

Concerning the Euler totient¹

Nicușor Minculete and Petrică Dicu

Abstract

In this paper we intend to establish several properties for the Euler totient denoted φ . This totient provides us with a series of arithmetic inequalities in relation with the functions τ and σ respectively, where $\tau(m)$ is the number of natural divisors of m , and $\sigma(m)$ is the sum of natural divisors of m .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25

Key words: prime number, arithmetic inequality, Euler's totient.

1 Introduction

Let m be a natural number, $m \geq 1$. We note with $\varphi(m)$ the number of positive integers less than or equal to m that are coprime to m . Hence

$$\varphi(m) = \text{card}\{k | (k, m) = 1, k \leq m\}.$$

The function φ so defined is the totient function. The totient is usually called the Euler totient or Euler's totient. It follows from the definition

¹Received 15 December 2007

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 22 January 2008

that $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $\varphi(p^k) = (p - 1)p^{k-1}$ when p is a prime number and $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, also, $\varphi(2^k t) = 2^{k-1}\varphi(t)$, when t is odd number.

Remark several properties of these functions, found in some books: [3], [5], [11], [12], [15].

In paper [7], Gauss proved the equality

$$(1) \quad \sum_{d|m} \varphi(d) = m.$$

φ is also a multiplicative function; if $(m, n) = 1$, then

$$(2) \quad \varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n).$$

For $m > 1$ and $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ we have the relation

$$(3) \quad \varphi(m) = m \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right).$$

2 Properties of Euler's totient

Proposition 1. *The number $\varphi(m)$ is odd if $m = 1$ or $m = 2$, and even for every $m \geq 3$.*

Proof. For $m = 1$ or $m = 2$ we have $\varphi(1) = \varphi(2) = 1$. If $m \geq 3$ and $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, we obtain

$$\varphi(m) = p_1^{\alpha_1-1} p_2^{\alpha_2-1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r-1} (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \dots (p_r - 1),$$

and because at least one of the terms $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r, p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_r - 1$ is even, then $\varphi(m)$ is even.

Proposition 2. $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = \frac{m\varphi(m)}{2}$, for every $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Let m be a natural number, $m \geq 2$, and $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{\varphi(m)}$, are the natural numbers less than or equal to m that are coprime to m , so $(a_i, m) = 1$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$.

As $(m - a_i, m) = 1$, we obtain $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\varphi(m)}\} = \{m - a_1, m - a_2, \dots, m - a_{\varphi(m)}\}$. Hence, because both sets have the same elements, the sum of either set is the same, so

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = m - a_1 + m - a_2, \dots, m - a_{\varphi(m)},$$

which is equivalent to $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = \frac{m\varphi(m)}{2}$.

Proposition 3. *For every $m \geq 3$, show that $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.*

Proof. Because $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = \frac{m\varphi(m)}{2}$ and for every $m \geq 3$, $\varphi(m)$ is even, then $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$.

Proposition 4. $a_i = m - a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$

Proof. From $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{\varphi(m)}$, we obtain $m - a_{\varphi(m)} < \dots < m - a_2 < m - a_1$, hence, we deduce that $a_i = m - a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$.

Remark. Because $(a_i, m) = 1$ and $a_i < m$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$, we deduce that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_{\varphi(m)} = m - 1$.

Proposition 5. *For every $m \geq 3$, show that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \leq \frac{m}{2} \leq a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} < a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} < \dots < a_{\varphi(m)}$ (without equality in both pairs) or $m - a_1 > m - a_2 > \dots > m - a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \geq m - a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} > m - a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} > \dots > m - a_{\varphi(m)}$ (without equality in both parts).*

Proof. We may suppose by absurd that $a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \leq \frac{m}{2}$ and $a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} < \frac{m}{2}$, then $a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} < m$, but $a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} = m$, so we find a contradiction; hence, for every $m \geq 3$, we obtain $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \leq \frac{m}{2} \leq a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} < a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} < \dots < a_{\varphi(m)}$ (without equality in both parts).

Proposition 6. *For every $m \geq 3$, we obtain*

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4 we have $a_i = m - a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$, hence $a_i \equiv -a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} \pmod{m}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{\varphi(m)}{2}\}$, consequently $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \pmod{m}$.

Remark. If $\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}$ is even, then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \equiv a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \pmod{m}$, and if $\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}$ is odd, then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \equiv -a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1} a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+2} \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \pmod{m}$.

Proposition 7. For every $m \geq 3$, we have

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)}^2 + \dots + 2(a_1 a_{\varphi(m)} + a_1 a_{\varphi(m)-1} + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \cdot a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1}) = \frac{m^2 \varphi(m)}{2}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4 we have $a_i = m - a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$, so $a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} = m - a_i$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$, hence $2(a_1 a_{\varphi(m)} + a_1 a_{\varphi(m)-1} + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \cdot a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(m)} a_i a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(m)} a_i (m - a_i) = m \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(m)} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(m)} a_i^2$, but $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = \frac{m \varphi(m)}{2}$, therefore $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)}^2 + \dots + 2(a_1 a_{\varphi(m)} + a_1 a_{\varphi(m)-1} + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \cdot a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1}) = \frac{m^2 \varphi(m)}{2}$.

Proposition 8. For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(m)\}$, we have the inequality $a_i a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} \leq \frac{m^2}{4}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $(\frac{m}{2} - a_i)(\frac{m}{2} - a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}) \leq 0$, which is equivalent to $\frac{m^2}{4} - \frac{m}{2}(a_i + a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}) + a_i a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} \leq 0$, but $a_i + a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} = m$, consequently $a_i a_{\varphi(m)-i+1} \leq \frac{m^2}{4}$.

Proposition 9. $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)}^2 \geq \frac{m^2 \varphi(m)}{2}$.

Proof. Form Proposition 7 and Proposition 8 we have clearly Proposition 9, because we have the equality $2(a_1 a_{\varphi(m)} + a_1 a_{\varphi(m)-1} + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \cdot a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\varphi(m)} a_i a_{\varphi(m)-i+1}$.

Remark. Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k be all the divisors of m ($d_1 = 1, d_k = m$). As $(a_i, m) = 1$, the numbers d_2, d_3, \dots, d_k belong to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\varphi(m)}\}$, but $d_1 = 1, d_k = m$, and $1 < d_2, d_3, \dots, d_{k-1} \leq \frac{m}{2}$, hence $\max\{a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}}, d_{k-1}\} \leq \frac{m}{2}$.

Proposition 10. $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + \sigma(m) \leq \frac{m^2 + 10m + 8}{8}$.

Proof. We remark that $\max\{a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}}, d_{k-1}\} \leq \frac{m}{2}$, therefore

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_{k-1} \leq 1 + 2 + \dots + [\frac{m}{2}]$$

so

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + \sigma(m) - m - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}[\frac{m}{2}]([\frac{m}{2}] + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{m}{2})(\frac{m}{2} + 1) = \frac{m^2 + 2m}{8},$$

which infers

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + \sigma(m) \leq \frac{m^2 + 10m + 8}{8}.$$

Proposition 11. $m^2 + m + 2 \geq m\varphi(m) + 2\sigma(m)$

Proof. Because $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} + d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_k \leq 1 + 2 + \dots + m$, and form the relations $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\varphi(m)} = \frac{m\varphi(m)}{2}$ and $d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_k = \sigma(m) - 1$, we obtain $\frac{m\varphi(m)}{2} + \sigma(m) - 1 \leq \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$, so $m^2 + m + 2 \geq m\varphi(m) + 2\sigma(m)$

Proposition 12. $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \leq m^{-\frac{\tau(m)}{2}} \cdot m!$.

Proof. We remark the inequality

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\varphi(m)} d_1 d_2 \dots d_k \leq 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot m = m!,$$

but $d_1 d_2 \dots d_k = m^{\frac{\tau(m)}{2}}$, which infers $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\varphi(m)} \leq m! \cdot m^{-\frac{\tau(m)}{2}}$.

Proposition 13. $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \leq m^{-\frac{\tau(m)-2}{2}} \cdot [\frac{m}{2}]!$.

Proof. it is easy to see that

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} d_2 d_3 \dots d_{k-1} \leq 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot [\frac{m}{2}] = [\frac{m}{2}]!,$$

but $d_1 d_2 \dots d_k = m^{\frac{\tau(m)}{2}}$, so $d_2 d_3 \dots d_{k-1} = m^{\frac{\tau(m)-2}{2}}$, hence the inequality becomes $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{\frac{\varphi(m)}{2}} \leq m^{-\frac{\tau(m)-2}{2}} \cdot [\frac{m}{2}]!$.

Proposition 14. $m + \tau(m) \leq \sigma(m) + 1$, for all $m \geq 2$.

Proof. From Gauss's Theorem, $\sum_{d|m} \varphi(d) = m$, and, as $\varphi(m) \leq m - 1$, for

all $m \geq 2$, we get $m = \sum_{d|m} \varphi(d) = \varphi(d_1) + \varphi(d_2) + \varphi(d_3) + \dots + \varphi(d_k) \leq 1 +$

$d_2 - 1 + d_3 - 1 + \dots + d_k - 1 = \sigma(m) - \tau(m) + 1$, therefore $m \leq \sigma(m) - \tau(m) + 1$.

References

- [1] Acu D., *Aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității "Lucian Blaga" din Sibiu, 1999.
- [2] Alexandru, V. and Goșonoiu, N., M., *Elemente de teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 1999.
- [3] Becheanu, M., and col., *Algebra pentru perfectionarea profesorilor*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1983.
- [4] Bușneag, D. and Maftei, I., *Teme pentru cercurile și concursurile de matematică ale elevilor*, Editura Scrisul Românesc, Craiova, 1983.
- [5] Creangă, I. and col., *Introducere în teoria numerelor*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1965.
- [6] Cucurezeanu , I., *Probleme de aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura Tehnică, București, 1976.
- [7] Gauss, C. Fr., *Cercetări aritmetice*, Editura Armacord, Timișoara, 1999.
- [8] Minculete, N., *Inegalitați aritmetice*, Referat în cadrul doctoratului, București, 2005.
- [9] Minculete N. și Dicu P., *Concerning the Euler totient*, General Mathematics, vol. 16, No. 1 (2008), 85-91.
- [10] Mordell, L. J., *Diophantine equations*, Editura Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [11] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *Probleme celebre de teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 1998.
- [12] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *O introducere în aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 2001.

- [13] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *Probleme de aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura GIL, Zalău, 2006.
- [14] Radovici-Mărculescu, P., *Probleme de teoria elementară a numerelor*, Editura Tehnica, 1986.
- [15] Šandor, J., *On Jordan's arithmetical function*, Gazeta Matematică Seria B, nr. 2-3/1993.
- [16] Šandor, J., *Geometric Theorems, Diophantine Equations and Arithmetic Functions*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2002.
- [17] Sierpinski, W., *Elementary Theory of Numbers*, Warsaw, 1964.
- [18] <http://www.mathworld.wolfram.com>.
- [19] <http://www.nationmaster.com/encyclopedia>

Nicușor Minculete
”Dimitrie Cantemir” University of Brașov,
Str.Bisericii Române, nr 107,
Brașov - Romania
E-mail: minculeten@yahoo.com

Petrică Dicu
”Lucian Blaga” University of Sibiu
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics
Str. Dr. I. Rațiun, no. 5–7
550012 Sibiu - Romania
E-mail: petrica.dicu@ulbsibiu.ro