

Coefficient bounds for some families of starlike and convex functions of complex order

Sevtap Sümer Eker, Bilal Şeker , Mugur Acu

Abstract

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases: Dziok-Srivastava Operator; Coefficient bounds; Starlike functions of complex order; Convex functions of complex order; Nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equations.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Given two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ is given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

the *Hadamard product* (or *convolution*) $f * g$ is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

For $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$; $\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, s$) the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ is defined by

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$(q \leq s + 1, \quad q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

Here, and in what follows, $(\kappa)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) defined, in terms of the Gamma function Γ , by

$$(\kappa)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa + n)}{\Gamma(\kappa)} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \kappa \neq 0 \\ \kappa(\kappa + 1) \dots (\kappa + n - 1) & n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

For the function

$$h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$$

the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator [2] (see also [3]) $H_s^q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ is defined by the following Hadamard product (or convolution) :

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_s^q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)f(z) &= h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z) \\
 &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} a_k z^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

For notational simplicity, we write

$$H_s^q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)f(z) = (H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)(z)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}^*(b)$ if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$Re \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$$

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{C}(b)$ if it also satisfies the following inequality:

$$Re \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, b \in \mathbb{C}^*)$$

The function classes $\mathcal{S}^*(b)$ and $\mathcal{C}(b)$ were considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [4-6] and Wiatrowski [7], respectively.

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{SC}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$

$$Re \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z[\lambda z f'(z) + (1-\lambda)f(z)]'}{\lambda z f'(z) + (1-\lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right) \right] > \gamma \quad (1.2)$$

$$(f(z) \in \mathcal{A}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; 0 \leq \gamma < 1; b \in \mathbb{C}^*; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The function class satisfying the inequality (1.2) was considered by Altıntaş et al. [1].

Let $\mathcal{SC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z[\lambda z(H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)'(z) + (1-\lambda)(H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)(z)]'}{\lambda z(H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)'(z) + (1-\lambda)(H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \gamma, \quad (1.3)$$

$$(f(z) \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, b \in \mathbb{C}^*, q \leq s+1, q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = 1$, we obtain the class of $\mathcal{SC}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$. Furthermore, for $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ the class $\mathcal{SC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$ is coincide the class $\mathcal{S}^*(b)$ and for $q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = 1, \gamma = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ we obtain the class $\mathcal{C}(b)$.

The main object of the present investigation is to derive some coefficient bounds for functions in the subclass $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma; \mu)$ of \mathcal{A} which consists of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation:

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + 2(1 + \mu)z \frac{dw}{dz} + \mu(1 + \mu)w = (1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)g(z) \quad (1.4)$$

$$(w = f(z) \in \mathcal{A}, g(z) \in \mathcal{SC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma), \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]).$$

2 Main results

Theorem 1. *Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be defined by (1.1). If the function $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{SC}_{\alpha, \beta}^{q, s}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$, then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}) \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1.1) and let the function $F(z)$ be defined by

$$F(z) = \lambda z (H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)'(z) + (1 - \lambda)(H_s^q[\alpha_1]f)(z), \quad (f(z) \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

Then from (1.3) and the definition of $F(z)$ above, it is easily seen that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right) \right] > \gamma$$

with

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\left(A_k = [1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k - 1)!} a_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^* \right)$$

Thus, by setting

$$\frac{1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right) - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} = p(z)$$

or, equivalently,

$$zF'(z) = [1 + b(1 - \gamma)(p(z) - 1)] F(z), \quad (2.2)$$

we get

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < 1; b \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

we conclude that

$$|p_k| \leq 2 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We also find from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(k - 1)A_k = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1A_{k-1} + p_2A_{k-2} + \cdots + p_{k-1}).$$

In particular, for $k = 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$A_2 = b(1 - \gamma)p_1 \text{ implies } |A_2| \leq 2|b|(1 - \gamma)$$

$$2A_3 = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1A_2 + p_2) \text{ implies } |A_3| \leq \frac{2|b|(1 - \gamma)[1 + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{2!}$$

and

$$3A_4 = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1A_3 + p_2A_2 + p_3)$$

implies

$$|A_4| \leq \frac{2|b|(1-\gamma)[1+2|b|(1-\gamma)][2+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{3!}$$

respectively. Using the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain,

$$|A_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{(k-1)!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Moreover, by the relationship between the functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$, it is clear that

$$A_k = [1 + \lambda(k-1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} a_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

and then we get

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}}}.$$

By choosing suitable values of the admissible parameters b , λ , γ , α and β in Theorem 1 above, we deduce the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. (Altıntaş et al. [1]) *If a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{SC}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$, then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{(k-1)! [1 + \lambda(k-1)]} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Corollary 2. (Nasr and Aouf [4]) If a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}^*(b)$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|]}{(k-1)!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Corollary 3. (Nasr and Aouf [4]) If a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}(b)$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|]}{k!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$

Theorem 2. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be defined by (1.1). If the function $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma; \mu)$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} (k+\mu)(k+1+\mu)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1.1). Also let

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{SC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{q,s}(b, \lambda, \gamma), \quad (3.2)$$

so

$$a_k = \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)}{(k+\mu)(k+1+\mu)} b_k, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, -1]) \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, by using Theorem 1, we readily obtain

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1}} (k + \mu)(k + 1 + \mu)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$

which is precisely the assertion (3.1) of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] O. Altıntaş, H.İrmak, S. Owa, H.M. Srivastava, Coefficient bounds for some families of starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 20(2007) 1218-1222
- [2] J. Dziok, H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 103(1999), no. 1, 1-13.
- [3] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* 14(2003) 7-18.
- [4] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, Radius of convexity for the class of starlike functions of complex order, *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. Sect. A* 12(1983), 153-159.
- [5] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, Bounded starlike functions of complex order, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 92(1983) 97-102.

- [6] M.A. Nasr, M.K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* 25(1985) 1-12.
- [7] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. odz Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod Ser.* 39(2) (1970), 75-85.

Sevtap Sümer Eker

Dicle University

Department of Mathematics

21280 - Diyarbakır, Turkey

E-mail: sevtaps@dicle.edu.tr Bilal Şeker

Batman University

Department of Mathematics

72060 - Batman, Turkey

E-mail: bilalseker1980@gmail.com Mugur Alexandru Acu

”Lucian Blaga” University

Department of Mathematics

550012 - Sibiu, Romania

E-mails: acu_mugur@yahoo.com