

# The approximation of a Polynomial's Measure, with Applications towards Jensen's Theorem<sup>1</sup>

Alexe Călin Mureşan

## Abstract

We introduce the notion of measure of a polynomial  $F(z)$  with complex coefficients, then we give an interpretation for it as an integral, using Jensen's theorem. By introducing a new polynomial  $F$  must be evaluated, depending on the measure of the new polynomial, according only to the expression of  $F$ , or to other integral expressions.

**2000 Mathematical Subject Classification:**65E05

**Key words:** Measure of a polynomial, Jensen's equality, Graeffe's method.

## 1 Introduction

For  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ ,  $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  with the roots  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ; repeated according to their multiplicity, then we introduce the notion of measure of polynomial  $F(z)$  with complex coefficients.

---

<sup>1</sup>Received 18 August, 2007

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 28 December, 2007

$$M(F) = M[F(x)] = |a_n| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |x_j|\}$$

and there will be found an interpretation for it as an integral, by using Jensen's theorem:

$$\ln[M(f)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln|F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

We can determinate effectively the series  $(F_m)_{m \geq 1}$  and then,  $M(F)$  which is a real number, from relations:

$$2^{-n \cdot 2^{-m}} \cdot \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}} \leq M(F) \leq \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}}.$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}} = M(F).$$

Now by anew polynomial which depends on  $F$ , and that has all the roots in  $D(0, 1)$ , the degree of the polynomials  $F$  must be evaluated, depending either on the edge of all the real roots  $R = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|a_k|}{|a_n|} = \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}$ , on the maximum and minimum of  $F(z)$  when  $|z| = 1$ , or on the measure of a new polynomial or, least but not last, depending only the expression of  $F$ .

For example:

$$\frac{\ln\{\min_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln|a_n|}{\ln|L(F)| - \ln|a_n|} \leq n \leq \frac{\ln\{\max_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln|a_n|}{\ln|L(F)| - \ln|a_n|},$$

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln|F[\frac{L(F)}{a_n} \cdot e^{i\theta}]| d\theta - \ln|a_n|}{\ln[L(F)] - \ln|a_n|}.$$

Also, other relation allowing the evaluation of maximum and minimum for  $F(z)$  when  $|z| = R$  has been deducted; depending on the edge  $R$ , and depending on the polynomial's coefficients and degree. Then we can compare the previous results with some basic results on Complex Analysis for  $R = \frac{L(P)}{|a_n|}$  the edge of all the real roots, such as 'The Cauchy's integral formula for polynomials on  $R$ '-radius circles and 'Maximum Principle'.

## 2 Measure of a polynomial

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, n \geq 1, a_n \neq 0, F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , with the roots  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ; repeated according to their multiplicity, then by definition, the measure of the polynomials  $F$ , noted by  $M(F)$ , is:

$$M(F) = M[F(x)] = |a_n| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |x_j|\}$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, n \geq 1, a_n \neq 0$ , Then the norm of the polynomial  $F$ , noted by  $\|F\|$ , will be:

$$\|F\| = \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}$$

And the length of the polynomial  $F$  noted by  $L(F)$  is:

$$L(F) = \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k|.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** For  $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]; F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, n \geq 1, a_n \neq 0$ , with the roots  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ; repeated according to their multiplicity, then we have:

$$a) M(F) = \frac{|a_0|}{\prod_{j=1}^n \min\{1, |x_j|\}}; b) M[x^n \cdot F(\frac{1}{x})] = M[F(x)];$$

$$c) M(P \cdot Q) = M(P) \cdot M(Q), \text{ for all } P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x]; d) M[F(x^k)] = M[F(x)]$$

$$e) M^2(F) + |a_0 a_n|^2 \cdot M^{-2}(F) \leq \|F\|^2.$$

**Proof.** a) From Viète's formulas we have  $\prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \left| \frac{a_0}{a_n} \right|.$

$$\text{But, } \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |x_j|\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \min\{1, |x_j|\} = \left| \frac{a_0}{a_n} \right|.$$

$$\text{where we have } |a_n| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |x_j|\} = \frac{|a_0|}{\prod_{j=1}^n \min\{1, |x_j|\}}.$$

$$\text{b) } H(x) = x^n \cdot F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n,$$

$$M \left[ x^n \cdot F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] = a_0 \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |y_j|\} \text{ where } H(j_j) = 0 \text{ and } y_j = \frac{1}{x_j},$$

$$j = \overline{1, n}.$$

$$\text{Now } M \left[ x^n \cdot F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] = \frac{|a_0|}{\prod_{j=1}^n \min\{1, |x_j|\}} \text{ and from a) is obvious.}$$

c) and d) are easy to prove, also see [1] from References.

e) see [1] or [2] from References.

f) From (e) we have that:  $M^2(F) \leq \|F\|^2$  and  $M(F) \leq \|F\|$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $f(x) = a_n \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j)$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , where  $x_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $j = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $n \geq$*

*1 are the polynomials roots, repeated according to their multiplicity, and the polynomials*

$$F_m(x) = \pm a_n^{2m} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (x - x_j^{2^m}); m \geq 0.$$

*Then we can calculate  $F_m(x)$  according to Graeffe's Method, that is:*

$$\text{i) } F_0(x) = F(x)$$

*ii) Then for  $m = \overline{0, n-1}$ , we can find  $\{G_m(x), H_m(x), F_{m+1}(x)\} \in C[x]$  in order to have:  $F_m(x) = G_m(x^2) - x \cdot H_m^2(x)$ . ,  $F_{m+1}(x) = G_m^2(x) - x \cdot H_m^2(x)$*

*iii) Finally, we find  $F_m(x)$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .*

**Proof.** See [3] from References.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $F(x) \in C[x]$ ;  $\text{grad}F \geq 1$  and  $F_m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , the polynomial series associated through the Graeffe's Method, them:*

$$2^{-n \cdot 2^{-m}} \cdot \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}} \neq \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_m\|^{2^{-m}} = M(F).$$

**Proof.** See [3] from References.

**Remark 2.1.** *This theorem allows evaluating the polynomial's measure as many as exact decimals.*

### 3 Jensen's Equality and its Applications

**Theorem 3.1. Jensen's equality.** *Let  $F(x)$  an analytic function in a region which contains the closed disk  $\overline{D}(0; R)$ ;  $R > 0$  in the complex plane, if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in C$ ,  $|x_j| < R$ , for all  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , are the zeros of  $F$  in the interior of  $D(0; R)$  repeated according to their multiplicity and if  $F(0) \neq 0$ , then:*

$$\ln |F(0)| = - \sum_{j=1}^n \ln \left( \frac{R}{x_j} \right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(R \cdot e^{i\theta})| d\theta \text{ or :}$$

$$n \ln R = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(R \cdot e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln |F(0)| + \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right).$$

**Proof.** See [2] or [5] from References.

**Remark 3.1.** *This formula establishes a connection between the moduli of the zeros of the function  $F$  inside the disk  $|z| < R$  and the values of  $|F(z)|$  on the circle  $|z| = R$ , and can be seen as a generalization of the mean value property of harmonic functions.*

**Consequence 3.1.** Let  $F(x)$  an analytic function in a region which contains the closed disk  $\overline{D}(0; 1)$  in the complex plane,  $m \geq 1$  is the number of all zeros of  $F$  in the interior of  $D(0; 1)$  repeated according to multiplicity, then:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln |F(0)| + \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right).$$

**Proof.** Is obvious by Theorem 3.1 for  $R = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $F(x) = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ ,  $F(x) \in C[x]$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $a_m \neq 0$ ,  $m \geq 1$  then:

$$\ln[M(F)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

and:

$$\min_{|z|=1} \{|F(z)|\} \leq M(F) \leq \max_{|z|=1} \{|F(z)|\}$$

**Proof.** Let be:  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in C$ , for all  $i = \overline{1, m}$  all the roots, repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  in the complex plane; and  $x-1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  in the interior of  $D(0; 1)$   $|x-j| < 1$ ;  $j = \overline{1, n}$ .

Because  $F(x)$  is a polynomial analytic function and  $F(0) = a_0 \neq 0$  we are within the hypothesis of Consequence 3.1, and we have:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln |F(0)| + \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right).$$

that is:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \ln |F(0)| - \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right).$$

In conclusion,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \ln \frac{|a_0|}{\prod_{j=1}^n |x_j|}.$$

But  $\prod_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \prod_{j=1}^m \min\{1, |x_j|\}$  and according to Theorem 2.1 a), we have:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \ln(M(F)).$$

Next, by maximizing and minimizing, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln[\min_{|z|=1}(|F(z)|)] d\theta \leq \ln(M(F)) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln[\max_{|z|=1}(|F(z)|)] d\theta.$$

that is:

$$\min_{|z|=1}\{|F(z)|\} \leq M(F) \leq \max_{|z|=1}\{|F(z)|\}.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in C; i = \overline{1, n}, n \geq 1$ , and  $a_0 \neq 0, a_n \neq 0$  if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x), F(x_j) = 0, j = \overline{1, n}$  then exists  $R > 0$ ;*

$$R > \max \left\{ 1, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| \right\} \text{ or } R > 1 + \max_{0 \leq k < n} \left\{ \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| \right\} \text{ or simply } R = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right|$$

$$= \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}, \text{ with } |x_i| < R; i = \overline{1, n}.$$

**Proof.** See [1] or [4] from References.

**Remark 3.2.** *We shall now give a few inequalities which resulted by combining the condition from Theorem 3.3., so that all the roots of a polynomial  $F(x)$  to be, within the moduli in interval  $(0, R)$ , and the Jensen's equality. We have inferred these inequalities by using Theorem 3.2. where the author introduced the measure of a polynomial in the above equality.*

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in C; i = \overline{1, n}, n \geq 1$  and  $R \in R, R > 0$ , so that  $F(x_i) = 0, |x_i| < R; i = \overline{1, n}$ , where  $x - 1, x - 2, \dots, x_n$  are all the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  and  $a_0 \neq 0, a_n \neq 0$ , then:*

a) *If  $G(y) = F(R \cdot y)$  and  $G(y_i) = 0, i = \overline{1, n}$ , that is  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $G(y)$ , then  $y_i = \frac{x_i}{R}$  and  $|y_i| < 1$ .*

b)Exists  $\epsilon \in R; 0 < \epsilon < 1$  so that  $|a_0| \cdot (1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \max_{|z|=R(1-\epsilon)} \{|F(z)|\}$

and

$$c) \frac{\ln\{\min_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln R} \leq n \leq \frac{\ln\{\max_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln R}.$$

**Proof.** a) Let  $y_i = \frac{x_i}{R}; i = \overline{1, n}$ , then  $G(y_i) = F(R \cdot y_i) = F(R \cdot \frac{x_i}{R}) = F(x_i) = 0$ , for all  $i = \overline{1, n}$ .

In conclusion,  $y_i = \frac{x_i}{R}$  are the zeros of  $G(y)$ .

Moreover,  $|y_i| = |x_i|/R < \frac{R}{R} = 1$ , that involves  $|y_i| < 1; y = \overline{1, n}$ .

b)Because  $G(y) = F(R \cdot y)$ , we have:

$$G(y) = a_n R^n \cdot y^n + a_{n-1} R^{n-1} \cdot y^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 R \cdot y + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = \overline{1, n}$$

We choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $R_1 = 1 - \epsilon$  and  $|y_i| < 1 - \epsilon < 1, i = \overline{1, n}$ .

This choice was possible due to the fact that  $|y_i| < 1, i = \overline{1, n}$ .

From Jensen's equality for  $G(y)$ , we have:

$$n \ln R_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |G(R_1 \cdot e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln |G(0)| + \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |y_j| \right)$$

Because for  $j = \overline{1, n}, |y_i| < R_1 < 1$ , we have  $0 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n |y_j| < 1$  which implies  $\ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^n |y_j| \right) < 0$ .

And next  $G(0) = a_0 \neq 0$  and  $\int_0^{2\pi} \ln |G(R_1 \cdot e^{i\theta})| d\theta < \int_0^{2\pi} \ln [\max_{|z|=R_1} |G(z)|] d\theta$ ,

Therefore, from the previous relation we have:

$$n \ln R_1 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2\pi \cdot \ln [\max_{|z|=R_1} |G(z)|] - \ln |a_0|$$

and because  $R_1 = 1 - \epsilon, \epsilon > 0$ , that is:

$$\ln(1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \ln \frac{\max_{|z|=1-\epsilon} |G(z)|}{|a_0|}$$

or,

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \frac{\max_{|z|=1-\epsilon} |G(z)|}{|a_0|}.$$



As  $\max_{|z|=1-\epsilon} |G(z)| = \max_{|z|=1-\epsilon} |F(R \cdot z)|$ , by nothing  $R \cdot z = z_1$ , implies that  $z = \frac{z_1}{R}$ , and then:

$$\max_{|z|=1-\epsilon} |G(z)| = \max_{|\frac{z_1}{R}|=1-\epsilon} |F(z_1)| = \max_{|z_1|=R(1-\epsilon)} |F(z_1)|.$$

As a result:

$$(3.2) \quad \max_{|z|=R_1=1-\epsilon} |G(z)| = \max_{|z|=R(1-\epsilon)} |F(z_1)| = \max_{|z|=R(1-\epsilon)} |F(z)|.$$

From relation (3.1) and relation (3.2) we have:

$$(1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \frac{\max_{|z|=R(1-\epsilon)} |F(z)|}{|a_0|}$$

which is equivalent with

$$|a_0| \cdot (1 - \epsilon)^n \leq \max_{|z|=R(1-\epsilon)} \{|F(z)|\},$$

with  $\epsilon > 0$ , conveniently chosen.

c) By applying Theorem 3.2. to the polynomial  $G(z) = F(R \cdot z)$ , is obtained, due to the fact that  $|y_i| < 1; i = \overline{1, n}$ :

$$\min_{|z|=1} \{G(z)\} \leq M[G(z)] \leq \max_{|z|=1} \{G(z)\},$$

that is:

$$\min_{|z|=1} \{|F(R \cdot z)|\} \leq M[G(z)] \leq \max_{|z|=1} \{|F(R \cdot z)|\}.$$

By nothing  $R \cdot z = z_1$ , we obtain:

$$|z| = 1 \text{ is equivalent to } |z_1| = R; \min_{|z|=1} \{|F(R \cdot z)|\} = \min_{|z_1|=R} \{F(z_1)\}$$

$$\max_{|z|=1} \{|F(z_1)|\} = \max_{|z_1|=1} \{|F(z_1)|\}.$$

Then:

$$\min_{|z_1|=R} \{|F(z_1)|\} \leq M[F(z_1)] \leq M[G(z)] \leq \max_{|z_1|=R} \{F(z_1)\}.$$

And afterwards nothing  $z_1 = z$  we have:

$$(3.3) \quad \min_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\} \leq M[G(z)] \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{F(z)\}.$$

Still,  $M[F(R \cdot z)] = M[G(z)] = M[a_n R^n z^n + a_{n-1} R^{n-1} y^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 R y + a_0]$ .

For  $G(z) = a_n R^n \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n (z - y_j)$  we have from definition:

$$\begin{aligned} M[G(z)] &= |a_n R^n| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |y_j|\}, \text{ that is: } M[G(z)] = M[F(R \cdot z)] = \\ &= |a_n R^n| \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |y_j|\}. \end{aligned}$$

But due to the fact that  $|y_j| < 1, j = \overline{1, n}$ , we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad M[F(R \cdot z)] = M[G(z)] = |a_n| \cdot R^n$$

Therefore, from relation (3.3) an (3.4), we obtain:

$$\min_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\} \leq M[G(z)] = |a_n| \cdot R^n \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{F(z)\}.$$

And that implies:  $\ln[\min_{|z|=R} \{F(z)\}] \leq \ln[|a_n| \cdot R^n] \leq \ln[\max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\}]$  which is equivalent with

$$\ln[\min_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\}] - \ln |a_n| \leq n \ln R \leq \ln[\max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\}] - \ln |a_n|,$$

and because  $R > 1$  we have:

$$\frac{\ln\{\min_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln R} \leq n \leq \frac{\ln\{\max_{|z|=R} |F(z)|\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln R}.$$

**Consequence 3.2.** *If  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = \overline{1, n}, n \geq 1, F(x_i) = 0, i = \overline{1, n}$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  and  $a_0 \neq 0, a_n \neq 0$ , then:*

a)

$$\frac{\ln\left\{\min_{|z|=\frac{L(F)}{a_n}} |F(z)|\right\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln L(F) - \ln |a_n|} \leq n \leq \frac{\ln\left\{\max_{|z|=\frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}} |F(z)|\right\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln L(F) - \ln |a_n|};$$

b) Moreover, if  $L(F) = 1$  then:

$$\frac{\ln\left\{\min_{|z|=\frac{1}{a_n}} |F(z)|\right\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln |a_n|} \geq n \geq \frac{\ln\left\{\max_{|z|=\frac{1}{|a_n|}} |F(z)|\right\} - \ln |a_n|}{\ln |a_n|};$$

c) Furthermore, if  $a_n = 1$  then:  $\frac{\ln\left\{\min_{|z|=L(F)} |F(z)|\right\}}{\ln L(F)} \leq n \leq \frac{\ln\left\{\max_{|z|=L(F)} |F(z)|\right\}}{\ln L(F)}$ .

**Proof.** a) We can prove it by using Theorem 3.3 for  $R = \frac{L(f)}{|a_n|}$  and from Theorem 3.4. c)

b),c) is obvious from a).

**Theorem 3.5. The Maximum Principle.** *An analytic function on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  assumes its maximum modulus on the boundary. Moreover, if  $f$  is analytic and takes at least two distinct values on an open connected set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ , then*

$$|F(z)| < \sup_{z \in U} |F(z)|, z \in U$$

**Proof.** See [5] to References.

**Remark 3.3.** *If  $F(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ ,  $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $i = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $R > 0$ , then:*

a)  $|F(z)| \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{F(z)\} \leq |a_n|R^n + |a_{n-1}|R^{n-1} + \dots + |a_1|R + a_0$ , for each  $z \in D(0; R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

b) *If  $R \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $R > 0$ , so that  $F(x_i) = 0$ ,  $|x_i| < R$ ;  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , then:*

$|a_n|R^n \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\} \leq |a_n|R^n + |a_n - 1|R^{n-1} + \dots + |a_1|R + |a_0|$ , for each  $z \in D(0; R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** a) we are in conditions of the **Maximum Principle**. For  $U = D(0; R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ :

$$|F(z)| \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\}, \text{ for each } z \in D(0; R) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\} &= \max_{|z|=R} |a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0| \leq |a_{n-1}| R^{n-1} + \dots + \\ &|a_1| R + |a_0| = a_n R^n + a_{n-1} R^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 R + a_0. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the result

b) From Theorem 3.4 c) we have  $|a_n| R^n \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\}$  and from a) of this

Theorem we have:

$$|a_n| R^n \leq \max_{|z|=R} \{|F(z)|\} \leq |a_n| R^n + |a_{n-1}| R^{n-1} + \dots + |a_1| R + a_0 \text{ for each } z \in D(0; R) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $F(x) + a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = \overline{1, n}, n \geq 1$  and  $R = \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}, R > 1$  where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  and  $a_0 \neq 0, a_n \neq 0$ , then:*

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| F \left[ \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|} \cdot e^{i\theta} \right] \right| d\theta - \ln |a_n|}{\ln [L(F)] - \ln |a_n|}.$$

**Proof.** We are in condition of Theorem 3.2. and we have for  $G(z) = F(R \cdot z)$

$$\ln [M(G)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |G(e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Also we have  $M[G(z)] = |a_n| R^n$  from the Theorem 3.4.c)

From these relation, we have:  $\ln(|a_n| R^n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |G(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$

where

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |G(e^{i\theta})| d\theta - \ln |a_n|}{\ln(R)}.$$

Now we can take from hypothesis  $R = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| = \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}$  and from Theorem 3.3.  $F(x_i) = 0, |x_i| < R; i = \overline{1, n}$ .

Also because  $G(e^{i\theta}) = F(R \cdot e^{i\theta})$  we obtain:

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |F[\frac{L(F)}{|a_n|} \cdot e^{i\theta}]| d\theta - \ln |a_n|}{\ln \left[ \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|} \right]}$$

or

$$n = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| F \left[ \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|} \cdot e^{i\theta} \right] \right| d\theta - \ln |a_n|}{\ln[L(F)] - \ln |a_n|}.$$

**Theorem 3.7. The Cauchy's integral formula for polynomials on circles.** *If  $F(z) = a_m \prod_{j=1}^m (x - x_j)$ , then the number of indices  $j$  for which  $|x_j| < R$  is "n":*

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} dz$$

provided no  $x_j$  lies on  $Fr(D) : |z| = R$ .

**Proof.**  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \int_{|z|=R} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{z - x_j} dz =$   
 $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^m \int_{|z|=R} \frac{1}{z - x_j} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{|z-x_j|=e} \frac{1}{z - x_j} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n 2\pi i = n$

See [5] to References.

**Remark 3.4.** *If  $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = \overline{1, n}, n \geq$ , and  $R = \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}, R > 1$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the roots repeated according to multiplicity of  $F(x)$  and  $a_0 \neq 0, a_n \neq 0$ , then:*

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} dz = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| F \left[ \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|} \cdot e^{i\theta} \right] \right| d\theta - \ln |a_n|}{\ln[L(F)] - \ln |a_n|}$$

**Proof.** If we take  $R = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| = \frac{L(F)}{|a_n|}$  for the "n" from previous theorem, what is now the number of all zeros, we can find first equality, the another was given in Theorem 3.6.

## References

- [1] Mignotte M., *Introduction to Computational Algebra and Linear Programming*, Ed. Univ. București, 2000, 136-140; 40-44; 149-156.
- [2] Prasolov V.V., *Polynomials*, Moscow Center for Continuous math. Education, 2001-2004, 160-170.
- [3] Cerlienco L., Mignotte M., Piras F., *Computing the measure of a polynomial*, Journal of Symbolic Computation Vol.4. Issue1., Academic Press, Inc. Duluth, MN, USA., 1987, 21-34.
- [4] Mignotte M., *Some Useful Bounds* Computing Supplementum 4.,(ed. B.Bucherger, G.E. Collins & R.G.K. Loos), Springer-Verlag, Wien-New York, 1982, 259-263
- [5] L.V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis.*, second edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company., 1979, 205-210; 134-137,139-143.

Department of Mathematics  
Petrol-Gaze Ploiești University