

## A new univalent integral operator defined by Al-Oboudi differential operator <sup>1</sup>

Serap Bulut

### Abstract

In [3], Breaz and Breaz gave an univalence condition of the integral operator  $G_{n,\alpha}$  introduced in [2]. The purpose of this paper is to give univalence condition of the generalized integral operator  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  defined in [4]. Our results generalize the results of [3].

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

**Key words and phrases:** Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Integral operator, Differential operator, Schwarz lemma.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of all functions of the form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Received 20 November, 2008

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 3 December, 2008

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f \text{ is univalent in } \mathbb{U}\}.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , Al-Oboudi [1] introduced the following operator:

$$(2) \quad D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$(3) \quad D^1 f(z) = (1 - \delta)f(z) + \delta z f'(z) = D_\delta f(z), \quad \delta \geq 0$$

$$(4) \quad D^n f(z) = D_\delta(D^{n-1} f(z)), \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

If  $f$  is given by (1), then from (3) and (4) we see that

$$(5) \quad D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\delta]^n a_k z^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}),$$

with  $D^n f(0) = 0$ .

**Remark 1** When  $\delta = 1$ , we get Sălăgean's differential operator [9].

The following results will be required in our investigation.

**General Schwarz Lemma.** [5] *Let the function  $f$  be regular in the disk  $\mathbb{U}_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$ , with  $|f(z)| < M$  for fixed  $M$ . If  $f$  has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than  $m$  for  $z = 0$ , then*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^m} |z|^m \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}_R).$$

*The equality can hold only if*

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{M}{R^m} z^m,$$

*where  $\theta$  is constant.*

**Theorem A.** [7] Let  $\alpha$  be a complex number with  $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $f$  satisfies

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then, for any complex number  $\beta$  with  $\operatorname{Re}\beta \geq \operatorname{Re}\alpha$ , the integral operator

$$F_\beta(z) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^z t^{\beta-1} f'(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem B.** [6] Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfy the following inequality:

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then  $f$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Theorem C.** [8] Assume that  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies condition (6), and let  $\alpha$  be a complex number with

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{3}.$$

If

$$|g(z)| \leq 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{U}$$

then the function

$$(7) \quad G_\alpha(z) = \left\{ \alpha \int_0^z (g(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

is of class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In [2], Breaz and Breaz considered the integral operator

$$(8) \quad G_{n,\alpha}(z) := \left\{ [n(\alpha - 1) + 1] \int_0^z (g_1(t))^{\alpha-1} \dots (g_n(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}},$$

( $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ), and proved that the function  $G_{n,\alpha}$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Remark 2** Note that for  $n = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator  $G_\alpha$  defined by (7).

**Theorem D.** [3] Let  $g_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfy the properties

$$\left| \frac{z^2 g_i'(z)}{(g_i(z))^2} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{3n}.$$

If

$$|g_i(z)| \leq 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n,$$

then the function  $G_{n,\alpha}$  defined by (8) is univalent.

In [4], the author introduced a new general integral operator by means of the Al-Oboudi differential operator as follows.

**Definition 1** [4] Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . We define the integral operator  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  by

$$(9) \quad G_{n,m,\alpha}(z) := \left\{ [n(\alpha - 1) + 1] \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n (D^m g_j(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $D^m$  is the Al-Oboudi differential operator.

**Remark 3** In the special case  $n = 1$ , we obtain the integral operator

$$(10) \quad G_{m,\alpha}(z) := \left\{ \alpha \int_0^z (D^m g(t))^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

**Remark 4** If we set  $m = 0$  in (9) and (10), then we obtain the integral operators defined in (8) and (7), respectively.

## 2 Main Results

**Theorem 1** Let  $M_j \geq 1$ , each of the functions  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) satisfies the inequality

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{z^2 (D^m g_j(z))'}{(D^m g_j(z))^2} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; m \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \alpha}{\sum_{j=1}^n (2M_j + 1)}, \operatorname{Re} (n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0.$$

If

$$|D^m g_j(z)| \leq M_j \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; j \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

then the integral operator  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ), by (5), we have

$$\frac{D^m g_j(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (k-1)\delta]^m a_{k,j} z^{k-1} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

and

$$\frac{D^m g_j(z)}{z} \neq 0$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ .

Also we note that

$$G_{n,m,\alpha}(z) = \left\{ [n(\alpha - 1) + 1] \int_0^z t^{n(\alpha-1)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D^m g_j(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{n(\alpha-1)+1}}.$$

Define a function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D^m g_j(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} dt.$$

Then we obtain

$$(12) \quad f'(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{D^m g_j(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha-1}.$$

It is clear that  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ .

The equality (12) implies that

$$\ln f'(z) = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \ln \frac{D^m g_j(z)}{z}$$

or equivalently

$$\ln f'(z) = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n (\ln D^m g_j(z) - \ln z).$$

By differentiating above equality, we get

$$\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{(D^m g_j(z))'}{D^m g_j(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \right).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{z (D^m g_j(z))'}{D^m g_j(z)} - 1 \right),$$

which readily shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} |\alpha - 1| \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \left| \frac{z (D^m g_j(z))'}{D^m g_j(z)} \right| + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (D^m g_j(z))'}{(D^m g_j(z))^2} \right| \left| \frac{D^m g_j(z)}{z} \right| + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis, we have  $|g_j(z)| \leq M_j$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), then by the General Schwarz Lemma, we obtain that

$$|g_j(z)| \leq M_j |z| \quad (j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}\alpha}}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &\leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \left| \frac{z^2 (D^m g_j(z))'}{(D^m g_j(z))^2} - 1 \right| M_j + M_j + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\alpha - 1|}{\operatorname{Re}\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^n (2M_j + 1) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{\sum_{j=1}^n (2M_j + 1)}$ . Applying Theorem A, we obtain that  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  is in the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Corollary 1** *Let  $M \geq 1$ , each of the functions  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) satisfies the inequality (11) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{(2M + 1)n}, \operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0.$$

If

$$|D^m g_j(z)| \leq M \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; j \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

then the integral operator  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof.** In Theorem 1, we consider  $M_1 = \dots = M_n = M$ .

**Corollary 2** *Let each of the functions  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) satisfies the inequality (11) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{3n}, \operatorname{Re}(n(\alpha - 1) + 1) \geq \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0.$$

If

$$|D^m g_j(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; j \in \{1, \dots, n\}),$$

then the integral operator  $G_{n,m,\alpha}$  defined by (9) is in the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof.** In Corollary 1, we consider  $M = 1$ .

**Remark 5** *If we set  $m = 0$  in Corollary 2, then we have Theorem D.*

**Corollary 3** *Let the function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the inequality (11) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with*

$$|\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\alpha}{3}, \operatorname{Re}\alpha > 0.$$

*If*

$$|D^m g(z)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

*then the integral operator  $G_{m,\alpha}$  defined by (10) is in the univalent function class  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof.** In Corollary 2, we consider  $n = 1$ .

**Remark 6** *If we set  $m = 0$  in Corollary 3, then we have Theorem C.*

## References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2004, no. 25-28, 1429-1436.
- [2] D. Breaz and N. Breaz, *Univalence of an integral operator*, Mathematica (Cluj) **47** (2005), no. 70, 35-38.
- [3] D. Breaz and N. Breaz, *An integral univalent operator*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) **76** (2007), no. 2, 137-142.
- [4] S. Bulut, *Univalence condition for a new generalization of the family of integral operators*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. No. **18** (2009), 71-78.



- [5] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1975.
- [6] S. Ozaki and M. Nunokawa, *The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 392-394.
- [7] N. Pascu, *An improvement of Becker's univalence criterion*, Proceedings of the Commemorative Session Simion Stoilow, Brasov, (1987), 43-48.
- [8] V. Pescar, *New criteria for univalence of certain integral operators*, Demonstratio Math. **33** (2000), no. 1, 51-54.
- [9] G. Ş. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362-372.

**Serap Bulut**

Kocaeli University

Civi Aviation College

Arslanbey Campus

41285 İzmit-Kocaeli, Turkey

E-mail: serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr