

# Some Representations of Affine Conformal Transformations of Minkowski Space

George Mărgulescu

## Abstract

We consider the groups  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  that are different from affine conformal group just because the space-like or (and) time-like symmetries are accompanied by the inversion  $I_0$  (charge conjugation operation). For these groups there exist some fundamental spin representations (spin  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ) given by (14); the representations of the subgroup formed from the proper Lorentz group, the homotheties and the considered symmetries, for different couples  $\lambda, \mu$  such that  $\mu^2 - \lambda^2 = 1$ , are equivalent.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 22E43, 53A30, 22E70, 53B50, 53A50.

**Key words:** Conformal group, spin representations, conformal spinors.

1. Let  $M$  be Minkowski space,  $x^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) being orthogonal coordinates,  $\mathbf{x}^2 = -(x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 + (x^4)^2$ . Let  $M^\#$  be *compactified Minkowski space* [1], [2], [4] ( $M$  with a "null cone at infinity") and  $G$  the group of conformal transformations of  $M$  (more exactly, of  $M^\#$ ). We know that the transformations of  $G$  may be considered as linear transformations in a six-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space  $E_6^2$  with the metric  $G_{ab} = \varepsilon_a \delta_a^b$ ,  $\varepsilon_a = -1, -1, -1, +1, -1, +1$  and let  $O(4, 2)$  the group of motions of  $E_6^2$ . Then  $G \approx O(4, 2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  is the center of  $O(4, 2)$  which, in the matrix (six-dimensional) representation, consists of  $I$  and  $-I$ . We can construct an epimorphism  $\eta : O(4, 2) \rightarrow G$  in the following manner: to every  $\sigma \equiv \sigma(t_b^a) \in O(4, 2)$ , ( $z^{ta} = t_b^a z^b$ ,  $G_{ab} t_c^a t_d^b = G_{cd}$ ,  $a, \dots, d = 1, \dots, 6$ ) corresponds  $g \in G$

$$(1) \quad g : x^i = \frac{\sum t_j^i x^j + \frac{1}{2}(t_5^i + t_6^i) \mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(t_5^i - t_6^i)}{\sum (t_j^5 - t_j^6) x^j + \frac{1}{2}(t_6^5 - t_6^6 + t_5^5 - t_5^6) \mathbf{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(t_5^5 - t_5^6 - t_6^5 + t_6^6)};$$

$$\left( \frac{z^i}{z^5 - z^6} = x^i, \frac{z^5}{z^5 - z^6} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^2 + 1}{2}, \frac{z^6}{z^5 - z^6} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^2 - 1}{2} \right).$$

Note that  $\sigma \equiv \sigma(t_b^a)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \equiv \sigma(-t_b^a)$  have the same image, therefore  $O(4, 2)$  is a two-fold covering group of  $G$ . In particular, if  $O^c$  and  $G^c$  denote the identity-connected component of  $O(4, 2)$  and  $G$  respectively, we see that  $O^c$  is also a two-fold covering group of  $G^c$ .

Let  $G_0 \subset G$  be the *affine conformal group*, for which the "null cone at infinity" is preserved.  $G_0$  is formed by the *full Lorentz group*  $\mathcal{L}$ , ( $x'^i = t_j^i x^j$ , 6 parameters), the *translation group*  $\mathcal{T}$  ( $T(\mathbf{a}) : x'^i = x^i - a^i$ , 4 parameters) and the *dilatation group*  $\mathcal{H}$  ( $H(\theta) : x'^i = e^{-\theta} x^i$ , 1 parameter).  $\mathcal{L}$  is formed from  $\mathcal{L}_+^\uparrow$  (identity-connected component of  $\mathcal{L}$ ) by addition of two symmetries, for instance  $\tau_1 : x^1 \rightarrow -x^1$  and  $\tau_4 : x^4 \rightarrow -x^4$ . The full conformal group  $G$  (with 15 parameters) is obtained from  $G_0$  by addition of the inversion  $I_0 : x'^i = \frac{x^i}{\mathbf{x}^2}$ . One obtains a new subgroup with 4 parameters

$$(2) \quad G_s(a^i) : x'^i = \frac{x^i + a^i \mathbf{x}^2}{1 + 2a^i x_i + \mathbf{a}^2 \mathbf{x}^2}.$$

By direct computation one obtains  $G_s(a^i) = I_0 T(-\mathbf{a}) I_0$  and also  $G_s(a^i) = I(\mathbf{a}) \tau_a$ , where  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is the inversion relative to the hypersphere of center  $a^i/\mathbf{a}^2$  and radius  $1/\sqrt{\mathbf{a}^2}$ , and  $\tau_a$  is the symmetry in the direction of nonisotropic vector  $\mathbf{a}(\tau_a : x'^i = (\delta_j^i - 2\frac{a^i a_j}{\mathbf{a}^2})x^j)$ .

In  $E_6^2$  we have

$$H(\theta) : \begin{cases} z'^i = z^i & (i = 1, \dots, 4) \\ z'^5 = -\sinh \theta z^5 + \cosh \theta z^6 \\ z'^6 = \cosh \theta z^5 - \sinh \theta z^6, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{L} : \begin{cases} z'^i = l_j^i z^j \\ z'^5 = z^5 \\ z'^6 = z^6, \end{cases}$$

$$G_s(\mathbf{a}) : \begin{cases} z'^i = z^i + a^i(z^5 + z^6) \\ z'^5 = (1 + \frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2})z^5 + \frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2}z^6 + a_i z^i \\ z'^6 = (1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2})z^6 - \frac{\mathbf{a}^2}{2}z^5 - a_i z^i, \end{cases}$$

$$T(a^i) : \begin{cases} z'^i = z^i + a^i(z^6 - z^5) \\ z'^5 = z^5 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}^2(z^6 - z^5) - a_i z^i \\ z'^6 = z^6 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}^2(z^6 - z^5) - a_i z^i. \end{cases}$$

Reflexion  $\tau_i : x^i \rightarrow -x^i$  (fixed  $i$ ) corresponds in  $E_6^2$  to  $z^i \rightarrow -z^i$ . The reflexion  $\tau_6 : z^6 \rightarrow -z^6$  corresponds, in  $M^\#$ , to the inversion  $I_0$  and  $\tau_5$  is corresponding to  $I_0$  followed of the "total reflection" of the axes  $x'^i = -x^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ).

To obtain the spin representations of  $G$ , it suffices to get the spin representations of  $O(4, 2)$ . For this, we consider the Clifford algebra  $C_6$  associated to  $E_6^2$ .

**2.** Let  $C_6$  be the associative algebra with unity generated (with complex coefficients) by six entities  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_6$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad \beta_a \beta_b + \beta_b \beta_a = 2G_{ab} \cdot 1$$

Then  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_6$  are linearly independent and let  $W \subset C_6$  be the linear space spanned by the  $\beta_a$ . For every two vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in W$  we define  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$ . Writing down  $\mathbf{x} = x^i \beta_i$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = y^i \beta_i$  we will obtain  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum x^i y^i$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  defines a scalar product into  $W$  and  $\{\beta_a\}$  is an orthonormal basis. We agree to identify both spaces  $W$  and  $E_6^2$  and their orthonormal considered bases. Let  $\Omega$  be the

multiplicative group of the nonisotropic vectors of  $E_6^2$ . Then for the symmetry  $\tau_a$  in the direction of nonisotropic vector  $\mathbf{a} \in E_6^2$  we get

$$(4) \quad \tau_a : \mathbf{z}' = -\mathbf{a}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{a}^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{z}' = (\mathbf{a}E)\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{a}E)^{-1} \quad (E = \beta_1 \dots \beta_6), \quad \mathbf{z} \in E_6^2).$$

Because for each  $\sigma \in O(4, 2)$  we have  $\sigma = \tau_{a_1} \dots \tau_{a_k}$ , there exists an epimorphism  $h : \Omega \rightarrow O(4, 2)$ ,

$$(5) \quad \sigma\mathbf{z} = (-1)^k \omega\mathbf{z}\omega^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma\mathbf{z} = (\omega E)\mathbf{z}(\omega E)^{-1}, \quad (\omega = \mathbf{a}_k \dots \mathbf{a}_1 \in \Omega),$$

$\ker h = \mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $\chi \equiv \eta \circ h$  is epimorphism of  $\Omega$  onto  $G$ . Let  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega$  be the group [3] of normed elements of  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{h} \equiv h|_{\bar{\Omega}}$  has  $\ker \bar{h} = \{\pm 1\}$ , the group  $\bar{\Omega}$  being a two-fold covering group of  $O(4, 2)$  (cf. [3] there exist four normed groups  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} \subset \Omega$  that give us distinct representations of  $O(4, 2)$ . Let  $\bar{\Omega}$  be one of this.) Note that, denoting  $\sigma = h(\pm\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}$ , we have  $\tilde{\sigma} = h(\pm\omega E)$  whence, for  $\bar{\chi} \equiv \chi|_{\bar{\Omega}}$  we have  $\ker \bar{\chi} = \{\pm 1, \pm E\}$  and  $\bar{\Omega}$  is a four-covering group of  $G$ . Obviously, the matrix representation of  $C_6$  gives us a four-valued linear representation of  $G$ , called *fundamental spin representation* of  $G$ . For the infinitesimal generators of this representation we get

$$L_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\beta_i\beta_j, D = \frac{1}{2}\beta_6\beta_5, P_i = \frac{1}{2}\beta_i(\beta_5 + \beta_6), K_i = -\frac{1}{2}\beta_i(\beta_5 - \beta_6),$$

and the operators  $D(a^i) = e^{a^i P_i}$ ,  $D(\theta) = e^\theta D$ ,  $D(c^i) = e^{c^i K_i}$  of  $T(\mathbf{a})$ ,  $H(\theta)$ ,  $G_s(\mathbf{c})$  are

$$(6) \quad D(a^i) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}a^i\beta_i(\beta_5 + \beta_6), D(\theta) = \cosh \frac{\theta}{2}I + \sinh \frac{\theta}{2}\beta_6\beta_5,$$

$$D(c^i) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}c^i\beta_i(\beta_5 - \beta_6).$$

**3.** We will consider some of the subgroups of  $G$ , which contain  $G_0^c$  and which can have applications in the classification of the elementary particles.

Considering the decomposition of the groups  $G$  and  $G_0$  in connected components

$$G = G^c \cup \tau_1 G^c \cup \tau_4 G^c \cup \tau_1 \tau_4 G^c, \quad G_0 = G_0^c \cup \tau_1 G_0^c \cup \tau_4 G_0^c \cup \tau_1 \tau_4 G_0^c,$$

we notice that  $G_1 = G^c \cup \tau_1 \tau_4 G^c$ ,  $G_2 = G^c \cup \tau_1 G^c$ ,  $G_3 = G^c \cup \tau_4 G^c$ , are subgroups of  $G$ . For a certain group  $G_r \subset G$  and  $h_1, h_2 \in G$ , we will denote with  $\{G_r; h_1, h_2\}$  the group formed from the elements of  $G_r$  and the composition of these, in a certain number of times, with the elements  $h_1, h_2$ .

**Proposition 1.** We have:

1.  $G_1 = \{G_0^c; \tau_1 I_0, \tau_4 I_0\}$ ;
2.  $G_2 = \{G_0^c; \tau_1, \tau_4 I_0\}$ ;
3.  $G_3 = \{G_0^c; \tau_1 I_0, \tau_4\}$ .

We will prove here only the affirmation relative to  $G_1$ .

Through a direct computation (which can be done in the space  $M^\#$  or  $E_6^2$ ) we get

$$\tau_i I_0 = I_0 \tau_i, \quad \tau_i T(\mathbf{a}) = T(\tau_i \mathbf{a}) \tau_i, \quad H(\theta) I_0 = I_0 H(-\theta).$$

Then, the relation  $G(\mathbf{a}) = I_0 T(-\mathbf{a}) I_0$  can be written

$$G(\mathbf{a}) = (\tau_4 I_0) \circ T(-\mathbf{a}) \circ (\tau_4 I_0),$$

and therefore  $\{G_0^c; \tau_4 I_0\} \supseteq G^c$ . But  $\tau_4 I_0 \in G^c$  (because in  $E_6^2$  it represents the transformation  $\tau_4 \tau_6$ ; or in  $M^\#$  we can verify that  $\tau_4 I_0 = T(\mathbf{b}_0)G(\mathbf{b}_0)T(\mathbf{b}_0) \in G^c$  with  $\mathbf{b}_0 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ ). It results

$$(7) \quad G^c = \{G_0^c; \tau_4 I_0\}$$

On the other hand,  $\tau_1 I_0 \notin G^c$  because, in  $E_6^2$  it is the product of the space-like or time-like symmetries  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_6$  (in  $M^\#$  we can verify that  $\tau_1 I_0 = \bar{\tau} \circ T(\mathbf{a}_0) \circ G(-\mathbf{a}_0) \circ T(\mathbf{a}_0)$  with  $\mathbf{a}_0 = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $\bar{\tau} \equiv \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \tau_4 \notin G^c$ ). For  $g \in G^c$  it results  $(\tau_1 I_0)g = \bar{\tau} T(\mathbf{a}_0)G(-\mathbf{a}_0)T(\mathbf{a}_0)g = \tau_1 \tau_4 g', g' \in G^c$ . Using (7) follows  $G_1 = \{G_0^c; \tau_1 I_0, \tau_4 I_0\}$ .

For the group  $G_1$  we will give the decomposition in connected components:

$$(8) \quad G_1 = G_0^c \cup G_0^c(\tau_4 I_0)G_0^c G_0^c(\tau_1 I_0)G_0^c \cup \tau_1 \tau_4 G_0^c.$$

Indeed, (see above) we have  $G_s(a^i) = I(\mathbf{a})\tau_a$ . But, for  $\mathbf{a}^2 > 0$  we can write  $\tau_a = g_0 \tau_4$  (because through a translation and a dilatation, therefore through a proper Lorentz transformation, we can transform the vector  $\mathbf{a}$  into  $\mathbf{e}_4$ ) and for  $\mathbf{a}^2 < 0$  we can write  $\tau_a = g_0 \tau_1$ ,  $g_0 \in G_0^c$ . Because the inversion

$$\mathcal{I}_{C, \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon} : \mathcal{J}^\dagger - \mathcal{J}^\dagger = \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon \frac{\mathcal{J}^\dagger - \mathcal{J}^\dagger}{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c}^\varepsilon}$$

can be written, for  $R^2 > 0$  as  $\mathcal{I}_{C, \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon} = \mathcal{T}(-\mathcal{J}^\dagger)\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon)\mathcal{I}_T\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{J}^\dagger)$  and for  $R^2 < 0$  as  $\mathcal{I}_{C, \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon} = \mathcal{T}(-\mathcal{J}^\dagger)\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon)\mathcal{I}_T\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{J}^\dagger)$ , it results that for any nonisotropic vector  $\mathbf{a}$ , we can write  $G_s(a^i) = g_0(\tau_4 I_0)g_0'$ ,  $g_0, g_0' \in G_0^c$ . From  $\tau_4 I_0 \in G^c$  it follows

$$G^c = G_0^c \cup G_0^c(\tau_4 I_0)G_0^c.$$

Using the relation which defines  $G_1$ , we obtain (8).

We notice that the groups  $G_1, G_2, G_3$  are different from  $G_0$  just because of the fact that the symmetries are accompanied by the inversion  $I_0$ . Thus, it is possible that the elementary particles (for example neutrino) for which the symmetry operations are accompanied in an obligatory way by the operation of charge conjugation, to be the representations of one of these subgroups.

4. Obviously, every representation of  $G$  gives us a representation of  $G_1$  (respectively of  $G_2, G_3$ ). We will prove that there exist some representations of  $G_1$  (also, of  $G_2, G_3$ ) that are not obtained by this way.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{K} = \lambda\beta_5 + \mu\beta_6$ ,  $\mu^2 - \lambda^2 \equiv \varepsilon = \pm 1$ . Then the mapping  $E_6^2 \rightarrow E_6^2$  given by*

$$I(\lambda, \mu) : \quad \mathbf{z}' = -\mathbf{KzK}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{z} = z^a \beta_a \in E_6^2, \quad (a = 1, \dots, 6; \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{R})$$

*is the inversion with respect to the hypersphere with center  $O(0, \dots, 0)$  and the radius  $R = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu - \lambda}}$ .*

**Proof.** Obviously,  $\mathbf{K}$  is nonisotropic vector and  $\mathbf{K}^{-1} = \varepsilon \mathbf{K}$ . We have

$$\mathbf{z}' = -\mathbf{KzK}^{-1} = z^i \beta_i + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [(\mu^2 + \lambda^2)z^5 - 2\lambda\mu z^6] \beta_5 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [-(\mu^2 + \lambda^2)z^6 + 2\lambda\mu z^5],$$

and therefore

$$z'^i = z^i, z'^5 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[(\mu^2 + \lambda^2)z^5 - 2\lambda\mu z^6], z'^6 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}[-(\mu^2 + \lambda^2)z^6 + 2\lambda\mu z^5].$$

Since  $z^a z_a = z'^a z'_a$ , the function  $I(\lambda, \mu)$  is an isometry in  $E_6^2$ . Then in  $M^\#$  we have

$$x'^i = \frac{z'^i}{z'^5 - z'^6} = \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu - \lambda} \cdot \frac{x^i}{x^2}$$

and the lemma is proved.

Denoting  $h_0$  the homothety with the center  $O$  and power  $\left| \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu - \lambda} \right|$ , it results that

$$(9) \quad I(\lambda, \mu) = h_0 \circ I_0, \text{ if } \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu - \lambda} > 0; \quad I(\lambda, \mu) = h_0 \circ \bar{\tau} \circ I_0, \text{ if } \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu - \lambda} < 0.$$

We denote  $\Omega_0^c$  the subgroup of  $\bar{\Omega}$  for which  $\chi(\Omega_0^c) = G_0^c \subset G^c$ . The group  $\Omega_0^c$  does not depend on the choice done for  $\bar{\Omega}$ . It is the subgroup of the elements like  $\omega = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \dots \mathbf{a}_{2k}$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_i$  are unit vectors, such that an even number of vectors are space-like among  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{2k}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{K} = \lambda\beta_5 + \mu\beta_6$ ,  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mu^2 - \lambda^2 = \pm 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{s} = \nu\beta_1\mathbf{K}$ ,  $\mathbf{t} = \nu'\beta_4\mathbf{K}$ , where  $\nu, \nu'$  are defined by  $\mathbf{s}^2 = \varepsilon, \mathbf{t}^2 = \varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1, \varepsilon' = \pm 1$  (therefore  $\nu, \nu' = \pm 1, \pm i$ ). Let*

$$(10) \quad \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu) = \Omega_0^c \cup \Omega_0^c \mathbf{s} \Omega_0^c \cup \Omega_0^c \mathbf{t} \Omega_0^c \cup \mathbf{st} \Omega_0^c.$$

*Then:*

1.  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  is group.

2.  $\chi_1 = \chi|_{\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)}$  is homomorphism of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  onto  $G$ .

3. There exists  $U \in \Omega$  such that  $U \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu) U^{-1} = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(0, 1) & \text{for } \mu^2 - \lambda^2 = 1, \\ \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(1, 0) & \text{for } \mu^2 - \lambda^2 = -1. \end{cases}$

**Proof.** First, we notice that the four subsets which appear in (10) are disjunctive. So, for example, an equality in the form  $\omega_0 \beta_1 \mathbf{K} \omega'_0 = \omega_1 \beta_4 \mathbf{K} \omega'_1$ ,  $\omega_0, \omega'_0, \omega_1, \omega'_1 \in \Omega_0$  implies  $\omega \beta_1 \mathbf{K} = \beta_4 \mathbf{K} \omega'$ ,  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega_0$ . Then, through the homomorphism  $\chi$ , we have  $g \tau_1 h_0 I_0 = \tau_4 h_0 I_0 g'$ ,  $g, g' \in G_0^c$ . Because  $h_0 \tau_1 = \tau_1 h_0$ ,  $gh_0 = h_0 g_1$ , it results  $g_1 \tau_1 I_0 = \tau_4 I_0 g'$ ,  $g_1, g' \in G_0^c$ .

Considering the corresponding transformations in  $E_6^2$ , it result  $g \tau_1 \tau_6 = \tau_4 \tau_6 g'$ , where  $g, g'$  belong to the identity-connected component of the group  $O(4, 2)$ ; but this relation is impossible because the right member belongs to this connected component and the left member does not belong to it.

To prove that  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  is subgroup of  $\Omega$ , it is sufficient to show that the product of any two of its elements belongs to  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$ . Firstly, we mention that if  $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$ , then also  $\omega E \in \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$ . Indeed,  $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  implies  $\omega_0 E \equiv \omega_0 \beta_1 \dots \beta_6 \in \Omega_0^c$ , because  $\chi(\omega_0 E) = \chi(\omega_0) \chi(E) = \chi(\omega_0) \in G_0^c$ . It follows that each of the four components of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  (see (10)) contains, together with the element  $\omega$ , also the elements  $-\omega, \pm \omega E$ . Thus, if  $\omega \in \Omega_0^c(\beta_4 \mathbf{K}) \Omega_0^c$ , therefore  $\omega = \omega_0(\beta_4 \mathbf{K}) \omega'_0$ , then  $\omega E = \omega_0(\beta_4 \mathbf{K}) \omega'_0 E$  belongs to  $\Omega_0^c(\beta_4 \mathbf{K}) \Omega_0^c$ , because  $\omega_0, \omega_0 E \in \Omega_0^c$ . Whence  $\chi^{-1}(G_1) = \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$ . Then, for  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$  we have  $\chi(\omega_1 \omega_2) = \chi(\omega_1) \chi(\omega_2)$ ; since  $\chi(\omega_1), \chi(\omega_2)$  belong to the group  $G_1$ , it follows  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \in \chi^{-1}(G_1) = \Omega_{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}^*(\lambda, \mu)$ .

To prove the last point, we denote

$$\mathbf{K}_1 = \cosh \varphi \beta_5 + \sinh \varphi \beta_6, \quad \mathbf{K}_2 = \sinh \varphi \beta_5 + \cosh \varphi \beta_6.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{K}_1^2 = -1, \mathbf{K}_2^2 = +1, \mathbf{K}_1 \mathbf{K}_2 + \mathbf{K}_2 \mathbf{K}_1 = 0, \mathbf{K}_\alpha \beta_i + \beta_i \mathbf{K}_\alpha = 0, \alpha = 1, 2.$$

Therefore the systems of vectors  $\{\beta_i, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$  and  $\{\beta_i, \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2\}$  satisfy the same commutation rules, and hence there exists  $U \in \Omega$  such that

$$U \beta_i U^{-1} = \beta_i, \quad U \mathbf{K}_1 U^{-1} = \beta_5, \quad U \mathbf{K}_2 U^{-1} = \beta_6.$$

Let us consider two choices of the vectors  $\mathbf{K}$ , namely  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{K}' = \beta_6$ . Then  $\mathbf{s} = \nu \beta_1 \mathbf{K}_2, \mathbf{t} = \nu' \beta_4 \mathbf{K}_2$  and  $\mathbf{s}' = \nu \beta_1 \beta_6, \mathbf{t}' = \nu' \beta_4 \beta_6$ . Because  $U \mathbf{s} U^{-1} = \mathbf{s}', U \mathbf{t} U^{-1} = \mathbf{t}'$ , it results

$$(11) \quad U \Omega_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}^* (\sinh \varphi, \cosh \varphi) U^{-1} = \Omega_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}^* (0, 1).$$

Analogously,

$$(12) \quad U \Omega_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}^* (\cosh \varphi, \sinh \varphi) U^{-1} = \Omega_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}^* (1, 0).$$

Indeed, the operators  $D(l_j^i), D(a^i)$  and  $D(\theta)$  for the proper Lorentz transformation, translation  $T(a^i)$  respectively for the homothety  $H(\theta)$  in the matrix representation of  $C_6$  are given by (6) and using the relations

$$\mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{K}_2 = e^\varphi (\beta_5 + \beta_6), \quad \mathbf{K}_1 \mathbf{K}_2 = \beta_5 \beta_6$$

we obtain

$$(13) \quad UD(l_j^i)U^{-1} = D(l_j^i), \quad UD(\theta)U^{-1} = D(\theta), \quad UD(a^i)U^{-1} = D(e^{-\varphi} a^i),$$

and therefore  $U \Omega_0^\varepsilon U^{-1} = \Omega_0^\varepsilon$ .

Analogously, since  $\{\beta_i, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$  and  $\{\beta_i, i\beta_6, i\beta_5\}$  satisfy (3) there exists  $V \in \Omega$  such that

$$V \beta_i V^{-1} = \beta_i, \quad V \beta_5 V^{-1} = i\beta_6, \quad V \beta_6 V^{-1} = i\beta_5.$$

Then

$$V \beta_i \beta_5 V^{-1} = i\beta_i \beta_6, \quad V \beta_5 \beta_6 V^{-1} = \beta_5 \beta_6, \quad V (\beta_5 + \beta_6) V^{-1} = i(\beta_5 + \beta_6).$$

Therefore

$$V \Omega_{\varepsilon \varepsilon'}^* (1, 0) V^{-1} = \Omega_{-\varepsilon, -\varepsilon'}^* (0, 1).$$

The relations (13) suggest to consider the subgroup  $G'_1 \equiv \{\mathcal{L}_+^\dagger, \mathcal{H}, \tau_1 I_0, \tau_4 I_0\}$ . It is the *Weyl group*  $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}\}$ , where the symmetries are accompanied by the inversion  $I_0$ .

**Conclusion.** Considering the matrix (spin) representation of  $C_6$ , one obtains:

For given  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$  ( $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 1$  or  $-1$ ) there exist four classes of fundamental spin representations (spin  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ) of the group  $G_1$  such that to the symmetries in the direction of vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in M^\#$  ( $\mathbf{a}^2 = 1, \mathbf{b}^2 = -1, \mathbf{a} = a^i \beta_i, \mathbf{b} = b^i \beta_i$ ) correspond the operators

$$(14) \quad \mathbf{s} = \nu \mathbf{a}(\lambda \beta_5 + \mu \beta_6), \quad \mathbf{t} = \nu' \mathbf{b}(\lambda \beta_5 + \mu \beta_6), \quad \mu^2 - \lambda^2 = 1.$$

For different couples  $\lambda, \mu$  such that  $\mu^2 - \lambda^2 = 1$  one obtain equivalent representations of the subgroup  $G'_1 \subset G_1$  (for given  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ ). For this subgroup, the substitution  $\mu^2 - \lambda^2 = 1 \rightarrow \mu^2 - \lambda^2 = -1$  is equivalent to  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \rightarrow -\varepsilon, -\varepsilon'$ .

It is possible that an elementary particle with isospin corresponds to such a representation.

**Acknowledgements.** A version of this paper was presented at the First Conference of Balkan Society of Geometers, Politehnica University of Bucharest, September 23-27, 1996.

## References

- [1] G.Mărgulescu, *Reprezenări spinoriale ale grupurilor pseudo-ortogonale*, Teză, Universitatea București, 1973.
- [2] R.Penrose, W.Rindler, *Spinors and space-time*, Cambridge Univ.Press, 1986.
- [3] G.Mărgulescu, *Représentations spinorielles du groupe conforme de l'espace de Minkowski*, *Lucrările Colocviului Național de Geometrie și Topologie*, 1981, 226-233.
- [4] b4 H.S.M.Coxeter, *Representation of conformal space on a quadratic*, *Ann. Math*, 1936, 37,416-26.

Department of Mathematics,  
 Tehnical University of Civil Engineering of Bucharest  
 Bul. Lacul Tei, nr. 124, Bucharest, 72302, Romania