

DOUGLAS ALGEBRAS WITHOUT MAXIMAL SUBALGEBRA AND WITHOUT MINIMAL SUPERALGEBRA

CARROLL GUILLORY

Received 25 February 2001

We give several examples of Douglas algebras that do not have any maximal subalgebra. We find a condition on these algebras that guarantees that some do not have any minimal superalgebra. We also show that if A is the only maximal subalgebra of a Douglas algebra B , then the algebra A does not have any maximal subalgebra.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{D} denote the open disk in the complex plane and \mathbf{T} the unit circle. By L^∞ we mean the space of essentially bounded measurable functions on \mathbf{T} with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure. We denote by H^∞ the space of all bounded analytic functions in \mathbf{D} . Via identification with boundary functions, H^∞ can be considered as a uniformly closed subalgebra of L^∞ . Any uniformly closed subalgebra B strictly between L^∞ and H^∞ is called a Douglas algebra. $M(B)$ will denote the maximal ideal space of a Douglas algebra B . If we let $X = M(L^\infty)$, we can identify L^∞ with $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous functions on X . If C is the set of all continuous functions on \mathbf{T} , we set

$$H^\infty + C = \{h + g : g \in C, h \in H^\infty\}. \quad (1.1)$$

$H^\infty + C$ then becomes the smallest Douglas algebra containing H^∞ properly. The function

$$q(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \cdot \frac{z - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z} \quad (1.2)$$

is called a Blaschke product if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|)$ converges. The set $\{z_n\}$ is called the zero set of q in \mathbf{D} . Here $|z_n|/z_n = 1$ is understood whenever $z_n = 0$. We call

2 Douglas algebras

q an interpolating Blaschke product if

$$\inf_n \prod_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{z_m - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_m} \right| > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

An interpolating Blaschke product q is called sparse (or thin) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{z_m - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n z_m} \right| = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

The set

$$Z(q) = \{x \in M(H^\infty) \setminus \mathbf{D} : q(x) = 0\} \quad (1.5)$$

is called the zero set of q in $M(H^\infty + C)$. Any function h in H^∞ with $|h| = 1$, almost everywhere on \mathbf{T} , is called an inner function. Since $|q| = 1$ for any Blaschke product, Blaschke products are inner functions. Let

$$QC = (H^\infty + C) \cap \overline{(H^\infty + C)} \quad (1.6)$$

and, for $x \in M(H^\infty + C)$, set

$$Q_x = \{y \in M(L^\infty) : f(x) = f(y) \forall f \in QC\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Q_x is called the QC -level set for x . For $x \in M(H^\infty + C)$, we denote u_x the representing measure for x and its support set by $\text{supp } u_x$. By $H^\infty[\bar{q}]$ we mean the Douglas algebra generated by H^∞ and the complex conjugate of the function q . Since X is a Shilov boundary for every Douglas algebra, a closed set E contained in X is called a peak set for a Douglas algebra B if there is a function in B with $f = 1$ on E and $|f| < 1$ on $X \setminus E$. A closed set E is a weak peak set for B if E is the intersection of a family of peak sets. If the set E is a weak peak set for H^∞ and we define

$$H_E^\infty = \{f \in L^\infty : f|_E \in H^\infty|_E\}, \quad (1.8)$$

then H_E^∞ is a Douglas algebra. For a Douglas algebra B , B_E is similarly defined. A closed set E contained in X is called the essential set for B , denoted $\text{ess}(B)$, if E is the smallest set in X with the property that for f in L^∞ with $f = 0$ on E , then f is in B .

For an interpolating Blaschke product q , we put $N(\bar{q})$ the closure of

$$\bigcup \{ \text{supp } u_x : x \in M(H^\infty + C), |q(x)| < 1 \}. \quad (1.9)$$

$N(\bar{q})$ is a weak peak set for H^∞ and is referred to as the nonanalytic points of q . By $N_0(\bar{q})$ we denote the closure of

$$\bigcup \{ \text{supp } u_x : x \in Z(q) \}. \quad (1.10)$$

For an $x \in M(H^\infty)$ we let

$$E_x = \{y \in M(H^\infty) : \text{supp } u_y = \text{supp } u_x\} \quad (1.11)$$

and call E_x the level set of x . Since the sets $\text{supp } u_x$ and $N(\bar{q})$ are weak peak sets for H^∞ , both $H_{\text{supp } u_x}^\infty$ and $H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$ are Douglas algebras. For any interpolating Blaschke product q we set

$$A = \bigcap_{x \in M(H^\infty + C) \setminus M(H^\infty[\bar{q}])} H_{\text{supp } u_x}^\infty, \quad A_0 = \bigcap_{y \in Z(q)} H_{\text{supp } u_y}^\infty. \quad (1.12)$$

It is easy to see that $A \subseteq A_0$ and it was shown, in [7], that $A = H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$. For x and y in $M(H^\infty)$, the pseudo-hyperbolic distance is defined by

$$\rho(x, y) = \sup \{|h(x)| : |h| \leq 1, h \in H^\infty, h(y) = 0\}. \quad (1.13)$$

For any $x \in M(H^\infty)$, we define the Gleason part of x by

$$P_x = \{y \in M(H^\infty) : \rho(x, y) < 1\}. \quad (1.14)$$

If $P_x \neq \{x\}$, then x is said to be a nontrivial point. We denote by G the set of nontrivial points of $M(H^\infty + C)$, and for a Douglas algebra B , we set

$$G_B = G \cap (M(H^\infty + C) \setminus M(B)). \quad (1.15)$$

A point x in G_B is called a minimal support point of G_B (or simply a minimal support point of B) if there is no $y \in G_B$ such that $\text{supp } u_y \subseteq \text{supp } u_x$. The set $\text{supp } u_x$ is called a minimal support set for B . For Douglas algebras B and B_0 with $B_0 \subseteq B$ we let $\Omega(B, B_0)$ be all interpolating Blaschke products q such that $\bar{q} \in B$ but $\bar{q} \notin B_0$.

We denote by $\Omega(B)$ the set of all interpolating Blaschke products q with $\bar{q} \in B$. Let B be a Douglas algebra. The Bourgain algebra B_b of B relative to L^∞ is the set of those elements of L^∞ , f , such that $\|ff_n + B\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ for every sequence $\{f_n\}$ in B with $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. The minimal envelop B_m of a Douglas algebra B is defined to be the smallest Douglas algebra which contains all minimal superalgebras of B . An algebra A is called a minimal superalgebra of B if, for all $x, y \in M(B) \setminus M(A)$, $x \neq y$ implies $\text{supp } u_x = \text{supp } u_y$.

2. When two Douglas algebras have identical essential sets

Consider the Douglas algebras A and A_0 defined above. In [7], some conditions were given when $A \subseteq A_0$, but yet $\text{ess}(A) = \text{ess}(A_0)$. This happened because $\text{ess}(A) = N(\bar{q})$ and $\text{ess}(A_0) = N_0(\bar{q})$ (this is not hard to show). Theorem 1 of [7] gives conditions when $\text{ess}(A) \neq \text{ess}(A_0)$. The conditions found in [7, Theorem 5] are far more complicated than those found in [Theorem 2.3](#) below. Yet $\text{ess}(A) = \text{ess}(A_0)$ in that theorem [7, Theorem 5] and also satisfies the condition in [Theorem 2.3](#). Before we state this theorem we need the following lemmas.

4 Douglas algebras

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be any Douglas algebra and q an interpolating Blaschke product with $\bar{q} \notin A$. Set $B = A[\bar{q}]$ and let $x \in M(A) \setminus M(B)$ whose support set is not trivial. Then $B_{\text{supp}u_x} = A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{b}]$.*

Proof. Since $A \subset B$, we have that $A_{\text{supp}u_x} \subset B_{\text{supp}u_x}$. Thus $M(B_{\text{supp}u_x}) \subset M(A_{\text{supp}u_x})$. By the Chang Marshall theorem [1, 11], it suffices to show that $M(B_{\text{supp}u_x}) = M(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{b}])$. Let $y \in M(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$. Then $y \in M(A_{\text{supp}u_x})$ and $|q(y)| = 1$, since $M(B) = \{y \in M(A) : |q(y)| = 1\}$. Hence, $y \in M(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}])$.

Now suppose $y \notin M(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$. If $y \notin M(A_{\text{supp}u_x})$, then $y \notin A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{b}]$ and we have nothing to prove. We assume that $y \in M(A_{\text{supp}u_x})$. Since $y \notin M(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$ implies that $|q(y)| < 1$ and $y \in M(A_{\text{supp}u_x})$. Hence $y \notin M(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}])$. Thus $M(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}]) \subset M(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$. We have that $M(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}]) = M(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$. By the Chang-Marshall theorem, $A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}] = B_{\text{supp}u_x}$. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let q be an interpolating Blaschke product and $x \in M(H^\infty + C)$ such that $|q(x)| < 1$, and $\text{supp}u_x$ is nontrivial. Put*

$$E = \cup \{ \text{supp}u_y : \text{supp}u_y \subset \text{supp}u_x, |q(y)| = 1 \}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then E is a dense subset of $\text{supp}u_x$.

Proof. To prove this, let $B_1 = H^\infty_{\text{supp}u_x}$. Assume that \bar{E} , the closure of E , is properly contained in $\text{supp}u_x$. Put

$$B_2 = \overline{H^\infty_E} = \overline{\{f \in L^\infty : f|_E \in H^\infty|_E\}}. \quad (2.2)$$

By [2, page 39], $M(B_2) = \{m \in M(H^\infty + C) : \text{supp}u_m \subseteq \bar{E}\} \cup M(L^\infty)$. Since $\bar{E} \subseteq \text{supp}u_x$, we have that $B_1 \subseteq B_2$. Therefore, $M(B_2) \subseteq M(B_1)$ and so there is a nontrivial point $x_0 \in M(B_1) \setminus M(B_2)$ [4, Proposition 4.1] such that (a) $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \subseteq \text{supp}u_x$, (b) $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \not\subseteq \bar{E}$ (otherwise $x_0 \in M(B_2)$), (c) $|q(x_0)| < 1$, and (d) $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \cap E = \emptyset$.

By [6, Theorem 2], there is a $z_0 \in Z(q)$ such that $\text{supp}u_{z_0}$ is a minimal support set for $H^\infty[\bar{q}]$ that is contained in $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \subseteq \text{supp}u_x$. Since q is an interpolating Blaschke product, $\text{supp}u_{z_0}$ is not trivial. By [4, Theorem 4.2], there is an $m \in M(H^\infty + C)$ so that $\text{supp}u_m$ is nontrivial and $\text{supp}u_m \subseteq \text{supp}u_{z_0} \subseteq \text{supp}u_{x_0}$. Since $\text{supp}u_{x_0}$ is a minimal support set for $H^\infty[\bar{q}]$, we have that $|q(m)| = 1$. Thus $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \cap E \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts (d). So $\bar{E} = \text{supp}u_x$. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let B_0 be a subalgebra of a Douglas algebra B with*

$$\text{ess}(B_0) \neq \mathbf{X}. \quad (2.3)$$

If for every $x \in M(B_0) \setminus M(B)$ we have $\text{ess}(H^\infty_{\text{supp}u_x}) = \text{ess}(B_{\text{supp}u_x})$, then $\text{ess}(B) = \text{ess}(B_0)$.

Proof. We note that $\text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty) = \text{supp}u_x$. Hence if $\text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty)$ is contained in $\text{ess}(B)$ for every $x \in M(B_0) \setminus M(B)$, then $\text{supp}u_x \subset \text{ess}(B)$ for every $y \in M(B_0)$ and so $\text{ess}(B_0) \subset \text{ess}(B)$. Since $B_0 \subset B$, we have that $\text{ess}(B) \subset \text{ess}(B_0)$, and we get $\text{ess}(B) = \text{ess}(B_0)$. \square

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let B_0 be a maximal subalgebra of a Douglas algebra B . Then $\text{ess}(B_0) = \text{ess}(B)$.*

Proof. Since $M(B_0) = M(B) \cup E_x$ for some $x \in M(B_0) \setminus M(B)$, we have that if z and y are in $x \in M(B_0) \setminus M(B)$, then $\text{supp}u_y = \text{supp}u_x = \text{supp}u_z$. Now $\text{ess}(B_{\text{supp}u_x}) = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty)$ since the set

$$\bigcup \{ \text{supp}u_y : y \in M(B) \cap M(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty) \} \tag{2.4}$$

is dense in $\text{supp}u_x$ (because x is a minimal point of B). Thus $\text{ess}(B) = \text{ess}(B_0)$. \square

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let A be a Douglas algebra and q an interpolating Blaschke product with $\bar{q} \notin A$. Then $\text{ess}(A) = \text{ess}(A[\bar{q}])$.*

Proof. We have that $M(A) = \{x \in M(H^\infty + C) : A_{\text{supp}u_x} = H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty\}$. By [Lemma 2.1](#), we have

$$\text{ess}(A[\bar{q}]_{\text{supp}u_x}) = \text{ess}(A_{\text{supp}u_x}[\bar{q}]) = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty). \tag{2.5}$$

But by [Lemma 2.2](#), we have that

$$\text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty[\bar{q}]) = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty). \tag{2.6}$$

Hence

$$\text{ess}(A[\bar{q}]_{\text{supp}u_x}) = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty) = \text{supp}u_x \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in M(A) \setminus M(A[\bar{q}])$. By [Theorem 2.3](#) the corollary follows. \square

We mention here that [Corollary 2.5](#) was proved in [13, Theorem 2] by another method.

There are algebras B_0 and B that satisfy the hypothesis of [Theorem 2.3](#) and are not of the form $B = B_0[\bar{q}]$ for any interpolating Blaschke product (if $B_0 \subseteq B$). To see this, let Γ be the collection of sparse Blaschke products and B the Douglas algebra $[H^\infty : \bar{q}; q \in \Gamma]$. Let q_0 be an element in Γ and put $B_0 = H^\infty[\bar{q}]$. Then $B_0 \subset B$. By a theorem of Hedenmalm [9], we have that if b is a Blaschke product such that $\bar{b} \in B$, then $b = b_1 \cdots b_n$, where each b_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a sparse Blaschke product. Hence if $x \in M(B_0) \setminus M(B)$, then x is the zero of some sparse Blaschke product. So $\text{ess}(B_{\text{supp}u_x}) = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty)$.

6 Douglas algebras

So, by [Theorem 2.3](#), we have $\text{ess}(B) = \text{ess}(B_0)$. ([Theorem 3.1](#) below shows that $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$ is a maximal subalgebra of $B_{\text{supp}u_x}$.) Now suppose there is a Blaschke product $q \in \Omega(B, B_0)$ with $B = B_0[\bar{q}]$. Again by Hedenmalm's theorem, we have $q = q_1 \cdots q_n$ with each q_i a sparse Blaschke product. Let Q be an infinite sparse Blaschke product such that $|Q| = 1$ on $\cup_{x \in Z(q)} P_x$. Then, there is an $m \in M(H^\infty + C)$ such that $Q(m) = 0$ but $m \notin \cup_{x \in Z(q)} P_x$. Thus $|q(m)| = 1$ and so we get that $m \in M(B_0[\bar{q}])$. Thus $\bar{Q} \notin B_0[\bar{q}]$ and yet $\bar{Q} \in B$. This implies that $B_0[\bar{q}] \subseteq B$, which is a contradiction

3. Maximal subalgebras that have no maximal subalgebras

We begin by extending [[5](#), Proposition 1]. There, the authors showed that if $x \in Z(q)$ with q a sparse Blaschke product, then the algebra $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$ is a maximal subalgebra of $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty[\bar{q}]$. Below we show that this is true for a larger class.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A be any Douglas algebra with maximal subalgebra and x a minimal support point of G_A . Then $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$ is a maximal subalgebra of $A_{\text{supp}u_x}$ and $A_{\text{supp}u_x} = H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty[\bar{q}]$ for some $q \in \Omega(A)$.*

Proof. Let $B_0 = H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$ and $B = A_{\text{supp}u_x}$. Suppose x is a minimal support set for G_A . Then we have, for any interpolating Blaschke product $\psi \in \Omega(A)$ with $|\psi(x)| < 1$ and any $y \in M(H^\infty + C)$ with $\text{supp}u_y \subseteq \text{supp}u_x$, $|\psi(y)| = 1$. Thus if $\psi_0 \in \Omega(B)$ and $|\psi_0(x)| < 1$, there is a $\psi \in \Omega(A)$ such that $\psi|_{\text{supp}u_x} = \psi_0|_{\text{supp}u_x}$. This implies that $|\psi_0(y)| = 1$ for every such y . Hence x is a minimal support point for G_B . Note that this implies that $M(B) = M(B_0) \setminus E_x$, where each E_x is a level set for x . Hence $M(B_0) = M(B) \cup E_x$, so by [[6](#), Theorem 1], B_0 is a maximal subalgebra of B . Let q be any element in $\Omega(A)$ with $q(x) = 0$. Then $q \in \Omega(B, B_0)$, and we have that $B = B_0[\bar{q}]$. \square

We will need the following lemmas in the proof of [Theorem 3.4](#) below.

LEMMA 3.2. *For distinct points x_1 and x_2 in G_A , there is an interpolating Blaschke product b such that $\bar{b} \in A$ and $b(x_1) = b(x_2) = 0$.*

Proof. Let b_1 and b_2 be interpolating Blaschke products with $b_1(x_1) = b_2(x_2) = 0$. Take two open subsets V_1 and V_2 of $M(H^\infty)$ such that $x_i \in \bar{V}_i$, $V_i \cap M(A) = \emptyset$, and $\bar{V}_1 \cap \bar{V}_2 = \emptyset$, where \bar{V}_i is the closure of V_i in $M(H^\infty)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let ψ_i be a subproduct of b_i whose zeros are zeros of b_i in V_i . Then it is not hard to see that $b = \psi_1 \psi_2$ is the desired function. \square

To prove [Lemma 3.3](#), we assume that $\text{supp}u_x$ is not a one point set for every $x \in G_A$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let x and y be distinct points in G_A with $\text{supp} u_y \not\subseteq \text{supp} u_x$. Then there is an interpolating Blaschke product b such that $b \in A$, $|b(x)| = 1$, and $b(y) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, there is an interpolating Blaschke product ψ with zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\bar{\psi} \in A$ and $\psi(x) = \psi(y) = 0$. Since $\text{supp} u_y \not\subseteq \text{supp} u_x$, there is an open and closed subset U of $M(L^\infty)$ such that $\text{supp} u_x \subset U$, $\text{supp} u_y \not\subseteq U$, and $\text{supp} u_y \not\subseteq M(L^\infty) \setminus U$. For the characteristic function χ_U on $M(L^\infty)$, put $\hat{\chi}_U(\lambda) = \int_X \chi_U du_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in M(H^\infty)$. Then $\hat{\chi}_U$ is a continuous function on $M(H^\infty)$, $\hat{\chi}_U(y) < 1$ [9, page 93]. Let

$$\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \left\{ z_p : \hat{\chi}_U(z_p) < \frac{1 + \hat{\chi}_U(y)}{2} \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

and let b be an interpolating Blaschke product with zeros $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then $\bar{b} \in A$. Since $z(\psi)$ coincides with the closure of $\{z_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ in $M(H^\infty)$ [10, page 205], y is contained in the closure of $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Hence $b(y) = 0$. To prove $|b(x)| = 1$, suppose $|b(x)| < 1$ and $b(m) = 0$. Then we have $\hat{\chi}_U(m) = 1$. Since $b(m) = 0$, m is contained in the closure of $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, so that $\hat{\chi}_U(m) \leq (1 + \hat{\chi}_U(y))/2 < 1$. This is a contradiction. So $|b(x)| = 1$. The lemma follows. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *A Douglas algebra A has no maximal subalgebra if and only if $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$ is not a maximal subalgebra of $A_{\text{supp} u_x}$ for every $x \in G_A$.*

Proof. Suppose A has no maximal subalgebra and let $x \in G_A$. Since x is not a minimal support point of G_A , there is a $y \in G_A$ with $\text{supp} u_y \subseteq \text{supp} u_x$, and a $\psi \in \Omega$ such that $|\psi(y)| < 1$. Since $\bar{\psi} \notin H_{\text{supp} u_y}^\infty$, we can assume that $\psi(y) = 0$. Hence $y \notin M(A_{\text{supp} u_x})$. By Lemma 3.3, there is a $\psi_0 \in \Omega(A_{\text{supp} u_x})$ such that $|\psi_0(y)| = 1$ and $\psi_0(x) = 0$. Then we have $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty \subseteq H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty[\psi_0] \subseteq A_{\text{supp} u_x}$. So $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$ is not a maximal subalgebra of $A_{\text{supp} u_x}$.

Suppose that for all $x \in G_A$, $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$ is not a maximal subalgebra of $A_{\text{supp} u_x}$. Then there is an algebra B with $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty \subseteq B \subseteq A_{\text{supp} u_x}$. Thus we can find a $y \in M(H^\infty + C)$ such that $\text{supp} u_y \subseteq \text{supp} u_x$ and $y \in M(B) \setminus M(A_{\text{supp} u_x})$. This implies that there is an interpolating Blaschke product q with $\bar{q} \in B \subset A_{\text{supp} u_x}$ such that $|q(y)| = 1$ and $|q(x)| < 1$. Hence there is a $q_0 \in \Omega(A)$ with $q_0|_{\text{supp} u_x} = q|_{\text{supp} u_x}$. So $|q_0(y)| = 1$ and $|q_0(x)| < 1$. This implies that x is not a minimal support point of G_A for every $x \in G_A$. So by [6, Theorem 1], A has no maximal subalgebra. \square

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $x \in M(H^\infty) \setminus M(L^\infty)$. Then $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$ has no maximal subalgebra.*

Proof. Now $\text{ess}(H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty) = \text{supp} u_x$. Hence if $y \in G_{H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty}$, then $\text{supp} u_y \cap \text{supp} u_x = \emptyset$. Hence if A is a subalgebra of $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$, there is a $y \in$

8 Douglas algebras

$M(A) \setminus M(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty)$ with $\text{supp}u_y \cap \text{supp}u_x = \emptyset$ or $\text{supp}u_x \subset \text{supp}u_y$. Hence $\text{ess}(A) \supseteq \text{supp}u_y \cup \text{supp}u_x \supseteq \text{supp}u_x = \text{ess}(H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty)$. By [Corollary 2.4](#), A is not a maximal subalgebra of $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let A be a Douglas algebra that has only one maximal subalgebra A_0 . Then A_0 has no maximal subalgebra.*

Proof. Suppose there is a subalgebra $B_0 \subseteq A_0$ such that B_0 is a maximal subalgebra of A_0 . Then, by [[5](#), Theorem 1], there is an $x_0 \in G_{A_0}$ such that

$$M(B_0) = M(A_0) \cup E_{x_0}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since A_0 is a maximal subalgebra of A , there is an $x \in G_A \cap M(B_0)$ such that

$$M(A_0) = M(A) \cup E_x. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), we have that $M(B_0) = M(A) \cup E_x \cup E_{x_0}$. Since $x \in M(A_0)$, we have that $\text{supp}u_x \neq \text{supp}u_{x_0}$. Also since $x_0 \notin E_x$ and $x_0 \in G_{A_0}$, we have that $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \not\subset \text{supp}u_x$ (otherwise $x_0 \in M(A)$ by (3.3)). We show that x_0 is a minimal support point of G_A , and hence get a contradiction. Let $y \in M(H^\infty + C)$ such that $y \in M(A_0) = M(A) \cup E_x$. If $y \in M(A)$, then we are done. So we can assume that $y \in E_x$. If $y \in E_x$, then $\text{supp}u_y = \text{supp}u_x$, so we have that $\text{supp}u_x \subseteq \text{supp}u_{x_0}$. Since $x \notin M(A)$, there is an interpolating Blaschke product q with $\bar{q} \in A$ and such that $q(x) = 0$. By [[7](#), Theorem 2], there is an uncountable set U of $Z(q)$ such that (a) $\text{supp}u_m \subseteq \text{supp}u_{x_0}$ for all $m \in U$ and (b) $\text{supp}u_m \cap \text{supp}u_k$ for all $m, k \in U$, $m \neq k$. By (3.2), each such $m \in U$ is in $M(A_0)$. Since for all $m \in U$ (except if $m = x$) we have $\text{supp}u_x \cap \text{supp}u_m = \emptyset$, hence by (3.3), $m \in M(A)$. But $\bar{q} \in A$ and $U \subset Z(q) \cap M(A)$. This is a contradiction, and we get $y \notin E_x$. So $y \in M(A)$ and since $\text{supp}u_{x_0} \neq \text{supp}u_x$, we have that x_0 is a minimal support point of G_A . This is a contradiction. So A_0 has no maximal subalgebra. \square

Note that [Proposition 3.5](#) follows from [Proposition 3.6](#) if x is a minimal support point for some interpolating Blaschke product.

Let q be an interpolating Blaschke product. We consider the algebra $H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$. Certainly $H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$ is not known to be a maximal subalgebra of any Douglas algebra, but it does have some of the same properties of $H_{\text{supp}u_x}^\infty$. For example, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *The algebra $H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$ has no maximal subalgebra.*

Proof. Set $B = H_{N(\bar{q})}^\infty$. Let $x \in G_B$ and suppose x is a minimal support point for G_B . Then if $y \in M(H^\infty + C)$ such that $\text{supp}u_y \subseteq \text{supp}u_x$, we have that $y \in M(B)$. By [[2](#), page 39], we must have that $\text{supp}u_y \subseteq N(\bar{q}) = \text{ess}(B)$. Thus

we have that $\text{ess}(B) \cap \text{supp} u_x = N(\bar{q}) \cap \text{supp} u_x \neq \emptyset$. By [10, Theorem 1], $N(\bar{q}) = \bigcup_{x \in Z(q)} Q_x$. So there is an $x_0 \in Z(q)$ such that $\text{supp} u_x \cap Q_{x_0} \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of Q_{x_0} , we have that $\text{supp} u_x \subset Q_{x_0}$. By [2, page 39], this implies that $x \in M(B)$, which is a contradiction. So if $x \in G_B$, then $\text{supp} u_x \cap N(\bar{q}) = \emptyset$, which implies that x is not a minimal support point for G_B . B has no maximal subalgebra. \square

4. Minimal superalgebras of $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$

We will compute the Bourgain algebras and the minimal envelopes of the Douglas algebra $H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$ for any $x \in M(H^\infty + C)$. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $x \in M(H^\infty + C) \setminus M(L^\infty)$ such that $|q(x)| < 1$ for some interpolating Blaschke product q , and set $B = H_{\text{supp} u_x}^\infty$. Then*

(i) *Either $B_b = B$ or $B_b = B[\bar{\psi}]$ for some interpolating Blaschke product ψ .*

(ii) *Either $B_m = B_b = B$ or $B_m = B[\bar{\psi}]$ for some interpolating Blaschke product ψ .*

Proof. We will use [3, Theorem 2] which says that for any interpolating Blaschke product ψ with $\bar{\psi} \in B_b$, we have $Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$ is a finite set and the fact that

$$M(B) = M(L^\infty) \cup \{m \in M(H^\infty) : \text{supp} u_m \subseteq \text{supp} u_x\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We claim that if ψ is an interpolating Blaschke product such that $\bar{\psi} \in B_b$, then $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) \subset E_x$, the level set of x . Suppose not, then there is an $x_0 \in Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$ such that $\text{supp} u_{x_0} \subseteq \text{supp} u_x$. By [7, Theorem 2], there is an uncountable set Γ of $Z(\psi)$ such that (a) $\text{supp} u_\gamma \subseteq \text{supp} u_x$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and (b) $\text{supp} u_m \cap \text{supp} u_\gamma = \emptyset$ for all $m, \gamma \in \Gamma, m \neq \gamma$. By (a) and (4.1), each $\gamma \in M(B)$ and so $\Gamma \subset Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$. This implies that the set $Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$ is infinite. This is a contradiction. Hence if $x_0 \in Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$, then $\text{supp} u_{x_0} = \text{supp} u_x$, so we get $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) \subset E_x$. There are two possibilities. (1) The set $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) = \emptyset$ for which $\psi \in B$, so $B_b \subseteq B$. This gives the case when $B_b = B$.

(2) If $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) \neq \emptyset$ but is finite. Then the algebra $B[\bar{\psi}] \subseteq B_b$. To show that $B_b = B[\bar{\psi}]$, let ψ_0 be another interpolating Blaschke product with $\bar{\psi}_0 \in B_b$. Since both sets $Z(\psi_0) \cap M(B)$ and $Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$ are contained in E_x , we have that $M(B) \setminus M(B[\bar{\psi}_0]) = M(B) \setminus M(B[\bar{\psi}]) = E_x$. Thus $M(B[\bar{\psi}_0]) = M(B[\bar{\psi}])$, and by the Chang-Marshall theorem [1, 11] we have $B[\bar{\psi}_0] = B[\bar{\psi}]$. Since this is true for all ψ, ψ_0 , we have by [8, Theorem C], $B_b = B[\bar{\psi}]$ for any such ψ ($B[\bar{\psi}]$ is a minimal superalgebra of B). This proves (i).

To prove (ii) let $\bar{\psi} \in B_m$. Then by [8, Theorem 3], there is a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset Z(\psi) \cap M(B)$ such that $\{u \in M(B) : |\psi(u)| < 1\} = E_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup E_{x_n}$. Again we claim that $E_{x_1} = E_{x_2} = \dots = E_{x_n} = E_x$. Suppose that $E_{x_1} \neq$

E_{x_2} . Then $\text{supp } u_{x_1} \neq \text{supp } u_{x_2}$. By (4.1) either $\text{supp } u_{x_1} \subseteq \text{supp } u_x$ or $\text{supp } u_{x_2} \subseteq \text{supp } u_x$ or both. Suppose that $\text{supp } u_{x_1} \subseteq \text{supp } u_x$. Then by [7, Theorem 2], there is an uncountable set Γ such that $E_\alpha \neq E_\beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $\cup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E_\alpha \subset \{u \in M(B) : |\psi(u)| < 1\}$. This contradicts [8, Theorem 3]. Thus $E_{x_1} = E_{x_2} = \dots = E_{x_n} = E_x$. As before we have that for $\bar{\psi} \in B_m$, $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) \subset E_x$, and $B_m = B[\bar{\psi}]$ if $Z(\psi) \cap M(B) \neq \emptyset$. This proves (ii). \square

COROLLARY 4.2. (i) *Let $x \in M(H^\infty + C) \setminus M(L^\infty)$ and $B = H^\infty_{\text{supp } u_x}$. Then $B \subset B_m$ if and only if x is a minimal support point of $H^\infty[\bar{\psi}]$ for some interpolating Blaschke product ψ .*

(ii) *$B = B_b = B_m$ if and only if x is not a minimal support point of $H^\infty[\bar{\psi}]$ for any interpolating Blaschke product.*

Theorem 4.1(i) has also appeared in [12].

Acknowledgements

Research at MSRI is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9022140. A major part of this paper was done while the author was at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute. The author thanks the Institute for its support and also thanks Pamela Gorkin for many valuable discussions.

References

- [1] S. Y. A. Chang, *A characterization of Douglas subalgebras*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), no. 2, 82–89. [MR 55#1074a](#). [Zbl 332.46035](#).
- [2] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1969. [MR 53#14137](#). [Zbl 213.40401](#).
- [3] P. Gorkin, K. Izuchi, and R. Mortini, *Bourgain algebras of Douglas algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), no. 4, 797–804. [MR 94c:46104](#). [Zbl 763.46046](#).
- [4] P. Gorkin and R. Mortini, *Interpolating Blaschke products and factorization in Douglas algebras*, Michigan Math. J. **38** (1991), no. 1, 147–160. [MR 92b:46083](#). [Zbl 781.46037](#).
- [5] C. J. Guillory and K. Izuchi, *Maximal Douglas subalgebras and minimal support points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), no. 2, 477–481. [MR 92m:46075](#). [Zbl 760.46046](#).
- [6] ———, *Interpolating Blaschke products and nonanalytic sets*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **23** (1993), no. 3-4, 163–175. [MR 95c:46076](#). [Zbl 795.30031](#).
- [7] ———, *Minimal envelopes of Douglas algebras and Bourgain algebras*, Houston J. Math. **19** (1993), no. 2, 201–222. [MR 94i:46067](#). [Zbl 816.46048](#).
- [8] H. Hedenmalm, *Thin interpolating sequences and three algebras of bounded functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), no. 3, 489–495. [MR 88c:46065](#). [Zbl 617.46059](#).
- [9] K. Hoffman, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 74–111. [MR 35#5945](#). [Zbl 192.48302](#).

- [10] K. Izuchi, *QC-level sets and quotients of Douglas algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **65** (1986), no. 3, 293–308. [MR 87f:46093](#). [Zbl 579.46037](#).
- [11] D. E. Marshall, *Subalgebras of L^∞ containing H^∞* , Acta Math. **137** (1976), no. 2, 91–98. [MR 55#1074b](#). [Zbl 334.46061](#).
- [12] R. Mortini and R. Younis, *Douglas algebras which are invariant under the Bourgain map*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), no. 4, 371–378. [MR 94c:46105](#). [Zbl 760.46050](#).
- [13] R. Younis, *Best approximation in certain Douglas algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), no. 4, 639–642. [MR 81j:46031](#). [Zbl 444.30040](#).

CARROLL GUILLORY: UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE, LAFAYETTE, LA 70504,
USA