

# $H$ -convex Riemannian submanifolds

Constantin Udriște and Teodor Oprea

**Abstract.** Having in mind the well known model of Euclidean convex hypersurfaces [4], [5] and the ideas in [1], many authors defined and investigated the convex hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold. As it was proved by the first author in [7], there follows the interdependence between convexity and Gauss curvature of the hypersurface. This paper defines and studies the  $H$ -convexity of a Riemannian submanifold of arbitrary codimension, replacing the normal versor of a hypersurface with the mean curvature vector of the submanifold. The main results include: some properties of  $H$ -convex submanifolds, a characterization of the Chen definition of strictly  $H$ -convexity for submanifolds in real space forms [2], [3] and examples.

**M.S.C. 2000:** 53C40, 53C45, 52A20.

**Key words:** global submanifolds, convexity, mean curvature.

## 1 Convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds

Let  $(N, g)$  be a complete finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  be an oriented hypersurface whose induced Riemannian metric is also denoted by  $g$ . We denote by  $\omega$  the 1-form associated to the unit normal vector field  $\xi$  on the hypersurface  $M$ .

Let  $x$  be a point in  $M \subset N$  and  $V$  a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $N$  such that  $\exp_x : T_x N \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism. The real-valued function defined on  $V$  by

$$F(y) = \omega_x(\exp_x^{-1}(y))$$

has the property that the set

$$TGH_x = \{y \in V \mid F(y) = 0\}$$

is a *totally geodesic hypersurface at  $x$* , tangent to  $M$  at  $x$ . This hypersurface is the common boundary of the sets

$$TGH_x^- = \{y \in V \mid F(y) \leq 0\}, \quad TGH_x^+ = \{y \in V \mid F(y) \geq 0\}.$$

**Definition.** The hypersurface  $M$  is called *convex* at  $x \in M$  if there exists an open set  $U \subset V \subset N$  containing  $x$  such that  $M \cap U$  is contained either in  $TGH_x^-$  or in  $TGH_x^+$ .

A hypersurface  $M$  convex at  $x$  is said to be *strictly convex* at  $x$  if

$$M \cap U \cap TGH_x = \{x\}.$$

In [7] it was obtained a necessary condition for a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold to be convex at a given point.

**Theorem 1.1** *If  $M$  is an oriented hypersurface in  $N$ , convex at  $x \in M$ , then the bilinear form*

$$\Omega_x : T_x M \times T_x M \rightarrow R, \quad \Omega_x(X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), \xi),$$

where  $\xi$  is the normal versor at  $x$ , and  $h$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$ , is semidefinite.

The converse of Theorem 1.1 is not true. To show this, we consider the surface  $M : x^3 = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^3$  in  $R^3$ . One observes that  $0 \in M$ ,  $\xi(0) = (0, 0, 1)$  and  $TGH_0 : x^3 = 0$  is the plane tangent to  $M$  at the origin. On the other hand, if

$$c : I \rightarrow M, \quad c(t) = (x^1(t), x^2(t), x^3(t))$$

is a  $C^2$  curve such that  $0 \in I$  and  $c(0) = 0$ , then  $(x^3)''(0) = 2((x^1)'(0))^2$  and hence the function

$$f : I \rightarrow R, \quad f(t) = \langle c(t) - 0, \xi(0) \rangle$$

satisfies the relations  $f(t) = x^3(t)$  and  $f''(0) = (x^3)''(0) = 2((x^1)'(0))^2$ .

Since  $\Omega_0(\dot{c}(0), \dot{c}(0)) = f''(0)$ , and  $c$  is an  $C^2$  arbitrary curve, one gets that  $\Omega_0$  is positive semidefinite. However  $M$  is not convex at the origin because the tangent plane  $TGH_0 : x^3 = 0$  cuts the surface along the semicubic parabola

$$x^3 = 0, (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^3 = 0$$

and consequently in any neighborhood of the origin there exist points of the surface placed both below the tangent plane and above the tangent plane.

If the bilinear form  $\Omega$  is definite at the point  $x \in M$ , then the hypersurface  $M$  is strictly convex at  $x$ .

The next results [7] establish a connection between the Riemannian manifolds admitting a function whose Hessian is positive definite and their convex hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 1.2** *Suppose that the Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$  supports a function  $f : N \rightarrow R$  with positive definite Hessian. On each compact oriented hypersurface  $M$  in  $N$  there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that the bilinear form  $\Omega(x)$  is definite.*

**Theorem 1.3** *If the Riemannian manifold  $(N, g)$  supports a function  $f : N \rightarrow R$  with positive definite Hessian, then*

- 1) *there is no compact minimal hypersurface in  $N$ ;*
- 2) *if the hypersurface  $M$  is connected and compact and its Gauss curvature is nowhere zero, then  $M$  is strictly convex.*

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $(N, g)$  be a connected and complete Riemannian manifold and  $f : N \rightarrow R$  a function with positive definite Hessian. If  $x_0$  is a critical point of  $f$  and  $a_0 = f(x_0)$ , then for any real number  $a \in \text{Im } f \setminus \{a_0\}$ , the hypersurface  $M_a = f^{-1}\{a\}$  is strictly convex.*

## 2 H-convex Riemannian submanifolds

Having in mind the model of convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds, we define the  $H$ -convexity of a Riemannian submanifold of arbitrary codimension, replacing the normal versor of a hypersurface with the mean curvature vector of the submanifold.

Let  $(N, g)$  be a complete finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $M$  be a submanifold in  $N$  of dimension  $n$  whose induced Riemannian metric is also denoted by  $g$ . Let  $x$  be a point in  $M \subset N$ , with  $H_x \neq 0$  and  $V$  a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $N$  such that  $\exp_x : T_x N \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism. We denote by  $\omega$  the 1-form associated to the mean curvature vector  $H$  of  $M$ .

The real-valued function defined on  $V$  by

$$F(y) = \omega_x(\exp_x^{-1}(y))$$

has the property that the set

$$TGH_x = \{y \in V \mid F(y) = 0\}$$

is a totally geodesic hypersurface at  $x$ , tangent to  $M$  at  $x$ . This hypersurface is the common boundary of the sets

$$TGH_x^- = \{y \in V \mid F(y) \leq 0\}, \quad TGH_x^+ = \{y \in V \mid F(y) \geq 0\}.$$

**Definition.** The submanifold  $M$  is called  $H$ -convex at  $x \in M$  if there exists an open set  $U \subset V \subset N$  containing  $x$  such that  $M \cap U$  is contained either in  $TGH_x^-$  or in  $TGH_x^+$ .

A submanifold  $M$ , which is  $H$ -convex at  $x$ , is called *strictly  $H$ -convex* at  $x$  if

$$M \cap U \cap TGH_x = \{x\}.$$

The next result is a necessary condition for a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold to be  $H$ -convex at a given point.

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $M$  is a submanifold in  $N$ ,  $H$ -convex at  $x \in M$ , then the bilinear form*

$$\Omega_x : T_x M \times T_x M \rightarrow R, \quad \Omega_x(X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), H),$$

where  $h$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$ , is positive semidefinite.

*Proof.* We suppose that there is a open set  $U \subset V \subset N$  which contains the point  $x$  such that  $M \cap U \subset TGH_x^+$ .

For an arbitrary vector  $X \in T_x M$ , let  $c : I \rightarrow M \cap U$  be a  $C^2$  curve, where  $I$  is a real interval such that  $0 \in I$  and  $c(0) = x$ ,  $\dot{c}(0) = X$ . As  $c(I) \subset M \cap U \subset TGH_x^+$  the function  $f = F \circ c : I \rightarrow R$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad f(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

It follows that 0 is a global minimum point for  $f$ , and hence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = f'(0) = \omega_x(d \exp_x^{-1}(c(0)))(\dot{c}(0)) = \omega_x(X),$$

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq f''(0) = \omega_x(d^2 \exp_x^{-1}(c(0)))(\dot{c}(0), \dot{c}(0))$$

$$+\omega_x(d\exp_x^{-1}(c(0)))(\dot{c}(0)) = \omega_x(\dot{c}(0)) = \Omega_x(X, X).$$

Since  $X \in T_xM$  is an arbitrary vector, we obtain that  $\Omega_x$  is positive semidefinite.

**Remark.** We consider  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  an orthonormal frame in  $T_xM$ . Since

$$\text{Trace}(\Omega_x) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i), H_x\right) = ng(H_x, H_x) > 0,$$

the quadratic form  $\Omega_x$  cannot be negative semidefinite, therefore  $M \cap U$  cannot be contained in  $TGH_x^-$ . So, if the submanifold  $M$  is  $H$ -convex at the point  $x$ , then there exists an open set  $U \subset V \subset N$  containing  $x$  such that  $M \cap U$  is contained in  $TGH_x^+$ .

In the sequel, we prove that if the bilinear form  $\Omega_x$  is positive definite, then the submanifold  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at the point  $x$ . For this purpose we introduce a function similar to the height function used in the study of the hypersurfaces of an Euclidean space.

We fix  $x \in M \subset N$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  for which  $\exp_x : T_xN \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism. The function

$$F_{\omega_x} : V \rightarrow R, F_{\omega_x}(y) = \omega_x(\exp_x^{-1}(y))$$

has the property that it is affine on geodesics radiating from  $x$ .

We consider an arbitrary vector  $X \in T_xM$  and a curve  $c : I \rightarrow V$  such that  $0 \in I$ ,  $c(0) = x$ ,  $\dot{c}(0) = X$ . The function  $f = F_{\omega_x} \circ c : I \rightarrow R$  satisfies

$$f'(0) = \omega_x(d\exp_x^{-1}(c(0)))(\dot{c}(0)) = \omega_x(\dot{c}(0)) = \omega_x(X) = g(H, X) = 0$$

and hence  $x \in M$  is a critical point of  $F_{\omega_x}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold in  $N$ . If the bilinear form  $\Omega_x$  is positive definite, then  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at the point  $x$ .*

*Proof.* The point  $x \in M$  is a critical point of  $F_{\omega_x}$  and  $F_{\omega_x}(x) = 0$ . On the other hand one observes that

$$\text{Hess}^N F_{\omega_x} = \text{Hess}^M F_{\omega_x} - dF_{\omega_x}(\Omega H).$$

As  $F_{\omega_x}$  is affine on each geodesic radiating from  $x$ , it follows  $\text{Hess}^N F_{\omega_x} = 0$ . It remains that

$$\text{Hess}^M F_{\omega_x}(x) = \Omega_x$$

and hence  $\text{Hess}^M F_{\omega_x}$  is positive definite at the point  $x$ . In this way  $x$  is a strict local minimum point for  $F_{\omega_x}$  in  $M \cap V$ , i.e., the submanifold  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at  $x$ .

**Remark.** 1) The bilinear form  $\Omega_x$  is positive (semi)definite if and only if the Weingarten operator  $A_H$  is positive (semi)definite.

2) If  $M$  is an hypersurface in  $N$ ,  $x$  is a point in  $M$  with  $H_x \neq 0$ , then  $M$  is  $H$ -convex at  $x$  if and only if  $M$  is convex at  $x$ .

A class of strictly  $H$ -convex submanifolds into a Riemannian manifold is made from the curves which have the mean curvature nonzero.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $(N, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $c : I \rightarrow N$  a regular curve which have the mean curvature nonzero, where  $I$  is an real interval. Then  $c$  is a strictly  $H$ -convex submanifold of  $N$ .*

*Proof.* We fix  $t \in I$ . As  $T_{c(t)}c = \text{Sp}\{\dot{c}(t)\}$ , we obtain

$$H_{c(t)} = \frac{h(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t))}{\|\dot{c}(t)\|^2}.$$

Since  $\Omega(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)) = g(h(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)), H_{c(t)}) = \|\dot{c}(t)\|^2 \|H_{c(t)}\|^2 > 0$ , the quadratic form  $\Omega$  is positive definite. It follows that the curve  $c$  is a strictly  $H$ -convex submanifold of  $N$ .

### 3 H-convex Riemannian submanifolds in real space forms

Let us consider  $(M, g)$  a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ . We fix  $x \in M$  and  $k \in \overline{2, n}$ . Let  $L$  be a vector subspace of dimension  $k$  in  $T_x M$ . If  $X \in L$  is a unit vector, and  $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_k\}$  is an orthonormal frame in  $L$ , with  $e'_1 = X$ , we denote

$$\text{Ric}_L(X) = \sum_{j=2}^k k(e'_1 \wedge e'_j),$$

where  $k(e'_1 \wedge e'_j)$  is the sectional curvature given by  $\text{Sp}\{e'_1, e'_j\}$ . We define the Ricci curvature of  $k$ -order at the point  $x \in M$ ,

$$\theta_k(x) = \frac{1}{k-1} \min_{\substack{L, \dim L = k, \\ X \in L, \|X\| = 1}} \text{Ric}_L(X).$$

B. Y. Chen showed [2], [3] that the eigenvalues of the Weingarten operator of a submanifold in a real space form and the Ricci curvature of  $k$ -order satisfies the next inequality.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $(\widetilde{M}(c), \widetilde{g})$  be a real space form of dimension  $m$  and  $M \subset \widetilde{M}(c)$  a submanifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $k \in \overline{2, n}$ . Then*

- (i)  $A_H \geq \frac{n-1}{n}(\theta_k(x) - c)I_n$ .
- (ii) If  $\theta_k(x) \neq c$ , then the previous inequality is strict.

**Corollary 3.2** *If  $M$  is a submanifold of dimension  $n$  in the real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$  of dimension  $m$ ,  $x \in M$  and there is a natural number  $k \in \overline{2, n}$  such that  $\theta_k(x) > c$ , then  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at the point  $x$ .*

The converse of previous corollary is also true in the case of hypersurfaces in a real space form.

**Theorem 3.3** *If  $M$  is a hypersurface of dimension  $n$  of a real space form  $\widetilde{M}(c)$  and  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at a point  $x$ , then*

$$\theta_k(x) > c, \quad \forall k \in \overline{2, n}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a point in  $M$ , let  $H$  be the mean curvature of  $M$  and  $\pi$  a 2-plane in  $T_x M$ . We consider  $\{X, Y\}$  an orthonormal frame in  $\pi$  and  $\xi = \frac{H_x}{\|H_x\|}$ . The second fundamental form of the submanifold  $M$  satisfies the relation

$$(3.1) \quad h(U, V) = \frac{\Omega_x(U, V)}{\|H_x\|} \xi, \quad \forall U, V \in T_x M.$$

On the other hand, the Gauss equation can be written

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, X, Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y) - \tilde{g}(h(X, X), h(Y, Y)) + \tilde{g}(h(X, Y), h(X, Y)).$$

Using the relation (3.1) and the fact that  $\tilde{M}(c)$  has the sectional curvature  $c$ , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad R(X, Y, X, Y) = c + \frac{1}{\|H_x\|^2} (\Omega_x(X, X)\Omega_x(Y, Y) - \Omega_x(X, Y)^2).$$

On the other hand,  $\Omega_x$  is positive definite because  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at the point  $x$ . From the Cauchy inequality, using the fact that  $X$  and  $Y$  are linear independent vectors, it follows

$$(3.4) \quad \Omega_x(X, X)\Omega_x(Y, Y) - \Omega(X, Y)^2 > 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we find

$$(3.5) \quad R(X, Y, X, Y) > c,$$

which means that the sectional curvature of  $M$  at the point  $x$  is strictly greater than  $c$ . Using the definition of Ricci curvatures, it follows that

$$\theta_k(x) > c, \quad \forall k \in \overline{2, n}.$$

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of dimension  $n$  in the  $m$  dimensional sphere  $S^m \subset R^{m+1}$ . We denote with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the metrics induced on  $S^m$  and  $M$  by the standard metric of  $R^{m+1}$ , with  $\nabla, \nabla'$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connections on  $M, S^m$  and  $R^{m+1}$  and with  $h$  the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $R^{m+1}$ , with  $h'$  the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $S^m$  and with  $\tilde{h}$  the second fundamental form of  $S^m$  in  $R^{m+1}$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be two vector fields tangents to  $M$ . The Gauss formula gives

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h'(X, Y)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla'_X Y + \tilde{h}(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y + h'(X, Y) + \tilde{h}(X, Y).$$

Therefore

$$(3.8) \quad h(X, Y) = h'(X, Y) + \tilde{h}(X, Y).$$

We fix a point  $x \in M$  and an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_x M$ . From the relation (3.8), one gets

$$(3.9) \quad h(e_i, e_i) = h'(e_i, e_i) + \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i), \quad \forall i \in \overline{1, n}$$

and hence

$$(3.10) \quad H = H' + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i),$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector field of  $M \subset R^{m+1}$  and  $H'$  is the mean curvature vector field of  $S^m \subset R^{m+1}$ . We introduce the quadratic forms

$$\Omega, \Omega' : T_x M \times T_x M \rightarrow R,$$

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \langle h(X, Y), H \rangle, \quad \Omega'(X, Y) = \langle h'(X, Y), H' \rangle.$$

From (3.8) and (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \Omega(X, Y) = \langle h(X, Y), H \rangle = \langle h'(X, Y) + \tilde{h}(X, Y), H' + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i) \rangle.$$

Using the fact that  $h'(X, Y)$  and  $H'$  are tangent vectors at  $S^m$ , and  $\tilde{h}(X, Y)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i)$  are normal vectors at  $S^m$ , one gets

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega(X, Y) &= \langle h'(X, Y), H' \rangle + \langle \tilde{h}(X, Y), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i) \rangle \\ &= \Omega'(X, Y) + \langle \tilde{h}(X, Y), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Based on these considerations, we formulate the next

**Theorem 3.4** *We consider a point  $x \in M$ .*

(i) *If  $M$  is a submanifold in  $S^m$ ,  $H$ -convex at  $x$ , then  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at  $x$ , as submanifold in  $R^{m+1}$ .*

(ii) *If the Weingarten operator  $A_H$  of  $M \subset R^{m+1}$  satisfies the inequality  $A_H > I_n$ , then  $M$  is a submanifold in  $S^m$ , strictly  $H$ -convex at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* We denote with  $\tilde{X}$  the position vector field of  $S^m$ . The second fundamental form of  $S^m \subset R^{m+1}$  is given by

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(X, Y) &= \langle \tilde{h}(X, Y), \tilde{X} \rangle \tilde{X} = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \tilde{X} \rangle \tilde{X} \\ &= -\langle Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{X} \rangle \tilde{X} = -\langle X, Y \rangle \tilde{X}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.13), we find

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{h}(e_i, e_i) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, e_i \rangle \tilde{X} = -\tilde{X}.$$

From (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and  $\forall X, Y \in T_x M$ , one gets

$$(3.15) \quad \Omega(X, Y) = \Omega'(X, Y) + \langle \langle X, Y \rangle \tilde{X}, \tilde{X} \rangle = \Omega'(X, Y) + \langle X, Y \rangle$$

We read (3.15) in two ways: (i) If  $M$  is a submanifold in  $S^m$ ,  $H$ -convex at  $x$ , then  $\Omega'(x)$  is positive semidefinite. Using the fact that  $\langle, \rangle$  is positive definite, it follows

that  $\Omega(x)$  is positive definite, therefore  $M$  is a strictly  $H$ -convex submanifold in  $R^{m+1}$  at  $x$ . (ii) If  $A_H > I_n$ , then  $\langle A_H X, X \rangle > \|X\|^2, \forall X \in T_x M$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'(X, X) &= \Omega(X, X) - \|X\|^2 = \langle h(X, X), H \rangle - \|X\|^2 \\ &= \langle A_H X, X \rangle - \|X\|^2 > 0, \forall X \in T_x M. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $M$  is a submanifold in  $S^m$ , strictly  $H$ -convex at  $x$ .

**Corollary 3.5** *If  $M$  is a minimal submanifold in  $S^m$ , then  $M$  is strictly  $H$ -convex at  $x$  as submanifold in  $R^{m+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the fact that  $M$  is minimal in  $S^m$ , one gets  $\Omega' = 0$ , therefore  $\Omega(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle, \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Consequently  $\Omega$  is positive definite.

## References

- [1] R.L. Bishop, *Infinitesimal convexity implies local convexity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 24, 2 (1974), 169-172.
- [2] B.Y. Chen, *Mean curvature shape operator of isometric immersions in real-space-forms*, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 87-97.
- [3] B.Y. Chen, *Relations between Ricci curvature shape operator for submanifolds with arbitrary codimensions*, Glasgow Math. J. 41(1999), 33-41.
- [4] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol 1,2, Interscience, New York, 1963, 1969.
- [5] J.A. Thorpe, *Elementary topics in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag 1979.
- [6] C. Udriște, *Convex hypersurfaces*, Analele Șt. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iași, 32 (1986), 85-87.
- [7] C. Udriște, *Convex Functions and Optimization Methods on Riemannian Manifolds*, Mathematics and Its Applications, 297, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.

*Authors' addresses:*

Constantin Udriște  
 Department of Mathematics-Informatics I, Faculty of Applied Sciences,  
 University Politehnica of Bucharest, 313 Splaiul Independenței,  
 RO-060042 Bucharest, Romania.  
 E-mail: udriste@mathem.pub.ro

Teodor Oprea  
 University of Bucharest,  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,  
 Department of Geometry, 14 Academiei Str.,  
 RO-010014, Bucharest 1, Romania.  
 E-mail: teodoroprea@yahoo.com