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#### Abstract

In this Note we give elementary proofs - based on umbral calculus - of the most fundamental congruences satisfied by the Bell numbers and polynomials. In particular, we establish the conguences of Touchard, Comtet and Radoux as well as a (new) supercongruence conjectured by M. Zuber.


## 1 Some polynomial congruences

In this note, $p$ will always denote a fixed prime number and $A$ will either be the ring $\mathbf{Z}$ of integers or the ring $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ of $p$-adic integers. Let $f(x), g(x) \in A[x]$ be two polynomials in one variable $x$ and coefficients in the ring $A$.

Lemma 1.1.- If $f(x) \equiv g(x) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x]$ for some integer $\nu \geq 1$, then

$$
f(x)^{p} \equiv g(x)^{p} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x] .
$$

Proof.- By hypothesis

$$
f(x)=g(x)+p^{\nu} h(x) \quad \text { where } h(x) \in A[x] .
$$

Hence

$$
f(x)^{p}=\left(g(x)+p^{\nu} h(x)\right)^{p}=g(x)^{p}+p^{\nu+1} r(x) \quad \text { with } r(x) \in A[x] \text {, }
$$

and

$$
f(x)^{p} \equiv g(x)^{p} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x] .
$$
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Let us consider a product of $p$ consecutive $p^{\nu}$-translates of a polynomial $f$

$$
f(x) f\left(x-p^{\nu}\right) \ldots f\left(x-(p-1) p^{\nu}\right)=\prod_{0 \leq k<p} f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right) .
$$

We have then
Lemma 1.2.- Let us assume that the prime p is odd. Then for any integer $\nu \geq 0$ the following congruence holds

$$
\prod_{0 \leq k<p} f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right) \equiv f(x)^{p} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x] .
$$

Proof.- We have $f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right)=f(x)-k p^{\nu} f^{\prime}(x)+p^{2 \nu} \alpha_{k, \nu}(x) \in A[x]$, with $\alpha_{k, \nu}(x) \in A[x]$. We infer

$$
f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right) \equiv f(x)-k p^{\nu} f^{\prime}(x) \bmod p^{2 \nu} A[x],
$$

whence

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\prod_{0 \leq k<p} f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right) & \equiv f(x)^{p}-\sum_{0<k<p} k p^{\nu} f^{\prime}(x) f(x)^{p-1} & \bmod p^{2 \nu} A[x] \\
& \equiv f(x)^{p}-\frac{p-1}{2} p \cdot p^{\nu} f^{\prime}(x) f(x)^{p-1} & \bmod p^{2 \nu} A[x] \\
& \equiv f(x)^{p} & \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x] .
\end{array}
$$

It is obvious here that for $p=2$ we only get

$$
f(x) f\left(x-2^{\nu}\right) \equiv f(x)^{2} \bmod 2^{\nu} A[x]
$$

and we loose one factor 2 with respect to the case $p$ odd.
Let us now consider the Pochhammer system of polynomials defined by

$$
(x)_{n}=x(x-1) \ldots(x-n+1)
$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (with $(x)_{0}=1$ by convention). Thus $(x)_{n}$ is a unitary polynomial of degree $n$ with integer coefficients. This system is a basis of the $A$-module $A[x]$.

Lemma 1.3.- For $\nu \geq 1$, the polynomials $(x)_{p^{\nu}}=x(x-1) \ldots\left(x-p^{\nu}+1\right)$ verify the following congruence

$$
(x)_{p^{\nu}} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] .
$$

Proof.- We proceed by induction on $\nu$. The two polynomials $(x)_{p}$ and $x^{p}-x$ have the same roots in the prime field $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ with $p$ elements. Hence they coincide in the ring $\mathbf{F}_{p}[x]$. This proves the first step of the induction

$$
(x)_{p}=x(x-1) \ldots(x-p+1) \equiv x^{p}-x \bmod p A[x] .
$$

Suppose now $(x)_{p^{\nu}} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} \bmod p^{\nu} A[x]$, and apply lemma 1.2 to the polynomial $f(x)=(x)_{p^{\nu}}$. The equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x)_{p^{\nu+1}} & =(x)_{p^{\nu}}\left(x-p^{\nu}\right)_{p^{\nu}}\left(x-2 p^{\nu}\right)_{p^{\nu}} \ldots\left(x-(p-1) p^{\nu}\right)_{p^{\nu}} \\
& =\prod_{0 \leq k<p} f\left(x-k p^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

leads to the congruence $\quad(x)_{p^{\nu+1}} \equiv(x)_{p^{\nu}}^{p} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x]$. Applying lemma 1.1 to the induction hypothesis $(x)_{p^{\nu}} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} \bmod p^{\nu} A[x]$ we get

$$
(x)_{p^{\nu}}^{p} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x] .
$$

Finally, we have

$$
(x)_{p^{\nu+1}} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}} \bmod p^{\nu+1} A[x]
$$

as expected.
For $p=2$ we have similarly

$$
(x)_{2^{\nu}} \equiv\left(x^{2}-x\right)^{2^{\nu-1}} \bmod 2^{\nu-1} A[x] .
$$
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## 2 Umbral calculus

Let us consider the $A$-linear operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: A[x] & \longrightarrow A[x] \\
(x)_{n} & \longmapsto x^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $A$-module $A[x]$ is free with basis $\left((x)_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ this indeed defines a unique isomorphism $\Phi$.

Definitions.- 1) The $n$-th Bell polynomial $B_{n}(x)$ is the image of $x^{n}$ by $\Phi$.
2) The $n$-th Bell number $B_{n}$ is defined by

$$
B_{n}=B_{n}(1)=\left.\Phi\left(x^{n}\right)\right|_{x=1}
$$

Proposition 2.1.- For $f \in A[x]$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{n} \Phi(f)=\Phi\left((x)_{n} f(x-n)\right), \\
x \cdot \Phi\left((x+1)^{n}\right)=\Phi\left(x^{n+1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof.- It is clear that $(x)_{n+m}=(x)_{n}(x-n)_{m}$ whence

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{n+m}=\Phi\left((x)_{n+m}\right)=\Phi\left((x)_{n}(x-n)_{m}\right), \\
x^{n} \Phi\left((x)_{m}\right)=\Phi\left((x)_{n}(x-n)_{m}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $f(x)=\sum_{\text {finite }} c_{m}(x)_{m},\left(c_{m} \in A\right)$ we deduce by linearity

$$
x^{n} \Phi(f)=\Phi\left((x)_{n} f(x-n)\right)
$$

which is the first equality. For $n=1$ we get in particular

$$
x \Phi(f)=\Phi(x f(x-1))
$$

and taking the polynomial $f(x)=(x+1)^{n}$ we find

$$
x \Phi\left((x+1)^{n}\right)=\Phi\left(x \cdot x^{n}\right)=\Phi\left(x^{n+1}\right) .
$$

Corollary 2.2.- The Bell polynomials can be computed inductively by means of the following recurrence relation

$$
B_{n+1}(x)=x \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n}\binom{n}{k} B_{k}(x), \quad(n \geq 0)
$$

starting with $B_{0}(x)=1$.
Proof.- This follows simply from the linearity of the operator $\Phi$ and the binomial expansion $(x+1)^{n}=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n}\binom{n}{k} x^{k}$.

Corollary 2.3.- Let $p$ be an odd prime, $\nu \geq 1$ and $f \in A[x]$. Then we have a congruence

$$
\Phi\left(\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} f\right) \equiv x^{p^{\nu}} \Phi(f) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] .
$$

Proof.- Put $n=p^{\nu}$ in proposition 2.1 and reduce the equality modulo $p^{\nu}$. We get

$$
x^{p^{\nu}} \Phi(f) \equiv \Phi\left((x)_{p^{\nu}} f\right) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] .
$$

On the other hand using lemma 1.3

$$
(x)_{p^{\nu}} \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} \bmod p^{\nu} A[x],
$$

we infer

$$
x^{p^{\nu}} \Phi(f) \equiv \Phi\left(\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu-1}} f\right) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] .
$$

Lemma 2.4.- If $S, T: A[x] \longrightarrow A[x]$ are two commuting linear operators and $\nu \geq 1$ an integer such that

$$
\Phi(S f) \equiv \Phi(T f) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] \quad \text { for all } f \in A[x]
$$

then

$$
\Phi\left(S^{k} f\right) \equiv \Phi\left(T^{k} f\right) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbf{N} \quad \text { and all } f \in A[x]
$$

Proof.- We proceed by induction on $k$. The case $k=1$ corresponds to the hypothesis of the lemma. Let us assume that the congruence

$$
\Phi\left(S^{k-1} f\right) \equiv \Phi\left(T^{k-1} f\right) \bmod p^{\nu} A[x]
$$

holds for all $f \in A[x]$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Phi\left(S^{k} f\right)=\Phi\left(S\left(S^{k-1} f\right)\right) & \equiv \Phi\left(T\left(S^{k-1} f\right)\right)=\Phi\left(S^{k-1}(T f)\right) & \bmod p^{\nu} A[x] \\
& \equiv \Phi\left(T^{k-1}(T f)\right)=\Phi\left(T^{k} f\right) \quad \bmod p^{\nu} A[x]
\end{array}
$$

## 3 The Radoux congruences for the Bell polynomials

Proposition 3.1.- For $\nu \geq 1$ and p prime, the following congruence holds

$$
B_{n+p^{\nu}}(x) \equiv B_{n+1}(x)+\left(x^{p}+\ldots+x^{p^{\nu}}\right) B_{n}(x) \bmod p A[x] .
$$

Proof.- By corollary 2.3 (and also when $p=2$ by the observation made after the proof of lemma 1.3) we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Phi\left(\left(x^{p}-x\right) f\right) & \equiv x^{p} \Phi(f) & \bmod p A[x] \\
\Phi\left(\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p} f\right) & \equiv x^{p^{2}} \Phi(f) & \bmod p A[x] \\
& \vdots &
\end{array}
$$

Use

$$
\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{k}} \equiv x^{p^{k+1}}-x^{p^{k}} \bmod p A[x],
$$

and add the preceding congruences term by term. The telescoping sum reduces to

$$
\Phi\left(\left(x^{p^{\nu}}-x\right) f\right) \equiv\left(x^{p}+x^{p^{2}}+\ldots+x^{p^{\nu}}\right) \Phi(f) \bmod p A[x] .
$$

Taking $f(x)=x^{n}$ we obtain

$$
B_{n+p^{\nu}}(x)-B_{n+1}(x) \equiv\left(x^{p}+\ldots+x^{p^{\nu}}\right) B_{n}(x) \bmod p A[x]
$$

thereby proving the announced congruence (Radoux [4], [5]).

Corollary 3.2.- We have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
B_{n+p}(x) & \equiv B_{n+1}(x)+x^{p} B_{n}(x) & \bmod p A[x], \\
B_{p^{\nu}}(x) & \equiv x+x^{p}+\ldots+x^{p^{\nu}} & & \bmod p A[x] \\
& \equiv x+B_{p^{\nu-1}}\left(x^{p}\right) & & \bmod p A[x] .
\end{array}
$$

Comment.- Since $x^{n}=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_{k, n}(x)_{n}$ where the coefficients are the Stirling numbers (of the second kind), we also have $B_{n}(x)=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_{k, n} x^{n}$. All congruences proved for the Bell polynomials concern congruences for the corresponding Stirling numbers. If we recall that $S_{k, n}$ represents the number of partitions of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $k$ non empty parts, we also deduce that $B_{n}=B_{n}(1)=\sum_{k} S_{k, n}$ represents the total number of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

## 4 A supercongruence for the Bell numbers

We are going to show that the congruence (Comtet [2])

$$
B_{n p} \equiv B_{n+1} \quad \bmod p \quad(n \in \mathbf{N}, p \text { odd })
$$

in fact holds modulo higher powers of the prime $p$. This had been conjectured by M. Zuber (it seems to be the only general congruence modulo powers of primes that is known for the Bell numbers).

Introduce the linear form

$$
\varphi: A[x] \longrightarrow A
$$

defined by $\varphi(f)=\left.\Phi(f)\right|_{x=1}$. It is characterized by $\varphi\left((x)_{n}\right)=1 \quad(n \in \mathbf{N})$ and the Bell numbers $B_{n}$ can also be defined by $B_{n}=\varphi\left(x^{n}\right)$.

On $A[x]$, we consider the equivalence relations

$$
f \stackrel{p^{\nu}}{\sim} g \quad \text { whenever } \quad \varphi(f) \equiv \varphi(g) \bmod p^{\nu} A
$$

Theorem 4.1.- For $f \in A[x], \nu \geq 1$ and an odd prime $p$, we have the following congruence

$$
x^{p^{\nu}} f \stackrel{p^{\nu}}{\sim}(1+x)^{p^{\nu-1}} f .
$$

Proof.- We proceed by induction on $\nu$. For $\nu=1 \quad(x)_{p} \equiv x^{p}-x \bmod p A[x]$ whence

$$
(x)_{p} f \equiv\left(x^{p}-x\right) f \bmod p A[x] \quad \text { for } \quad f \in A[x] .
$$

Moreover by proposition 2.1 (with $n=p) \Phi\left((x)_{p} f\right) \equiv x^{p} \Phi(f) \bmod p A[x]$. If we evaluate this at $x=1$ we get $(x)_{p} f \stackrel{p}{\sim} f$. This proves $f \stackrel{p}{\sim}\left(x^{p}-x\right) f$, and

$$
x^{p} f \stackrel{p}{\sim}(1+x) f .
$$

Assume now that the congruence $x^{p^{n}} f \stackrel{p^{n}}{\sim}(1+x)^{p^{n-1}} f$ holds for all $n \leq \nu$ and all $f \in A[x]$. There remains to prove that

$$
x^{p^{\nu+1}} f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}(1+x)^{p^{\nu}} f .
$$

Let us also recall corollary 2.3 (after evaluation at $x=1$ )
(*) $\quad f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}} f$
and expand $\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}}$ with Newton's binomial formula

$$
\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}}=x^{p^{\nu+1}}-x^{p^{\nu}}+\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu}-1}\binom{p^{\nu}}{k} x^{k p}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k} .
$$

The binomial coefficients $\binom{p^{\nu}}{k}$ appearing under the summation sign are all divisible by p. More precisely, let us write their index $k$ as $k=m p^{\alpha}$ with $0 \leq \alpha<\nu$ and $m$ prime to $p$. Then

$$
\binom{p^{\nu}}{k}=\binom{p^{\nu}}{m p^{\alpha}}=p^{\nu-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{m}\binom{p^{\nu}-1}{m p^{\alpha}-1} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{\nu-\alpha} A .
$$

In lemma 2.4 take for $S$ the operator of multiplication by $x^{p^{\alpha+1}}$ and for $T$ the operator of multiplication by $(1+x)^{p^{\alpha}}$. Then the induction hypothesis for $f(x)=(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k}$ leads to

$$
x^{k p}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k}=x^{m p^{\alpha+1}} f \stackrel{p^{\alpha+1}}{\sim}(1+x)^{m p^{\alpha}} f=(1+x)^{k} f .
$$

Hence

$$
\binom{p^{\nu}}{k} x^{k p}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k} \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}\binom{p^{\nu}}{k}(1+x)^{k}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k} .
$$

Altogether we have established

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu}-1}\binom{p^{\nu}}{k} x^{k p}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k} \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim} \sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu}-1}\binom{p^{\nu}}{k}(1+x)^{k}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k}
$$

But the right hand side is also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu}-1}\binom{p^{\nu}}{k}(1+x)^{k}(-x)^{p^{\nu}-k} & =((1+x)-x)^{p^{\nu}}-(1+x)^{p^{\nu}}+x^{p^{\nu}} \\
& =1-(1+x)^{p^{\nu}}+x^{p^{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, use (*)

$$
f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}\left(x^{p}-x\right)^{p^{\nu}} f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}\left(x^{p^{\nu+1}}-x^{p^{\nu}}+1-(1+x)^{p^{\nu}}+x^{p^{\nu}}\right) f .
$$

Hence

$$
f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim} x^{p^{\nu+1}} f+f-(1+x)^{p^{\nu}} f
$$

and $x^{p^{\nu+1}} f \stackrel{p^{\nu+1}}{\sim}(1+x)^{p^{\nu}} f \quad$ as wanted.

Corollary 4.2.- The Bell numbers satisfy the supercongruences

$$
B_{n p} \equiv B_{n+1} \quad \bmod n p \mathbf{Z}_{p} \quad(n \in \mathbf{N}, p \text { odd })
$$

whereas for $p=2$

$$
B_{2 n} \equiv B_{n+1} \quad \bmod n \mathbf{Z}_{2}
$$

In other words, if $p^{\nu}$ is the highest power of $p$ that divides $n$, we have

$$
B_{n p} \equiv B_{n+1} \quad \bmod p^{\nu+1}
$$

when $p$ is odd and one power is lost when $p=2$ (the comments in section 1 concerning the case $p=2$ explain it).

Proof.- Let us write $n=k p^{\nu-1}$ with $k \in \mathbf{N}, k$ prime to $p$, and $\nu \geq 1$. By theorem 4.1 and lemma 2.4

$$
x^{k p^{\nu}} f \stackrel{p^{\nu}}{\sim}(1+x)^{k p^{\nu-1}} f .
$$

Taking for $f$ the constant 1 we get $x^{k p^{\nu}} \stackrel{p^{\nu}}{\sim}(1+x)^{k p^{\nu-1}}$ namely $x^{n p} \stackrel{p^{\nu}}{\sim}(1+x)^{n}$. This last expression means

$$
\varphi\left(x^{n p}\right) \equiv \varphi\left((1+x)^{n}\right) \bmod n p \mathbf{Z}_{p}
$$

Using the second equality of proposition 2.1 (evaluated at $x=1$ ) we finally obtain

$$
B_{n p} \equiv B_{n+1} \quad \bmod n p \mathbf{Z}_{p}
$$
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