) = a^\top x - b^\top y$ and for a given point x^i let $y^{\mu(i)}$ be the unique point such that

$$r(x^i, y^{\mu(i)}) = \min \{r(x^i, y^j) : r(x^i, y^j) \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq v\}.$$

For abbreviation we set $r(i) = r(x^i, y^{\mu(i)})$. It is easy to see that $r(i) \in \{0, \dots, b_m - 1\}$ and

$$0 = y^{\mu(0)} \leq y^{\mu(1)} \leq \dots \leq y^{\mu(\xi)} = \tilde{y}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, by definition of y^j we have the relation

$$r(i) \geq b_t \implies y_j^{\mu(i)} = \tilde{y}_j, 1 \leq j \leq t. \quad (2.4)$$

So we have assigned to each $i \in \{0, \dots, \xi - 1\}$ its residue $r(i)$ and now we count the number of different residues which may occur. To this end let

$$R_l = \{i \in \{0, \dots, \xi - 1\} : r(i) < b_l\},$$

and for $l + 1 \leq j \leq m$ let

$$R_j = \left\{ i \in \{0, \dots, \xi - 1\} : b_l \leq r(i) < b_j, y_{j-1}^{\mu(i)} = \tilde{y}_{j-1}, y_j^{\mu(i)} < \tilde{y}_j \right\}.$$

Since $\{0, \dots, \xi - 1\} = \bigcup_{j=l}^m R_j$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i \leq \#R_l + \sum_{j=l+1}^m \#R_j. \quad (2.5)$$

By Lemma 1, (2.1), (2.2) we have

$$\#R_l = \#\{r(i) : i \in R_l\} \leq b_l. \quad (2.6)$$

We claim that for $j = l + 1, \dots, m$

$$\#R_j \leq \left\lceil \frac{b_j - b_l}{a_1} \right\rceil \tilde{y}_j. \quad (2.7)$$

To show this let $\zeta \in \{0, \dots, \tilde{y}_j - 1\}$ and let $x^{i_1} < \dots < x^{i_\tau}$ be all vectors of the x -sequence (cf. (2.1)) satisfying $y_j^{\mu(i)} = \zeta$ and $i \in R_j$. By construction we have $y^{\mu(i_1)} = y^{\mu(i_2)} = \dots = y^{\mu(i_\tau)}$ and so

$$(\tau - 1)a_1 \leq a^\top x^{i_\tau} - a^\top x^{i_1} = r(i_\tau) - r(i_1) \leq (b_j - 1) - b_l.$$

Hence $\tau \leq \lceil (b_j - b_l)/a_1 \rceil$ and we get (2.7).

So far we have proved (cf. (2.5), (2.7))

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{x}_i \leq \#R_l + \sum_{j=l+1}^m \left\lceil \frac{b_j - b_l}{a_1} \right\rceil \tilde{y}_j. \quad (2.8)$$

In the following we estimate the number of residues in $\{0, \dots, b_l - 1\}$ which are not contained in $\{r(i) : i \in R_l\}$.

To do this we have to extend our x -sequence. For $v \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_v, q_v \in \mathbb{N}$ be the uniquely determined numbers with $v = p_v \xi + q_v$, $0 \leq q_v < \xi$, and let

$$\bar{x}^v = p_v x^\xi + x^{q_v}.$$

Observe that $r(\bar{x}^v, y) = p_v b^\top \tilde{y} - b^\top y + a^\top x^{q_v}$. For $s \in \{1, \dots, l - 1\}$ and $t \in \{0, \dots, \tilde{y}_s - 1\}$ let $y^{s,t}$ be the point of the y -sequence (cf. (2.2)) with coordinates

$$y_s^{s,t} = t, \quad y_j^{s,t} = \tilde{y}_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad y_j^{s,t} = 0, \quad s + 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For such a vector $y^{s,t}$ let $\bar{x}^{\delta(s,t)}$ be the point of the \bar{x} -sequence such that

$$r(\bar{x}^{\delta(s,t)}, y^{s,t}) = \min \{r(\bar{x}^i, y^{s,t}) : r(\bar{x}^i, y^{s,t}) \geq b_s, i \in \{0, \dots, \xi\}\}.$$

Observe that such a point $\bar{x}^{\delta(s,t)}$ exists, because $t \in \{0, \dots, \tilde{y}_s - 1\}$. Moreover, $\bar{x}^{\delta(s,t)}$ belongs to the ‘‘original’’ x -sequence. In particular, we have

$$b_s \leq r(\bar{x}^{\delta(s,t)}, y^{s,t}) < b_s + a_n. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $r_{s,t} = \{\bar{x}^i : b_s \leq r(\bar{x}^i, y^{s,t}) < b_l\}$. Obviously, by (2.9) we have

$$\#r_{s,t} \geq \lfloor (b_l - b_s)/a_n \rfloor. \quad (2.10)$$

Now we study the cardinality of

$$\bar{R} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{l-1} \left\{ \bigcup_{t=0}^{\tilde{y}_s-1} \{r(\bar{x}^i, y^{s,t}) : b_s \leq r(\bar{x}^i, y^{s,t}) < b_l\} \right\}$$

and we show

$$\#\bar{R} \geq \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{b_l - b_s}{a_n} \right\rfloor \tilde{y}_s. \quad (2.11)$$

Suppose the contrary. Then, by (2.10), we can find $s, s' \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$, $t \in \{0, \dots, \tilde{y}_s - 1\}$, $t' \in \{0, \dots, \tilde{y}_{s'} - 1\}$ and vectors \bar{x}^v, \bar{x}^w of the \bar{x} -sequence such that $r(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}) = r(\bar{x}^w, y^{s',t'})$. We may assume $y^{s,t} < y^{s',t'}$ and therefore $\bar{x}^v < \bar{x}^w$, i.e., $v \leq w$. Since

$$r(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}) = p_v b^\top \tilde{y} - b^\top y^{s,t} + a^\top x^{q_v} = p_w b^\top \tilde{y} - b^\top y^{s',t'} + a^\top x^{q_w} = r(\bar{x}^w, y^{s',t'})$$

we get $p_w \in \{p_v, p_v + 1\}$.

a) If $p_w = p_v$ then $0 < \bar{x}^w - \bar{x}^v = x^{q_w} - x^{q_v} < x^\xi$ and we can apply Lemma 1 to $(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t})^\top$, $(\bar{x}^w, y^{s',t'})^\top$ which yields the contradiction $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \notin \mathcal{H}(a, b)$.

b) If $p_w = p_v + 1$ then $0 < \bar{x}^w - \bar{x}^v = x^\xi + x^{q_w} - x^{q_v}$. Since

$$a^\top (x^{q_v} - x^{q_w}) = b^\top \tilde{y} + b^\top y^{s,t} - b^\top y^{s',t'} > 0$$

we have $x^{q_w} < x^{q_v}$ and thus $0 < \bar{x}^w - \bar{x}^v < x^\xi$. Hence, also in this case we can apply Lemma 1 and obtain a contradiction.

Next we claim that

$$\bar{R} \cap \{r(i) : i \in R_l\} = \emptyset. \quad (2.12)$$

Otherwise there exist $\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}$ with $b_s \leq r(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}) < b_l$ and $\bar{x}^i, y^{\mu(i)}$, $0 \leq i \leq \xi - 1$, such that $r(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}) = r(\bar{x}^i, y^{\mu(i)})$. Since $r(\bar{x}^v, y^{s,t}) \geq b_s$ but $y_s^{s,t} < \tilde{y}_s$ we have $y^{s,t} \neq y^{\mu(i)}$ (cf. (2.4)). Hence, we may assume $y^{s,t} < y^{\mu(i)}$ or $y^{\mu(i)} < y^{s,t}$.

a) If $y^{s,t} < y^{\mu(i)}$ then $\bar{x}^v < \bar{x}^i$ and thus $v < i < \xi$. Again, by Lemma 1 we find $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \notin \mathcal{H}(a, b)$.

b) If $y^{\mu(i)} < y^{s,t}$ then $\bar{x}^i < \bar{x}^v$. As above, it is easy to see that $p_v \in \{0, 1\}$ and that in both cases Lemma 1 can be applied in order to get a contradiction.

Finally, we note that (2.6), (2.12) and (2.11) imply

$$\#R_l \leq b_l - \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{b_l - b_s}{a_n} \right\rfloor \tilde{y}_s,$$

which proves inequality $[J_l]$ (cf. (2.8)). \square

3. Remarks

Theorem 1 shows that the minimal solutions of a linear Diophantine equation lie in the region that one obtains from intersecting the zonotope associated with the generators of $C(a, b)$ with all the halfspaces induced by the inequalities $[I_k]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $[J_l]$, $l = 1, \dots, m$. We believe that a stronger statement is true: every element of $\mathcal{H}(a, b)$ is a convex combination of 0 and the generators $b_j e^i + a_i e^{n+j}$ of $C(a, b)$. More formally, let

$$P(a, b) = \text{conv} \{0, b_j e^i + a_i e^{n+j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

We conjecture that

Conjecture 1. $\mathcal{H}(a, b) \subset P(a, b)$.¹

We remark that there is an example by Hosten and Sturmfels showing that if one replaces $P(a, b)$ by the “smaller” polytope $\tilde{P}(a, b) = \text{conv} \{0, (b_j e^i + a_i e^{n+j}) / \gcd(b_j, a_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, then $\mathcal{H}(a, b) \not\subset \tilde{P}(a, b)$.

For $m = 1$ Theorem 1 implies the inclusion $\mathcal{H}(a, b) \subset P(a, b)$. This can easily be read off from the representation

$$P(a, b) = \left\{ (x, y)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : a^\top x = b_1 y, \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq b_1 \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to check that the inequalities $[I_k]$ and $[J_l]$ of Theorem 1 “without rounding” define facets of $P(a, b)$.

Proposition 1. For $l = 1, \dots, m$ let

$$J_l = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{b_l - b_j}{a_n} y_j \leq b_l + \sum_{j=l+1}^m \frac{b_j - b_l}{a_1} y_j \right\}$$

and for $k = 1, \dots, n$ let

$$I_k = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{j=1}^m y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_k - a_i}{b_m} x_i \leq a_k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{a_i - a_k}{b_1} x_i \right\}.$$

Then we have $P(a, b) \subset J_l$, $P(a, b) \subset I_k$. Moreover, $P(a, b) \cap J_l$ and $P(a, b) \cap I_k$ are facets of $P(a, b)$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. It is quite easy to check that all vectors $b_j e^i + a_i e^{n+j}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, are contained in J_l , $l = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, the inequality corresponding to J_l is satisfied with equality by the $n + m - 1$ linearly independent points $b^l e^i + a_i e^{n+l}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b_j e^n + a_n e^{n+j}$, $1 \leq j \leq l - 1$, $b_j e^1 + a_1 e^{n+j}$, $l + 1 \leq j \leq m$. The halfspaces I_k can be treated in the same way. \square

¹This conjecture was independently made by Hosten and Sturmfels, private communication.

Elementary considerations show that for $m = 2$ the polytope $P(a, b)$ can be written as $P(a, b) = \{(x, y)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2 : a^\top x = b^\top y; x, y \geq 0, (x, y)^\top \in I_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, and thus Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 imply that the conjecture is “almost true” when $m = 2$ (or respectively, for $n = 2$).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Robert T. Firla and Bianca Spille for helpful comments.

References

- [1] van der Corput, J. G.: *Über Systeme von linear-homogenen Gleichungen und Ungleichungen*. Proceedings Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam **34** (1931), 368–371.
- [2] Diaconis, P.; Graham, R.; Sturmfels, B.: *Primitive partition identities*. Paul Erdős is 80, Vol. II, Janos Bolyai Society, Budapest (1995), 1–20.
- [3] Ehrhart, E.: *Sur les équations diophantiennes linéaires*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **288** (1979), Série A, 785–787.
- [4] Filgueiras, M.; Tomás, A. P.: *A fast method for finding the basis of non-negative solutions to a linear Diophantine equation*. J. Symbolic Comput. **19** (1995), 507–526.
- [5] Gordan, P.: *Über die Auflösung linearer Gleichungen mit reellen Coefficienten*. Math. Ann. **6** (1873), 23–28.
- [6] Greenberg, H.: *Solution to a linear Diophantine equation for nonnegative integers*. J. Algorithms **9** (1988), 343–353.
- [7] Lambert, J. L.: *Une borne pour les générateurs des solutions entières positives d’une équation diophantienne linéaire*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **305** (1987), Série I, 39–40.

Received January 4, 1999