Generalized Wintgen-type inequality for submanifolds in S-space-forms

M. Kouamou

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain the generalized Wintgen inequality for *C*-totally real submanifolds in *S*-space form. The advantage with this result is that we have two inequalities in only one. We introduce bi-slant submanifolds in *S*-space form. We give a non trivial example. Further, we discuss the Wintgen inequality for bi-slant submanifolds in the same ambient space and derive its applications in various slant cases.

M.S.C. 2010: 53C05, 53C40, 53C25, 53C15.

Key words: S-space form; Wintgen inequality; bi-Slant Submanifolds; C-totally real submanifolds.

1 Introduction

The Wintgen inequality (1979) is the sharp geometric inequality for surfaces in the Euclidian space, E^4 , involving the Gauss curvature (intrinsic invariant), the normal curvature and squared mean curvature (extrinsic invariant), respectively. De Smet et al [23] conjectured a generalized Wintgen inequality for submanifolds of arbitrary dimension and codimension in Riemannian space form. This conjecture was proved by [16] and Ge and Tang [14], independently. Later, this conjecture was been proved in different space forms, in complex and Sasakian space forms ([18], [19]), Golden Riemannian space form [12], Bochner Kahler space form [1]. Recently, Mohd et al derived a generalized Wintgen-type inequality for submanifolds in generalized space forms, they extended this inequality to the case of bi-slant submanifolds in generalized space forms and derived some applications in various slant cases [3].

On the other hand, Yano, [24], introduced the notion of f-structure on a (2n + s)dimensional manifold as a tensor field of type (1, 1) and rank 2n satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$. Almost complex, in even dimension (s = 0) and almost contact, in odd dimension (s = 1) structures are well known examples of f-structure. The existence of such structure is equivalent to a reduction of the structural group of the tangent bundle to $U(n) \times O(s)$, [4]. Recently, Najma [21] established new results of squared mean curvature and Ricci curvature for the submanifolds of S-space form that is the

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.27, No.2, 2022, pp. 77-88.

[©] Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2022.

generalization of complex and contact structure. Kim, [15], obtained a basic inequality for submanifolds of an S-space form tangent to structure vector fields. The notion of bi-slant submanifolds of an almost hermitian manifold or almost contact manifold was introduced as a natural generalisation of CR-submanifold, hemi-slant submanifold, semi-slant submanifold, [6]. In [17], [20], the authors have studied CR-submanifolds of S-manifolds. Motivated by the work above, we establish the generalized Wintgentype inequality for submanifolds in S-space form that is the genaralization of Sasakian space form and Kahler space form, ([18], [19]). This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some necessary background on f-structures, S-manifolds and Sspace forms. In section 3, we established the generalized Wintgen-type inequality for submanifolds of S-space form. In section 4, we give a non trivial example of bi-slant submanifolds of S-space forms, the generalized Wintgen-type inequality for the same ambient space and derive its applications in various slant cases.

2 Preliminaries

Yano showed that almost complex and almost contact structures can be generalized as f-structures on a smooth manifold of dimension 2n + s. The idea for the f-structure is to consider a tensor field with condition $f^3 + f = 0$, of type (1, 1) and rank 2n.

Let \overline{M}^{2n+s} be a smooth manifold along an *f*-structure of rank 2*n*. We take *s* structural vectors fields $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_s$ on \overline{M} such as

(2.1)
$$f\xi_{\alpha} = 0, \quad \eta_{\alpha} \circ f = 0, \quad f^2 = -I + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_{\alpha} \otimes \eta_{\alpha},$$

where η_{α} and ξ_{α} are dual forms to each other, therefore, complemented frames exist on *f*-structures. For an *f*-manifold, we define a Riemannian metric as

(2.2)
$$g(X,Y) = g(fX,fY) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta_{\alpha}(X)\eta_{\alpha}(Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}).$$

A consequence of (2.1) and (2.2) is

(2.3)
$$g(fX, X) = 0, \quad g(fX, Y) = -g(X, fY).$$

An *f*-structure is normal, if there exist complemented frames and $[f, f] + 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \xi_{\alpha} \otimes d\eta_{\alpha} = 0$, where [f, f] is the Nijenhuis torsion of *f*. Consider the fundamental 2-form *B* defined as B(X, Y) = g(X, fY). A metric *f*- structure which is normal and $d\eta_1 = d\eta_2 = \cdots = d\eta_s = B$ is know as an S-structure. A smooth manifold along with an S-structure is known as an S-manifold. Blair described such types of manifolds in [4]. In the case s = 1, an S-manifold is a Sasakian manifold. In the case s = 0, an S-manifold is a Kahler manifold. For $s \geq 2$ examples of S-manifold are given in [1] For the Riemannian connection $\overline{\nabla}$ of g of an S-manifold \overline{M}^{2n+s} , the following were also proved in [4]

(2.4)
$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha = -fX, \quad X \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, s.$$

Generalized Wintgen-type inequality for submanifolds in S-space-forms

(2.5)
$$(\bar{\nabla}_X f)Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s [g(fX, fY)\xi_\alpha + \eta_\alpha(Y)f^2X], \quad X, \ Y \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}).$$

Let L denote the distribution determined by $-f^2$ and M the complementary distribution. M is determined by $f^2 + I$ and spanned by ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_s . If $X \in L$, then $\eta_{\alpha}(X) = 0$ for any α and if If $X \in M$, then fX = 0.

A plane section π is called an invariant f-section if it is determined by a vector $X \in L(p), p \in \overline{M}$, such that $\{X, fX\}$ is an orthonormal pair spanning the section. The sectional curvature K(X, fX) called an invariant f-sectional curvature is a constant c, then its curvature tensor has the form

(2.6)

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)Z = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{s} \{\eta_{\alpha}(X)\eta_{\beta}(Z)f^{2}Y - \eta_{\alpha}(Y)\eta_{\beta}(Z)f^{2}X \\
- g(fX,fZ)\eta_{\alpha}(Y)\xi_{\beta} + g(fY,fZ)\eta_{\alpha}(X)\xi_{\beta}\} \\
+ \frac{c+3s}{4}\{-g(fY,fZ)f^{2}X + g(fX,fZ)f^{2}Y\} \\
+ \frac{c-s}{4}\{g(X,fZ)fY - g(Y,fZ)fX + 2g(X,fY)fZ\},$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$.

Then the S-manifold will be denoted by $\overline{M}^{2n+s}(c)$ and it is said to be S-space form. As example of S-space form, we mention the euclidian space and hyperbolic space [4].

Let N be a submanifold with an induced metric g of a real dimension m in an S-space form, $\overline{M}^{2n+s}(c)$. If $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ are the Levi-Civita connections on $\overline{M}^{2n+s}(c)$ and N, respectively, then the fundamental formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$
$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_{\xi} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} \xi,$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN), \xi \in \Gamma(TN)^{\perp}$ and ∇^{\perp} represents the normal connection. Recall that, in the above basic formulas, h denotes the second fundamental form and A_{ξ} is the shape operator, they are connected by

$$g(h(X,Y),\xi) = g(A_{\xi}X,Y).$$

Let R be the Riemannian curvature tensor of N^m . We will use the convention R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)W, Z), for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TN)$. Then the Gauss equation is given by

$$(2.7) \ \bar{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = R(X,Y,Z,W) + g(h(X,W),h(Y,Z)) - g(h(X,Z),h(Y,W)),$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TN)$, and the Ricci equation by

(2.8)
$$\bar{R}(X,Y,\eta,\xi) = R^{\perp}(X,Y,\eta,\xi) + g([A_{\xi},A_{\eta}]X,Y),$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(TN)^{\perp}$.

A submanifold N of an S-space form $\overline{M}(c)$ is called C-totally real submanifold if $\xi_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ is normal to N, and a consequence of this is that $f(T_pN) \subset T_pN^{\perp}$, for all $p \in N$ [22].

For a vector field $X \in T_pN$, $p \in N$, it can be written as fX = PX + QX, where PX is tangent component of fX and QX is a normal component of fX. If P = 0, then the submanifold is said to be an anti-invariant submanifold and if Q = 0, the submanifold is said to be an invariant submanifold. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ and $\{e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_{2n+s}\}$ be a tangent orthonormal frame and normal orthonormal frame respectively on N.

The mean curvature vector field is given by

(2.9)
$$H = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(e_i, e_i)$$

The norm of the squared mean curvature of the submanifolds is defined by

(2.10)
$$|| H ||^2 = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} (\sum_{i=1}^m h_{ii}^r)^2.$$

Further,

(2.11)
$$||h||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)),$$

and

(2.12)
$$||P||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^2(Pe_i, e_j).$$

3 Generalized Wintgen inequality for *C*-totally real submanifolds in *S*-space form

We denote by K and R^{\perp} the sectional curvature function and the normal curvature tensor on N. Then the normalized scalar ρ is given by

$$\rho = \frac{2\tau}{m(m-1)} = \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} K(e_i, e_j),$$

where τ is a scalar curvature, and the normalized normal scalar curvature is given by [16]

$$\rho^{\perp} = \frac{2\tau^{\perp}}{m(m-1)} = \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \sqrt{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} \sum_{1 \le r < s \le 2n+s-m} (R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j, \xi_r, \xi_s))^2}.$$

Following [16], we put

$$K_N = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^{2n+s-m} trace[A_r, A_s]^2,$$

and call it the scalar normal curvature of N. The normalized scalar normal curvature is given by

$$\rho_N = \frac{2}{m(m-1)}\sqrt{K_N}.$$

Obviously

(3.1)

$$K_N = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^{2n+s-m} trace[A_r, A_s]^2$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le r < s \le 2n+s-m} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} g([A_r, A_s]e_i, e_j)^2.$$

In terms of the component of the second fundamental form, we can express K_N by the formula

(3.2)
$$\sum_{1 \le r < s \le 2n+s-m} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} (\sum_{k=1}^m h_{jk}^r h_{ik}^s - h_{ik}^r h_{jk}^s)^2.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let N be a C-totally real submanifold in S-space form $\overline{M}(c)$. Then

(3.3)
$$\rho_N \le ||H||^2 - \rho + \frac{c+3s}{4}.$$

The equality case holds identically if and only if, with respect to suitable orthonormal frames $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ and $\{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{2n+s}\}$ the shape operators of N in \overline{M} take the form

$$A_{e_{m+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & b & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ b & f_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{e_{m+2}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 + b & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 - b & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{e_{m+3}} = \begin{pmatrix} f_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where f_1, f_2, f_3 and b are real functions, and $A_{e_{m+4}} = \cdots = A_{e_{2n+s}} = 0$.

Proof. Let N be a C-totally real submanifold in S-space form $\overline{M}(c)$. We choose $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ and $\{e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_{2n+s}\}$ as orthonormal frame and orthonormal

normal frame on N. respectively. From (2.6), we put $X = e_i$, $Y = e_j$, $Z = e_j$ and $W = e_i$, i < j, we have

$$\bar{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) = \frac{1}{8}(c+3s).m(m-1)$$

Using Gauss equation, we infer

$$\frac{1}{8}(c+3s)m(m-1) = \tau - m^2 \parallel H \parallel^2 + \parallel h \parallel^2.$$

On the other hand, we have

(3.4)
$$m^{2} \parallel H \parallel^{2} = \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{ii}^{r})^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} \sum_{1=i < j}^{m} (h_{ii}^{r} - h_{jj}^{r})^{2} + \frac{2m}{m-1} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} \sum_{1=i < j}^{m} h_{ii}^{r} h_{jj}^{r}.$$

Furthermore, from [13], we have

$$\sum_{r=m+1}^{(3.5)} \sum_{1=i< j}^{2n+s} \sum_{k=i+1}^{m} (h_{ii}^r - h_{jj}^r)^2 + 2m \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} (\sum_{1=i< j}^{m} h_{ij}^r)^2 \ge [\sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} \sum_{1=i< j}^{m} (h_{jk}^r h_{ik}^s - h_{ik}^r h_{jk}^s)]^{1/2}.$$

Combining (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), we have

(3.6)
$$m^2 \parallel H \parallel^2 - m^2 \rho_N \ge \frac{2m}{m-1} \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+s} \sum_{1=i < j}^m h_{ii}^r h_{jj}^r - (h_{ij}^r)^2.$$

From the relation (2.7) and (3.6), we get

(3.7)
$$m^2 \parallel H \parallel^2 - m^2 \rho_N \ge \frac{2m}{m-1} [\tau - \frac{1}{8} (c+3s)m(m-1)].$$

Then

(3.8)
$$|| H ||^2 - \rho_N \ge \rho - \frac{1}{4}(c+3s).$$

Finally, analysing the case of equality in (3.5), we deduce that the equality holds in the inequality (3.3), at some point $p \in N$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis of T_pN and an orthonormal basis of T_pN^{\perp} such that the shape operators take the form desired.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, we deduce the following results of [18], [19]

Corollary 3.2. Let N be a totally real submanifold of Kahler space form $\overline{M}^{2n}(c)$. Then

(3.9)
$$\rho_N \le ||H||^2 - \rho + \frac{c}{4}.$$

Corollary 3.3. Let N be a totally real submanifold of Sasakian space form $\overline{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. Then

(3.10)
$$\rho_N \le \parallel H \parallel^2 -\rho + \frac{c+3}{4}.$$

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 3.4. Let N be a totally real submanifold of S-space \overline{M} . Then

$$(\rho^{\perp})^{2} \leq (\parallel H \parallel^{2} - \rho + \frac{c+3s}{4})^{2} + \frac{4}{m(m-1)}(\rho - \frac{c+3s}{4}) \cdot \frac{c-s}{4} + \frac{(c-s)^{2}}{8m(m-1)}.$$

Proof. Let N be a totally real submanifold of S-space form \overline{M} . We choose $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ an orthonormal frame on N. From (2.6), we put $X = e_j$, $Y = e_i$, $Z = \xi$ and $W = \eta$, we have

$$\bar{R}(e_i, e_j, \xi, \eta) = \frac{c-s}{4} \{ g(e_i, f\xi) g(fe_j, \eta) - g(e_j, f\xi) g(fe_i, \eta) \},\$$

without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\eta = f e_k$ and $\xi = f e_l$. Then

(3.11)
$$\bar{R}(e_i, e_j, \xi, \eta) = \frac{c-s}{4} \{ \gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jl} - \gamma_{il} \gamma_{jk} \},$$

where γ_{il} is the Kronecker symbol.

From (3.11) and (2.8),

(3.12)
$$g(R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j)\eta, \xi) = \frac{c-s}{4} \{\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} - \gamma_{il}\gamma_{jk}\} - g([A_r, A_s]e_i, e_j).$$

From this, we get

$$(3.13)$$

$$(\tau^{\perp})^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g(R^{\perp}(e_{i},e_{j})\eta,\xi)^{2} = (\frac{c-s}{4}\{\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} - \gamma_{il}\gamma_{jk}\})^{2}$$

$$-2\frac{c-s}{4}\{\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} - \gamma_{il}\gamma_{jk}\}g([A_{r},A_{s}]e_{i},e_{j}) + (g([A_{r},A_{s}]e_{i},e_{j}))^{2}$$

$$= \frac{m^{2}(m-1)^{2}}{4}\rho_{N} + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}(\frac{c-s}{4})^{2} + (\frac{c-s}{4})(-\parallel h\parallel^{2} + m^{2} \parallel H\parallel^{2})$$

On other hand (2.7) give us

(3.14)
$$m^2 \parallel H \parallel^2 - \parallel h \parallel^2 = 2\tau - \frac{(c+3s)m(m-1)}{4},$$

or equivalently,

(3.15)
$$m^2 \parallel H \parallel^2 - \parallel h \parallel^2 = m(m-1)(\rho - \frac{c+3s}{4}).$$

By substituting (3.15) in (3.13) we obtain

$$(\rho^{\perp})^2 \le (\rho^N)^2 + \frac{4}{m(m-1)}(\rho - \frac{c+3s}{4}) \cdot \frac{c-s}{4} + \frac{(c-s)^2}{8m(m-1)}.$$

Taking account of lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$(\rho^{\perp})^2 \leq (\parallel H \parallel^2 -\rho + \frac{c+3s}{4})^2 + \frac{4}{m(m-1)}(\rho - \frac{c+3s}{4}) \cdot \frac{c-s}{4} + \frac{(c-s)^2}{8m(m-1)}. \quad \Box$$

Remark 3.1. For integral submanifolds with N normal to the structure vector fields, we have the same inequality.

4 Generalized Wintgen inequality for bi-slant submanifolds in *S*-space form

In this section, we suppose that the structure vector fields ξ_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \ldots, s$, are tangent to N.

A submanifold N in an almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} is said to be Slant if for any differentiable function f on N, and any non zero vector field X on N, linearly independent on ξ angle between fX and T_pM is a constant $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, called the slant angle of N in \overline{M} . Recall that both invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds are particular examples of slant submanifolds with slant angle $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively, moreover, if $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then N is said to be a θ -slant submanifold or proper slant submanifold. A submanifold in an almost hermitian manifold \overline{M} is said to be slant if for any differentiable function f on N and any non zero vector field X on N, linearly independent on ξ angle between fX and T_pM is a constant $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Combining these two concepts lead us to the introduction of bi-slant submanifolds for S-space forms

Definition 4.1. A submanifold N tangent to structure vector field of an S-space \overline{M} is said to be a bi-slant submanifold, if there exist three orthogonal distribution D_1 , D_2 and $D_3 = span\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_s\}$ such that

- 1) $TN = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus D_3$,
- 2) D_i , is the slant distribution with slant angle θ_i , for any i = 1, 2.
- 3) $fD_1 \perp D_2$ and $fD_2 \perp D_1$.

4.1 Examples of bi-slant submanifolds of S-space form

As example of bi-slant submanifold in an S-space form, for s = 0, we have the class of slant submanifold but also the class of semi-slant submanifold, hemi-slant submanifold and CR-submanifold [2].

Now we give a nontrivial example of proper bi-slant submanifold.

For any $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}],$

$$x(u, v, w, t, z_1, z_2) = (u, 0, w, v \cos \theta_1, v \sin \theta_1, t \cos \theta_2, t \sin \theta_2, z_1, z_2),$$

defines a 6-dimensional bi-slant submanifold N, with slant angle θ_1, θ_2 in $R^{10}(-3s)$ with its S-structure given by

$$\begin{split} \xi_{\alpha} &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}, \alpha = 1, 2\\ \eta_{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2}(dz_{\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} y_{i}dx_{i}), \alpha = 1, 2\\ fX &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} Y^{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} X^{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} + (\sum_{i=1}^{4} Y^{i}Y_{i})(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}})\\ g &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \eta_{\alpha} \otimes \eta_{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} (dx_{i} \otimes dx_{i} + dy_{i} \otimes dy_{i}), \end{split}$$

where

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + Y^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \right) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} Z^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}.$$

Furthemore, it is easy to see that

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, e_2 = \cos \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \sin \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3},$$
$$e_4 = \cos \theta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + \sin \theta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_4}, e_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad e_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}.$$

From a local orthonormal frame of T_pN , if we define $D_1 = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $D_2 = \{e_3, e_4\}$ then $g(fe_1, e_2) = \cos \theta_1 \ g(fe_3, e_4) = \cos \theta_2$ proving that the distribution D_1 is θ_1 slant and the distribution D_2 is θ_2 - slant.

4.2 Wintgen inequality

Theorem 4.1. Let N be a bi-slant submanifold in S-space form \overline{M} , with slant angle θ_i and $\dim D_i = d_i$, i = 1, 2. Then

(4.1)
$$||H||^2 - \rho_N \ge \rho - \frac{c+3s}{4} + \frac{s(c+3s-4)}{2m} - \frac{3(c-s)}{4m(m-1)}(d_1\cos^2\theta_1 + d_2\cos^2\theta_2).$$

Proof. Let N be a bi-slant submanifold in S-space form. We choose $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$, where $m = d_1 + d_2 + s$, and $\{e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ as orthonormal frame and orthonormal normal frame on N respectively. From (2.6), we take $X = e_i$, $Y = e_j$, $Z = e_j$ and $W = e_i$, i < j.

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^s \left\{ g(fe_i, fe_i) \eta_\alpha(e_j) \eta_\beta(e_j)) - g(fe_i, fe_j) \eta_\alpha(e_j) \eta_\beta(e_i)) \right. \\ &+ g(fe_j, fe_j) \eta_\alpha(e_i) \eta_\beta(e_i)) - g(fe_j, fe_i) \eta_\alpha(e_i) \eta_\beta(e_j)) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{c+3s}{4} \{ g(fe_i, fe_i) g(fe_j, fe_j) - g(fe_i, fe_j) g(fe_j, fe_i) \} \\ &+ \frac{c-s}{4} \{ g(e_i, fe_i) g(e_j, fe_j) - g(e_i, fe_j) g(e_j, fe_i) - 2g(e_i, fe_j) g(e_j, fe_i) \} \end{split}$$

By using (2.2) and (2.3) in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) &= g(e_i, e_i)\eta_{\alpha}^2(e_j) - \eta_{\alpha}^2(e_j)\eta_{\gamma}^2(e_i) + \eta_{\alpha}(e_j)\eta_{\beta}(e_i)\eta_{\gamma}(e_i)\eta_{\gamma}(e_j) \\ &+ g(e_j, e_j)\eta_{\alpha}^2(e_i) - \eta_{\alpha}^2(e_i)\eta_{\gamma}^2(e_j) + \eta_{\alpha}(e_i)\eta_{\beta}(e_j)\eta_{\gamma}(e_i)\eta_{\gamma}(e_j) \\ &+ \frac{c+3s}{4}(g(e_i, e_i)g(e_j, e_j) + \eta_{\gamma}^2(e_j)\eta_{\gamma}^2(e_i) \\ &- g(e_i, e_i)\eta_{\gamma}^2(e_j) - g(e_{ij}, e_j)\eta_{\gamma}^2(e_i) - (\eta_{\gamma}(e_j)\eta_{\gamma}(e_i))^2) + \frac{c-s}{4}(3g^2(Pe_i, e_j)), \end{split}$$

whence

(4.2)
$$2\bar{\tau} = (2ms - 2s) + \frac{c + 3s}{4}(m(m-1) - 2ms + 2s) + \frac{3(c-s)}{4} \parallel P \parallel^2 = \frac{1}{4}(c+3s)m(m-1) + \frac{c+3s-4}{4}(2s-2ms) + \frac{3(c-s)}{4} \parallel P \parallel^2.$$

Since N is bi-slant submanifold on S-space form \overline{M} , where dim $N = m = n_1 + n_2 + s$, we may consider an adapted bi-slant orthonormal frames as follows:

$$e_1, e_2 = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_1} P e_1, \dots, e_{n_1 - 1}, e_{n_1} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_1} P e_{n_1 - 1}$$
$$e_{n_1 + 1}, e_{n_1 + 2} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_2} P e_{n_1 + 1}, \dots, e_{n_1 + n_2 - 1}, e_{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta_2} P e_{n_1 + n_2 - 1},$$

and $e_{n_1+n_2+\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha}$. Then we have

$$g(e_1, fe_2) = -g(fe_1, e_2) = -g(fe_1, \frac{1}{\cos \theta_1} Pe_1),$$

or,

$$g(e_1, fe_2) = -\frac{1}{\cos \theta_1} g(Pe_1, Pe_1).$$

Now, from [6], we get $g(e_1, fe_2) = -\cos \theta_1$. Similarly,

$$g^{2}(e_{i}, fe_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \cos^{2}\theta_{1} & 1 \leq i < n_{1} \\ \cos^{2}\theta_{2} & n_{1} + 1 \leq i < n_{1} + n_{2} + 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

(4.3)
$$||P||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^2(e_i, fe_j) = (n_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + n_2 \cos^2 \theta_2).$$

Then (4.3) in (4.2) give us

(4.4)

$$2\bar{\tau} = \frac{1}{4}(c+3s)m(m-1) + \frac{c+3s-4}{4}(2s-2ms) + \frac{3(c-s)}{4}(n_1\cos^2\theta_1 + n_2\cos^2\theta_2).$$

Using (2.7), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), we get (4.1).

The following results is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1

Corollary 4.2. Let N be a semi-slant submanifold of Sasakian space form (s = 1) \overline{M} . Then

(4.5)
$$\rho_N \leq ||H||^2 - (\rho - \frac{c+3}{4}) - \frac{(c-1)}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)}(d_1 + d_2\cos^2\theta_2).$$

Corollary 4.3. Let N be a hemi-slant submanifold of Sasakian space form (s = 1) \overline{M} . Then

(4.6)
$$\rho_N \le ||H||^2 - (\rho - \frac{c+3}{4}) - \frac{(c-1)}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (d_1 \cos^2 \theta_1).$$

Corollary 4.4. Let N be an anti-invariant submanifold of Sasakian space form $(s = 1) \overline{M}$. Then

(4.7)
$$\rho_N \le ||H||^2 - (\rho - \frac{c+3}{4}) - \frac{(c-1)}{2m}.$$

Corollary 4.5. Let N be an invariant submanifold of Sasakian space form (s = 1) \overline{M} . Then

(4.8)
$$\rho_N \le ||H||^2 - (\rho - \frac{c+3}{4}) - \frac{(c-1)}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m}.$$

We may have the similar results for Kahler space form (s = 0).

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to the anonymous referees, whose comments have contributed to the improvement of this manuscript. Thanks are addressed to the CEA-SMA at IMSP for the provided financial support.

References

- M. Aquib, Some inequalities for submanifolds in Bochner-Kahler manifold, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, 23, 1 (2018), 1-13.
- [2] M. Aquib, M. Hasan Shahid, Generalized Wintgen-type inequalities for submanifolds in Kenmotsu space forms, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, 50, 2 (2018), 155-164.
- [3] M. Aquib, M. N. Boyom, M. Hasan Shahid, G. E. Vilcu, The first fundamental equation and generalized Wintgen-type inequalities for submanifolds in generalized space forms, Mathematics, 7, 1151 (2019), 1-20.
- [4] D. E. Blair, Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$, J. Diff. Geom., 4(1970), 155-167.
- [5] J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, A classification of certain submanifolds of S-manifolds, Annales Polonici Mathematici, LIV, 2 (1991), 117-123.
- [6] J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, Glasgow. Math. J. 42 (2000), 125-138.
- [7] J. L. Cabrerizo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, On certain anti-invariant submanifolds of an S-manifold, Portugallae Mathematica, 50, 1 (1993), 103-113.

- [8] J. L. Cabrerizo, The curvature of submanifolds of an S-space form, Acta. Math. Hung. 62 (3-4) (1993), 373-383.
- [9] J. L. Cabrerizo, B.Y.Chen's inequality for S-space form: applications to slant immersions, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math, 34(9) (2003), 1287-1298.
- [10] A. Carriazo, New developments in slant submanifold theory, "Applicable Mathematics in Golden Age" (Ed: J.C. Misca), Narosa Publishing House (2002), 339-356.
- [11] A. Carriazo, *Bi-slant immersion*, Proceedings ICRAMS (2000), 88-97.
- [12] M. A. Choudhary et al, Generalized Wintgen inequality for some submanifolds in Golden Riemannian space forms, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, 25, 2 (2020), 1-11.
- [13] F. Dillen, J. Fastenakels, J. V. Der Veken, A pinching theorem for the normal scalar curvature of invariant submanifolds, Journal of Geometry and Physics 57 (2007), 833-840.
- [14] J. Ge and Z. Tang, A proof of the DDVV conjecture and its equality, Pacific J. Math. 237 (2008), 87-95.
- [15] J. S. Kim, M. K. Dwivedi and M. M. Tripathi, *Ricci curvature of submanifolds of an S-space form*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46, 5 (2009), 979-998.
- [16] Z. Lu, Normal scalar curvature conjecture and its applications, Journal of Functional Analysis, 261 (2011), 1284-1308.
- [17] I. Mihai, CR-submanifolds of an f-manifold with complementary frames (Rom), Stud. Cerc. Math, 35 (1983), 127-136.
- [18] I. Mihai, On the generalized Wintgen inequalities for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, Tohoku Math. J. 69 (2017), 43-53.
- [19] I. Mihai, On the generalized Wintgen inequalities for Legendrian submanifolds in complex space forms, Nonlinear Analysis 95 (2014), 714-720.
- [20] L. Ornea, Genre Cauchy-Riemann submanifolds in S-manifolds (Rom), Stud. Cerc. Math., 36 (1984), 435-443.
- [21] N. A. Rehman, Curvature inequalities for submanifolds of S-space form, Euro. J. Pure Appl. Math., 12, 4 (2019), 1811-1818.
- [22] K. Sanjay Tiwari, S. S. Shukla, S. P. Pandey, C-totally real pseudo parallel submanifolds of S-space forms, Noti di Matematica, 32, 2 (2012), 73-81.
- [23] D. Smet, A pointwise inequality in submanifold theory, Arch. Math. (Brno), 35, 2 (1999), 115-128.
- [24] K. Yano, On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1,1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor, 14 (1963), 99-109.

Author's address:

Mérimé Kouamou University of Abomey-Calavi, Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques, Porto-novo, Rep. of Benin. E-mail: merime.kouamou@imsp-uac.org