

MULTIPLE POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A THIRD-ORDER THREE-POINT BVP WITH SIGN-CHANGING GREEN'S FUNCTION

JIAN-PING SUN, JUAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. This article concerns the third-order three-point boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u'''(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u'(0) &= u(1) = u''(\eta) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Although the corresponding Green's function is sign-changing, we still obtain the existence of at least $2m - 1$ positive solutions for arbitrary positive integer m under suitable conditions on f .

1. INTRODUCTION

Third-order differential equations arise from a variety of areas of applied mathematics and physics, e.g., in the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, a three-layer beam, electromagnetic waves or gravity driven flows and so on [5].

Recently, the existence of single or multiple positive solutions to some third-order three-point boundary-value problems (BVPs for short) has received much attention from many authors. For example, in 1998, by using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, Anderson [2] proved the existence of at least three positive solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}-x'''(t) + f(x(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ x(0) &= x'(t_2) = x''(1) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $t_2 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In 2003, Anderson [1] obtained some existence results of positive solutions for the problem

$$\begin{aligned}x'''(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \quad t_1 \leq t \leq t_3, \\ x(t_1) &= x'(t_2) = 0, \quad \gamma x(t_3) + \delta x''(t_3) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The main tools used were the Guo-Krasnosel'skii and Leggett-Williams fixed point theorems. In 2005, Sun [13] studied the existence of single and multiple positive

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 34B10, 34B18.

Key words and phrases. Third-order three-point boundary-value problem; sign-changing Green's function; positive solution.

©2012 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted May 29, 2012. Published July 14, 2012.

solutions for the singular BVP

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) - \lambda a(t)F(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(\eta) = u''(1) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, λ was a positive parameter and $a(t)$ was a nonnegative continuous function defined on $(0, 1)$. His main tool was the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. In 2008, by using the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, Guo, Sun and Zhao [6] obtained the existence of at least one positive solution for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) + h(t)f(u(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u'(1) &= \alpha u'(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \eta < 1$ and $1 < \alpha < 1/\eta$. For more results concerning the existence of positive solutions to third-order three-point BVPs, one can refer to [3, 4, 9, 10, 12, 14].

It is necessary to point out that all the above-mentioned works are achieved when the corresponding Green's functions are positive, which is a very important condition. A natural question is that whether we can obtain the existence of positive solutions to some third-order three-point BVPs when the corresponding Green's functions are sign-changing. It is worth mentioning that Palamides and Smyrlis [8] discussed the existence of at least one positive solution to the singular third-order three-point BVP with an indefinitely signed Green's function

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &= a(t)f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = u''(\eta) &= 0, \quad \eta \in (\frac{17}{24}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Their technique was a combination of the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem and properties of the corresponding vector field. The following equality

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s)a(s)f(s, u(s))ds = \int_0^1 \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G(t, s)a(s)f(s, u(s))ds \quad (1.1)$$

played an important role in the process of their proof. Unfortunately, the equality (1.1) is not right. For a counterexample, one can refer to our paper [11].

Motivated greatly by the above-mentioned works, in this paper we study the following third-order three-point BVP

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u'(0) = u(1) = u''(\eta) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$ and $\eta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Although the corresponding Green's function is sign-changing, we still obtain the existence of at least $2m - 1$ positive solutions for arbitrary positive integer m under suitable conditions on f .

In the remainder of this section, we state some fundamental concepts and the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem [7].

Let E be a real Banach space with cone P . A map $\sigma : P \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ is said to be a concave functional if

$$\sigma(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq t\sigma(x) + (1 - t)\sigma(y)$$

for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Let a and b be two numbers with $0 < a < b$ and σ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P . We define the following convex

sets

$$P_a = \{x \in P : \|x\| < a\},$$

$$P(\sigma, a, b) = \{x \in P : a \leq \sigma(x), \|x\| \leq b\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem). *Let $A : \overline{P_c} \rightarrow \overline{P_c}$ be completely continuous and σ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P such that $\sigma(x) \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in \overline{P_c}$. Suppose that there exist $0 < d < a < b \leq c$ such that*

- (i) $\{x \in P(\sigma, a, b) : \sigma(x) > a\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(Ax) > a$ for $x \in P(\sigma, a, b)$;
- (ii) $\|Ax\| < d$ for $\|x\| \leq d$;
- (iii) $\sigma(Ax) > a$ for $x \in P(\sigma, a, c)$ with $\|Ax\| > b$.

Then A has at least three fixed points x_1, x_2, x_3 in $\overline{P_c}$ satisfying

$$\|x_1\| < d, \quad a < \sigma(x_2), \quad \|x_3\| > d, \quad \sigma(x_3) < a.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this article, we assume that Banach space $E = C[0, 1]$ is equipped with the norm $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$.

For any $y \in E$, we consider the BVP

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &= y(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u'(0) &= u(1) = u''(\eta) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

After a simple computation, we obtain the following expression of Green's function $G(t, s)$ of the BVP (2.1): for $s \geq \eta$,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(1-s)^2}{2}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^2 - 2st + 2s - 1}{2}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and for $s < \eta$,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{-t^2 - s^2 + 2s}{2}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ -st + s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $G(t, s) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq s < \eta$, and $G(t, s) \leq 0$ for $\eta \leq s \leq 1$. Moreover, for $s \geq \eta$,

$$\max\{G(t, s) : t \in [0, 1]\} = G(1, s) = 0$$

and for $s < \eta$,

$$\max\{G(t, s) : t \in [0, 1]\} = G(0, s) = -\frac{s^2}{2} + s.$$

To obtain the existence of positive solutions for (1.2), we need to construct a suitable cone in E . Let u be a solution of (1.2). Then it is easy to verify that $u(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ provided that $u'(1) \leq 0$. In fact, since f is nonnegative, we know that $u'''(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, which together with $u''(\eta) = 0$ implies that

$$u''(t) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, \eta] \quad \text{and} \quad u''(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [\eta, 1]. \tag{2.2}$$

In view of (2.2) and $u'(0) = 0$, we have

$$u'(t) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, \eta] \quad \text{and} \quad u'(t) \leq u'(1) \text{ for } t \in [\eta, 1]. \tag{2.3}$$

If $u'(1) \leq 0$, then it follows from (2.3) that $u'(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, which together with $u(1) = 0$ implies that $u(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, we define a cone in E as follows:

$$\hat{P} = \{y \in E : y(t) \text{ is nonnegative and decreasing on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). *Let $y \in \hat{P}$ and $u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $u \in \hat{P}$ and u is the unique solution of (2.1). Moreover, u satisfies*

$$\min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} u(t) \geq \theta^* \|u\|,$$

where $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \eta)$ and $\theta^* = (\eta - \theta)/\eta$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In the remainder of this paper, we assume that $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and satisfies the following two conditions:

(D1) For each $x \in [0, +\infty)$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, x)$ is decreasing;

(D2) For each $t \in [0, 1]$, the mapping $x \mapsto f(t, x)$ is increasing.

Let

$$P = \{u \in \hat{P} : \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} u(t) \geq \theta^* \|u\|\}.$$

Then it is easy to check that P is a cone in E . Now, we define an operator A on P by

$$(Au)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Obviously, if u is a fixed point of A in P , then u is a nonnegative solution of (1.2). For convenience, we denote

$$H_1 = \int_0^\eta \left(-\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right)ds, \quad H_2 = \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} \int_{1-\theta}^\theta G(t, s)ds.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that there exist numbers d, a and c with $0 < d < a < \frac{a}{\theta^*} \leq c$ such that*

$$f(t, u) < \frac{d}{H_1}, \quad t \in [0, \eta], \quad u \in [0, d], \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(t, u) > \frac{a}{H_2}, \quad t \in [1-\theta, \theta], \quad u \in [a, \frac{a}{\theta^*}], \quad (3.2)$$

$$f(t, u) < \frac{c}{H_1}, \quad t \in [0, \eta], \quad u \in [0, c]. \quad (3.3)$$

Then (1.2) has at least three positive solutions u, v and w satisfying

$$\|u\| < d, \quad a < \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} v(t), \quad d < \|w\|, \quad \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} w(t) < a.$$

Proof. For $u \in P$, we define

$$\sigma(u) = \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} u(t).$$

It is easy to check that σ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P with $\sigma(u) \leq \|u\|$ for $u \in P$ and that $A : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

We first assert that if there exists a positive number r such that $f(t, u) < \frac{r}{H_1}$ for $t \in [0, \eta]$ and $u \in [0, r]$, then $A : \overline{P_r} \rightarrow P_r$. Indeed, if $u \in \overline{P_r}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\| &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds + \int_\eta^1 \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left(-\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right)f(s,u(s))ds \\ &< \frac{r}{H_1} \int_0^\eta \left(-\frac{s^2}{2} + s\right)ds = r; \end{aligned}$$

that is, $Au \in P_r$.

Hence, we have shown that if (3.1) and (3.3) hold, then A maps $\overline{P_d}$ into P_d and $\overline{P_c}$ into c .

Next, we assert that $\{u \in P(\sigma, a, \frac{a}{\theta^*}) : \sigma(u) > a\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma(Au) > a$ for all $u \in P(\sigma, a, \frac{a}{\theta^*})$. In fact, the constant function $\frac{a+\frac{a}{\theta^*}}{2}$ belongs to $\{u \in P(\sigma, a, \frac{a}{\theta^*}) : \sigma(u) > a\}$.

On the one hand, for $u \in P(\sigma, a, \frac{a}{\theta^*})$, we have

$$a \leq \sigma(u) = \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} u(t) \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| \leq \frac{a}{\theta^*} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $t \in [1-\theta, \theta]$.

Also, for any $u \in P$ and $t \in [1-\theta, \theta]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{1-\theta} G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds + \int_\theta^\eta G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds + \int_\eta^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_0^{1-\theta} (1-t)sf(s,u(s))ds - \int_\eta^1 \frac{(1-s)^2}{2}f(s,u(s))ds \\ &\geq f(\eta, u(\eta))\left[\int_0^{1-\theta} (1-t)sds - \int_\eta^1 \frac{(1-s)^2}{2}ds\right] \\ &\geq f(\eta, u(\eta))\left[\int_0^{1-\theta} (1-t)sds - \int_\theta^1 \frac{(1-s)^2}{2}ds\right] \\ &= f(\eta, u(\eta))\left[\frac{(1-t)(1-\theta)^2}{2} - \frac{(1-\theta)^3}{6}\right] \\ &\geq f(\eta, u(\eta))\left[\frac{(1-\theta)(1-\theta)^2}{2} - \frac{(1-\theta)^3}{6}\right] \\ &= f(\eta, u(\eta))\frac{(1-\theta)^3}{3} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.2) and (3.4) implies

$$\sigma(Au) = \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,u(s))ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} \int_{1-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &> \frac{a}{H_2} \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} \int_{1-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) ds = a \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in P(\sigma, a, \frac{a}{\theta^*})$.

Finally, we verify that if $u \in P(\sigma, a, c)$ and $\|Au\| > a/\theta^*$, then $\sigma(Au) > a$. To see this, we suppose that $u \in P(\sigma, a, c)$ and $\|Au\| > a/\theta^*$. Then it follows from $Au \in P$ that

$$\sigma(Au) = \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} (Au)(t) \geq \theta^* \|Au\| > a.$$

To sum up, all the hypotheses of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem are satisfied. Therefore, A has at least three fixed points; that is, (1.2) has at least three positive solutions u, v and w satisfying

$$\|u\| < d, \quad a < \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} v(t), \quad d < \|w\|, \quad \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} w(t) < a.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Assume that there exist numbers d_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and a_j ($1 \leq j \leq m-1$) with $0 < d_1 < a_1 < \frac{a_1}{\theta^*} < d_2 < a_2 < \frac{a_2}{\theta^*} < \dots < d_{m-1} < a_{m-1} < \frac{a_{m-1}}{\theta^*} < d_m$ such that*

$$f(t, u) < \frac{d_i}{H_1}, \quad t \in [0, \eta], \quad u \in [0, d_i], \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad (3.5)$$

$$f(t, u) > \frac{a_j}{H_2}, \quad t \in [1-\theta, \theta], \quad u \in [a_j, \frac{a_j}{\theta^*}], \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1. \quad (3.6)$$

Then (1.2) has at least $2m-1$ positive solutions in $\overline{P_{d_m}}$.

Proof. We use induction on m . First, for $m=1$, we know from (3.5) that $A : \overline{P_{d_1}} \rightarrow P_{d_1}$. Then it follows from Schauder fixed point theorem that (1.2) has at least one positive solution in $\overline{P_{d_1}}$.

Next, we assume that this conclusion holds for $m=k$. To show that this conclusion also holds for $m=k+1$, we suppose that there exist numbers d_i ($1 \leq i \leq k+1$) and a_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) with $0 < d_1 < a_1 < \frac{a_1}{\theta^*} < d_2 < a_2 < \frac{a_2}{\theta^*} < \dots < d_k < a_k < \frac{a_k}{\theta^*} < d_{k+1}$ such that

$$f(t, u) < \frac{d_i}{H_1}, \quad t \in [0, \eta], \quad u \in [0, d_i], \quad 1 \leq i \leq k+1, \quad (3.7)$$

$$f(t, u) > \frac{a_j}{H_2}, \quad t \in [1-\theta, \theta], \quad u \in [a_j, \frac{a_j}{\theta^*}], \quad 1 \leq j \leq k. \quad (3.8)$$

By assumption, (1.2) has at least $2k-1$ positive solutions u_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$) in $\overline{P_{d_k}}$. At the same time, it follows from Theorem 3.1, (3.7) and (3.8) that (1.2) has at least three positive solutions u, v and w in $\overline{P_{d_{k+1}}}$ such that

$$\|u\| < d_k, \quad a_k < \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} v(t), \quad d_k < \|w\|, \quad \min_{t \in [1-\theta, \theta]} w(t) < a_k.$$

Obviously, v and w are different from u_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$). Therefore, (1.2) has at least $2k+1$ positive solutions in $\overline{P_{d_{k+1}}}$, which shows that this conclusion also holds for $m=k+1$. □

Example 3.3. We consider the BVP

$$\begin{aligned} u'''(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u'(0) = u(1) &= u''\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$f(t, u) = \begin{cases} (1-t)(u+1)^2, & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \\ (1-t)[122(u-1)+4], & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [1, 2], \\ 14(1-t)(u+1)^2, & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [2, 20], \\ 6174(1-t), & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [20, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta = 3/5$. Then $\theta^* = 1/10$. A simple calculation shows that $H_1 = 14/81$ and $H_2 = 1/25$. If we choose $d = 1$, $a = 2$, $c = 1068$, then all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that (3.9) has at least three positive solutions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Anderson; *Green's function for a third-order generalized right focal problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003), 1-14.
- [2] D. Anderson; *Multiple positive solutions for a three-point boundary-value problem*, Math. Comput. Modelling 27 (1998), 49-57.
- [3] D. Anderson, J. M. Davis; *Multiple solutions and eigenvalues for third-order right focal boundary-value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 267 (2002), 135-157.
- [4] Z. Bai, X. Fei; *Existence of triple positive solutions for a third order generalized right focal problem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9 (2006), 437-444.
- [5] M. Gregus; *Third Order Linear Differential Equations*, in: Math. Appl., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [6] L. -J. Guo, J. -P. Sun, Y. -H. Zhao; *Existence of positive solution for nonlinear third-order three-point boundary-value problem*, Nonl. Anal. 68 (2008), 3151-3158.
- [7] R. W. Leggett, L. R. Williams; *Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 28 (1979) 673-688.
- [8] Alex P. Palamides, George Smyrlis; *Positive solutions to a singular third-order three-point boundary-value problem with indefinitely signed Green's function*, Nonl. Anal. 68 (2008), 2104-2118.
- [9] Alex P. Palamides, Nikolaos M. Stavrakakis; *Existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for a third-order three-point boundary-value problem*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 155 (2010), 1-12.
- [10] Kapula R. Prasad, Nadakuduti V. V. S. S. Narayana; *Solvability of a nonlinear third-order three-point general eigenvalue problem on time scales*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 57 (2010), 1-12.
- [11] J. -P. Sun, J. Zhao; *Positive solution for a third-order three-point boundary-value problem with sign-changing Green's function*, Communications in Applied Analysis, accepted.
- [12] Y. Sun; *Positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary-value problems*, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), 45-51.
- [13] Y. Sun; *Positive solutions of singular third-order three-point boundary-value problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306 (2005), 589-603.
- [14] Q. Yao; *The existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a third-order three-point boundary-value problem*, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 19 (2003), 117-122.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730050, CHINA

E-mail address, Jian-Ping Sun: jpsun@lut.cn

E-mail address, Juan Zhao: z_1111z@163.com