

Research Article

Quasimonotone and Almost Increasing Sequences and Their New Applications

Hüseyin Bor

P.O. Box 121, 06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Hüseyin Bor, hbor33@gmail.com

Received 11 August 2012; Accepted 4 September 2012

Academic Editor: Sung Guen Kim

Copyright © 2012 Hüseyin Bor. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Recently, we have proved a main theorem dealing with the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series by using δ -quasimonotone sequences. In this paper, we prove that result under weaker conditions. A new result has also been obtained.

1. Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). Obviously, every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking an example, say $b_n = ne^{(-1)^n}$. A sequence (d_n) is said to be δ -quasimonotone if $d_n > 0$ ultimately and $\Delta d_n = d_n - d_{n+1} \geq -\delta_n$, where $\delta = (\delta_n)$ is a sequence of positive numbers (see [2]). Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums (s_n) and $w_n = na_n$. By u_n^α and t_n^α , we denote the n th Cesàro means of order α , with $\alpha > -1$, of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n) , respectively, that is,

$$u_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} s_v, \quad (1.1)$$

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!} = O(n^\alpha), \quad A_{-n}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n^\alpha - u_{n-1}^\alpha|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

If we take $\alpha = 1$, then $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let (p_n) be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n \neq 0, \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (1.5)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$V_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} s_v \quad (1.6)$$

defines the sequence (V_n) of the Nörlund mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|N, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [4])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |V_n - V_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

In the special case when

$$p_n = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(n + 1)}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad (1.8)$$

the Nörlund mean reduces to the (C, α) mean and $|N, p_n|_k$ summability becomes $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability. For $p_n = 1$, we get the $(C, 1)$ mean and then $|N, p_n|_k$ summability becomes $|C, 1|_k$ summability. Also, if we take $k = 1$, then we get $|N, p_n|$ summability. For any sequence (λ_n) , we write $\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$. Quite recently, in [5], we have proved the following theorem dealing with the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem A. Let $p_0 > 0$, $p_n \geq 0$, and (p_n) be a nonincreasing sequence. Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence such that $|\Delta X_n| = O(X_n/n)$ and (λ_n) is a sequence such that

$$|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Suppose also that there exists a sequence of numbers (A_n) such that it is δ -quasimonotone with $\sum n\delta_n X_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent, and $|\Delta\lambda_n| \leq |A_n|$ for all n . If the sequence (w_n^α) defined by (see [6])

$$w_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} |t_n^\alpha|, & \alpha = 1, \\ \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^\alpha|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.11}$$

then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

2. The Main Results

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem A under weaker conditions. We will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *If the sequences $(X_n), (A_n),$ and (λ_n) are as in Theorem A and if conditions (1.9) and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}$$

are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (p_n) be as in Theorem A. If the sequences $(X_n), (A_n),$ and (λ_n) are as in Theorem A and if conditions (1.9) and (2.1) are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.*

Remark 2.3. The following sequences satisfy the conditions of the theorems:

$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{n^3}, \quad A_n = \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \lambda_n = \frac{1}{n}, \quad X_n = n^\epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.4. It should be noted that condition (2.1) is the same as condition (1.11) when $k = 1$. When $k > 1$, condition (2.1) is weaker than condition (1.11), but the converse is not true. In fact, if (1.11) is satisfied, then we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O\left(\frac{1}{X_1^{k-1}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n} = O(X_m). \tag{2.3}$$

To show that the converse is false when $k > 1$, the following example is sufficient. We can take $X_n = n^\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$, and then construct a sequence (a_n) such that

$$\frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = X_n - X_{n-1}, \tag{2.4}$$

whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = X_m = m^\epsilon, \tag{2.5}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(w_n^\alpha)^k}{n} &= \sum_{n=1}^m (X_n - X_{n-1}) X_n^{k-1} = \sum_{n=1}^m (n^\epsilon - (n-1)^\epsilon) n^{\epsilon(k-1)} \\ &\geq \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^m (n-1)^{\epsilon-1} n^{\epsilon(k-1)} \\ &= \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^m (n-1)^{\epsilon k-1} \sim \frac{m^{\epsilon k}}{k} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

This is because $v^{\epsilon-1} \geq n^{\epsilon-1}$ for $n-1 \leq v \leq n$.

This shows that, when $k > 1$, (1.11) implies (2.1) but not conversely.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 2.5 (see [7]). *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq v \leq n$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^m A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right|. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.6 (see [8]). *If $-1 < \alpha \leq \beta$, $k > 1$ and the series $\sum a_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, then it is also summable $|C, \beta|_k$.*

Lemma 2.7 (see [9]). *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence such that $n|\Delta X_n| = O(X_n)$.*

If (A_n) is a δ -quasimonotone with $\sum n\delta_n X_n < \infty$, $\sum A_n X_n$ is convergent, then

$$\begin{aligned} nA_n X_n &= O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nX_n |\Delta A_n| &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.8 (see [10]). *Let $p_0 > 0$, $p_n \geq 0$, and (p_n) be a nonincreasing sequence. If the series $\sum a_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k$, then the series $\sum a_n P_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let (T_n^α) be the n th (C, α) , with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, mean of the sequence $(na_n \lambda_n)$. Then, by (1.2), we have

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \lambda_v. \quad (3.1)$$

First applying Abel’s transformation and then using Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n^\alpha| &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \left| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p \right| + \frac{|\lambda_n|}{A_n^\alpha} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\Delta \lambda_v| + |\lambda_n| w_n^\alpha \\ &= T_{n,1}^\alpha + T_{n,2}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Minkowski’s inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |T_{n,r}^\alpha|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2. \tag{3.4}$$

Whenever $k > 1$, we can apply Hölder’s inequality with indices k and k' , where $(1/k) + (1/k') = 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-1} |T_{n,1}^\alpha|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-1} (A_n^\alpha)^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^m A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-1-\alpha k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |A_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |A_v| |A_v|^{k-1} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{2+(\alpha-1)k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |A_v| \frac{1}{(v X_v)^{k-1}} \int_v^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{2+(\alpha-1)k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |A_v| \frac{(w_v^\alpha)^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v |A_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{(w_r^\alpha)^k}{X_r^{k-1}} \\ &\quad + O(1) m |A_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{(w_v^\alpha)^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v |A_v|)| X_v \\ &\quad + O(1) m |A_m| X_m = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta A_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |A_v| X_v \\ &\quad + O(1) m |A_m| X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.7. Again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m n^{-1} \left| T_{n,2}^\alpha \right|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| |\lambda_n|^{k-1} \frac{(\omega_n^\alpha)^k}{n} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \frac{(\omega_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{(\omega_v^\alpha)^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} \\
 &\quad + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(\omega_n^\alpha)^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_n| X_n \\
 &\quad + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |A_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. If we take $\alpha = 1$, then we get a new result dealing with $|C, 1|_k$ summability factors.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need to consider only the special case in which (N, p_n) is (C, α) . Therefore, Theorem 2.2 will then follow by means of Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.6 (for $\beta = 1$), and Lemma 2.8. If we take $\alpha = 1$, then we get a new result for the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series. \square

Acknowledgment

The author wishes his sincerest thanks to the referee for invaluable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, "Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions," *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematičeskogo Obščestva*, vol. 5, pp. 483–522, 1956 (Russian).
- [2] R. P. Boas, "Quasi-positive sequences and trigonometric series," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 14, pp. 38–46, 1965.
- [3] T. M. Flett, "On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 7, pp. 113–141, 1957.
- [4] D. Borwein and F. P. Cass, "Strong Nörlund summability," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 103, pp. 94–111, 1968.
- [5] H. Bor, "A new application of δ -quasi-monotone and almost increasing sequences," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 2899–2902, 2011.
- [6] T. Pati, "The summability factors of infinite series," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 21, pp. 271–284, 1954.
- [7] L. S. Bosanquet, "A mean value theorem," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 16, pp. 146–148, 1941.
- [8] M. R. Mehdi, *Linear transformations between the Banach spaces L^p and l^p with applications to absolute summability* [Ph.D. thesis], University College and Birkbeck College, London, UK, 1959.
- [9] H. Bor, "An application of almost increasing and δ -quasi-monotone sequences," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, article 16, 2002.
- [10] R. S. Varma, "On the absolute Nörlund summability factors," *Rivista di Matematica della Università di Parma*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 27–33, 1977.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

