

## ON VECTOR SUMS OF MEASURE ZERO SETS

A. KHARAZISHVILI

**Abstract.** We consider the behaviour of measure zero subsets of a vector space under the operation of vector sum. The question whether the vector sum of such sets can be nonmeasurable is discussed in connection with the measure extension problem, and a certain generalization of the classical Sierpiński result [3] is presented.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 28A05, 28D05.

**Key words and phrases:** Vector sum, measure zero set, transformation group, quasi-invariant measure, nonmeasurable set, absolutely negligible set.

It is a well-known fact that some nice descriptive properties of subsets of a topological vector space are not preserved under the operation of vector sum. The following two examples are typical in this respect.

**Example 1.** There exist two Borel subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of the real line  $R$ , such that  $X + Y$  is not Borel (obviously,  $X + Y$  is an analytic subset of  $R$ ).

**Example 2.** There exist two sets  $X \subset R$  and  $Y \subset R$ , both of Lebesgue measure zero, such that  $X + Y$  is not Lebesgue measurable.

In connection with Example 1, see, e.g., [1] or [2], Example 2 is due to Sierpiński (see his early paper [3]). He gave this example starting with a simple observation that there are two Lebesgue measure zero sets  $A \subset R$  and  $B \subset R$  for which  $A + B = R$ , and utilizing some properties of Hamel bases in  $R$ .

This paper is devoted primarily to some generalizations of Example 2 for nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite quasi-invariant measures in vector spaces. In particular, we shall demonstrate that, for certain extensions of quasi-invariant measures, the phenomenon described in Example 2 can always be realized.

As a rule, the measures considered below are assumed to be defined on some  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of a given uncountable vector space  $E$  (over the field  $Q$  of all rationals) and are supposed to be quasi-invariant under the group of all nondegenerate rational homotheties of this space. More precisely, we shall say that a mapping  $h : E \rightarrow E$  is a nondegenerate rational homothety of  $E$  if  $h$  can be represented as

$$h(x) = qx + x_0 \quad (x \in E),$$

where  $q$  is a fixed nonzero rational number and  $x_0$  is a fixed element of  $E$ . The family of all above-mentioned homotheties forms a group with respect to the

usual composition operation. We denote this group by the symbol  $H_E$  (notice that  $H_E$  is not commutative).

If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $E$ , then we put:

$\text{dom}(\mu)$  = the domain of  $\mu$ ;

$I(\mu)$  = the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all  $\mu$ -measure zero subsets of  $E$ .

We shall say that  $\mu$  is  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant if both classes of sets  $\text{dom}(\mu)$  and  $I(\mu)$  are invariant under all transformations from the group  $H_E$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $E$ . Motivated by Example 2, we may pose the following question: do there exist two sets  $X \in I(\mu)$  and  $Y \in I(\mu)$  for which  $X + Y \notin \text{dom}(\mu)$ ? It can easily be shown that, in general, the answer to this question is negative. Moreover, various examples of a situation where

$$(\forall X \in I(\mu))(\forall Y \in I(\mu))(X + Y \in I(\mu))$$

can be constructed without any difficulties (see, e.g., [4]). Also, as demonstrated in the same monograph [4], the question posed above can be reduced to another much easier problem. More precisely, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $E$  be an uncountable vector space (over  $Q$ ). Then, for any nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $E$ , the following eight assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) *there exist two sets  $X \in I(\mu)$  and  $Y \in I(\mu)$  such that  $X + Y \notin I(\mu)$ ;*
- 2) *there exists a set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $X + X \notin I(\mu)$ ;*
- 3) *there exists a set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $\text{lin}_Q(X) \notin I(\mu)$  where  $\text{lin}_Q(X)$  stands for the linear hull (over  $Q$ ) of  $X$ ;*
- 4) *there exists a linearly independent (over  $Q$ ) set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $\text{lin}_Q(X) \notin I(\mu)$ ;*
- 5) *there exist two sets  $X \in I(\mu)$  and  $Y \in I(\mu)$  such that  $X + Y \notin \text{dom}(\mu)$ ;*
- 6) *there exists a set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $X + X \notin \text{dom}(\mu)$ ;*
- 7) *there exists a set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $\text{lin}_Q(X) \notin \text{dom}(\mu)$ ;*
- 8) *there exists a linearly independent (over  $Q$ ) set  $X \in I(\mu)$  such that  $\text{lin}_Q(X) \notin \text{dom}(\mu)$ .*

The proof is presented in [4]. Notice that the argument is essentially based on some properties of the so-called Ulam transfinite matrix (see, e.g., [6]).

The equivalence of assertions 1)–8) shows us that, in order to obtain a positive answer to the question formulated above, we need only the existence of two sets  $X \in I(\mu)$  and  $Y \in I(\mu)$  for which  $X + Y \notin I(\mu)$ . Clearly, the question will be solved positively if the existence of two sets  $X \in I(\mu)$  and  $Y \in I(\mu)$  is established, for which  $X + Y = E$ .

Our goal is to demonstrate that there always exists an  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  such that the vector sum of some two  $\mu'$ -measure zero subsets of  $E$  is identical with the whole space  $E$ . For this purpose, several auxiliary notions and propositions are necessary.

Let  $E$  be an arbitrary set,  $G$  be a group of transformations of  $E$  and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $E$ . We say (cf. [5]) that  $Y$  is  $G$ -absolutely negligible (in  $E$ ) if, for any  $\sigma$ -finite  $G$ -quasi-invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $E$ , there exists a  $G$ -quasi-invariant measure  $\mu'$  on  $E$  extending  $\mu$  and such that  $\mu'(Y) = 0$ . The concept of an absolutely negligible set is thoroughly discussed in monograph [5] where a significant role of this concept is emphasized for various questions concerning extensions of quasi-invariant (invariant) measures.

Below, the symbol  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal (cardinal) and  $\omega_1$  stands for the first uncountable ordinal (cardinal).

Let  $E$  be a set and let  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  be a partition of  $E$ .

A set  $X \subset E$  is called a partial selector of  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  if  $\text{card}(X \cap X_i) \leq 1$  for all indices  $i \in I$ . Accordingly, a set  $X \subset E$  is called a selector of the same partition if  $\text{card}(X \cap X_i) = 1$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Our starting point is the following lemma (cf. [5]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $E$  be a set of cardinality  $\omega_1$ , let  $G$  be a group of transformations of  $E$ , such that  $\text{card}(G) = \omega_1$  and*

$$\text{card}(\{x \in E : g(x) = h(x)\}) \leq \omega$$

*for any two distinct transformations  $g \in G$  and  $h \in G$ . Further, let  $\{G_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  be an increasing (with respect to inclusion)  $\omega_1$ -sequence of subgroups of  $G$  and let  $\{X_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  be a partition of  $E$ , such that:*

- 1)  $\text{card}(G_\xi) \leq \omega$  for all ordinals  $\xi < \omega_1$ ;
- 2)  $\text{card}(X_\xi) \leq \omega$  for all ordinals  $\xi < \omega_1$ ;
- 3)  $\cup\{G_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\} = G$ ;
- 4) for each  $\xi < \omega_1$ , the set  $X_\xi$  is  $G_\xi$ -invariant.

*Then every partial selector of  $\{X_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  is a  $G$ -absolutely negligible subset of  $E$ .*

A detailed proof of this proposition can be found in [5].

Let  $(G, +)$  be a commutative group. The group of all translations of  $G$  is obviously isomorphic to  $G$ , and we can identify these two groups in our further considerations.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(G, +)$  be a commutative group of cardinality  $\omega_1$  and let  $X$  be an arbitrary uncountable subset of  $G$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y \subset G$  such that  $X + Y = G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  be an injective family of all elements of  $G$ . Put  $E = G$  and equip  $E$  with the group of all translations of  $G$ . Let  $\{G_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  and  $\{X_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  be two families satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1. We now define an injective  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\{y_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  of elements of  $E$ . Suppose that, for an ordinal  $\xi < \omega_1$ , the partial  $\xi$ -sequence  $\{y_\zeta : \zeta < \xi\}$  has already been defined. For each ordinal  $\zeta < \xi$ , let  $X_{\eta(\zeta)}$  be such that  $y_\zeta \in X_{\eta(\zeta)}$ . We denote

$$Z_\xi = \cup\{X_{\eta(\zeta)} : \zeta < \xi\}$$

and observe that  $\text{card}(Z_\xi) \leq \omega$ . Since the given set  $X$  is uncountable, we must have

$$(E \setminus Z_\xi) \cap (x_\xi - X) \neq \emptyset.$$

Choose any element of  $(E \setminus Z_\xi) \cap (x_\xi - X)$  and denote it by  $y_\xi$ . Continuing in this manner, we will be able to construct the desired  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\{y_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$  of elements of  $E$ . Now, putting

$$Y = \{y_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$$

and taking into account the relation

$$(\forall \xi < \omega_1)(x_\xi \in y_\xi + X),$$

we see that

$$X + Y = E = G.$$

Also, in accordance with Lemma 1, the set  $Y$  is  $G$ -absolutely negligible in  $E$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* It is not hard to verify that Lemma 2 remains true for an arbitrary group  $G$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$ . In addition to this, suppose that  $E$  is a vector space over  $Q$  with  $\text{card}(E) = \omega_1$  and let  $G = H_E$ . Then  $\text{card}(G) = \omega_1$ , too, and

$$\text{card}(\{x \in E : g(x) = h(x)\}) \leq 1$$

for any two distinct transformations  $g \in G$  and  $h \in G$ . The argument used in the proof of Lemma 2 shows us that, for every uncountable set  $X \subset E$ , there exists an  $H_E$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y \subset E$  for which we have  $X + Y = E$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group of cardinality  $\omega_1$  (identified with the group of all its left translations). Then there exist two  $G$ -absolutely negligible sets  $X \subset G$  and  $Y \subset G$  such that  $X \cdot Y = G$ . In particular, for any nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite left  $G$ -quasi-invariant (respectively, left  $G$ -invariant) measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , there exists a left  $G$ -quasi-invariant (respectively, left  $G$ -invariant) measure  $\mu'$  on  $G$  extending  $\mu$  and satisfying the relations*

$$X \in I(\mu'), Y \in I(\mu'), X \cdot Y = G \notin I(\mu').$$

*Proof.* Take any uncountable  $G$ -absolutely negligible set  $X \subset G$  (the existence of such a set easily follows from Lemma 1). In virtue of Lemma 2 (cf. Remark 1 above), there exists a  $G$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y \subset G$  satisfying the equality  $X \cdot Y = G$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.* It would be interesting to generalize Theorem 2 to those groups whose cardinalities are greater than  $\omega_1$ . In this connection, it can be shown that if  $G$  is an uncountable group and  $\text{card}(G)$  is a regular cardinal, then, for each set  $X \subset G$  with  $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(G)$ , there exists a  $G$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y \subset G$  such that  $X \cdot Y = G$  (the argument is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $E$  be a vector space (over  $Q$ ) and let*

$$E = E_1 + E_2 \quad (E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\})$$

*be a representation of  $E$  in the form of the direct sum of two vector subspaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  (over  $Q$  again). Suppose also that a set  $Y \subset E_1$  is  $H_{E_1}$ -absolutely negligible in  $E_1$ . Then the set  $Y + E_2$  turns out to be  $H_E$ -absolutely negligible in  $E$ .*

The proof of this statement is presented in monograph [5].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $E$  be a vector space (over  $Q$ ). Then, for each uncountable set  $X \subset E$ , there exists an  $H_E$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y \subset E$  such that  $X + Y = E$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\text{card}(X) = \omega_1$ . Denote by  $E_1$  the vector subspace of  $E$  (over  $Q$  again) generated by  $X$ . Evidently, we have  $\text{card}(E_1) = \omega_1$ . Let us represent our  $E$  in the form of the direct sum of two vector subspaces:

$$E = E_1 + E_2 \quad (E_1 \cap E_2 = \{0\}).$$

Applying Lemma 2 (see also Remark 1), we can find an  $H_{E_1}$ -absolutely negligible set  $Y_1 \subset E_1$  such that  $X + Y_1 = E_1$ . Let us put

$$Y = Y_1 + E_2.$$

Then, in view of Lemma 3, the set  $Y$  is  $H_E$ -absolutely negligible in  $E$ . Furthermore, we may write

$$X + Y = X + Y_1 + E_2 = E_1 + E_2 = E,$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

From Lemma 4 we easily obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $E$  be a vector space (over  $Q$ ) and let  $\mu$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $E$ . Then, for each uncountable set  $X \in I(\mu)$ , there exist an  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure  $\mu'$  on  $E$  extending  $\mu$  and a set  $Y \in I(\mu')$ , for which we have  $X + Y = E \notin I(\mu')$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be an  $H_E$ -absolutely negligible set in  $E$  such that  $X + Y = E$  (the existence of  $Y$  was established in Lemma 4). The absolute negligibility of  $Y$  implies that there exists an  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  for which  $\mu'(Y) = 0$ . Thus, we see that the measure  $\mu'$  and the set  $Y$  are the required ones.  $\square$

Finally, taking into account Theorem 1, we conclude that the following result is valid.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $E$  be an uncountable vector space (over  $Q$ ) and let  $\mu$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure on  $E$ . Then there exists an  $H_E$ -quasi-invariant measure  $\mu'$  on  $E$  extending  $\mu$  such that, for some sets  $X \in I(\mu')$  and  $Y \in I(\mu')$ , we have  $X + Y \notin \text{dom}(\mu')$ .*

The latter theorem can be regarded as a generalized version of Example 2 for nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite quasi-invariant measures in vector spaces. It would be interesting to extend this theorem to a wider class of uncountable groups equipped with nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite left quasi-invariant (in particular, left invariant) measures.

## REFERENCES

1. B. S. SODNOMOV, An example of two  $G_\delta$ -sets whose arithmetical sum is not Borel measurable. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **99**(1954), 507–510.
2. C. A. ROGERS, A linear Borel set whose difference set is not a Borel set. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **2**(1970), 41–42.
3. W. SIERPIŃSKI, Sur la question de la mesurabilité de la base de M. Hamel. *Fund. Math.* **1**(1920), 105–111.
4. A. B. KHARAZISHVILI, Applications of point set theory in real analysis. *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, 1998.
5. A. B. KHARAZISHVILI, Invariant extensions of Lebesgue measure. (Russian) *Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi*, 1983.
6. J. C. OXTOPY, Measure and category. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1971.

(Received 12.03.2001)

Author's address:

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics  
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
2, University St., Tbilisi 380043  
Georgia