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#### Abstract

We give conditions on a continuous and bounded function $H$ in $R^{2}$ to obtain at least two weak solutions of the mean curvature equation with Dirichlet condition for revolution surfaces with boundary, using variational methods.


## Introduction

The prescribed mean curvature equation with Dirichlet condition for a vector function $X: B \longrightarrow R^{3}$ is the system of non linear partial equations

$$
\text { (1) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\triangle X=2 H(X) X_{u} \wedge X_{v} \quad \text { in } \quad B \\
X=X_{0} \quad \text { in } \partial B
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B$ is the unit disk in $R^{2}, \wedge$ denotes the exterior product in $R^{3}$ and $H: R^{3} \longrightarrow R$ is a given continuous function.

When $H$ is bounded and $X_{0}$ is in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$, we call $X \in$ $H^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$ a weak solution of (1) if $X \in X_{0}+H_{0}^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$ and for every $\phi \in C_{0}^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$

$$
\int_{B} \nabla X \cdot \nabla \phi+2 H(X) X_{u} \wedge X_{v} \cdot \phi=0
$$

In certain cases, weak solutions are obtained as critical points in $X_{0}+H_{0}^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$ of the functional

$$
D_{H}(X)=D(X)+2 V(X)
$$

[^0]with
$$
D(X)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{B}|\nabla X|^{2}
$$
the Dirichlet integral and
$$
V(X)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{B} Q(X) \cdot X_{u} \wedge X_{v}
$$
the Hildebrandt volume, and $Q$ is the associated function to $H$ which satisfies $\operatorname{div} Q=$ $3 H, Q(0)=0$, [H2].

For $X_{0}$ non constant and $H$ constant, verifying that $0<|H|\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{\infty}<1$, there are two weak solutions: a local minimum of $D_{H}$ in $X_{0}+H_{0}^{1}\left(B, R^{3}\right)$, [H1], [S1], and a second weak solution which is not a local minimum of $D_{H}$, called an unstable weak solution, [B-C], [S1], [S2].

When $H$ is not constant, in certain cases there are also two weak solutions, [LD-M], [S3].

For $X$ a revolution surface, $X(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u)), f, g \in C^{2}(I)$, $I=[a, b]$, the problem (1) becomes

$$
\text { (Dir) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \prime \prime-f=-2 H(f, g) f g \prime \quad \text { in } I \\
g \prime \prime=2 H(f, g) f f \prime \quad \text { in } I \\
f(a)=\alpha_{1} \quad f(b)=\beta_{1} \\
g(a)=\alpha_{2} \quad g(b)=\beta_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $H: R^{2} \longrightarrow R$ a given continuous and bounded function, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ positive numbers.

In 1. we see that also, in this case, there exists an associated functional to $H$.
In 2. we prove that this functional has a global minimum in a convex subset of $H^{1}\left(I, R^{2}\right)$, which provides a weak solution of (Dir).

In 3., we use a variant of the Mountain Pass Lemma to find, under certain conditions a second weak solution of (Dir), corresponding to an unstable critical point of the functional. We can apply the Mountain Pass Lemma without considering bounded convex subsets of $H^{1}$, as in the general case. So, it is simpler to obtain another solution. Finally we show a family of functions $H$, for which the corresponding system (Dir) admits, at least, two weak solutions.

We denote $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega, R^{n}\right)$ the usual Sobolev spaces, [A], and $H^{1}\left(\Omega, R^{n}\right)=$ $=W^{1,2}\left(\Omega, R^{n}\right)$. Finally, if $X \in H^{1}\left(\Omega, R^{n}\right)$, we denote $\|X\|_{L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega, R^{n}\right)}=$ $\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}|\operatorname{Tr} X|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\operatorname{Tr}: H^{1}\left(\Omega, R^{n}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega, R^{n}\right)$ is the usual trace operator, [A].

## 1 The associated variational problem.

Consider two real valued functions $f, g \in C^{2}[I]$, with fixed positive boundary values

$$
f(a)=\alpha_{1} \quad f(b)=\beta_{1}, \quad g(a)=\alpha_{2} \quad g(b)=\beta_{2} .
$$

When $f$ is positive and $g$ is non decreasing the generated revolution surface in parametric form, associated to these functions, is

$$
X(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u)) .
$$

The mean curvature of this surface is

$$
H(f, g)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g \prime}{f \sqrt{f \prime^{2}+g^{\prime 2}}}+\frac{f \prime g \prime \prime-f \prime \prime g \prime}{\left(f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right),
$$

see [D], and [O].
The $H$-surface system $\triangle X=2 H(X) X_{u} \wedge X_{v}$ is, in this case, equivalent to the system

$$
\text { (2) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \prime \prime-f=-2 H(f, g) f g \prime \\
g \prime \prime=2 H(f, g) f f \prime
\end{array}\right.
$$

From now on, we consider the system (2). We see that there exists a functional $D_{H}$ corresponding to (2), i.e., (2) are the Euler Lagrange equations of $D_{H}$.

Theorem 1: Let $D_{H}: C^{2}\left([a, b], R^{2}\right) \longrightarrow R$ be the functional defined by

$$
D_{H}(f, g)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{2}+f^{2}}{2}+\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) d t\left(-f^{2} g \prime+f f \prime g\right) d x .
$$

Then if $\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{H}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=0$ for $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in C_{0}^{1}\left([a, b], R^{2}\right),(f, g)$ is a solution of (2).
Remark: We say that $(f, g) \in H^{1}\left(I, R^{2}\right)$ is a weak solution of $(2)$ if $(f, g)$ is a critical point of $D_{H}$.
Proof: $D_{H}=D_{1}+D_{2}$, with

$$
D_{1}(f, g)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{2}+f^{2}}{2} d x
$$

and

$$
D_{2}(f, g)=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) d t\left(-f^{2} g \not t f f \prime g\right) d x
$$

Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{1}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=\int_{a}^{b}(-f \prime \prime+f) \phi_{1}-g \prime \prime \phi_{2} d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{2}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}= \\
& \quad=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1}\left(t^{3}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{1}}(t f, t g) \phi_{1}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{2}}(t f, t g) \phi_{2}\right)\left(-f^{2} g \prime+f f \prime g\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+t^{2} H(t f, t g)\left[(-2 f g \prime+f \prime g) \phi_{1}+f f \prime \phi_{2}+f g \phi_{1} \prime-f^{2} \phi_{2} \prime\right]\right) d t d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By partial integration in

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) d t f^{2} \phi_{2} \prime \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) f g \phi_{1} \prime
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{2}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}= \\
& \quad \int_{a}^{b}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(t^{3} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{1}}(t f, t g) f^{2} g \prime-3 t^{2} H(t f, t g) f g \prime-t^{3} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{2}}(t f, t g) f g g \prime\right) d t\right] \phi_{1}+ \\
& \quad+\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left(t^{3} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{2}}(t f, t g) f f \prime g+t^{3} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{1}}(t f, t g) f^{2} f \prime+3 t^{2} H(t f, t g) f f \prime\right) d t\right] \phi_{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By partial integration of the terms

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) f g \prime d t d x \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) f f \prime d t d x
$$

we obtain

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{2}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=-\int_{a}^{b}\left(H(f, g) f g \prime \phi_{1}+H(f, g) f f \prime \phi_{2}\right) d x
$$

Then

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{H}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=\int_{a}^{b}(-f \prime \prime+f-H(f, g) f g \prime) \phi_{1}+(-g \prime \prime+H(f, g) f f \prime) \phi_{2} d x
$$

Finally if $\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{H}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=0, \quad\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(I, R^{2}\right)$, it follows that $(f, g)$ verifies (2).

REMARK: We call $d D_{H}(f, g)\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} D_{H}\left(f+\varepsilon \phi_{1}, g+\varepsilon \phi_{2}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}$.

## 2 The Dirichlet problem associated to $H$.

Consider the Dirichlet problem in $I$, associated to the mean curvature equation (2), for a revolution surface given by

$$
\text { (Dir) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \prime \prime-f=-2 H(f, g) f g \prime \quad \text { in } I \\
g \prime \prime=2 H(f, g) f f \prime \quad \text { in } I \\
f(a)=\alpha_{1} \quad f(b)=\beta_{1} \\
g(a)=\alpha_{2} \quad g(b)=\beta_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H: R^{2} \longrightarrow R$ is continuous.
As we saw in 1. a critical point of $D_{H}$ is a weak solution of (2). In the following theorem we give conditions to have local minima of $D_{H}$ in a convenient subset of $H^{1}$, which provide weak solutions of (Dir).

THEOREM 2: Let $H: R^{2} \longrightarrow R$ be a continuous function verifying $\left|H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right| \leq c$, and $D_{H}: H^{1}\left(I, R^{2}\right) \longrightarrow R$ the functional associated to $H$. Let $T=\left(f_{0}, g_{0}\right)+H_{0}^{1}\left(I, R^{2}\right)$ with $f_{0}, g_{0} \in H^{1}(I)$ and $f_{0}(a)=\alpha_{1}, f_{0}(b)=\beta_{1}$, $g_{0}(a)=\alpha_{2}, g_{0}(b)=\beta_{2}$. Then $D_{H}$ has a minimum $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ in $T$ and therefore $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ is a solution of (Dir).
Proof: We prove that $D_{H}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous in $H^{1}$ and coercive in $T$. As $T$ is an affine subspace of $H^{1}$, and hence weakly closed, $D_{H}$ has a minimum $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ in $T$.

From

$$
D_{H}(f, g) \geq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{2}+f^{2}}{2}-c \sqrt{f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{2}} d x
$$

we deduce that $D_{H}$ is coercive.
Suppose $\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $T$ such that $\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ weakly converges to $(f, g) \in$ $T$ in $H^{1}$.

Then a subsequence $\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ converges to $(f, g)$ in $L^{2}$ and again a subsequence $\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) \longrightarrow(f, g)$ a.e. in $I$.

Given $\delta>0$, by Egorov's theorem there exists $I_{\delta} \subset I$, with $\left|I_{\delta}\right|<\delta$ and $Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} \longrightarrow Q(f, g) f$ uniformly in $I-I_{\delta}$.

For $\varepsilon>0$ fixed, and $Q(f, g)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t f, t g) d t(f, g)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{H}\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) & =\int_{I} \frac{f \prime_{n}^{2}+g \prime_{n}^{2}+f_{n}^{2}}{2}+\int_{I-I_{\delta}}\left(Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}-Q(f, g) f\right)\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)+ \\
& +\int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)+\int_{I_{\delta}} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\int_{I-I_{\delta}}\left|\left(Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}-Q(f, g) f\right)\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| d x \leq \varepsilon\left\|\left(g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}
$$

and $\left\|\left(g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}$ is bounded since the sequence is weakly convergent in $H^{1}$.

Also, as $\int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)$ is linear, it is weakly lower semicontinuous in $H^{1}$.

Finally,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{I_{\delta}} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| \leq \int_{I_{\delta}}\left|Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} \|\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| \leq \\
\leq c \int_{I_{\delta}}\left|\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| \leq c\left|I_{\delta}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\sqrt{g \prime_{n}^{2}+f \prime_{n}^{2}}\right\|_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
D_{H}\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) \geq \int_{I} \frac{f \prime^{2}+g \prime^{2}+f^{2}}{2}+Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime)-3 \varepsilon
$$

## 3 Weak solutions via the Mountain Pass Lemma.

Under certain conditions it is possible to find other weak solutions of (Dir), using the Mountain Pass Lemma, [A-R], corresponding to critical points of $D_{H}$. These points are known as unstable H-surfaces, [S1]. First, we give some technical lemmas.
Lemma 3: Consider $D_{H}: H^{1} \longrightarrow R$ the associated functional to (2), suppose that $\left|H\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right| \leq c$ in $R^{2}$ then $D_{H}$ is continuous and $d D_{H}: H^{1} \longrightarrow$ $\left(H_{0}^{1}\right)^{*}$ is continuous.
Proof: Let $X_{n}$ be a sequence in $H^{1}, X_{n} \longrightarrow X, X \in H^{1}$. We prove that every subsequence of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ has a subsequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ such that $D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right) \longrightarrow D_{H}(X)$.

As $X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ in $H^{1}$ there exists a subsequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}, X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ a. e. in $I$. From Egorov's theorem there exists a subset $I_{\delta} \subset I$ with $\left|I_{\delta}\right| \leq \delta$ verifying $X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ and $Q\left(X_{n}\right) \longrightarrow Q(X)$ uniformly in $I-I_{\delta}$.

Setting $X_{n}=\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ and $X=(f, g)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right)-D_{H}(X)\right| \leq \\
& \quad\left|D\left(X_{n}\right)-D(X)\right|+\left|\int_{I} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{I} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime)\right|= \\
& \qquad \mid \int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)+Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)- \\
& \quad-Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime)+\int_{I_{\delta}} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime) \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon \int_{I-I_{\delta}}\left|\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)\right| \\
\left|\int_{I-I_{\delta}} Q(f, g) f\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-Q(f, g) f(-g \prime, f \prime)\right| \leq c \int_{I}\left|\left(-g \prime_{n}, f \prime_{n}\right)-(-g \prime, f \prime)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\int_{I_{\delta}}\left|Q\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(-g \prime_{n}, f I_{n}\right)\right| \leq c \int_{I_{\delta}}\left|\left(-g \prime_{n}, f I_{n}\right)\right| \leq c\left|I_{\delta}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{I} g \prime_{n}^{2}+f \prime_{n}^{2}\right) .
$$

To see that $d D_{H}$ is continuous consider $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ as in Theorem 1 and $\phi=$ $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|d D_{2}\left(X_{n}\right)(\phi)-d D_{2}(X)(\phi)\right|= \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{I}\left(-H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} g \prime_{n}+H(f, g) f g^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}+\left(H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} f \prime_{n}-H(f, g) f f \prime\right) \phi_{2}\right| \leq \\
& \quad \leq \int_{I}\left|-H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(g \prime_{n}-g^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\right|+\left|\left(-H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}+H(f, g) f\right) g^{\prime} \phi_{1}\right|+ \\
& \left.\quad+\mid H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n}\left(f \prime_{n}-f \prime\right) \phi_{2}\right)+\left|\left(H\left(f_{n}, g_{n},\right) f_{n}-H(f, g) f\right) f \prime \phi_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Egorov's theorem again the proof is complete.
Lemma 4: Consider $H$ as in Lemma 3. Then $D_{H}$ satisfies a Palais-Smale condition in $T$ : any sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ in $T$ such that $D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $d D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right) \longrightarrow$ 0 is relatively compact.
Proof: Let $X_{n}=\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$, from

$$
k \geq D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right) \geq \int_{I} \frac{f \prime_{n}^{2}+g \prime_{n}^{2}}{2}-k_{1}\left(\int_{I} f \prime_{n}^{2}+g \prime_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

we obtain that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H^{1}$ and $X_{n} \longrightarrow X \in T$ weakly in $H^{1}$.
Consider $Y_{n}=X_{n}-X$ in $H_{0}^{1} d D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right)\left(Y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ since $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d D_{H}\left(X_{n}\right)\left(Y_{n}\right)= \\
& =\int_{I} f \prime_{n}\left(f \prime_{n}-f \prime\right)+g \prime_{n}\left(g \prime_{n}-g \prime\right)+f_{n}\left(f_{n}-f\right)-H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} g \prime_{n}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \\
& +H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} f \prime_{n}\left(g_{n}-g\right)=\int_{I}\left(f \prime_{n}-f \prime\right)^{2}+\left(g \prime_{n}-g \prime\right)^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f\right)^{2}+f \prime\left(f \prime_{n}-f \prime\right) \\
& +g \prime\left(g \prime_{n}-g \prime\right)+f\left(f_{n}-f\right)-H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} g \prime_{n}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} f \prime_{n}\left(g_{n}-g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, notice that

$$
\left|\int_{I} H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} g \prime_{n}\left(f_{n}-f\right)\right| \leq c\left\|g I_{n}\right\|_{2}\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{2}
$$

In the same way

$$
\int_{I} H\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) f_{n} f \prime_{n}\left(g_{n}-g\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for $\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ a subsequence of the initial sequence.
We conclude that there exists a subsequence $X_{n} \longrightarrow X$ in $H^{1}$.

Remark: Notice that in this case the Palais Smale condition holds in $T$ and it is not necessary to consider bounded subsets of $H_{1}$.

Lemma 5: For $H$ as in Lemma $3 d D_{H}$ is the Frèchet derivative of $D_{H}$.
Proof: For $X \in H^{1}$ the map $T_{X}: H^{1} \longrightarrow R$ given by $T_{X}(h)=d D_{H}(X)(h)$ is linear and bounded and verifies

$$
\frac{\left|D_{H}(X+h)-D_{H}(X)-T_{X}(h)\right|}{\|h\|_{H^{1}}}=\left|\left(d D_{H}(X+\delta h)-d D_{H}(X)\right)\left(h^{*}\right)\right|
$$

where $h^{*}=\frac{h}{\|h\|_{H^{1}}}$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, and the last expresion goes to zero, by Lemma 3 .
As in [S1], we have the following result:
Theorem 6: Let $H: R^{2} \longrightarrow R$ be as in Lemma 3, and suppose that $X_{0}$ is a local minimun of $D_{H}$ in $T$ and that there exists $X_{1}$ such that $D_{H}\left(X_{1}\right)<D_{H}\left(X_{0}\right)$. If

$$
\beta=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} D_{H}(\gamma(t))
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma:[0,1] \longrightarrow T, \gamma\right.$ continuous, $\left.\gamma(0)=X_{0}, \quad \gamma(1)=X_{1}\right\}$, then $D_{H}$ admits an unstable critical point $X_{2}$ with $D_{H}\left(X_{2}\right)=\beta$.

REMARK: Consider $D_{H}$ as in Lemma 3, and suppose that there exists $X_{0}$, a local minimum of $D_{H}$ in $T$, and $X_{1} \in T$, with $D_{H}\left(X_{1}\right)<D_{H}\left(X_{0}\right)$. Then there exists at least three weak solutions of (Dir).

Now we give a family of functions $H$, verifying the conditions above. Consider any continuous function $H: R^{2} \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$
H\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \quad c^{x_{1}^{2}}+x_{2}^{2} \leq 5 \\
\frac{x_{1} \sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}}{} \quad x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \geq 5+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\varepsilon>0, c>0$. Then $H$ verifies the condition in Lemma 3. If $I=[0,1]$, then $(1, x)$ is a critical point of $D_{H}$, with boundary conditions $f(0)=f(1)=1$ and $g(0)=0, g(1)=1$. The point $(f, g)=\left(1+k\left(x^{2}-x\right), x\right)$, with $0<k<\frac{10}{21}$, verifies the same conditions and

$$
D_{H}\left(1+k\left(x^{2}-x\right), x\right)<D_{H}(1, x)=\frac{5}{6} .
$$

Then we conclude that there exist at least two weak solutions to the Dirichlet problem.
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