

The Borel radius and the S radius of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc

Yinying Kong^{1,2} Huilin Gan¹

¹ School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Guangdong University of Business Studies, Guangzhou, 510320, China

² LMAM, Université de Bretagne-Sud, Campus de Tohanic, 56017 Vannes, France; Université Européenne de Bretagne, France

E-mail: kongcoco@hotmail.com ganhuilin2003@tom.com

Abstract: By using Ahlfors' theory of covering surface, a fundamental inequality for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc is established. As an application, some results on the Borel radius and the S radius dealing with multiple values of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc are obtained.

Keywords: K -quasimeromorphic mapping; in the unit disc; Borel radius; S radius

AMS Subject Classification: 30C62, 30D60, 30D35

1 Introduction

In 1997, the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions due to Nevanlinna (see [1],[2] and [3] for standard references) has been extended to the corresponding theory of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping by Sun and Yang [4]. The K -quasimeromorphic mapping is a more widespread function than the meromorphic function, but it has no derivative, even the partial derivative does not exist everywhere. They established a fundamental inequality on the complex plane and used it to prove the existence theorem of the Borel direction and the filling disc theorem of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping. In 1999, Gao [5] established a fundamental inequality dealing with multiple values on the complex plane and improved some results of [4].

Recently, the singular direction is one of the interesting topics studied in the theory of value distribution of the K -quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane such as Julia direction, Borel direction, Nevanlinna direction and S direction, see [6-11]. Their existence theorems and some

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11101096), the Foundation for Distinguished Young Talents in Higher Education of Guangdong(No. LYM08060) and the Science and Information Technology Office of Guangzhou(No.2010Y1-C641).

connections between them have also been established, which extends the relative properties of meromorphic function on the complex plane. In 2004, Yang and Liu [10] used a fundamental inequality of an angular domain on the complex plane to confirm the existence of a Borel direction of the K-quasimeromorphic mappings of the zero order. Later, Wu and Sun [8] proved the existence of a S direction for the K-quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane, which was inspired by the idea of the T-direction [12] for the meromorphic function.

Theorem A. Let $f(z)$ be K-quasimeromorphic mapping on the complex plane and satisfy $\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r, f)}{(\ln r)^2} = +\infty$, then there exists a ray $\arg z = \theta$ (namely S direction) such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{n}(\Omega(\theta - \varepsilon, \theta + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > 0$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty := \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$, except for two possible exceptional values.

It is well known that if f is a transcendental meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$, it will share some properties with the one on the complex plane, see [2], [13] and [14]. Thus a natural question is: Is there a Borel radius or a S radius for the K-quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < 1$? However, until now only a few results on the singular radius of the K-quasimeromorphic mapping have been discussed, see [15] and [16]. So in this paper, we establish a more precise fundamental inequality for the K-quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc and confirm the existence of the Borel radius and the S radius (dealing with multiple values) for the K-quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc, which develop some results of [4], [7] and [11]. To do so, we recall some definitions and notations, which can be found in [4] and [6].

Definition 1.^[4] Let $f(s)$ be a homeomorphism from D to D' . If for any rectangle $\{z = x + iy; a < x < b, c < y < d\}$ in D ,

- (i) $f(x + iy)$ is absolutely continuous of y for almost every fixed $x \in (a, b)$ and $f(x + iy)$ is absolutely continuous of x for almost every fixed $y \in (c, d)$;
- (ii) there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that

$$|f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z)| \leq K(|f_z(z)| - |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|)$$

holds almost everywhere in D ; then f is named an univalent K-quasimeromorphic mapping in D .

Definition 2.^[4] Let f be a complex and continuous function in the region D . For a point z_0 in D , if there is a neighborhood $U(\subset D)$ and a

positive integer n depending on z_0 , such that

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^{1/n}, & f(z_0) = \infty \\ (f(z) - f(z_0))^{1/n} + f(z_0), & f(z_0) \neq \infty \end{cases}$$

is an univalent K-quasimeromorphic mapping, then f is named n -valent K-quasimeromorphic mappings at point z_0 . If f is n -valent K-quasimeromorphic at every point of D , then f is called a K-quasimeromorphic mapping in D .

It is obvious that a meromorphic function is a 1-quasimeromorphic mapping. The composition function $g \circ f$ of a meromorphic function g and a K-quasimeromorphic mapping f is still a K-quasimeromorphic mapping. Let $n(r, a)$ be the number of zero points of $f(z) - a$ in disc $|z| \leq r$. If the multiple zeros are counted only once, then we use $\bar{n}(r, a)$. Let $n(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$ be the number of zero points $f(z) - a$ in $\{\varphi - \varepsilon < \arg z < \varphi + \varepsilon\} \cap \{|z| \leq r\}$. If the multiple zeros are counted only once, we use $\bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$. Let $\bar{n}^{(l)}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)$ be the number of distinct roots with the multiplicity $\leq l$ of $f(z) = a$ in the same region.

Let \mathbf{V} be a Riemann sphere whose diameter is 1. $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is a K-quasimeromorphic mapping in the angular domain $E = \Omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \cap \{|z| \leq r\}$. Set

$$S(E, f) = S(\Omega(\varphi_1, \varphi_2), r) = \frac{|F_r|}{|\mathbf{V}|} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{z \in E} \frac{u_x v_y - v_x u_y}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2} r dr d\theta.$$

where $|F_r|$ is the area of the image of E on \mathbf{V} and $|\mathbf{V}|$ is the area of \mathbf{V} . If $E = \{|z| \leq r\}$, then $S(E, f)$ can be replaced by $S(r, f)$.

Definition 3. Let $f(z)$ be a K-quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. If $S(r, f) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, then we call $f(z)$ transcendental. The order of the transcendental K-quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc is defined by

$$\rho = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln S(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)}.$$

If $\rho = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln S(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)}$, then $f(z)$ is of regular growth. Especially, when $K = 1$, if $S(r, f)$ is replaced by $T(r, f) = \int_0^r S(t, f)/t dt$, then ρ is called the order of the meromorphic function $f(z)$.

Definition 4. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental K-quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. A radius $\Delta(\varphi) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi, |z| < 1\}$ is called a Borel radius of the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$ for the K-quasimeromorphic

mapping $f(z)$ in the unit disc, provided that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi)$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \geq \rho$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values.

Note that this definition of Borel radius meaningfully characterizes the growth of $f(z)$ only when $0 < \rho < \infty$. Inspired by the idea of [8], we give a definition of the S radius in the unit disc.

Definition 5. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental K -quasimeromorphic mapping defined in the unit disc. A radius $\Delta(\varphi)$ is called a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values $l(\geq 3)$, provided that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\bar{n}^{(l)}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > 0$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values. If $l \rightarrow +\infty$, $\Delta(\varphi)$ is called the S radius of $f(z)$ in the unit disc (S direction in the case of the complex plane).

2 Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1.^[5] Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < R$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$ be $q(q \geq 3)$ distinct points with the mutual spherical distance no less than $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, then for any $r \in (0, R)$, we have

$$(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l})S(r, f) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^{(l)}(R, a_v) + \frac{(960 + 2q\pi)^2}{\delta^6} \cdot \frac{2^5 \pi^2 K}{q - 2 - \frac{2}{l}} \cdot \frac{R}{R - r}.$$

Lemma 2.^[13] Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln T(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)} = \rho \iff \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln S(r, f)}{-\ln(1-r)} = \rho + 1.$$

3 Main Results and their proofs

Theorem 1. Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in $|z| < 1$. Δ and Δ_0 are two angular domains with the common vertex on the center of the unit disc, where

$$\Delta := \Omega(\varphi - \eta, \varphi + \eta) \subset \Delta_0 := \Omega(\varphi - \eta_0, \varphi + \eta_0), \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_0, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi.$$

Then,

$$(q - 2 - \frac{2}{l})S(\Delta, r) \leq (1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l(\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v) + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}), \quad (1)$$

$$(q - 2)S(\Delta, r) \leq (1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}(\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v) + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}), \quad (2)$$

where $a_1, a_2 \dots a_q$ are q distinct points in \mathbf{V} with the mutual spherical distance not less than $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $r_0 \in (1/2, 1)$ and $r \in (r_0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $r_i = 1 - \frac{1-r_0}{2^i}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots$), then $r_1 = \frac{1+r_0}{2}$. For any $r \in (r_1, 1)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $r_n \leq r \leq r_{n+1}$. So we set

$$r_{i,j} = r_i + \frac{j(r_{i+1} - r_i)}{n} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

then $r_{i,0} = r_i$ and $r_{i,n} = r_{i+1}$. For any positive integer $i \geq 2$, we set

$$\Delta_0(r_{i,j}, r_{i+1,j+1}) := \Delta_0 \cap \{r_{i,j} \leq |z| \leq r_{i+1,j+1}\}.$$

So we can easily see that there exists an integer $j_0 \in [0, n-1]$ such that

$$\sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} \Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}), a_v \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l(\Delta_0, r_{n+2}, a_v),$$

where $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}) = \Delta_0 \cap \{r_{i,j_0} \leq |z| \leq r_{i,j_0+1}\}$. Then, we set

$$\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}) := \Delta \cap \{r'_i \leq |z| \leq r'_{i+1}\} \subset \Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}),$$

where

$$r'_i = \frac{r_{i,j_0} + r_{i,j_0+1}}{2}, \quad r'_{i+1} = \frac{r_{i+1,j_0} + r_{i+1,j_0+1}}{2}.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\varphi = 0$. Since

$$r_{i+1,j_0+1} - r_{i,j_0} = \frac{1-r_0}{2^{i+2}} \left(2 + \frac{1-j_0}{n} \right), \quad r'_{i+1} - r'_i = \frac{1-r_0}{2^{i+2}} \left(2 - \frac{2j_0+1}{2n} \right),$$

then $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots$) can map mutually by some transforms such that their sub domains $\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1})$ and whose centers $(\frac{r'_i+r'_{i+1}}{2}, 0)$ map each other, respectively. Through the Riemann mapping theorem, for any fixed i , we can map the $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$ on $|\xi| < 1$ by a conformal mapping g such that the point $(\frac{r'_i+r'_{i+1}}{2}, 0)$ of $\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1})$ becomes $\xi = 0$, then

the image of $\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1})$ is contained in $|\xi| \leq c < 1$, where $c(> 0)$ is a constant defined by η, η_0 and r_0 , independent of i . Hence by Lemma 1, we have

$$(q-2-\frac{2}{l})S(c, f \circ g^{-1}) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (1, f \circ g^{-1} = a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c},$$

where H is a constant. Then,

$$(q-2-\frac{2}{l})S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) \leq \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Adding two sides of the above expression from $i = 0$ to n , we obtain

$$(q-2-\frac{2}{l}) \sum_{i=0}^n S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H}{1-c} (n+1).$$

Since $r_n \leq r \leq r_{n+1}$, then

$$r_{n+2} = \frac{3+r_n}{4} \leq \frac{3+r}{4}, \quad \frac{1-r}{4} \leq 1-r_{n+2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}, \quad \frac{1}{1-r} \leq \frac{1}{1-r_{n+1}} = \frac{2^{n+1}}{1-r_0}.$$

Hence

$$2^n \leq \frac{1}{1-r}, \quad n+1 \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\ln 2} \ln \frac{1}{1-r}, \quad \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \quad (r \rightarrow 1^-).$$

When r is sufficiently close to 1^- , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q-2-\frac{2}{l})S(\Delta, r) &\leq (q-2-\frac{2}{l}) \sum_{i=0}^n S(\Delta(r'_i, r'_{i+1}), f) + (q-2-\frac{2}{l})S(\Delta, r_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{H(n+1)}{1-c} + (q-2-\frac{2}{l})S(\Delta, r_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0}, r_{i,j_0+1}), a_v) + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0(r_{i,j_0+1}, r_{i+1,j_0+1}), a_v) + \frac{2H(n+1)}{1-c} \\ &\leq (1+\frac{1}{n}) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0, r_{n+2}, a_v) + \frac{2H(n+1)}{1-c} \\ &\leq (1+\frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}) \sum_{v=1}^q \bar{n}^l (\Delta_0, \frac{3+r}{4}, a_v) + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}). \end{aligned}$$

So (1) follows, the second inequality (2) can be obtained by the similar proof.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 gives a fundamental inequality for the K -quasimeromorphic mapping in an angular domain of the unit disc, which is more precise than

that of [15]. If $K = 1$, it is also better than Theorem VII.14 of [2, P.291] and Lemma 3 of [13].

Theorem 2. Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc with the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, then $f(z)$ has a Borel radius of the order ρ .

Proof. Otherwise, for any $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$, there exists $\varepsilon_\varphi > 0$ and three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_\varphi, \varphi + \varepsilon_\varphi), r, a_i)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} = \rho_0 < \rho, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3)$$

It is obvious that the open sets $\{(\varphi - \frac{\varepsilon_\varphi}{4}, \varphi + \frac{\varepsilon_\varphi}{4}) | \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ cover the unit disc. From the finite covering theorem, there exists a subsequence

$$(\varphi_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_1}}{4}, \varphi_1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_1}}{4}), \dots, (\varphi_n - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_n}}{4}, \varphi_n + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_n}}{4})$$

lying in $(\varphi_k - \varepsilon_{\varphi_k}, \varphi_k + \varepsilon_{\varphi_k})$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and each k

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_\varphi, \varphi + \varepsilon_\varphi), r, a_i) < 3 \left(\frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\rho_0 + \varepsilon}.$$

By (2) of Theorem 1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(r, f) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n S(\Omega(\varphi_k - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{4}, \varphi_k + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{4})) \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}) \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi_k - \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{2}, \varphi_k + \frac{\varepsilon_{\varphi_k}}{2}), \frac{r+3}{4}, a_i) + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, there is a positive constant C such that

$$S(r, f) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\rho_0 + \varepsilon} + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}),$$

This is in contradiction to that $f(z)$ is of the order ρ . Hence we complete the proof.

Corollary 1. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the unit disc with the order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, then $f(z)$ has a Borel radius of the order $\rho + 1$.

Remark 2. Why the Borel radius is of the order $\rho + 1$? In fact, from Lemma 2

$$\bar{n}(r, a) = O(S(r, f)) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r} T(r, f)\right) = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1+\rho+\varepsilon}\right)$$

in general comes into existence when $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Hence for some ray to be a Borel radius for a function f , it means that the function f has a maximal number (relative to its growth) of a -points in an ε -neighborhood of that ray.

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)$ be a K-quasimeromorphic mapping defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{S(r, f)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} = +\infty, \quad (4)$$

then $f(z)$ can take any complex number infinite times, except for two possible exceptional values.

Proof. Otherwise, for any $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}(\Omega(\varphi - 2\varepsilon_0, \varphi + 2\varepsilon_0), r, a_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 n(r, a_j) = O(1).$$

By (2) of Theorem 1, we have

$$S(\Omega(\varphi - \varepsilon_0, \varphi + \varepsilon_0), r) \leq O\left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right).$$

Since φ is arbitrary, from the similar proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$S(r, f) \leq C\left(1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}}\right) + O\left(\ln \frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$

where C is a positive constant. This is in contradiction to the hypothesis (4). Hence we complete the proof.

Corollary 2. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy (4), then $f(z)$ can take any complex number infinite times with at most two exceptional values.

Theorem 4. Let $f(z)$ be a K-quasimeromorphic mapping defined in $|z| < 1$. If $f(z)$ satisfies (4) and

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{S(\frac{3+r}{4}, f)}{S(r, f)} < +\infty, \quad (5)$$

then $f(z)$ has a S radius (dealing with multiple values $l(\geq 3)$).

Proof. From the condition of (4), there exists an increasing sequence $\{r_n\} \uparrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r_n, f)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}} = +\infty$.

Using the finite covering theorem on $[0, 2\pi)$, there must be some $\varphi_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/4)$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S((\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n)}{S(r_n, f)} > 0.$$

Now we can predicatively say that the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi_0, |z| < 1\}$ is a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values l .

Otherwise, there are three distinct complex numbers $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$ and a positive δ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), r_n, a_j)}{S(r_n, f)} = 0.$$

By (1) of Theorem 1, when $q = 3$, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{2}{l})S(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n) \\ & \leq (1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}}) \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), \frac{3+r_n}{4}, a_j) + O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{2}{l}) \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n)}{S(r_n, f)} \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{2 \ln 2}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}}) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \delta, \varphi_0 + \delta), \frac{3+r_n}{4}, a_j)}{S(\frac{3+r_n}{4}, f)} \frac{S(\frac{3+r_n}{4}, f)}{S(r_n, f)} + \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n})}{S(r_n, f)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4) and (5) that $1 - \frac{2}{l} \leq 0$, we get a contradiction. Hence the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0)$ is a S radius of $f(z)$ dealing with multiple values l . By the similar proof, the radius $\Delta(\varphi_0)$ is also a S radius.

Corollary 3. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function defined in $|z| < 1$ and satisfy the conditions of (4) and (5), then $f(z)$ has a S radius (dealing with multiple values $l(\geq 3)$).

Theorem 5. Let $f(z)$ be a K -quasimeromorphic mapping in the unit disc with order $\rho \in [0, +\infty)$ and of regular growth, then every S radius (dealing with multiple values) is a Borel radius of the order ρ .

Proof. Let $\Delta(\varphi_0) = \{z : \arg z = \varphi_0, |z| < 1\}$ be a S radius dealing with multiple values for $f(z)$ in the unit disc, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ and each a (except for two possible exceptional values), we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\bar{n}^l (\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r, a)}{S(r, f)} > \delta > 0.$$

Then, there exist $\{r_n\}$ for a sufficiently large n we have

$$\bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n, a) > \frac{\delta}{2} S(r_n, f).$$

Since $f(z)$ is of regular growth, it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\ln \bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \bar{n}^l(\Omega(\varphi_0 - \varepsilon, \varphi_0 + \varepsilon), r_n, a)}{\ln \frac{1}{1-r_n}} \geq \rho$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbf{C}_\infty$, except for two possible exceptional values.

Hence every S radius (dealing with multiple values) is a Borel radius of the order ρ .

Acknowledge. The author Yinying Kong would like to thank the LMAM research laboratory of Université de Bretagne-Sud for their hospitality during his visit there.

References

- [1] L. Yang, Value distribution theory and its new reasearch, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [2] M. Tsuji, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen Co. LTD, Tokyo, 1959.
- [3] J.F. Xu and H.X. Yi, On uniqueness of meromorphic functions with shared four values in some angular domains. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) 32(2008), no. 1, 57-65.
- [4] D.C. Sun and L. Yang. Value distribution of quasiconformal mappings. Science in China(Ser.A), 1997, 27(2), 132-139.
- [5] Z.S. Gao, Multiple values of quasiconformal mappings, J. Math. (Wuhan), 19 (1999), No.2, 121-126.
- [6] Y.Y. Kong and Y. Hong, On the growth of Laplace-Stieltjes transforms and the singular direction of complex analysis, Jinan University Press, Guangzhou, 2010.
- [7] H.Y. Xu and T.S. Zhang, On the existence of T-direction and Nevanlinna direction of K-Quasi-meromorphic mapping dealing with multiple values. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., (2) 33(2) (2010), 281-294.

- [8] Z.J. Wu and D.C. Sun, On a new singular direction of quasiconformal mappings, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2009(29B), 1453-1460.
- [9] H.H. Chen, Some new results on planar harmonic mappings. *Sci China Math*, 2010, 53(3), 597-604.
- [10] Y. Yang and M.S. Liu, On the Borel direction of K-quasimeromorphic mapping. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2004(24B), 75-82.
- [11] F.W. Deng, Some remarks on quasimeromorphic mapping. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2003(23B): 419-425.
- [12] H. Guo, J. Zheng and T.W. Ng, On a new singular direction of meromorphic functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 2004,69,277-287.
- [13] Y.Y. Kong, On filling discs in Borel radius of meromorphic mapping with finite order in the unit circle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 344 (2008) 1158-1164.
- [14] T.B. Cao, The growth, oscillation and fixed points of solutions of complex linear differential equations in the unit disc, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 352 (2009) 739-748.
- [15] F.W. Deng, On the Borel points of quasi-meromorphic mappings in the unit circle, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2000(20), 562-567.
- [16] Y.Y. Kong, A new singular radius of quasimeromorphic mappings on some Type-functions in the unit disc, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2010(30A), 1640-1647.