# The prescribed mean curvature equation for a revolution surface with Dirichlet condition

A. L. Maestripieri M. C. Mariani

#### Abstract

We give conditions on a continuous and bounded function H in  $R^2$  to obtain at least two weak solutions of the mean curvature equation with Dirichlet condition for revolution surfaces with boundary, using variational methods.

## Introduction

The prescribed mean curvature equation with Dirichlet condition for a vector function  $X : B \longrightarrow R^3$  is the system of non linear partial equations

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} \triangle X = 2H(X)X_u \wedge X_v & in \quad B\\ X = X_0 & in \quad \partial B \end{cases}$$

where B is the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\wedge$  denotes the exterior product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given continuous function.

When H is bounded and  $X_0$  is in the Sobolev space  $H^1(B, R^3)$ , we call  $X \in H^1(B, R^3)$  a weak solution of (1) if  $X \in X_0 + H^1_0(B, R^3)$  and for every  $\phi \in C^1_0(B, R^3)$ 

$$\int_{B} \nabla X \cdot \nabla \phi + 2H(X)X_u \wedge X_v \cdot \phi = 0.$$

In certain cases, weak solutions are obtained as critical points in  $X_0 + H_0^1(B, R^3)$ of the functional

$$D_H(X) = D(X) + 2V(X)$$

Received by the editors June 1995.

Communicated by J. Mawhin.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 35 - Secondary 35J60.

Key words and phrases : Mean curvature, Dirichlet problem, Revolution surface.

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 3 (1996), 257-265

with

$$D(X) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} |\nabla X|^2$$

the Dirichlet integral and

$$V(X) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} Q(X) \cdot X_{u} \wedge X_{v}$$

the Hildebrandt volume, and Q is the associated function to H which satisfies divQ = 3H, Q(0) = 0, [H2].

For  $X_0$  non constant and H constant, verifying that  $0 < |H| ||X_0||_{\infty} < 1$ , there are two weak solutions: a local minimum of  $D_H$  in  $X_0 + H_0^1(B, R^3)$ , [H1], [S1], and a second weak solution which is not a local minimum of  $D_H$ , called an unstable weak solution, [B-C], [S1], [S2].

When H is not constant, in certain cases there are also two weak solutions, [LD-M], [S3].

For X a revolution surface,  $X(u, v) = (f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u)), f, g \in C^2(I), I = [a, b]$ , the problem (1) becomes

$$(\text{Dir}) \begin{cases} f\prime\prime - f = -2H(f,g)fg\prime & \text{in } I \\ g\prime\prime = 2H(f,g)ff\prime & \text{in } I \\ f(a) = \alpha_1 & f(b) = \beta_1 \\ g(a) = \alpha_2 & g(b) = \beta_2 \end{cases}$$

with  $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a given continuous and bounded function, and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  positive numbers.

In 1. we see that also, in this case, there exists an associated functional to H.

In 2. we prove that this functional has a global minimum in a convex subset of  $H^1(I, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , which provides a weak solution of (Dir).

In 3., we use a variant of the Mountain Pass Lemma to find, under certain conditions a second weak solution of (Dir), corresponding to an unstable critical point of the functional. We can apply the Mountain Pass Lemma without considering bounded convex subsets of  $H^1$ , as in the general case. So, it is simpler to obtain another solution. Finally we show a family of functions H, for which the corresponding system (Dir) admits, at least, two weak solutions.

We denote  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  the usual Sobolev spaces, [A], and  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) = W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Finally, if  $X \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we denote  $||X||_{L^2(\partial\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)} = (\int_{\partial\Omega} |TrX|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $Tr : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the usual trace operator, [A].

258

# 1 The associated variational problem.

Consider two real valued functions  $f, g \in C^2[I]$ , with fixed positive boundary values

$$f(a) = \alpha_1$$
  $f(b) = \beta_1$ ,  $g(a) = \alpha_2$   $g(b) = \beta_2$ .

When f is positive and g is non decreasing the generated revolution surface in parametric form, associated to these functions, is

 $X(u,v) = (f(u)\cos v, f(u)\sin v, g(u)).$ 

The mean curvature of this surface is

$$H(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g\prime}{f\sqrt{f\prime^2 + g\prime^2}} + \frac{f\prime g\prime\prime - f\prime\prime g\prime}{(f\prime^2 + g\prime^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right),$$

see [D], and [O].

The *H*-surface system  $\Delta X = 2H(X)X_u \wedge X_v$  is, in this case, equivalent to the system

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} f'' - f = -2H(f,g)fg' \\ g'' = 2H(f,g)ff'. \end{cases}$$

From now on, we consider the system (2). We see that there exists a functional  $D_H$  corresponding to (2), i.e., (2) are the Euler Lagrange equations of  $D_H$ .

THEOREM 1: Let  $D_H : C^2([a, b], R^2) \longrightarrow R$  be the functional defined by

$$D_H(f,g) = \int_a^b \frac{f'^2 + g'^2 + f^2}{2} + \int_0^1 t^2 H(tf,tg) dt(-f^2g' + ff'g) dx.$$
  
if  $\frac{d}{dt} D_H(f + \varepsilon\phi_1, g + \varepsilon\phi_2) \Big|_{a=0} = 0$  for  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in C_0^1([a, b], R^2), (f, g)$  is

Then if  $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}D_H(f + \varepsilon\phi_1, g + \varepsilon\phi_2)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$  for  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in C_0^1([a, b], R^2)$ , (f, g) is a solution of (2).

REMARK: We say that  $(f,g) \in H^1(I, \mathbb{R}^2)$  is a weak solution of (2) if (f,g) is a critical point of  $D_H$ .

<u>*Proof:*</u>  $D_H = D_1 + D_2$ , with

$$D_1(f,g) = \int_a^b \frac{f\prime^2 + g\prime^2 + f^2}{2} dx$$

and

$$D_2(f,g) = \int_a^b \int_0^1 t^2 H(tf,tg) dt (-f^2 g' + ff'g) dx.$$

Then

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} D_1(f + \varepsilon \phi_1, g + \varepsilon \phi_2) \right|_{\varepsilon = 0} = \int_a^b (-f'' + f) \phi_1 - g'' \phi_2 dx$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}D_2(f+\varepsilon\phi_1,g+\varepsilon\phi_2)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} =$$

$$= \int_a^b \int_0^1 \left(t^3 \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(tf,tg)\phi_1 + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(tf,tg)\phi_2\right)(-f^2g\prime + ff\prime g) + t^2 H(tf,tg)[(-2fg\prime + f\prime g)\phi_1 + ff\prime \phi_2 + fg\phi_1\prime - f^2\phi_2\prime]\right) dtdx.$$

By partial integration in

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(tf, tg) dt f^{2} \phi_{2} \prime \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(tf, tg) fg \phi_{1} \prime$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} D_2(f+\varepsilon\phi_1,g+\varepsilon\phi_2) \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \\ \int_a^b \Big[ \int_0^1 \Big( t^3 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(tf,tg) f^2 g\prime - 3t^2 H(tf,tg) fg\prime - t^3 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(tf,tg) fgg\prime \Big) dt \Big] \phi_1 + \\ &+ \Big[ \int_0^1 \Big( t^3 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2}(tf,tg) ff\prime g + t^3 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1}(tf,tg) f^2 f\prime + 3t^2 H(tf,tg) ff\prime \Big) dt \Big] \phi_2 dx \end{aligned}$$

By partial integration of the terms

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(tf, tg) fg \prime dt dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(tf, tg) ff \prime dt dx$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}D_2(f+\varepsilon\phi_1,g+\varepsilon\phi_2)\bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} = -\int_a^b \Big(H(f,g)fg\prime\phi_1 + H(f,g)ff\prime\phi_2\Big)dx.$$

Then

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} D_H(f + \varepsilon \phi_1, g + \varepsilon \phi_2) \right|_{\varepsilon = 0} = \int_a^b (-f'' + f - H(f, g) fg') \phi_1 + (-g'' + H(f, g) ff') \phi_2 dx.$$

Finally if  $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}D_H(f+\varepsilon\phi_1,g+\varepsilon\phi_2)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$ ,  $(\phi_1,\phi_2) \in C_0^1(I,R^2)$ , it follows that (f,g) verifies (2).

REMARK: We call 
$$dD_H(f,g)(\phi_1,\phi_2) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}D_H(f+\varepsilon\phi_1,g+\varepsilon\phi_2)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$
.

### **2** The Dirichlet problem associated to *H*.

Consider the Dirichlet problem in I, associated to the mean curvature equation (2), for a revolution surface given by

(Dir) 
$$\begin{cases} f'' - f = -2H(f,g)fg' & \text{in } I \\ g'' = 2H(f,g)ff' & \text{in } I \\ f(a) = \alpha_1 & f(b) = \beta_1 \\ g(a) = \alpha_2 & g(b) = \beta_2 \end{cases}$$

where  $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

As we saw in 1. a critical point of  $D_H$  is a weak solution of (2). In the following theorem we give conditions to have local minima of  $D_H$  in a convenient subset of  $H^1$ , which provide weak solutions of (Dir).

THEOREM 2: Let  $H : R^2 \longrightarrow R$  be a continuous function verifying  $|H(X_1, X_2)X_1(X_1, X_2)| \leq c$ , and  $D_H : H^1(I, R^2) \longrightarrow R$  the functional associated to H. Let  $T = (f_0, g_0) + H_0^1(I, R^2)$  with  $f_0, g_0 \in H^1(I)$  and  $f_0(a) = \alpha_1, f_0(b) = \beta_1,$  $g_0(a) = \alpha_2, g_0(b) = \beta_2$ . Then  $D_H$  has a minimum  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$  in T and therefore  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$  is a solution of (Dir).

<u>Proof</u>: We prove that  $D_H$  is weakly lower semicontinuous in  $H^1$  and coercive in T. As T is an affine subspace of  $H^1$ , and hence weakly closed,  $D_H$  has a minimum  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$  in T.

From

$$D_H(f,g) \ge \int_a^b \frac{f\prime^2 + g\prime^2 + f^2}{2} - c\sqrt{f\prime^2 + g\prime^2} dx$$

we deduce that  $D_H$  is coercive.

Suppose  $(f_n, g_n)$  is a sequence in T such that  $(f_n, g_n)$  weakly converges to  $(f, g) \in T$  in  $H^1$ .

Then a subsequence  $(f_n, g_n)$  converges to (f, g) in  $L^2$  and again a subsequence  $(f_n, g_n) \longrightarrow (f, g)$  a.e. in I.

Given  $\delta > 0$ , by Egorov's theorem there exists  $I_{\delta} \subset I$ , with  $|I_{\delta}| < \delta$  and  $Q(f_n, g_n)f_n \longrightarrow Q(f, g)f$  uniformly in  $I - I_{\delta}$ .

For 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
 fixed, and  $Q(f,g) = \int_0^1 t^2 H(tf,tg) dt(f,g)$ ,

$$D_{H}(f_{n},g_{n}) = \int_{I} \frac{ft_{n}^{2} + gt_{n}^{2} + f_{n}^{2}}{2} + \int_{I-I_{\delta}} \left( Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n} - Q(f,g)f \right) (-gt_{n},ft_{n}) + \int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f,g)f(-gt_{n},ft_{n}) + \int_{I_{\delta}} Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n}(-gt_{n},ft_{n}).$$

But

$$\int_{I-I_{\delta}} |(Q(f_n, g_n)f_n - Q(f, g)f)(-g\prime_n, f\prime_n)| dx \le \varepsilon ||(g\prime_n, f\prime_n)||_2$$

and  $||(g_n, f_n)||_2$  is bounded since the sequence is weakly convergent in  $H^1$ .

Also, as  $\int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f,g) f(-g'_n, f'_n)$  is linear, it is weakly lower semicontinuous in  $H^1$ .

Finally,

$$\begin{split} |\int_{I_{\delta}} Q(f_n, g_n) f_n(-g\prime_n, f\prime_n)| &\leq \int_{I_{\delta}} |Q(f_n, g_n) f_n| |(-g\prime_n, f\prime_n)| \leq \\ &\leq c \int_{I_{\delta}} |(-g\prime_n, f\prime_n)| \leq c |I_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{g\prime_n^2 + f\prime_n^2}\|_2. \end{split}$$

So

$$D_H(f_n, g_n) \ge \int_I \frac{f \prime^2 + g \prime^2 + f^2}{2} + Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime) - 3\varepsilon.$$

#### 3 Weak solutions via the Mountain Pass Lemma.

Under certain conditions it is possible to find other weak solutions of (Dir), using the Mountain Pass Lemma, [A-R], corresponding to critical points of  $D_H$ . These points are known as unstable H-surfaces, [S1]. First, we give some technical lemmas.

LEMMA 3: Consider  $D_H : H^1 \longrightarrow R$  the associated functional to (2), suppose that  $|H(X_1, X_2)X_1(X_1, X_2)| \leq c$  in  $R^2$  then  $D_H$  is continuous and  $dD_H : H^1 \longrightarrow (H_0^1)^*$  is continuous.

<u>Proof:</u> Let  $X_n$  be a sequence in  $H^1$ ,  $X_n \longrightarrow X$ ,  $X \in H^1$ . We prove that every subsequence of  $\{X_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{X_n\}$  such that  $D_H(X_n) \longrightarrow D_H(X)$ .

As  $X_n \longrightarrow X$  in  $H^1$  there exists a subsequence  $\{X_n\}, X_n \longrightarrow X$  a. e. in I. From Egorov's theorem there exists a subset  $I_{\delta} \subset I$  with  $|I_{\delta}| \leq \delta$  verifying  $X_n \longrightarrow X$  and  $Q(X_n) \longrightarrow Q(X)$  uniformly in  $I - I_{\delta}$ .

Setting  $X_n = (f_n, g_n)$  and X = (f, g) we have

$$|D_H(X_n) - D_H(X)| \le |D(X_n) - D(X)| + |\int_I Q(f_n, g_n) f_n(-g'_n, f'_n) - Q(f, g) f(-g', f')|.$$

But

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{I} Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n}(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime,f\prime) \right| = \\ \left| \int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n}(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) + Q(f,g)f(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime,f\prime) + \int_{I_{\delta}} Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n}(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime,f\prime) \right|. \end{split}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f_{n},g_{n})f_{n}(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) \right| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{I-I_{\delta}} |(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n})|, \\ \left| \int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f,g)f(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - Q(f,g)f(-g\prime,f\prime) \right| &\leq c \int_{I} |(-g\prime_{n},f\prime_{n}) - (-g\prime,f\prime)|, \end{split}$$

263

$$\int_{I_{\delta}} \left| Q(f_n, g_n) f_n(-g\prime_n, f\prime_n) \right| \le c \int_{I_{\delta}} \left| (-g\prime_n, f\prime_n) \right| \le c |I_{\delta}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big( \int_{I} g\prime_n^2 + f\prime_n^2 \Big).$$

To see that  $dD_H$  is continuous consider  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  as in Theorem 1 and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in H_0^1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |dD_{2}(X_{n})(\phi) - dD_{2}(X)(\phi)| &= \\ &= \left| \int_{I} (-H(f_{n}, g_{n})f_{n}g\prime_{n} + H(f, g)fg\prime)\phi_{1} + (H(f_{n}, g_{n})f_{n}f\prime_{n} - H(f, g)ff\prime)\phi_{2} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \int_{I} |-H(f_{n}, g_{n})f_{n}(g\prime_{n} - g\prime)\phi_{1}| + |(-H(f_{n}, g_{n})f_{n} + H(f, g)f)g\prime\phi_{1}| + \\ &+ |H(f_{n}, g_{n})f_{n}(f\prime_{n} - f\prime)\phi_{2}) + |(H(f_{n}, g_{n}, )f_{n} - H(f, g)f)f\prime\phi_{2}|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Egorov's theorem again the proof is complete.

LEMMA 4: Consider H as in Lemma 3. Then  $D_H$  satisfies a Palais-Smale condition in T: any sequence  $\{X_n\}$  in T such that  $D_H(X_n)$  is bounded and  $dD_H(X_n) \longrightarrow$ 0 is relatively compact.

<u>*Proof:*</u> Let  $X_n = (f_n, g_n)$ , from

$$k \ge D_H(X_n) \ge \int_I \frac{f\prime_n^2 + g\prime_n^2}{2} - k_1 (\int_I f\prime_n^2 + g\prime_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

we obtain that  $\{X_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1$  and  $X_n \longrightarrow X \in T$  weakly in  $H^1$ .

Consider  $Y_n = X_n - X$  in  $H_0^1 dD_H(X_n)(Y_n) \longrightarrow 0$  since  $\{Y_n\}$  is bounded. But

$$\begin{split} dD_H(X_n)(Y_n) &= \\ &= \int_I f\prime_n (f\prime_n - f\prime) + g\prime_n (g\prime_n - g\prime) + f_n (f_n - f) - H(f_n, g_n) f_n g\prime_n (f_n - f) \\ &+ H(f_n, g_n) f_n f\prime_n (g_n - g) = \int_I (f\prime_n - f\prime)^2 + (g\prime_n - g\prime)^2 + (f_n - f)^2 + f\prime (f\prime_n - f\prime) \\ &+ g\prime (g\prime_n - g\prime) + f(f_n - f) - H(f_n, g_n) f_n g\prime_n (f_n - f) + H(f_n, g_n) f_n f\prime_n (g_n - g). \end{split}$$

Now, notice that

$$\left|\int_{I} H(f_n, g_n) f_n g'_n(f_n - f)\right| \le c \|g'_n\|_2 \|f_n - f\|_2.$$

In the same way

$$\int_{I} H(f_n, g_n) f_n f \prime_n (g_n - g) \longrightarrow 0$$

for  $(f_n, g_n)$  a subsequence of the initial sequence.

We conclude that there exists a subsequence  $X_n \longrightarrow X$  in  $H^1$ .

**REMARK:** Notice that in this case the Palais Smale condition holds in T and it is not necessary to consider bounded subsets of  $H_1$ .

LEMMA 5: For H as in Lemma 3  $dD_H$  is the Frèchet derivative of  $D_H$ . <u>Proof:</u> For  $X \in H^1$  the map  $T_X : H^1 \longrightarrow R$  given by  $T_X(h) = dD_H(X)(h)$  is linear and bounded and verifies

$$\frac{|D_H(X+h) - D_H(X) - T_X(h)|}{\|h\|_{H^1}} = |(dD_H(X+\delta h) - dD_H(X))(h^*)|$$

where  $h^* = \frac{h}{\|h\|_{H^1}}$  and  $0 \le \delta \le 1$ , and the last expression goes to zero, by Lemma 3.

As in [S1], we have the following result:

THEOREM 6: Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be as in Lemma 3, and suppose that  $X_0$  is a local minimum of  $D_H$  in T and that there exists  $X_1$  such that  $D_H(X_1) < D_H(X_0)$ . If

$$\beta = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} D_H(\gamma(t))$$

where  $\Gamma = \{\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow T, \gamma \text{ continuous, } \gamma(0) = X_0, \quad \gamma(1) = X_1\}$ , then  $D_H$  admits an unstable critical point  $X_2$  with  $D_H(X_2) = \beta$ .

REMARK: Consider  $D_H$  as in Lemma 3, and suppose that there exists  $X_0$ , a local minimum of  $D_H$  in T, and  $X_1 \in T$ , with  $D_H(X_1) < D_H(X_0)$ . Then there exists at least three weak solutions of (Dir).

Now we give a family of functions H, verifying the conditions above. Consider any continuous function  $H: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$H(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 5\\ \frac{c}{x_1\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} & x_1^2 + x_2^2 \ge 5 + \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , c > 0. Then H verifies the condition in Lemma 3. If I = [0, 1], then (1, x) is a critical point of  $D_H$ , with boundary conditions f(0) = f(1) = 1 and g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1. The point  $(f, g) = (1 + k(x^2 - x), x)$ , with  $0 < k < \frac{10}{21}$ , verifies the same conditions and

$$D_H(1+k(x^2-x),x) < D_H(1,x) = \frac{5}{6}$$

Then we conclude that there exist at least two weak solutions to the Dirichlet problem.

# References

- [A] Adams, R. A., Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, 1975.
- [A-R] Ambrosetti, A., Rabinowitz, Ph., Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Func. Anal., 14, 1973, (349-381).
- [B-C] Brezis, H., Coron, J. M., Multiple solutions of H-systems and Rellich's conjecture, Com. Pure Appl. Math., 37, 1984, (149-187).
- [D] Do Carmo, M., Differential geometry of curves and surfaces, Prentice-Hall, 1976.
- [H1] Hildebrandt, S., On the Plateau problem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, Com. Pure Appl.Math., 23, 1970, (97-114).
- [H2] Hildebrandt, S., Randwertprobleme für Flächen mit vorgeschriebener mittlerer Krümmung und Anwendungen auf die Kapillaritätstheorie. Math.Z., 112, 1969, (205-213).
- [LD-M] Lami Dozo, E., Mariani, M. C., A Dirichlet problem for an H-system with variable H, Manuscripta Math., 81, 1993, (1-14).
- [O] Osserman, R., A survey of minimal surfaces, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1969.
- [S1] Struwe, M., Plateau's problem and the calculus of variations, Princeton Univ. Press, 1988.
- [S2] Struwe, M., Non-uniqueness in the Plateau problem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 93, 1986, (135-157).
- [S3] Struwe, M., Multiple solutions to the Dirichlet problem for the equation of prescribed mean curvature, Preprint.

Alejandra L. Maestripieri-María Cristina Mariani. Instituto Argentino de Matemática, CONICET. Viamonte 1636, 1<sup>er</sup> cuerpo, 1<sup>er</sup> piso. 1055-Buenos Aires, Argentina. Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, UBA.