

On Finite Type Closed Curves on the Pseudo-Hyperbolic Space $H^3(-c^2)$

Yong-Soo Pyo and Joo-Yeon Lee

Abstract

We obtain some nonexistence theorems of certain finite type closed curves on the pseudo-hyperbolic space $H^3(-c^2)$ in the Minkowski spacetime E_1^4 .

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification : 53C50

Key words: pseudo-Riemannian manifold, pseudo-Euclidean space, Minkowski spacetime, pseudo-hyperbolic space, finite type closed curve

1 Introduction

First, we will survey briefly the fundamental concepts and properties in the pseudo-Riemannian geometry. We refer mainly to O'Neill([9]) and Chen([3],[4]). For the general concepts in the Riemannian geometry, refer to the book of Kobayashi and Nomizu([8]).

Let M be a C^∞ -class differentiable manifold of dimension n and g a C^∞ -class differentiable symmetric nondegenerate tensor field of type $(0, 2)$ on M . The pseudo-Riemannian metric g_p at every point p of M defines the scalar product on the tangent space $T_p(M)$ of M at p . The index of g_p is not necessarily constant in general. If the index of g_p is constant $t(0 \leq t \leq n)$ on M , then we call g a *pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature* $(t, n - t)$. And a C^∞ -class differentiable manifold (M, g) furnished with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g is called a *pseudo-Riemannian manifold*. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(0, n)$ means a Riemannian manifold. Let v be a tangent vector to a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g . Then v is said to be

spacelike if $g(v, v) > 0$ or $v = 0$; *lightlike* if $g(v, v) = 0$ and $v \neq 0$, *timelike* if $g(v, v) < 0$.

The simplest example of pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a pseudo-Euclidean space.

Let (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m) be a point in the set R^m of all ordered m -tuples of real numbers. For each $t(0 \leq t \leq m)$, we define a scalar product g_0 on $T_p(R^m)$ at the point p of R^m by

$$g_0(v_p, w_p) = - \sum_{i=1}^t v^i w^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^m v^i w^i,$$

where $v_p = \sum_{i=1}^m v^i \partial / \partial x^i$ and $w_p = \sum_{i=1}^m w^i \partial / \partial x^i$. E_t^m denotes a R^m with a canonical pseudo-Riemannian metric g_0 . In this case, g_0 is called a *pseudo-Euclidean metric* of signature $(t, m-t)$ and E_t^m is called a *pseudo-Euclidean space* of signature $(t, m-t)$. In particular, E_1^m is called a *Minkowski spacetime*.

From now on, we will use \langle, \rangle instead of a pseudo-Euclidean metric g_0 . And we denote by $H_t^m(-c^2) = \{p \in E_{t+1}^{m+1} \mid \langle p, p \rangle = -c^2\}$. In this case, it is called the *pseudo-hyperbolic space* of radius $c > 0$ and center 0 in E_{t+1}^{m+1} . For a vector $a_0 = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, \dots, a_m)$ in E_t^m ,

$$\bar{a}_0 = (-a_1, -a_2, \dots, -a_t, a_{t+1}, a_{t+2}, \dots, a_m)$$

is called the *conjugate vector* of a_0 . In [7] and [10], the authors proved the following **Theorem A.** *Only 1-type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H_t^m(-c^2)$ is an intersection of $H_t^m(-c^2)$ and a 2-plane P lying in Π_{a_0} , where P is determined by two spacelike vectors and Π_{a_0} denotes a hyperplane through a_0 which is orthogonal to the conjugate vector \bar{a}_0 in the sense of Euclidean scalar product.*

Ishikawa([7]), and Shin and Pyo([11]) also proved some nonexistence theorems concerning finite type closed curves on pseudo-hyperbolic spaces $H^2(-c^2)$ and $H^4(-c^2)$. For instance,

Theorem B. *There exists neither 2-type closed curves nor 3-type closed curves on $H^2(-c^2)$.*

Remark. Finite type curves in a Euclidean space were investigated in [1], [2], [5], [6] *etc.*

The purpose of this article is to prove some theorems on nonexistence of certain finite type closed curves on the pseudo-hyperbolic space $H^3(-c^2)$ in the Minkowski spacetime E_1^4 .

2 Preliminaries

Every closed curve $\gamma : [0, 2\pi r] \rightarrow E_t^m$ of the length $2\pi r$ in E_t^m may be regarded as an isometric immersion of a circle of radius r into E_t^m . We use the arc length s as a parameter of γ . Then the Laplacian Δ on the circle is given by $\Delta = -d^2/ds^2$ and the eigenvalues are $\{(l/r)^2; l = 1, 2, \dots\}$. The corresponding eigenspace V_l is constructed by using $\cos(ls/r)$ and $\sin(ls/r)$. Hence, every closed curve $\gamma : [0, 2\pi r] \rightarrow E_t^m$ has the spectral decomposition

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \{a_l \cos(ls/r) + b_l \sin(ls/r)\},$$

where a_l, b_l are some vectors in E_t^m (see [2],[5]). In particular, if γ is a k -type closed curve of the length 2π on $H_t^m(-c^2)$, then γ can be expressed as

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \{a_i \cos(p_i s) + b_i \sin(p_i s)\},$$

where a_i or b_i is nonzero vector in E_t^m for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, p_i are the positive integers with $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ and s is the arc length parameter of γ . Because of $\gamma(s)$ being on $H_t^m(-c^2)$ and a_0 the center of mass of γ , a_0 is a timelike vector in E_{t+1}^{m+1} (see [7]). Furthermore, from $\langle \gamma(s), \gamma(s) \rangle = -c^2$, we have the following

$$(2.2) \quad 2 \langle a_0, a_0 \rangle + 2c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k D_{ii} = 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p_i=l} M_i + \sum_{2p_i=l} A_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} A_{ij} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} D_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{p_i=l} \bar{M}_i + \sum_{2p_i=l} \bar{A}_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} \bar{A}_{ij} - 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} \bar{D}_{ij} = 0,$$

for each $l \in \{p_i, 2p_i, p_i + p_j, p_i - p_j ; 1 \leq j < i \leq k\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &= 4 \langle a_0, a_i \rangle, & \bar{M}_i &= 4 \langle a_0, b_i \rangle, \\ A_{ij} &= \langle a_i, a_j \rangle - \langle b_i, b_j \rangle, & \bar{A}_{ij} &= \langle a_i, b_j \rangle + \langle b_i, a_j \rangle, \\ D_{ij} &= \langle a_i, a_j \rangle + \langle b_i, b_j \rangle, & \bar{D}_{ij} &= \langle a_i, b_j \rangle - \langle b_i, a_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we call the real numbers M_i and \bar{M}_i (resp. A_{ii} and \bar{A}_{ii} , A_{ij} and \bar{A}_{ij} , or D_{ij} and \bar{D}_{ij}) to be *corresponding to the integer p_i* (resp. $2p_i$, $p_i + p_j$, or $p_i - p_j$). Since s is the arc length parameter of $\gamma(s)$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad 2 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 D_{ii},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{2p_i=l} p_i^2 A_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} p_i p_j A_{ij} - 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} p_i p_j D_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{2p_i=l} p_i^2 \bar{A}_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} p_i p_j \bar{A}_{ij} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} p_i p_j \bar{D}_{ij} = 0.$$

Moreover, if $\langle \gamma^{(r)}(s), \gamma^{(r)}(s) \rangle$ is constant ($r = 1, 2, \dots$), then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{2p_i=l} p_i^{2r} A_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} (p_i p_j)^r A_{ij} + (-1)^r 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} (p_i p_j)^r D_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{2p_i=l} p_i^{2r} \bar{A}_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i+p_j=l \\ i>j}} (p_i p_j)^r \bar{A}_{ij} - (-1)^r 2 \sum_{\substack{p_i-p_j=l \\ i>j}} (p_i p_j)^r \bar{D}_{ij} = 0.$$

Next, let γ be a k -type closed curve on $H_t^m(-c^2)$ given in (2.1). Divide the set $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sphericalangle, \in \sphericalangle, \sphericalangle + \sphericalangle, \sphericalangle - \sphericalangle; \infty \leq | \langle \rangle \leq \infty \}$ as the union of the subsets as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\infty \cup \mathcal{A}_\infty \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{N},$$

where all elements in each subset $\mathcal{A}_\lambda (\lambda = \infty, \infty, \dots, \mathcal{N})$ are equal to each other and if $n_1 \neq n_2$, then every element in \mathcal{A}_{λ_1} is not equal to any element in \mathcal{A}_{λ_2} .

3 Main Results

Let γ be a closed k -type curve on $H_t^m(-c^2)$ in E_{t+1}^{m+1} . Then γ is expressed as $\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \{a_i \cos(p_i s/r) + b_i \sin(p_i s/r)\}$, where a_i or b_i is nonzero vector in E_{t+1}^{m+1} for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and p_i are the positive integers satisfying $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$. Here s is the arc length parameter of γ and the length of γ is $2\pi r$. Therefore every k -type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ of the length 2π may be described as

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \{a_i \cos(p_i s) + b_i \sin(p_i s)\},$$

where $a_i \neq 0$ or $b_i \neq 0$ for each i . We prove our results for $r = 1$, because the proof for case $r \neq 1$ is the same as one for case of $r = 1$.

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). (1) If $\langle \gamma^{(r)}(s), \gamma^{(r)}(s) \rangle$ is constant ($r = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and the number of members in \mathcal{A}_λ is less than or equal to $l + 1$, then M_i and \bar{M}_i (resp. A_{ii} and \bar{A}_{ii} , A_{ij} and \bar{A}_{ij} , or D_{ij} and \bar{D}_{ij}) of corresponding to the integer p_i (resp. $2p_i$, $p_i + p_j$, or $p_i - p_j$) in \mathcal{A}_λ vanish.

(2) In particular, for every k -type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H_t^m(-c^2)$ in E_{t+1}^{m+1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{kk} &= \bar{A}_{kk} = 0, \\ A_{k(k-1)} &= \bar{A}_{k(k-1)} = 0, \\ A_{(k-1)(k-1)} &= \bar{A}_{(k-1)(k-1)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\gamma(s)$ be a k -type closed curve on $H^3(-c^2)$ in a Minkowski spacetime E_1^4 as (3.1). Then we can obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. If $\gamma(s)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$(3.2) \quad M_k = \bar{M}_k = M_{k-1} = 0 \text{ and } D_{k(k-1)} = \bar{D}_{k(k-1)} = 0,$$

then either (1) $\{a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ forms a basis for E_1^4 , or (2) b_{k-1} is a lightlike vector and $\{a_0, b_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 .

Proof. Since a_0 is a timelike vector in E_1^4 , from the first equation of (3.2), we know that a_{k-1} , a_k and b_k are spacelike vectors. Hence a_k and b_k are nonzero vectors because

$\langle a_k, a_k \rangle = \langle b_k, b_k \rangle$ and $\gamma(s)$ is of k -type. From Lemma 3.1(2) and the second equation of (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} \rangle &= \langle b_{k-1}, b_{k-1} \rangle, \\ \langle a_k, b_k \rangle &= \langle a_{k-1}, b_{k-1} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle a_k, a_{k-1} \rangle &= \langle b_k, b_{k-1} \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle a_k, b_{k-1} \rangle = \langle b_k, a_{k-1} \rangle = 0.$$

If $a_{k-1} \neq 0$, then a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 and hence $\{a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 .

Suppose $a_{k-1} = 0$. Since $\langle a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} \rangle = \langle b_{k-1}, b_{k-1} \rangle = 0$ and $\gamma(s)$ is of k -type, b_{k-1} is a lightlike vector. If we put $Aa_0 + Bb_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k = 0$, then we can obtain $A = B = C = D = 0$ because $\langle a_0, b_{k-1} \rangle \neq 0$ (see [11]). Therefore we complete the proof.

Remark. If the k -type closed curve γ on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfies $M_{k-1} = 0$ and b_{k-1} is a lightlike vector in E_1^4 , then $a_{k-1} = 0$ because $\langle a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} \rangle = \langle b_{k-1}, b_{k-1} \rangle = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\{a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 satisfying (3.2). Then b_{k-1} is a parallel nonzero vector to a_0 .

Proof. Put $b_{k-1} = Aa_0 + Ba_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k$. Combining Lemma 3.1(2) and (3.2), we have $B = C = D = 0$ because a_{k-1}, a_k and b_k are nonzero spacelike vectors. Since $\langle a_0, b_{k-1} \rangle \neq 0$, $b_{k-1} = Aa_0 \neq 0$ for a constant A .

Next, we can obtain the following

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $\{a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 satisfying (3.2). If a pair $\{a_i, b_i\} (i = 1, 2, \dots, k-2)$ satisfies

$$A_{ki} = \bar{A}_{ki} = 0$$

and

$$\langle a_{k-1}, a_i \rangle = \langle a_{k-1}, b_i \rangle = 0,$$

then $A_{ii} = \bar{A}_{ii} = 0$ if and only if $M_i = \bar{M}_i = 0$.

Proof. Put $a_i = Aa_0 + Ba_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = Ea_0 + Fa_{k-1} + Ga_k + Hb_k$. Combining Lemma 3.1(2), (3.2) and our assumptions, we have $B = F = 0$, $C = H$ and $D = -G$. Hence $a_i = Aa_0 + Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = Ea_0 - Da_k + Cb_k$ for some constants A, C, D and E .

Suppose $A_{ii} = \bar{A}_{ii} = 0$. Then we get $AE = 0$ and $A^2 - E^2 = 0$ because $\langle a_k, a_k \rangle = \langle b_k, b_k \rangle$ and $\langle a_k, b_k \rangle = 0$, and hence $A = E = 0$. Therefore $M_i = 4 \langle a_0, a_i \rangle = 0$ and $\bar{M}_i = 4 \langle a_0, b_i \rangle = 0$.

Conversely, if $M_i = \bar{M}_i = 0$, then we have $a_i = Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = -Da_k + Cb_k$ for some constants C and D . Hence $\langle a_i, a_i \rangle = \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ and $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 0$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $\{a_0, a_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 satisfying (3.2). If a pair $\{a_i, b_i\} (i = 1, 2, \dots, k-2)$ is satisfying

$$A_{ki} = \bar{A}_{ki} = 0, \quad D_{ki} = \bar{D}_{ki} = 0$$

and

$$\langle a_{k-1}, a_i \rangle = \langle a_{k-1}, b_i \rangle = 0,$$

then a_i and b_i are parallel to a_0 .

Proof. If we put $a_i = Aa_0 + Ba_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = Ea_0 + Fa_{k-1} + Ga_k + Hb_k$, then we have, from Lemma 3.1(2), (3.2) and our assumptions, $B = C = D = 0$, $F = G = H = 0$. It follows that $a_i = Aa_0$ and $b_i = Ea_0$ for some constants A and B . Finally, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\{a_0, b_{k-1}, a_k, b_k\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 satisfying (3.2). If a pair $\{a_i, b_i\} (i = 1, 2, \dots, k-2)$ is satisfying*

$$A_{ki} = \bar{A}_{ki} = 0$$

and

$$\langle b_{k-1}, a_i \rangle = \langle b_{k-1}, b_i \rangle = 0,$$

then $A_{ii} = \bar{A}_{ii} = 0$.

Proof. If we put $a_i = Aa_0 + Bb_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = Ea_0 + Fb_{k-1} + Ga_k + Hb_k$, Combining Lemma 3.1(2), (3.2) and our assumptions, we have $C = H$ and $D = -G$. Since b_{k-1} is lightlike and $\langle a_0, b_{k-1} \rangle \neq 0$, $A = E = 0$. Hence $a_i = Bb_{k-1} + Ca_k + Db_k$ and $b_i = Fb_{k-1} - Da_k + Cb_k$ for some constants B, C, D and F . Therefore $\langle a_i, a_i \rangle = \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ and $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 0$.

From now on, we prove the following nonexistence theorems for a k -type ($k \geq 2$) closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H^3(-c^2)$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists no 2-type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H^3(-c^2)$.*

Proof. We assume the existence of the 2-type closed curve

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + a_1 \cos(p_1 s) + b_1 \sin(p_1 s) + a_2 \cos(p_2 s) + b_2 \sin(p_2 s)$$

on $H^3(-c^2)$. From Lemma 3.1, we see

$$M_1 = \bar{M}_1 = 0, \quad M_2 = \bar{M}_2 = 0.$$

Hence a_1, b_1, a_2 and b_2 are spacelike vectors in E_1^4 . Furthermore a_1, b_1, a_2 and b_2 are nonzero vectors because $A_{11} = A_{22} = 0$ and $\gamma(s)$ is of 2-type. We also have

$$\bar{A}_{11} = \bar{A}_{22} = 0, \quad A_{21} = \bar{A}_{21} = 0, \quad D_{21} = \bar{D}_{21} = 0.$$

Therefore a_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 . It contradicts.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists no 3-type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfying $M_2 = 0$ and $D_{32} = \bar{D}_{32} = 0$.*

Proof. We assume the existence of the 3-type closed curve

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s) = a_0 &+ a_1 \cos(p_1 s) + b_1 \sin(p_1 s) + a_2 \cos(p_2 s) \\ &+ b_2 \sin(p_2 s) + a_3 \cos(p_3 s) + b_3 \sin(p_3 s) \end{aligned}$$

on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfying the assumptions $M_2 = 0$ and $D_{32} = \bar{D}_{32} = 0$.

First, if we assume that $a_2 \neq 0$, then $\{a_0, a_2, a_3, b_3\}$ is a basis of E_1^4 satisfying (3.2) by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Case 1. In case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon} - \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\epsilon} \} \cup \{ \sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\infty} \} \cup \{ \sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\varrho} \} \cup \{ \sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\varrho}, \epsilon \sqrt{\epsilon} \} \cup \{ \sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{\varrho} \} \cup \{ \epsilon \sqrt{\varrho} \}$. Applying (2.3), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) for the subclasses $\{p_1, p_2 -$

$p_1, p_3 - p_2$ and $\{2p_1, p_2, p_3 - p_1\}$ of \mathcal{A} , and combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21} = \bar{A}_{21} = 0, & \quad D_{21} = \bar{D}_{21} = 0, \\ A_{31} = \bar{A}_{31} = 0, & \quad M_1 = \bar{M}_1 = 0, \\ A_{11} = \bar{A}_{11} = 0, & \quad D_{31} = \bar{D}_{31} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by our assumptions. Furthermore, we have $\bar{M}_2 = 0$. Hence b_2 is a spacelike vector in E_1^4 . It is a contradiction to Lemma 3.3.

Case 2. In case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{p_1, 2p_1, 4p_1\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A} = \{\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon} - \sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\varrho}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\varrho}\}$. Applying (2.3), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) for the subclass $\{2p_1, p_2, p_3 - p_2\}$ of \mathcal{A} , we get $\bar{M}_2 = 0$ by the assumption $D_{32} = \bar{D}_{32} = 0$. Hence Lemma 3.3 leads a contradiction.

Case 3. In case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{p_1, 3p_1, 5p_1\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \{\sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon} - \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\varrho}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\varrho}\}$. From Lemma 3.1(1), we obtain $\bar{M}_2 = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Case 4. Let $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \neq \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1\}, \{p_1, 2p_1, 4p_1\}$ or $\{p_1, 3p_1, 5p_1\}$. In this case, each subset \mathcal{A}_\setminus of \mathcal{A} consists of at most two elements. Hence, we have $\bar{M}_2 = 0$ by Lemma 3.1(1). It contradicts.

Summarizing all cases, we complete the proof of this theorem in the case of $a_2 \neq 0$.

Now, let $a_2 = 0$. Then, by Lemmas 3.1(1) and 3.2, $\{a_0, b_2, a_3, b_3\}$ forms a basis for E_1^4 satisfying (3.2). In Case 1, applying (2.3), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) for the subclass $\{p_1, p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_2\}$ of \mathcal{A} , and combining the condition $D_{32} = \bar{D}_{32} = 0$ and Lemma 3.1(1), we have

$$A_{31} = \bar{A}_{31} = 0, \quad A_{21} = \bar{A}_{21} = 0, \quad D_{21} = \bar{D}_{21} = 0.$$

Hence $A_{11} = \bar{A}_{11} = 0$ by Lemma 3.6. Applying (2.3), (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) and the above equation for the subclass $\{2p_1, p_2, p_3 - p_1\}$ of \mathcal{A} , we get $\bar{M}_2 = 0$. Since b_2 is a lightlike vector by Lemma 3.2, it contradicts.

The other cases are also impossible.

Therefore we complete the proof of this theorem.

For a 3-type closed curve $\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^3 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$ on $H^3(-c^2)$, if $a_2 = 0$, then we have $M_2 = 0$ and $\langle b_2, a_3 \rangle = \langle b_2, b_3 \rangle = 0$ by Lemma 3.1(2). Hence $D_{32} = \bar{D}_{32} = 0$. Therefore, from Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *There exists no 3-type closed curve*

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^3 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$$

on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfying $a_2 = 0$.

Corollary 3.2. *There exists no 3-type closed curve with constant curvature on $H^3(-c^2)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(s) = a_0 &+ a_1 \cos(p_1 s) + b_1 \sin(p_1 s) + a_2 \cos(p_2 s) \\ &+ b_2 \sin(p_2 s) + a_3 \cos(p_3 s) + b_3 \sin(p_3 s)\end{aligned}$$

be a 3-type closed curve with constant curvature on $H^3(-c^2)$. Then each subclass of \mathcal{A} consists of at most three elements. From Lemma 3.1(1), we get

$$M_2 = \bar{M}_2 = 0, \quad M_3 = \bar{M}_3 = 0.$$

Hence a_2, b_2, a_3 and b_3 are spacelike vectors. Furthermore, they are nonzero vector because $A_{22} = A_{33} = 0$ and $\gamma(s)$ is of 3-type. Therefore a_0, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 by Lemma 3.1. This implies a contradiction.

Next, we get the following

Theorem 3.3. *There exists no 4-type closed curve with constant curvature on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfying $D_{43} = \bar{D}_{43} = 0$.*

Proof. Assume the existence of the 4-type closed curve

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^4 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$$

satisfying our assumptions. If $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} = \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1\}$, then $\mathcal{A} = \{\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon} - \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta} - \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho} - \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\Delta} - \sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta} - \sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta}, \epsilon\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\infty} + \sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\epsilon} + \sqrt{\Delta}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon\sqrt{\Delta}\}$. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the subclass consisting of all elements in \mathcal{A} to be equal to p_i . Then the number of elements in \mathcal{A}_{ϱ} (and \mathcal{A}_{Δ}) is less than or equal to three in this case. Hence, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}M_3 = \bar{M}_3 = 0, \quad M_4 = \bar{M}_4 = 0, \\ A_{33} = A_{44} = 0, \quad A_{43} = \bar{A}_{43} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D_{43} = \bar{D}_{43} = 0$, we get a_0, a_3, b_3, a_4, b_4 are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 by the same way as the proof Corollary 3.2. It contradicts.

In case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \neq \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1\}$, we can also imply a contradiction by the same way.

From Theorem 3.3, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *There exists no 4-type closed curve*

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^4 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$$

on $H^3(-c^2)$ satisfying $a_3 = 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *There exists no 5-type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H^3(-c^2)$ with $D_{54} = \bar{D}_{54} = 0$ satisfying $\langle \gamma^{(l)}(s), \gamma^{(l)}(s) \rangle$ is constant ($l = 2, 3$).*

Proof. Assume the existence of the 5-type closed curve

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^5 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$$

satisfying our conditions. Let $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\} = \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1, 5p_1\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A} = \{\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\Delta}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\infty}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\Delta}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\varrho}^+\sqrt{\Delta}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\varrho}^+\sqrt{\nabla}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta}^+\sqrt{\nabla}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\nabla}\}$. Applying Lemma 3.1(1) for the subclasses $\{p_4, 2p_2, p_1 + p_3, p_5 - p_1\}$ and $\{p_5, p_1 + p_4, p_2 + p_3\}$ of \mathcal{A} , we obtain

$$M_4 = \bar{M}_4 = 0, \quad M_5 = \bar{M}_5 = 0.$$

Hence a_4, b_4, a_5 and b_5 are spacelike vectors in E_1^4 . Furthermore, from Lemma 3.1(2), we have

$$A_{44} = A_{55} = 0, \quad A_{54} = \bar{A}_{54} = 0.$$

Therefore a_0, a_4, b_4, a_5, b_5 are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 because $D_{54} = \bar{D}_{54} = 0$ and $\gamma(s)$ is of 5-type. It contradicts.

By the same way, in case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5\} \neq \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1, 5p_1\}$, we can also imply a contradiction.

Finally, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *There exists no 6-type closed curve $\gamma(s)$ on $H^3(-c^2)$ with $D_{65} = \bar{D}_{65} = 0$ satisfying $\langle \gamma^{(l)}(s), \gamma^{(l)}(s) \rangle$ is constant ($l = 2, 3$).*

Proof. Assume the existence of the 6-type closed curve

$$\gamma(s) = a_0 + \sum_{t=1}^6 \{a_t \cos(p_t s) + b_t \sin(p_t s)\}$$

satisfying our conditions.

Case 1. Let $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6\} = \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1, 5p_1, 6p_1\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{A} = \{\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\Gamma}^-\sqrt{\nabla}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\varrho}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Delta}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\Gamma}^-\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta}, \epsilon, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\nabla}^-\sqrt{\infty}, \sqrt{\Gamma}^-\sqrt{\epsilon}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\varrho}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\Gamma}^-\sqrt{\varrho}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\varrho}^+\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\infty}^+\sqrt{\Gamma}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{\varrho}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\epsilon}^+\sqrt{\Gamma}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\Delta}^+\sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\varrho}^+\sqrt{\Gamma}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\nabla}, \sqrt{\Delta}^+\sqrt{\Gamma}\} \cup \{\sqrt{\nabla}^+\sqrt{\Gamma}\} \cup \{\epsilon, \sqrt{\Gamma}\}$. Applying Lemma 3.1(1) for the subclasses $\{p_5, p_1 + p_4, p_2 + p_3, p_6 - p_1\}$ and $\{p_6, 2p_3, p_1 + p_5, p_2 + p_4\}$ of \mathcal{A} , we obtain

$$M_5 = \bar{M}_5 = 0, \quad M_6 = \bar{M}_6 = 0.$$

And, from Lemma 3.1(2), we have

$$A_{55} = A_{66} = 0, \quad A_{65} = \bar{A}_{65} = 0.$$

Hence a_0, a_5, b_5, a_6, b_6 are linearly independent vectors in E_1^4 by our assumptions. It contradicts.

In case of $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6\} \neq \{p_1, 2p_1, 3p_1, 4p_1, 5p_1, 6p_1\}$, we can also imply a contradiction.

References

- [1] B.Y. Chen, *On submanifolds of finite type*, Soochow J. Math. 9 (1983), 65-81.
- [2] B.Y. Chen, *Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite type*, World Scientific, 1984.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, *Finite Type Submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces and applications*, Kodai Math. J. 8 (1985), 358-374.
- [4] B.Y. Chen, *Finite type pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds*, Tamkang J. Math. 17 (1986), 137-151.
- [5] B.Y. Chen, J. Deprez, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *Finite type curves, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds II*, World Scientific (1990), 76-110.
- [6] B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen and L. Verstraelen, *Finite type space curves*, Soochow J. Math. 12 (1986), 1-10.
- [7] S. Ishikawa, *On Biharmonic Submanifolds and Finite Type Submanifolds in a Euclidean Space or a Pseudo-Euclidean Space*, Doctal thesis, Kyushu Univ.
- [8] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. I and II, Wiley (Interscience), 1963 and 1969.
- [9] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic press, 1983.
- [10] Y.S. Pyo and Y.J. Kim, *Finite type closed curves on pseudo-hyperbolic spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4(2) (1996), 149-162.
- [11] K.H. Shin and Y.S. Pyo, *Some nonexistence theorems of finite type closed curves on the pseudo-hyperbolic space $H^4(-c^2)$* , East Asian Math. J. 14(1) (1988), 205-217.

Division of Mathematical Sciences
Pukyong National University
Pusan 608-737, Korea
email : yspyo@dolphin.pknu.ac.kr