Tenth MSU Conference on Differential Equations and Computational Simulations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Conference 23 (2016), pp. 21–33. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu ftp ejde.math.txstate.edu

## D'ALEMBERT'S FORMULA AND PERIODIC MILD SOLUTIONS TO ITERATED HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES

## NEZAM IRANIPARAST, LAN NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the periodicity of solutions of mild solutions to the iterated higher-order differential equation

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - A_j\right) u(t) = f(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

in a Hilbert space. Our results are illustrated with examples and applications.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we study the periodicity of solutions of the iterated higher-order differential equation

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - A_j\right) u(t) = f(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(1.1)

where  $A_j$  are linear, closed and mutually commuting operators on a Hilbert space E, and f is a function from [0, T] to E.

The asymptotic behavior and, in particular, the periodicity of solutions of the higher-order differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(1.2)

has been a subject of intensive study for recent decades. When n = 1, it is well known [7] that, if A is an  $n \times n$  matrix on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then (1.2) admits a unique T-periodic solution for each continuous T-periodic forcing term f if and only if  $\lambda_k = 2k\pi/T$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are not eigen-values of A. That result was extended by Krein and Dalecki [4] to the Cauchy problem in an abstract Banach space. It was shown [4, Theorem II 4.3] that, if A is a linear, bounded operator on E, then (1.2) admits a unique T-periodic solution for each  $f \in C[0, T]$  if and only if  $2k\pi i/T \in \varrho(A)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\varrho(A)$  denotes the resolvent set of A. For an unbounded operator A, the situation changes dramatically and the above statement generally fails. When A generates a strongly continuous semigroup, periodicity of solutions of (1.4) has intensively been

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 34G10,\ 34K06,\ 47D06.$ 

*Key words and phrases.* Keywords and phrases: Abstract higher-order differential equations; Fourier series; periodic mild solutions; operator semigroups.

<sup>©2016</sup> Texas State University.

Published March 21, 2016.

studied recently (see e.g. [9, 10, 14, 18]). Corresponding results on the periodic solutions of the second order differential equation were obtained in [3, 20], when A is the generator of a cosine family. Related results on the periodicity of solutions of (1.2), when A is a closed operator, can be found in [5, 8, 12, 13, 19] and the references therein.

Unfortunately, for the complete higher-order differential equations, we have little consideration about the regularity of their solutions, mainly because of the complexity of the structure of the equation. In [15] and [16], the authors studied the iterated higher-order Cauchy problem of the type

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - A_{j}\right) u(t) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$
(1.3)
  
<sup>(j)</sup>(0) =  $x_{j} \in E$  (j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1)

and stated that, under some certain conditions, (1.3) is well posed if and only if  $A_i$  are generators of  $C_0$ -semigroups. Moreover, they found the formula of solutions in the form  $u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i(t)$ , where  $(d/dt - A_j)u_i = 0$ . That result suggests that (1.3) is in some sense the correct way to consider higher-order Cauchy problems. Later, in [17], the nonautonomous version of iterated evolution equation (1.3) was studied, where a nice structure of the solutions was found.

In this paper we investigate the periodicity of mild solutions of the iterated higher-order differential equation (1.1) when  $A_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, are linear and closed operators on a Hilbert space E. The main tool we use here is the Fourier series method. For an integrable function f(t) from [0,T] to E, the Fourier coefficient of f(t) is defined by

$$f_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s) e^{-2k\pi i s/T} ds, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then f(t) can be represented by Fourier series

u

$$f(t) \approx \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi i t/T} f_k$$

We first give the definition of mild solution to (1.1), when n = 1.

**Definition 1.1.** (i) A continuous function  $u(\cdot)$  is a mild solution of the differential equation

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(1.4)

if  $\int_0^t u(s) ds \in D(A)$  and

$$u(t) = u(0) + A \int_0^t u(s)ds + \int_0^t f(s)ds$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

(ii) Suppose f is a continuous function. A function  $u(\cdot)$  is a classical solution of (1.4) if u(t) is continuously differentiable,  $u(t) \in D(A)$ , and (1.4) holds for all  $t \in [0,T]$ .

It is not hard to see that, if a mild solution of (1.4) is continuously differentiable, then it is a classical solution. Furthermore, if u(t) is a mild solution on [0, T] with u(0) = u(T), then u(t) can be continuously extended to a T-periodic mild solution of (1.4) on  $\mathbb{R}$ , provided f(t) has been extended *T*-periodically, too. Therefore, we call a mild solution of (1.4) *T*-periodic if u(0) = u(T).

We now consider the iterated differential equation (1.1) and employ the substitution (see also [15]) by defining  $U(\cdot) := (u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot), \dots, u_n(\cdot))^T$  with

$$u_1(\cdot) = u(\cdot)$$
$$u_2(\cdot) = u_1(\cdot)' - A_1 u_1(\cdot)$$
$$\dots$$
$$u_n(\cdot) = u_{n-1}(\cdot)' - A_{n-1} u_{n-1}(\cdot).$$

Then we have

$$u_{1}(\cdot)' = A_{1}u_{1}(\cdot) + u_{2}(\cdot);$$
  

$$u_{2}(\cdot)' = A_{2}u^{1}(\cdot) + u^{3}(\cdot);$$
  
...  

$$u_{n-1}(\cdot)' = A_{n-1}u^{n-1}(\cdot) + u_{n}(\cdot);$$
  

$$u_{n}(\cdot)' = A_{n}u_{n}(\cdot) + f(\cdot).$$

That can be written in matrix form as

$$U'(t) = \mathcal{C}U(t) + F(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.5)

on the product space  $E^n$ , where  $F(t) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, f(t))^T$  and

$$\mathcal{C} := \begin{pmatrix}
A_1 & I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & A_2 & I & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & A_{n-1} & I \\
0 & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & A_n
\end{pmatrix}$$
(1.6)

with  $D(\mathcal{C}) := D(A_1) \times D(A_2) \times \cdots \times D(A_n)$ . Note that the product space  $E^n$  is again a Hilbert space with the norm  $||(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T|| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i||^2}$ . In [15], is was stated that  $\mathcal{C}$  is generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $E^n$  if and only if  $A_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are generators of  $C_0$  semigroups in E. That suggests the following definition of mild (classical) solutions for iterated higher-order differential equation.

**Definition 1.2.** A continuous function  $u(\cdot)$  is a mild (classical) solution of the higher-order differential equation (1.1) if u is the first component of a mild (classical) solution of the first-order differential equation (1.5).

We next establish the relationship between the Fourier coefficients of the periodic solutions of (1.1) and those of the inhomogeneity f. Then, as the main result, we give an equivalent condition so that (1.1) admits a unique periodic solution for each inhomogeneity f in a certain function space. Our result generalizes some well-known ones, as in Section 3 we present several particular cases, among which, A generates a  $C_0$  semigroup and a cosine family.

Throughout this article, if not otherwise indicated, we assume that E is a complex Hilbert space and  $A_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, are linear, closed and mutually commuting

operators on E with  $D = D(A_j), j = 1, 2, ..., n$ , dense in E. The spectrum and resolvent set of A are denoted by  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\varrho(A)$ , respectively and  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$  is denoted by  $R(\lambda, A)$ . Two unbounded operators A and B are said to commute if for each  $\lambda_1 \in \varrho(A)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in \varrho(B)$  we have  $(\lambda_1 - A)^{-1}(\lambda_2 - B)^{-1} = (\lambda_2 - B)^{-1}(\lambda_1 - A)^{-1}$ . That definition is equivalent to the fact that AB = BA as the following simple lemma shows.

**Lemma 1.3.** Suppose A and B are two commuting operators. Then for each  $x \in D$ with  $Bx \in D$  we have  $Ax \in D$  and BAx = ABx.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \rho(A)$  and  $\beta \in \rho(B)$  and put y = ABx. Then

$$(\alpha - A)(\beta - B)x = \alpha\beta x - \beta Ax - \alpha Bx + y$$

or

$$x = (\beta - B)^{-1} (\alpha - A)^{-1} (\alpha \beta x - \beta Ax - \alpha Bx + y)$$
  
=  $(\alpha - A)^{-1} (\beta - B)^{-1} (\alpha \beta x - \beta Ax - \alpha Bx + y),$ 

which implies

$$(\beta - B)(\alpha - A)x = \alpha\beta x - \beta Ax - \alpha Bx + y$$
  
BAx = y = ABx.

or

Let J = [0, T]. For the sake of simplicity (and without loss of generality) we assume T = 1. For  $p \ge 1$ ,  $L_p(J)$  denotes the space of *E*-valued *p*-integrable functions on *J* with  $||f||_{L_p(J)} = (\int_0^1 ||f(t)||^p dt)^{1/p} < \infty$  and C(J) the space of continuous functions on *J* with  $||f||_{C(J)} = \max_J ||f(t)||$ . Moreover, if  $m \ge 1$ , we define the function space

$$W_2^m(J) := \{ f \in L_2(J) : f', f'', \dots, f^{(m)} \in L_2(J) \}$$

which is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$||f||_{W_2^m} := \Big(\sum_{j=0}^m ||f^{(j)}||_{L_2(J)}^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

We will use the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 1.4.** If F is an absolutely continuous function on J such that  $f = F' \in$  $L_p(J)$ , then for  $k \neq 0$  we have

$$F_k = \frac{1}{2k\pi i} f_k + \frac{F(0) - F(1)}{2k\pi i}$$

where  $f_k$  and  $F_k$  are the Fourier coefficients of f and F, respectively.

Finally, a continuous function  $u(\cdot)$  is said to be a 1-periodic solution of (1.1) (or to be a solution in  $W_2^m(J)$ ) if the corresponding mild solution  $\mathcal{U}(\cdot)$  of (1.5) is 1-periodic (or in  $W_2^m(J, E^n)$ ) respectively.

2. Periodic mild solutions of higher-order differential equations

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose  $f \in L_p(J)$  and u is a mild solution of the first-order differential equation

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \in J.$$
 (2.1)

Then

$$(2k\pi i - A)u_k - f_k = u(0) - u(1) \tag{2.2}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let u be a mild solution of (1.4), i.e.,

$$u(t) = u(0) + A \int_0^t u(s)ds + \int_0^t f(s)ds.$$
 (2.3)

First, if k = 0, then using (2.3) for t = 1 we have  $u(1) = u(0) + Au_0 + f_0$ , from which (2.2) holds for k = 0.

Next, if  $k \neq 0$ , taking the  $k^{th}$  Fourier coefficient on both sides of (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} u_k &= A \int_0^1 e^{-2k\pi i s} \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_0^1 e^{-2k\pi i s} \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau ds \\ &= A U_k + F_k, \end{split}$$

where  $U_k$  is the  $k^{th}$  Fourier coefficient of  $U(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau$  and  $F_k$  is the  $k^{th}$  Fourier coefficient of  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$ . Using now Lemma 1.4 for  $U(t) = \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau$  and  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$  we obtain

$$u_k = \frac{A(u_k - U(1))}{2k\pi i} + \frac{f_k - F(1)}{2k\pi i}$$

from which we have

$$2k\pi i - A)u_k = f_k - (AU(1) + F(1))$$
  
=  $f_k - (A\int_0^1 u(s)ds + \int_0^1 f(s)ds)$   
=  $f_k + (u(0) - u(1)).$ 

Hence, (2.2) holds. Here we used the fact that u is a mild solution of (1.4), implying  $u(1) = u(0) + A \int_0^1 u(s) ds + \int_0^1 f(s) ds$ .

If u is a 1-periodic solution of (1.4), then we have a nice relationship between Fourier coefficients of u and those of f, as the following result shows.

**Corollary 2.2.** Suppose  $f \in L_p(J)$  and u is a continuous mild solution of (1.4). Then u is 1-periodic if and only if

$$(2k\pi i - A)u_k = f_k \tag{2.4}$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Next we give a sufficient condition for the existence of 1-periodic mild solutions of (1.1).

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose  $f \in L_p(J)$ . Then the iterated differential equation (1.1) admits a continuous, 1-periodic mild solution if and only if there is a sequence  $(u_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset E$ , such that

(i) For each  $m, 0 \le m \le n-1$ , the function

$$v_m(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi i t} [\prod_{j=1}^m (2k\pi i - A_j)] u_k$$
(2.5)

is continuous on [0,1] and

(ii) The equality

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (2k\pi i - A_j)u_k = f_k$$
holds for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
$$(2.6)$$

*Proof.* Suppose (1.1) admits a 1-periodic mild solution u. By the definition of solution u, there is a 1-periodic mild solution  $U(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \ldots, u_n(t))^T$  of (1.5) with  $u = u_1$ . By Corollary 2.2, we have

$$(2k\pi i - \mathcal{C})U_k = (0, 0, \dots, f_k)^T$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2k\pi i - A_1) & -I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & (2k\pi i - A_2) & -I & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & (2k\pi i - A_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (u_1)_k \\ (u_2)_k \\ \vdots \\ (u_n)_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_k \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies

$$(u_{2})_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{1})(u_{1})_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{1})u_{k};$$

$$(u_{3})_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{2})(u_{2})_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{2})(2k\pi i - A_{1})u_{k};$$

$$\dots$$

$$(u_{n})_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{n-1})(u_{n-1})_{k} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2k\pi i - A_{j})u_{k};$$

$$f_{k} = (2k\pi i - A_{n})(u_{n})_{k} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (2k\pi i - A_{j})u_{k}.$$

$$(2.7)$$

Hence, for each  $j, 0 \le j \le n-1$ , the function

$$v_j(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi it} [\prod_{z=1}^{j} (2k\pi i - A_z)] u_k$$

is the same as  $u_j(t)$ , which is continuous on [0, 1]. Moreover, (2.6) follows from (2.7).

Conversely, suppose for each j,  $0 \le j \le n-1$ , the function (2.5) is continuous on [0,1] and (2.6) holds. We show that there exists a mild solution U of (1.5), which is 1-periodic. To this end, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define

$$u_1(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi i t} u_k;$$

26

$$u_{2}(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi i t} (2k\pi i - A_{1})u_{k};$$
  
...  
$$u_{n}(t) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2k\pi i t} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2k\pi i - A_{j})u_{k}.$$

Then, by the assumption,  $U(t) := (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t))^T$  is a continuous function with the following Fourier coefficients:

$$(u_2)_k = (2k\pi i - A_1)u_k;$$
  

$$(u_3)_k = (2k\pi i - A_2)(u_2)_k = (2k\pi i - A_2)(2k\pi i - A_1)u_k$$
  
...  

$$(u_n)_k = (2k\pi i - A_{n-1})(u_{n-1})_k = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2k\pi i - A_j)u_k$$

and by (2.6),

$$(2k\pi i - A_n)(u_n)_k = \prod_{j=1}^n (2k\pi i - A_j)u_k = f_k$$

Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (2k\pi i - A_1) & -I & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & (2k\pi i - A_2) & -I & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & & \cdots & 0 & (2k\pi i - A_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (u_1)_k \\ (u_2)_k \\ \vdots \\ (u_n)_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_k \end{pmatrix}$$

or  $(2k\pi - \mathcal{C})U_k = (0, 0, \dots, f_k)^T$ . By Corollary 2.2,  $U(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t))^T$  is a 1-periodic mild solution of (1.5) and hence, u(t) is a 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1).

Note that Proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 also hold if E is a Banach space. We now can state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.4.** Suppose E is a Hilbert space. Then the following are equivalent

- (i) For each function f ∈ W<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>(J), Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in W<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup>(J);
- (ii) For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $2k\pi i \in \varrho(A_j)$  and there is a number M > 0 such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (2k\pi i - A_j)^{-1} (2k\pi i - A_{j+1})^{-1} \cdots (2k\pi i - A_n)^{-1} \| = M < \infty.$$
 (2.8)

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose for each function  $f \in W_2^1(J)$ , Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution  $u \in W_2^1(J)$ . By the definition of solution u in  $W_2^1(J)$ , the corresponding solution U of (1.5) belongs to  $W_2^1(J, E^n)$ . We prove that U is the only mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to f by showing that  $U \equiv 0$  is the only mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to  $f \equiv 0$ . Indeed, if  $f \equiv 0$ , then  $u \equiv 0$ . Hence, its Fourier coefficients  $u_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the proof of Theorem 2.3 we have  $(u_2)_k = (2k\pi i - A_1)u_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $u_2(t) \equiv 0$ . Similarly, we have  $u_j(t) \equiv 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and thus,  $U(t) \equiv 0$ .

Define the operator:

$$G: f \in W_2^1(J) \mapsto Gf \in W_2^1(J, E^n)$$

as follows: (Gf)(t) is the unique solution of (1.5) corresponding to f. Then G is a linear, everywhere defined operator. We will prove its boundedness by showing G is a closed operator.

To this end, suppose  $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of functions in  $F_1 = W_2^1(J)$  such that  $f_m \to f$  in  $F_1$  and  $Gf_m$  approaches some function  $V = (V_1, V_1, \ldots, V_n)^T$  in  $F_2 = W_2^1(J, E^n)$  as  $m \to \infty$ . We show that  $f \in D(G)$  and Gf = V.

Since  $Gf_m$  is a mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to  $f_m$ , we have

$$Gf_m(t) = Gf_m(0) + \mathcal{C} \int_0^t Gf_m(s)ds + \int_0^t F_m(s)ds$$

Hence,

$$(Gf_m)_1(t) = (Gf_m)_1(0) + A_1 \int_0^t (Gf_m)_1(s)ds + \int_0^t (Gf_m)_2(s)ds$$
$$(Gf_m)_2(t) = (Gf_m)_2(0) + A_2 \int_0^t (Gf_m)_2(s)ds + \int_0^t (Gf_m)_3(s)ds$$
$$\dots$$
(2.9)

$$(Gf_m)_{n-1}(t) = (Gf_m)_{n-1}(0) + A_{n-1} \int_0^t (Gf_m)_{n-1}(s)ds + \int_0^t (Gf_m)_n(s)ds$$
$$(Gf_m)_n(t) = (Gf_m)_n(0) + A_n \int_0^t (Gf_m)_n(s)ds + \int_0^t f_m(s)ds.$$

Consider now the sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m\geq 1}$  in E, where  $x_m = \int_0^t (Gf_m)_1(s) ds$ . We have

$$x_m = \int_0^t (Gf_m)_1(s)ds \to \int_0^t V_1(s)ds$$

as  $m \to \infty$ , and from (2.9),

$$A_1 x_m = A_1 \int_0^t (Gf_m)_1(s) ds = (Gf_m)_1(t) - (Gf_m)_1(0) - \int_0^t (Gf_m)_2(s) ds$$
$$\to V_1(t) - V_1(0) - \int_0^t V_2(s) ds$$

as  $m \to \infty$ . Since  $A_1$  is a closed operator, we have  $\int_0^t V_1(s) ds \in D(A_1)$  and

$$A_1 \int_0^t V_1(s) ds = V_1(t) - V_1(0) - \int_0^t V_2(s) ds,$$

which implies

$$V_1(t) = V_1(0) + A_1 \int_0^t V_1(s) ds + \int_0^t V_2(s) ds.$$
 In the same manner, we can show that

$$V_2(t) = V_2(0) + A_2 \int_0^t V_2(s) ds + \int_0^t V_3(s) ds,$$

$$V_{n-1}(t) = V_{n-1}(0) + A_{n-1} \int_0^t V_{n-1}(s) ds + \int_0^t V_n(s) ds,$$
$$V_n(t) = V_n(0) + A_n \int_0^t V_n(s) ds + \int_0^t f(s) ds,$$

. . .

i.e., V is a mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to f and consequently, Gf = V. So, G is a bounded operator from  $F_1$  to  $F_2$ .

Next we show that  $2k\pi i \in \varrho(A_j)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Let x be any vector in  $E, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and take  $f(t) = e^{2k\pi i t}x$  and  $V = (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n)^T$  be the unique mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to f. From Fourier coefficient Identity (2.7) we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (2k\pi i - A_j)(V_n)_k = f_k = x,$$

which shows  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (2k\pi i - A_j)$  and hence,  $(2k\pi i - A_n)$ , is surjective. Using Lemma 1.3 we have  $(2k\pi i - A_j)$  is surjective for each  $1 \le j \le n$ .

Assume now that for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $(2k\pi i - A_j)$  contrarily is not injective. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $A_j$  is the first operator with noninjective  $(2k\pi i - A_j)$ , i.e.,  $(2k\pi i - A_l)$  are injective for  $1 \leq l < j$ . Then there is a vector  $y_0 \neq 0$  in E with  $(2k\pi i - A_j)y_0 = 0$ . Put  $y(t) := e^{2k\pi i t}y_0$ , then it is not hard to see that

$$y(t) = y(0) + A_j \int_0^t y(s) ds$$

holds for  $t \in J$ . Hence, we can see that the equation (1.5) with  $f \equiv 0$  has two different mild solutions in  $W_2^1(J, E^n) U(t) \equiv 0$  and

$$V(t) = e^{2k\pi i t} \begin{pmatrix} R(2k\pi i, A_1) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_{j-1})y_0 \\ R(2k\pi i, A_2) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_{j-1})y_0 \\ \vdots \\ R(2k\pi i, A_{j-1})y_0 \\ y_0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which contradicts the uniqueness of mild solutions. Hence,  $(2k\pi i - A_j)$  is injective and thus,  $2k\pi i \in \rho(A_j)$  for all j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Finally, we show that (2.8) holds. To this end, for any  $x \in E$ , let  $f(t) := e^{2k\pi i t} x$ . Then, by (2.7) we see that

$$U(t) = e^{2k\pi i t} \begin{pmatrix} R(2k\pi i, A_1) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x\\ R(2k\pi i, A_2) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x\\ \vdots\\ R(2k\pi i, A_n)x \end{pmatrix}$$

is the unique mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to  $f = e^{2k\pi i t}x$ . It is not difficult to compute that

$$||f||_{W_2^1(J)}^2 = (1 + 4k^2\pi^2)||x||^2,$$

$$||U||_{W_2^1(J,E^n)}^2 = (1+4k^2\pi^2)\sum_{j=1}^n ||R(2k\pi i,A_j) \cdot R(2k\pi,A_{j+1}) \dots R(2k\pi i,A_n)x||^2.$$

Using the inequality  $\|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J,E^{n})}^{2} \leq \|G\|^{2} \|f\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J)}^{2}$  we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|R(2k\pi i, A_j)R(2k\pi, A_{j+1})\cdots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x\|^2 \le \|G\|^2 \|x\|^2$$

for all  $x \in E$ , from which we obtain

$$||R(2k\pi i, A_j)R(2k\pi, A_{j+1})\cdots R(2k\pi i, A_n)|| \le ||G||,$$

and hence, (2.8) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $2k\pi i \in \varrho(A_j)$  and (2.8) holds. If  $f(t) = e^{2k\pi i t} x$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in E$ , then, from the previous part of the proof, we see that

$$U(t) = e^{2k\pi i t} \begin{pmatrix} R(2k\pi i, A_1) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x\\ R(2k\pi i, A_2) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x\\ \vdots\\ R(2k\pi i, A_n)x \end{pmatrix}$$

is the unique mild solution of (1.5), which is in  $W_2^1(J, E^n)$ . Next, if  $f(t) = \sum_k e^{2k\pi i t} x_k$  for any finite sequence  $\{x_k\}_k \subset E$ . Using the linearity of mild solutions, we see that

$$U(t) = \sum_{k} e^{2k\pi i t} \begin{pmatrix} R(2k\pi i, A_1) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x_k \\ R(2k\pi i, A_2) \dots R(2k\pi i, A_n)x_k \\ \vdots \\ R(2k\pi i, A_n)x_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is the unique mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to f. Moreover, by using the standard calculation we have

$$\|f\|^2_{W^1_2(J)} = \sum_k (1 + 4k^2\pi^2) \|x_k\|^2$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|U\|_{W_{2}^{1}(J,E^{n})}^{2} &= \sum_{k} (1+4k^{2}\pi^{2}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|R(2k\pi i,A_{j})R(2k\pi,A_{j+1})\cdots R(2k\pi i,A_{n})x_{k}\|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k} (1+4k^{2}\pi^{2}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|R(2k\pi i,A_{j})R(2k\pi,A_{j+1})\cdots R(2k\pi i,A_{n})\|^{2} \|x_{k}\|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k} (1+4k^{2}\pi^{2}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} M^{2} \|x_{k}\|^{2} \\ &= nM^{2} \sum_{k} (1+4k^{2}\pi^{2}) \|x_{k}\|^{2} \\ &= nM^{2} \|f\|^{2}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\|U\|_{W_2^1(J,E^n)} \le \sqrt{n} M \|f\|_{W_2^1(J)}.$$
(2.10)

Put

$$\mathcal{L}(J) := \{ f(t) = \sum_{k} e^{2k\pi i t} x_k : \{ x_k \} \text{ is a finite sequence in } E \}.$$

Inequality (2.10) holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}(J)$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{L}(J)$  is dense in  $W_2^1(J)$ . Suppose now that f is any function in  $W_2^1(J)$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{f_m\} \subset \mathcal{L}(J)$  such that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} f_m = f$  in  $W_2^1(J)$ . Let  $U_m$  be the unique mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to  $f_m$ . Since  $(f_m - f_q) \in \mathcal{L}(J)$  for all  $m, q \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\|U_m - U_q\|_{W_2^1(J,E^n)} \leq \sqrt{n}M\|f_m - f_q\|_{W_2^1(J)} \to 0$  for  $m, q \to \infty$ . Hence, there exists a function  $U \in W_2^1(J,E^n)$  such that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} U_m = U$  in  $W_2^1(J,E^n)$ . Using the same arguments as in the (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) part, where we proved that G is a bounded operator, we can show that U is a mild solution of (1.5) corresponding to f. The uniqueness of U is obvious, and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Example.** Suppose  $A_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) are mutually commuting infinitesimal generators of  $C_0$  semigroups on E. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\mathcal{T}(t)$  in  $E^n$  (see [15]) and the mild solution of (1.5) can be expressed by

$$U(t) = \mathcal{T}(t)U(0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau, \qquad (2.11)$$

where  $F(t) := (0, 0, ..., 0, f(t))^T$ . In this case each 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1) in  $W_2^1(J)$  is a classical solution, as the following theorem states.

**Theorem 2.5.** If  $A_i$  generates  $C_0$  semigroup in E, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For each  $f \in L_2(J)$  Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution.
- (ii) For each  $f \in W_2^1(J)$ , Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution.
- (iii) For each  $f \in W_2^1(J)$ , Equation (1.1) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in  $W_2^1(J)$ .
- (iv) For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le j \le n$ ,  $2k\pi i \in \varrho(A_j)$  and  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(2k\pi i - A_j)^{-1}(2k\pi i - A_{j+1})^{-1} \cdots (2k\pi i - A_n)^{-1}\| < \infty.$ (2.12)

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) can be shown by standard argument and between (iii) and (iv) is from Theorem 2.4 and the implication (ii)  $\rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. It remains to show (iii)  $\rightarrow$ (ii). To this end, let  $U(\cdot)$  be the unique 1-periodic mild solution of (1.5), which belong to  $W_2^1(J, E^n)$ . Since  $F(t) \in W_2^1(J, E^n)$ , we have  $\int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau \in D(\mathcal{C})$  and  $t \rightarrow \int_0^t \mathcal{T}(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau$  is continuously differentiable (see e.g. [11]). From (2.11) we obtain  $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)U(0) \in W_p^1(J, E^n)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{T}(t_0)x \in D(\mathcal{C})$  for t > 0 (since  $t \mapsto \mathcal{T}(t)x$  is differentiable at  $t_0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{T}(t_0)x \in D(\mathcal{C})$ ). Hence, U(1), and thus, U(0) (the same as U(1)) belongs to  $D(\mathcal{C})$ . So U is a classical solution. The uniqueness of the 1-periodic classical solution is obvious.

If n = 1, then Theorem 2.5 becomes Gearhart theorem in [6] (See also [14]). We see clearly that statement (iv) in Theorem 2.5 holds if for each j,  $0 \le j \le n$ , we have  $2k\pi i \in \varrho(A_j)$  and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \| (2k\pi i - A_j)^{-1} \| < \infty.$$
(2.13)

But in general, condition (2.13) is stronger than (2.12) (they are equivalent if n = 1). Hence, unless n = 1, the existence and uniqueness of 1-periodic mild solution of (1.1) does not imply (2.13). The next example shows that in some special cases the two conditions are equivalent.

**Example.** Suppose  $B = A^2$ , where A generates a  $C_0$  group on E. Consider the second-order differential equation

$$u''(t) = Bu(t) + f(t), \quad 0 \le t \le 1.$$
(2.14)

We can rewrite (2.14) as

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - A\right)\left(\frac{d}{dt} + A\right)u(t) = f(t).$$

Hence, from Theorem 2.5 we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.6.** The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) For each function f ∈ W<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>(J), Equation (2.14) admits a unique 1-periodic mild solution in W<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>(J);
- (ii) For each function  $f \in W_2^1(J)$ , Equation (2.14) admits a unique 1-periodic classical solution;
- (iii) For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $2k\pi i \in \rho(B)$  and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(2k\pi i - A)^{-1}\| < \infty.$$
(2.15)

(iii) For each 
$$k \in \mathbb{Z}$$
,  $-4k^2\pi^2 \in \rho(B)$  and  

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(4k^2\pi^2 i + B)^{-1}\| < \infty.$$
(2.16)

*Proof.* Let  $A_1 = -A$  and  $A_2 = A$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ||(2k\pi i - A_1)^{-1}|| < \infty$  is equivalent to  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ||(2k\pi i - A_2)^{-1}|| < \infty$ , and that completes the proof.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful remarks and suggestions.

## References

- Arendt, W.; Batty ,C. J. K.; Hieber, M.; Neubrander, F.; Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin 2001.
- [2] Arendt, W.; Batty, C. J. K.; Almost periodic solutions of first- and second-order Cauchy problems. J. Differential Equations, 137 (1997), no. 2, 363–383.
- [3] Cioranescu, I.; Lizama, C.; Spectral properties of cosine operator functions. Aequationes Math., 36 (1988), no. 1, 80–98.
- [4] Daleckii, J., Krein, M. G.; Stability of solutions of differential equations on Banach spaces. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
- [5] Eidelman, Y. S.; Tikhonov, I. V.; On periodic solutions of abstract differential equations. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 6 (2001), no. 8, 489–499.
- [6] Gearhart, L.; Spectral theory for contraction semigroups on Hilbert space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 236 (1978), 385–394.
- [7] Hale, J. K.; Ordinary differential equations, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [8] Hatvani, L.; Krisztin, T.; On the existence of periodic solutions for linear inhomogeneous and quasi-linear functional differential equations. J. Differential Equations, 97 (1992), 1–15.
- Haraux, A.; Nonlinear evolution equations. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 841 Springer Verlag, Heidelberg 1981. (1992), 1–15.
- [10] Latushkin, Y., Montgomery-Smith, S.; Evolution semigroups and Liapunov Theorems in Banach spaces, J. Func. Anal. 127 (1995), 173–197.

32

- [11] Nagel, R.; Sinestrari, E.; Inhomogeneous Volterra integrodifferential equations for Hille-Yosida operators. In: Bierstedt K.D., Pietsch A., Ruess W. M., Vogt D. (eds.): Functional Analysis. Proc. Essen Conference, Marcel Dekker 1993, 51-70.
- [12] Murakami, S., Naito, T.; Nguyen, V. M.; Evolution Semigroups and Sums of Commuting Operators: A New Approach to the Admissibility Theory of Function Spaces. J. Differential Equations, 164, (2000), pp. 240-285.
- [13] Nguyen, L.; Periodicity of Mild Solutions to Higher Order Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Electronic J. Diff. Equ. 79 (2004), 1-12.
- [14] Pruss, J.; On the spectrum of  $C_0$ -semigroup. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 284, 1984, 847–857.
- [15] Sandefur, J. T.; Higher Order Abstract Cauchy Problem. J. Math. Anal., 60 (1977), 728-742.
- [16] Goldstein, J.; Sandefur, J. T.; An Abstract d'Alembert Formula. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 18 (1987), 842-856.
- [17] Goldstein, G.; Goldstein, J.; Obrecht, E.; Structure of solutions to linear evolution equations: extensions of d'Alembert's formula. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 201 (1996), no. 2, 461477.
- [18] Schüler, E.; Vu, Q. P.; The operator equation AX XB = C, admissibility and asymptotic behavior of differential equations, J. Differential Equations, 145 (1998), 394-419.
- [19] Schüler, E.; Vu, Q. P.; The operator equation  $AX XD^2 = -\delta_0$  and second order differential equations in Banach spaces. Semigroups of operators: theory and applications (Newport Beach, CA, 1998), 352363, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 42, Birkhauser, Basel, 2000.
- [20] Schüler, E.; On the spectrum of cosine functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229** (1999), 376–398.

NEZAM IRANIPARAST

Department of Mathematics, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green KY 42101, USA

E-mail address: Nezam.Iraniparast@wku.edu

LAN NGUYEN

Department of Mathematics, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green KY 42101, USA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{Lan.Nguyen@wku.edu}$