

**$\Lambda_0$ -NUCLEAR OPERATORS AND  $\Lambda_0$ -NUCLEAR SPACES IN  
 $p$ -ADIC ANALYSIS**

A. K. KATSARAS AND C. PEREZ-GARCIA

ABSTRACT. For a Köthe sequence space, the classes of  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces and spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property are introduced and studied and the relation between them is investigated. Also, we show that, for  $\Lambda_0 \neq c_0$ , these classes of spaces are in general different from the corresponding ones for  $\Lambda_0 = c_0$ , which have been extensively studied in the non-archimedean literature (see, for example, [1]–[6]).

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $K$  will be a complete non-archimedean valued field whose valuation  $|\cdot|$  is non-trivial, and  $E, F, \dots$  will be locally convex spaces over  $K$ . We always assume that  $E, F, \dots$  are Hausdorff.

It is well known (see [5]) that a locally convex space  $E$  is nuclear if and only if

(1) For every Banach space  $F$ , every continuous linear map (or operator) from  $E$  into  $F$  is compact.

Nuclear spaces are closely related to the locally convex spaces  $E$  satisfying the following property:

(2) Every operator from  $E$  into  $c_0$  is compact (see [5]).

On the other hand, it is well known that if  $F$  is a normed space, then an operator  $T$  from  $E$  into  $F$  is compact if and only if there exist an equicontinuous sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$ , a bounded sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $F$ , and an element  $(\lambda_n)$  of  $c_0$  such that

$$T(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(x) y_n \quad \forall x \in E \quad (*)$$

(an operator satisfying this condition is called a nuclear operator, see [7]).

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46S10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -adic functional analysis, Köthe sequence spaces, nuclearity, compactoidity.

In [7] and [8] the authors studied several properties of operators  $T$  which can be represented as in (\*) where  $(\lambda_n)$  belongs to some Köthe sequence space  $\Lambda_0$ . They are called  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operators.

Let us introduce for a locally convex space  $E$  the following properties:

(1') For every Banach space  $F$ , every operator from  $E$  into  $F$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

(2') Every operator from  $E$  into  $c_0$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

In this paper we study property (1') as related to (2'). We show that if  $\Lambda_0 \neq c_0$ , the class of spaces satisfying property (1') (resp. (2')) is in general different from the corresponding one for  $\Lambda_0 = c_0$ .

In the classical case of spaces over the real or complex field, analogous problems have been studied by several authors (see, for example, [9]–[14]).

### § 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $E$  be a locally convex space over  $K$ . We will denote by  $\text{cs}(E)$  the collection of all continuous non-archimedean seminorms on  $E$ . For  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$ ,  $E_p$  will be the associated normed space  $E/\ker p$  endowed with the usual norm, and  $\pi_p : E \rightarrow E_p$  will be the canonical surjection.  $E$  is said to be of countable type if for every  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$ ,  $E_p$  is a normed space of countable type (i.e.,  $E_p$  is the closed linear hull of a countable set). For  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $B_p(0, r)$  will be the set  $\{x \in E : p(x) \leq r\}$ . Also, for each continuous linear functional  $f \in E'$ , we define  $\|f\|_p = \sup\{|f(x)|/p(x) : x \in E, p(x) \neq 0\}$ .

Next, we will recall the definition of a non-archimedean Köthe space  $\Lambda(P)$ . By a Köthe set we will mean a collection  $P$  of sequences  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  of non-negative real numbers with the following properties:

(1) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\alpha \in P$  with  $\alpha_n \neq 0$ .

(2) If  $\alpha, \alpha' \in P$ , then there exists  $\beta \in P$  with  $\alpha, \alpha' \ll \beta$ , where  $\alpha \ll \beta$  means that there exists  $d > 0$  such that  $\alpha_n \leq d\beta_n$  for all  $n$ .

For  $\alpha \in P$  and a sequence  $\xi = (\xi_n)$  in  $K$ , we define  $p_\alpha(\xi) = \sup_n \alpha_n |\xi_n|$ . The non-archimedean Köthe sequence space  $\Lambda(P)$  is the space of all sequences  $\xi$  in  $K$  for which  $p_\alpha(\xi) < \infty$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ . On  $\Lambda(P)$  we consider the locally convex topology generated by the family  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in P\}$  of non-archimedean seminorms. Under this topology  $\Lambda(P)$  is a complete Hausdorff locally convex space over  $K$ . The set  $|\Lambda| = \{|x| : x \in \Lambda(P)\}$  is a Köthe set. By  $\bar{\Lambda}$  we will denote the Köthe space  $\Lambda(|\Lambda|)$ . Also, by  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(P)$  we will denote the closed subspace of  $\Lambda(P)$  consisting of all  $\xi = (\xi_n)$  for which  $\alpha_n |\xi_n| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $\alpha = (\alpha_n) \in P$ . In case  $P$  consists of a single constant sequence  $(1, 1, \dots)$ , we have  $\Lambda(P) = \ell^\infty$  and  $\Lambda_0(P) = c_0$ . Also, we give the following interesting example:

Let  $B = (b_n^k)$  be an infinite matrix of strictly positive real numbers and satisfying the conditions  $b_n^k \leq b_n^{k+1}$  for all  $k, n$ . For each  $k$ , let  $\alpha^{(k)} =$

$(b_1^k, b_2^k, \dots)$ . Then,  $P = \{\alpha^{(k)} : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a Köthe set for which  $\Lambda_0(P)$  coincides with the Köthe space  $K(B) = \{(\lambda_n) : \lambda_n \in K, \forall n \text{ and } \lim_n |\lambda_n| b_n^k = 0, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  associated with the matrix  $B$  (see [4]). Also, the topology on  $\Lambda_0(P)$  for this  $P$  coincides with the normal topology on  $K(B)$  considered in [4]. This kind of spaces play an important role in  $p$ -adic analysis, since every non-archimedean countably normed Fréchet space  $E$  with a Schauder basis can be identified with  $K(B)$ , for some infinite matrix  $B$  ([4], Proposition 2.4).

We will say that the Köthe set  $P$  is a power set of infinite type if (i): For each  $\alpha \in P$  we have  $0 < \alpha_n \leq \alpha_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , and (ii): For each  $\alpha \in P$  there exists  $\beta \in P$  with  $\alpha^2 \ll \beta$ . We will say that  $P$  is stable if for each  $\alpha \in P$  there exists  $\beta \in P$  such that  $\sup_n \alpha_{2n}/\beta_n < \infty$ . By [7], Proposition 2.11,  $P$  is stable if and only if  $\Lambda(P)$  (or  $\Lambda_0(P)$ ) is stable. (Recall that a locally convex space  $E$  is called stable if  $E \times E$  is topologically isomorphic to  $E$ .)

Finally, we will recall the concepts of  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid sets and  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operators (see [7]). For a bounded subset  $A$  of a locally convex space  $E$ ,  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  and a non-negative integer  $n$ , the  $n$ th Kolmogorov diameter  $\delta_{n,p}(A)$  of  $A$  with respect to  $p$  is the infimum of all  $|\mu|$ ,  $\mu \in K$ , for which there exists a subspace  $F$  of  $E$  with  $\dim(F) \leq n$  such that  $A \subset F + \mu B_p(0, 1)$ . The set  $A$  is called  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid if for each  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  there exists  $\xi = (\xi_n) \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\delta_{n,p}(A) \leq |\xi_{n+1}|$  for all  $n$  (or equivalently  $\alpha_n \delta_{n-1,p}(A) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\alpha \in P$ ). An operator (continuous linear map)  $T \in L(E, F)$  between two locally convex spaces  $E, F$  over  $K$  is called:

(1)  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if there exist an equicontinuous sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$ , a bounded sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $F$ , and an element  $(\lambda_n)$  of  $\Lambda_0$  such that

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(x) y_n \quad \forall x \in E;$$

(2)  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid if there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of zero in  $E$  such that  $T(V)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $F$ ;

(3)  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear if for each  $q \in \text{cs}(F)$  there exist a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$ , a  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$ , and an element  $(\lambda_n)$  of  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $\|f_n\|_p \leq |\lambda_n|$  ( $n \in N$ ) and  $q(Tx) \leq \sup_n |f_n(x)|$  for all  $x \in E$ . (For the ideal structure of these classes of operators see [7].)

By Theorem 4.4 of [7], every  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operator is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid. Also, every  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid operator is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear. Indeed, if  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid and  $q \in \text{cs}(F)$ , then  $\pi_q \circ T : E \rightarrow F_q$  is also  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid ([7], Proposition 3.21) and so  $\pi_q \circ T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear ([7], Theorem 4.7). Hence,  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.

It follows from Theorem 4.6 of [7] that if  $F$  is a normed space, then  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear  $\Leftrightarrow T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid  $\Leftrightarrow T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.

In case  $\Lambda_0 = c_0$ , the concepts of  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid set,  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid operator, and  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operator coincide with the concepts of a compactoid set, a compact operator, and a nuclear operator, respectively.

For further information we refer to [15] (for normed spaces) and to [16] (for locally convex spaces).

From now on in this paper we will assume that the Köthe set  $P$  is a power set of infinite type.

## § 2. SPACES WITH THE $\Lambda_0$ -PROPERTY

Locally convex spaces  $E$  for which every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  is compact have been studied by N. De Grande-De Kimpe in [2] and [3] and more recently by T. Kiyosawa in [6].

A natural extension of this kind of spaces is given by

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a locally convex space  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property if every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear (or, equivalently,  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid).

In this section, we study several properties of spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property. In this way, we extend and complete the results previously obtained by N. De Grande-De Kimpe and T. Kiyosawa.

### Proposition 2.2.

(a) *If  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property and  $M$  is a subspace of  $E$  such that every  $T \in L(M, c_0)$  has an extension  $\bar{T} \in L(E, c_0)$  (e.g., when  $M$  is dense or when  $M$  is complemented), then  $M$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.*

(b) *A locally convex space  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property if and only if its completion  $\hat{E}$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.*

(c) *A quotient of a space  $E$  with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property also has the same property.*

(d) *If  $P$  is stable, then the product of a family of spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property has the same property.*

*Proof.* Property (a) is obvious.

(b): It follows by (a) that if  $\hat{E}$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property, then  $E$  has also the same property.

Conversely, suppose that  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property. Let  $T \in L(\hat{E}, c_0)$  and let  $T_1$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $E$ . Since  $T_1$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid, there exists a zero-neighborhood  $U$  in  $E$  such that  $T_1(U)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$ . Then  $V = \bar{U}^{\hat{E}}$  is a zero-neighborhood in  $\hat{E}$  for which  $T(V)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$ , and so  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid.

(c): Let  $M$  be a closed subspace of  $E$  and let  $S \in L(E/M, c_0)$ . If  $\pi : E \rightarrow E/M$  is the quotient map, then  $T = S \circ \pi \in L(E, c_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid. If  $V$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $E$  such that  $T(V)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$ , then  $\pi(V)$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $E/M$  for which  $S(\pi(V)) = T(V)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$ . Hence  $S$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid.

(d): Let  $E = \prod_i E_i$ , where each  $E_i$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property, and let  $T \in L(E, c_0)$ . Then  $T$  is bounded on a neighborhood  $W$  of zero in  $E$ . This neighborhood can be taken in the form  $W = \prod_i U_i$ , where  $U_i$  is a zero-neighborhood in  $E_i$  and the set  $J = \{i \in I : U_i \neq E_i\}$  is finite. Clearly,  $T$  vanishes on the subspace  $\prod_{i \notin J} E_i$  of  $E$  and so we may assume that  $I$  is finite, i.e.,  $E = E_1 \times E_2 \times \dots \times E_n$  for some  $n \in N$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $\pi_j : E_j \rightarrow E$  be the canonical inclusion. Since  $T_j = T \circ \pi_j \in L(E_j, c_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid, there exists a zero-neighborhood  $V_j$  in  $E_j$  such that  $T_j(V_j)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$ . Then,  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_n$  is a zero neighborhood in  $E$  for which  $T(V) = T_1(V_1) + \dots + T_n(V_n)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $c_0$  ([7], Proposition 3.14). Thus  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid.  $\square$

Now, we fix some notation which we will use in the sequel. For each  $n \in N$ , there are unique  $k, m \in N$  such that  $n = (2m - 1)2^{k-1}$ . In the following lemma  $\pi_1, \pi_2 : N \rightarrow N$  will be defined by  $\pi_1(n) = k$  and  $\pi_2(n) = m$  when  $n = (2m - 1)2^{k-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $P$  is countable and stable and, for each  $k \in N$ , let  $\xi^k = (\xi_n^k)_n \in \Lambda_0$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(\lambda_k)_k$  of non-zero elements of  $K$  such that  $(\lambda_{\pi_1(n)} \xi_{\pi_2(n)}^{\pi_1(n)})_n \in \Lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $P = (\alpha^{(k)})_{k \in N}$ , where  $\alpha^{(k)} \leq \alpha^{(k+1)}$  for all  $k$ . Since  $P$  is stable, we may also assume that for each  $k \in N$  there exists  $0 < d_k < \infty$  with  $d_k \leq d_{k+1}$  such that  $\sup_m \alpha_{2^k m}^{(k)} / \alpha_m^{(k+1)} \leq d_k$ . Choose  $\lambda_k \in K$ ,  $0 < |\lambda_k| \leq 1$  such that  $p_{\alpha^{(k+1)}}(\lambda_k \xi^k) \leq k^{-1} d_k^{-1}$  ( $k \in N$ ). We claim that the sequence  $(\lambda_k)_k$  satisfies the requirements.

Indeed, let  $r \in N$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $k_0 > \max\{r, 1/\epsilon\}$ . Also, choose  $\eta_n^k \in K$  with  $|\eta_n^k| = \max_{m \geq n} |\xi_m^k|$  ( $k, n \in N$ ). Then,  $\eta^k = (\eta_n^k)_n \in \Lambda_0$  for all  $k \in N$  and so there exists  $m_0 \in N$  such that  $d_{k_0} \alpha_m^{(k_0)} |\eta_m^k| < \epsilon$  for all  $m \geq m_0$  and all  $k \leq k_0$ . Let  $n > m_0 2^{k_0}$ . If  $k = \pi_1(n) < r$ , then  $k < k_0$  and hence  $m > m_0$ . Thus, for  $k = \pi_1(n) < r$ , we have

$$\alpha_n^{(r)} |\lambda_{\pi_1(n)} \xi_{\pi_2(n)}^{\pi_1(n)}| \leq \alpha_n^{(r)} |\eta_m^k| \leq \alpha_{m 2^r}^{(r)} |\eta_m^k| \leq d_{k_0} \alpha_m^{(k_0)} |\eta_m^k| < \epsilon.$$

For  $r \leq k = \pi_1(n) < k_0$ , we have

$$\alpha_n^{(r)} \leq \alpha_{m 2^k}^{(k)} \leq d_k \alpha_m^{(k+1)} \leq d_{k_0} \alpha_m^{(k_0)}$$

and, since  $m > m_0$  we obtain that

$$\alpha_n^{(r)} |\lambda_k \xi_m^k| \leq d_{k_0} \alpha_m^{(k_0)} |\xi_m^k| < \epsilon.$$

Analogously, we can prove that if  $\pi_1(n) = k \geq k_0 > r$ , then we have  $\alpha_n^{(r)} |\lambda_k \xi_m^k| < \epsilon$ .

Hence, for  $n > m_0 \cdot 2^{k_0}$ , we obtain  $\alpha_n^{(r)} |\lambda_{\pi_1(n)} \xi_{\pi_2(n)}^{\pi_1(n)}| < \epsilon$ , which clearly completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $P$  be countable and stable. Then the locally convex direct sum and the inductive limit of a sequence of spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property have also the same property.*

*Proof.* Let  $E = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ , where each  $E_k$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property and let  $T \in L(E, c_0)$ . If  $I_k : E_k \rightarrow E$  is the canonical inclusion, then  $T \circ I_k \in L(E_k, c_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear ( $k \in N$ ). Therefore, for each  $k$ , there exist  $\xi^k = (\xi_m^k)_m \in \Lambda_0$ , a sequence  $(y_m^k)_m$  in the unit ball of  $c_0$ , and an equicontinuous sequence  $(h_m^k)_m$  in  $E'_k$  such that

$$(T \circ I_k)(y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \xi_m^k h_m^k(y) y_m^k \quad (y \in E_k).$$

For each  $k \in N$  let  $q_k \in \text{cs}(E_k)$  with  $|h_m^k| \leq q_k$  for all  $m$ . Also, let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  and  $(\lambda_k)_k$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Then  $q(x) = \max_k |\lambda_k|^{-1} q_k(x_k)$  ( $x = (x_k)_k \in E$ ) defines a continuous seminorm on  $E$ . For each pair  $(m, k)$  of positive integers, the function  $g_m^k : E \rightarrow K$ ,  $x \rightarrow \lambda_k^{-1} h_m^k(x_k)$  is a continuous linear map on  $E$  such that  $|g_m^k| \leq q$  for all  $k, m$ . Also, for each  $x = (x_k)_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k(x_k) \in E$  we have

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \xi_m^k g_m^k(x) y_m^k.$$

For  $n = (2m-1)2^{k-1}$ , set  $f_n = g_m^k \in E'$ ,  $z_n = y_m^k \in c_0$ ,  $\xi_n = \lambda_k \xi_m^k \in K$ . By Lemma 2.3  $(\xi_n)_n \in \Lambda_0$ . Further,  $Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n(x) z_n$  for all  $x \in E$ , and so  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

Finally, we observe that the inductive limit of a sequence of spaces is linearly homeomorphic to a quotient of the corresponding direct sum.  $\square$

*Remark.* A subspace of a space with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property need not have in general the same property. Indeed, let  $\Lambda_0 = c_0$  and suppose that the valuation on  $K$  is dense. Then,  $\ell^\infty$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property ([15], Corollary 5.19) but, clearly,  $c_0$  does not have the same property.

### Examples.

1. As we will see in the next section, every  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.

2. If  $\Lambda_0 = c_0$  and the valuation on  $K$  is dense, then  $\ell^\infty$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.
3. If  $P$  is countable and  $K$  is not spherically complete, then  $\bar{\Lambda}$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property ([8], Corollary 4.6).
4. If  $E$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space with a basis, then  $E$  does not have the  $\Lambda_0$ -property. Indeed,  $E$  contains a complemented subspace linearly homeomorphic to  $c_0$  ([15], Corollary 3.18).

For a locally convex space  $E$  over  $K$ , we will denote by  $\Lambda_0\{E'\}$  the family of all sequences  $(g_n)$  in  $E'$  for which there exist  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  and  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\|g_n\|_p \leq |\lambda_n|$  for all  $n$ . For a sequence  $w = (g_n) \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$ , we define a continuous non-archimedean seminorm  $p_w$  on  $E$  by

$$p_w(x) = \sup_n |g_n(x)| \quad (x \in E).$$

The next Theorem gives several descriptions of spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For a locally convex space  $E$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.
- (ii) For every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  there exist  $T_1 \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$ , which is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear, and  $T_2 \in L(\Lambda_0, c_0)$  such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .
- (iii) If  $F$  is a locally convex space of countable type, then every  $T \in L(E, F)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.
- (iv) If  $F$  is a normed space and  $T \in L(E, F)$ , then  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if its range,  $R(T)$ , is of countable type.
- (v) Let  $(T_n)$  be an equicontinuous sequence of operators from  $E$  into a normed space  $F$  such that  $R(T_n)$  is of countable type for all  $n$  and such that  $(T_n)$  converges pointwise to a  $T \in L(E, F)$ . Then  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.
- (vi) For every equicontinuous sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$ , which converges pointwise to zero, there exists  $w \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$  such that  $\|f_n\|_{p_w} \leq 1$  for all  $n$ .
- (vii) For every equicontinuous sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $E'$ , which converges pointwise to zero, there exist  $(g_n) \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$ ,  $\alpha \in P$ ,  $d > 0$ , and an infinite matrix  $(\xi_{ik})$  of elements of  $K$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{in} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $|\xi_{in}| < d\alpha_i$  for all  $n$ , such that

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x)\xi_{in} \quad (x \in E).$$

If, in addition,  $P$  is stable, then properties (i)  $\rightarrow$  (vii) are equivalent to:

- (viii) The topology of uniform convergence on the members of  $\Lambda_0\{E'\}$  coincides with the topology  $\tau_0$  of countable type which is associated with the topology of  $E$  (see [17]).

*Proof.* For the equivalence of (i) and (ii) see the proof of Theorem 4.6 in [7].

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $F$  be a locally convex space of countable type. For every  $p \in \text{cs}(F)$ , the associated normed space  $F_p$  is of countable type and so  $F_p$  is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ . Hence  $\pi_p \circ T : E \rightarrow F_p$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear ([7], Theorem 4.11). Thus  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): Observe that, since  $\Lambda_0 \subset c_0$ , we have that every  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operator is also nuclear, and hence its range is of countable type.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Let  $(T_n)$  and  $T$  be as in (v). Since every  $R(T_n)$  is of countable type, the closed linear hull  $Z$  of  $\bigcup_n R(T_n)$  is of countable type. Also, since  $Tx \in Z$  for all  $x \in E$ , (iv) implies that  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi): From Theorem 4.6 of [7] it follows that a map  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if there exists  $w \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$  such that  $\|Tx\| \leq p_w(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Now, apply Lemma 2.2 of [3] to get the conclusion.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vii): By Lemma 2.2 of [3] it follows that a linear map  $T$  from  $\Lambda_0$  into  $c_0$  is continuous if and only if there exist an infinite matrix  $(\xi_{ij})$  of elements of  $K$ , an  $\alpha \in P$  and  $d > 0$  such that  $|\xi_{ij}| \leq d\alpha_i$  for all  $i, j$ ,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $Tx = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \xi_{ij})_j$  for all  $x = (x_i) \in \Lambda_0$ . Also, by Theorem 3.3 of [8], it follows that a linear map  $S \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if there exists  $(g_n) \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$  such that  $Tx = (g_n(x))_n$  for all  $x \in E$ . Now, the conclusion follows again by Lemma 2.2 of [3].

Finally, suppose that  $P$  is stable.

(vi)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (viii): We first observe that, since  $P$  is stable, the family of seminorms  $\{p_w : w \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}\}$  is upwards directed. Also, we know that  $\tau_0$  is the topology of uniform convergence on the equicontinuous sequences in  $E'$  which converge pointwise to zero. Now, the result follows.  $\square$

*Remark.* If a locally convex space  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property, then every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  is compact, since  $\Lambda_0 \subset c_0$ . But the converse is not true in general.

**Example.** Suppose that the valuation on  $K$  is dense. It is well known that every  $T \in L(\ell^\infty, c_0)$  is compact. However, if  $\Lambda_0 \neq c_0$ , there are operators from  $\ell^\infty$  to  $c_0$  which are not  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear ([8], Corollary 3.7).

### § 3. $\Lambda_0$ -NUCLEAR SPACES

Nuclear spaces have been extensively studied in the non-archimedean literature (see, for example, [5] for a collection of the basic properties of these spaces). A natural extension of this kind of spaces is the following:

**Definition 3.1.** A locally convex space  $E$  is called  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if for each  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  there exists  $q \in \text{cs}(E)$ ,  $p \leq q$ , such that the canonical map  $\Phi_{pq} : E_q \rightarrow E_p$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear (or, equivalently,  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid).



In this section we study the relationship between the  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces and the spaces with the  $\Lambda_0$ -property considered in the previous section. We first need some preliminary machinery.

Let  $m \in N$  and let  $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}$  be  $m$  elements of  $\Lambda_0$ . For  $j = (n - 1)m + k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , set  $\xi_j = \xi_n^{(k)}$ . If  $P$  is stable, then  $\xi = (\xi_j) \in \Lambda_0$  (we will denote  $\xi$  by  $\xi^{(1)} * \xi^{(2)} * \dots * \xi^{(m)}$ ).

Indeed, let  $\alpha \in P$  and let  $m_1 \in N$  be such that  $m \leq 2^{m_1}$ . Since  $P$  is stable, there exist  $\beta \in P$  and  $d > 0$  such that  $\alpha_{n, 2^{m_1}} / \beta_n \leq d$  for all  $n$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $d\beta_n |\xi_n^{(k)}| < \epsilon$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $n \geq n_0$ . If  $j \geq n_0 m$  and  $j = (n - 1)m + k$ , then  $n \geq n_0$  and so

$$\alpha_j |\xi_j| \leq \alpha_{nm} |\xi_j| \leq \alpha_{n, 2^{m_1}} |\xi_j| \leq d\beta_n |\xi_n^{(k)}| < \epsilon.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be stable. Then, for each positive integer  $m$ , the function  $\Psi_m : \Lambda_0^m \rightarrow \Lambda_0$ ,  $\Psi_m(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) = \xi^{(1)} * \dots * \xi^{(m)}$  is a linear homeomorphism from  $\Lambda_0^m$  onto  $\Lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\Psi_m$  is a bijection. To prove the continuity of  $\Psi_m$ , recall that, given  $\alpha \in P$ , there exist  $\beta \in P$  and  $d > 0$  such that  $\alpha_{nm} \leq d\beta_n$  for all  $n$ , and so,  $p_\alpha(\Psi_m(\xi)) \leq d \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} p_\beta(\xi^{(k)})$  for all  $\xi = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}) \in \Lambda_0^m$  which proves that  $\Psi_m$  is continuous.

Also,  $\Psi_m^{-1}$  is continuous. In fact, for  $\xi = (\xi_n) \in \Lambda_0$  we have  $\Psi_m^{-1}(\xi) = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)})$ , where  $\xi^{(k)} = (\xi_k, \xi_{m+k}, \xi_{2m+k}, \dots)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m$ ). Also, for each  $\alpha \in P$  we get  $p_\alpha(\xi) \geq \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} p_\alpha(\xi^{(k)})$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *For a locally convex space  $E$  consider the following properties:*

(i) *For every Banach space  $F$  and for every  $T \in L(E, F)$ , there are  $T_1 \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$  and  $T_2 \in L(\Lambda_0, F)$  such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .*

(ii)  *$E$  is of countable type and for every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  there exist  $T_1 \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$  and  $T_2 \in L(\Lambda_0, c_0)$  such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .*

(iii) *If  $\{p_i : i \in I\}$  is a generating family of continuous seminorms on  $E$ , then  $E$  is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of the product space  $\Lambda_0^I$ .*

(iv)  *$E$  is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\Lambda_0^J$  for some set  $J$ .*

*Then, (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).*

*If, in addition,  $P$  is stable, then properties (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iv) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) can be proved analogously to (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) in Proposition 3.7 of [18].

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since (i) implies (iii) and since  $\Lambda_0$  is of countable type, we derive that  $E$  is also of countable type ([16], Proposition 4.12).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $F$  be a Banach space and let  $T \in L(E, F)$ .

First, assume that the range,  $R(T)$ , is finite-dimensional. Then, there exists a linear homeomorphism  $h$  from  $R(T)$  onto a closed subspace  $M$  of

$\Lambda_0$ . On the other hand, since the dual of  $\Lambda_0$  separates the points, there exists a continuous linear projection  $Q$  from  $\Lambda_0$  onto  $M$ . Hence  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ , where  $T_1 = h \circ T \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$  and  $T_2 = h^{-1} \circ Q \in L(\Lambda_0, F)$ .

Now, assume that  $R(T)$  is infinite-dimensional. Since  $E$  is of countable type, the closure of  $R(T)$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space of countable type and so it is linearly homeomorphic to  $c_0$ . Now, the conclusion follows by (ii).

Now, assume that  $P$  is stable. Then, the implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) can be proved by using Lemma 3.2 in a similar way as (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in Proposition 3.7 of [18].  $\square$

As in Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 of [18] we obtain the following

**Proposition 3.4.** *For a locally convex space  $E$ , consider the following properties:*

- (i)  $E$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.
- (ii) For every locally convex space  $F$ , every  $T \in L(E, F)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.
- (iii) For every Banach space  $F$ , every  $T \in L(E, F)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.
- (iv) For every  $p \in \text{cs}(E)$  there exists  $w \in \Lambda_0\{E'\}$  such that  $p \leq p_w$ .
- (v) The topology of  $E$  coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on the members of  $\Lambda_0\{E'\}$ .

Then (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v).

If, in addition,  $P$  is stable, then properties (i)  $\rightarrow$  (v) are equivalent.

It is well known (see, for example, [5], Proposition 5.4) that a locally convex space  $E$  is nuclear if and only if  $E$  is of countable type and every  $T \in L(E, c_0)$  is compact. Now, using Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 we get the following descriptions of  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For a locally convex space  $E$ , consider the following properties:*

- (i)  $E$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.
- (ii) For every Banach space  $F$  and every  $T \in L(E, F)$ , there exists  $T_1 \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$   $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear and  $T_2 \in L(\Lambda_0, F)$  such that  $T = T_2 \circ T_1$ .
- (iii)  $E$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property and it is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\Lambda_0^I$  for some set  $I$ .
- (iv)  $E$  is of countable type and has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property.
- (v)  $E$  is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of some product  $\Lambda_0^I$  and every  $T \in L(E, \Lambda_0)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -quasinuclear.

Then (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v).

If, in addition,  $P$  is stable, then properties (i)  $\rightarrow$  (v) are equivalent.

*Proof.* By using Proposition 3.4, the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) can be proved as in Theorem 4.6 of [7].

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): It follows from Proposition 4.5 of [7] and Proposition 3.3.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): It is obvious (recall that  $\Lambda_0$  is of countable type).

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $F$  be a Banach space and let  $T \in L(E, F)$ . Since  $E$  is of countable type, we have that the closure of  $R(T)$  is a Banach space of countable type, and so it is linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ . By (iv) and Theorem 4.11 of [7] we derive that  $T$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear. Now, the conclusion follows by Proposition 3.4.(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): It is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5.(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

Finally, if  $P$  is stable, the implication (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Proposition 4.5 of [7] and our Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

Putting together Proposition 2.2, Theorems 2.4, 3.5 and the stability properties of spaces of countable type ([16], Proposition 4.12), we obtain the following extension of 5.7 of [5] and Proposition 3.5 of [19].

**Corollary 3.6.**

- (a) *Every subspace of a  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space is again  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*
- (b) *A locally convex space  $E$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if its completion  $\hat{E}$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*
- (c) *A quotient of a  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space is also  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*
- (d) *If  $P$  is stable, then the product of a family of  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces is also  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*
- (e) *If  $P$  is countable and stable, then the locally convex direct sum and the inductive limit of a sequence of  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces are also  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*

§ 4. SOME REMARKS AND EXAMPLES

It is well known that if  $E$  is a nuclear space, then every bounded subset of  $E$  is compactoid. The corresponding counterpart is also true for  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear spaces.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Each bounded subset of a  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space  $E$ , is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a bounded set of  $E$  and let  $p \in cs(E)$ . Since  $\pi_p : E \rightarrow E_p$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid (Proposition 3.5), we have that  $\pi_p(B)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $E_p$ . By [7], Proposition 3.10, we derive that  $B$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid in  $E$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The converse of Proposition 4.1 is not true in general. For an example see [20].

Now, we will give some examples of spaces which are, or are not,  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

By Proposition 3.4 and with an argument analogous to the one used in the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [18], we can obtain the following result which will be crucial for our purpose.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a Köthe set (not necessarily of infinite type). Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Lambda(Q)$  is  $\Lambda_0(P)$ -nuclear.
- (ii)  $\Lambda_0(Q)$  is  $\Lambda_0(P)$ -nuclear.
- (iii) For each  $\alpha \in Q$  there exist  $\beta \in Q$  with  $\alpha \ll \beta$ , a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $N$ , and  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0(P)$  such that  $\alpha_{\sigma(n)} \leq |\lambda_n| \beta_{\sigma(n)}$  for all  $n \in N$ .

As a direct consequence we derive the following assertion (cf. [4], Proposition 3.5).

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $K(B)$  be the Köthe space associated to an infinite matrix  $B = (b_n^k)$ . Then  $K(B)$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if for every  $k$  there exist  $k_1 > k$ , a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $N$ , and  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $b_{\sigma(n)}^k / b_{\sigma(n)}^{k_1} \leq |\lambda_n|$  for all  $n$ .*

*Remark.* The criterion in 4.3 can be used to decide easily whether a non-archimedean countably normed Fréchet space with a Schauder basis is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear (recall that a such space can be identified with some  $K(B)$ ).

Observe that since  $\Lambda_0 \subset c_0$ , every  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space is nuclear. But the converse is not true in general. Indeed, we know (see [7], Lemma 2.3) that  $\Lambda$  (or  $\Lambda_0$ ) is nuclear if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in P$  with  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, we have the following

**Proposition 4.4.** *None of the spaces  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_0$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.*

*Proof.* Suppose that one of the spaces  $\Lambda$  or  $\Lambda_0$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear. By Theorem 4.2, given  $\alpha \in P$ , there exist  $\beta \in P$  with  $\alpha \ll \beta$ , a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $N$ , and  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\alpha_{\sigma(n)} \leq |\lambda_n| \beta_{\sigma(n)}$  for all  $n$ . It is easy to see that the set  $N_1 = \{n \in N : n \geq \sigma(n)\}$  is infinite. For  $n \in N_1$  we have

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_{\sigma(n)} \leq |\lambda_n| \beta_{\sigma(n)} \leq |\lambda_n| \beta_n.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$ .  $\square$

Observe that every  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear space has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property (Theorem 3.5). But the converse is not true in general. Indeed, if  $P$  is countable and  $K$  is not spherically complete, then  $\bar{\Lambda}$  has the  $\Lambda_0$ -property (see the examples in Section 2). However, with regard to the  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclearity of  $\bar{\Lambda}$ , we have

**Proposition 4.5.**  *$\bar{\Lambda}$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear if and only if  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_n) \in \Lambda$  and let  $\lambda \in K$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ . For each  $n \in N$ , choose  $\lambda_n \in K$  with  $|\lambda_n| \leq \sqrt{|\xi_n|} \leq |\lambda \lambda_n|$ . Then  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$  and  $|\xi_n| \leq |\lambda_n| \cdot |\lambda^2 \lambda_n|$  for all  $n$ . By Theorem 4.2 we conclude that  $\bar{\Lambda}$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear.

Conversely, assume that  $\bar{\Lambda}$  is  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear and let  $\xi \in \Lambda$ . By Theorem 4.2, there exist  $y \in \Lambda$ , a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $N$ , and  $(\lambda_n) \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $|\xi_{\sigma(n)}| \leq |\lambda_n y_{\sigma(n)}|$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in P$ , there exists  $m \in N$  such that  $|\lambda_n| p_\alpha(y) < \epsilon$  if  $n \geq m$ . Then, for  $n \geq m$  we have

$$\alpha_{\sigma(n)} |\xi_{\sigma(n)}| \leq |\lambda_n| \alpha_{\sigma(n)} |y_{\sigma(n)}| \leq |\lambda_n| p_\alpha(y) < \epsilon.$$

Hence,  $\xi \in \Lambda_0$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was partially supported by Comision mixta Caja Cantabria-Universidad de Cantabria

#### REFERENCES

1. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, On spaces of operators between locally  $K$ -convex spaces. *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* **A75**(1972), 113–129.
2. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Non-archimedean Banach spaces for which all the operators are compact. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (3) **22**(1972), 241–248.
3. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Structure theorems for locally  $K$ -convex spaces. *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* **A80**(1977), 11–22.
4. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Non-archimedean Fréchet spaces generalizing spaces of analytic functions. *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* **A85**(1982), 423–439.
5. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Non-archimedean nuclearity. *Groupe d'étude d'analyse ultramétrique, Institut Henri Poincaré Paris*, 1982.
6. T. Kiyosawa, On spaces of compact operators in non-archimedean Banach spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **32**(4)(1989), 450–458.
7. A. K. Katsaras, Non-Archimedean  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid sets and  $\Lambda_0$ -nuclear operators. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7) **5-B** (1990), 703–725.
8. A. K. Katsaras and C. Perez-Garcia,  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid sets and  $\Lambda_0$ -compactoid operators versus compactoid sets and compact operators. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (7) **8-B** (1994), 371–389.
9. E. Dubinsky and M. S. Ramanujan, On  $\lambda$ -nuclearity. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(1972).
10. A. Pietsch, Nuclear locally convex spaces. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc.*, 1972.

11. M. S. Ramanujan, Power series spaces  $\Lambda(\alpha)$  and associated  $\Lambda(\alpha)$ -nuclearity. *Math. Ann.* **189**(1970), 161–168.
12. T. Terzioglu, Smooth sequence spaces and associated nuclearity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27**(1973), 494–504.
13. D. Vgot, Charakterisierung der Unterraume eines nuklearen stabilen Potenzreihenraumes von endlichen typ. *Studia Math.* **71**(1982), 251–270.
14. D. Vgot, Tame spaces and power series spaces. *Math. Z.* (1987), 523–536.
15. A. C. M. Van Rooij, Non-archimedean functional analysis. *Marcel Dekker, New York*, 1978.
16. W. H. Schikhof, Locally convex spaces over non-spherically complete valued fields I-II. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B* **38**(1986), 187–224.
17. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, Non-archimedean topologies of countable type and associated operators. *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* **A90**(1987), 15–28.
18. A. K. Katsaras, Non-archimedean  $\Lambda$ -nuclear spaces. *p-adic functional analysis (Laredo, 1990)*, J. M. Bayod, N. De Grande-De Kimpe, and J. Martinez-Maurica (eds.), 101–119, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 137, *Marcel Dekker, New York*, 1991.
19. N. De Grande-De Kimpe and C. Perez-Garcia,  $p$ -adic semi-Montel spaces and polar inductive limits. *Results Math.* **24**(1993), 66–75.
20. N. De Grande-De Kimpe, C. Perez-Garcia, and W. H. Schikhof, Non-archimedean  $t$ -frames and FM-spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **35** (2)(1992), 1–9.

(Received 11.04.1995)

Authors' addresses:

A. K. Katsaras  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Ioannina  
Ioannina  
Greece

C. Perez-Garcia  
Departamento de Matematicas  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Universidad de Cantabria  
39071 Santander  
Spain