

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO SEQUENCES OF SELF-MAPPINGS

TAKESHI TANIGUCHI

Department of Mathematics
Kerume University
Miimachi, Kurume, Fukuoka, Japan

(Received September 7, 1988 and in revised form April 19, 1989)

ABSTRACT. In this paper a common fixed point theorem for two sequences of self-mappings from a complete metric space M to M is proved. Our theorem is a generalization of Hadzic's fixed point theorem[1].

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. A common fixed point, self-mappings and complete metric spaces.

1980 AMS(MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Banach's fixed point theorem has been generalized by many authors. Among such investigations there are several, interesting and important studies[2]. Particularly, K. Iseki[3] proved a fixed point theorem of a sequence of self-mappings from a complete metric space M to M . We are interested in fixed point theorems of a sequence of self-mappings since they pertain to the problem of finding an equilibrium point of a difference equation $x_{n+1} = f(n, x_n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Recently O. Hadzic proved the existence of a common fixed point for the sequence of self-mappings $\{A_j\}(j = 1, 2, \dots)$, S and T where A_j commutes with S and T . His result is as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, $S, T: M \rightarrow M$ be continuous, $A_j: M \rightarrow SM \cap TM$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) so that A_j commutes with S and T and for every i, j ($i = j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots$) and every $x, y \in M$:

$$d(A_i x, A_j y) \leq qd(Sx, Ty), \quad 0 < q < 1 \quad (1.1)$$

Using Theorem 1, he gave a generalization of Gohde's fixed point theorem and extended Krasnoseliski's fixed point theorem.

In this paper we shall present a generalization of Hadzic's fixed point theorem.

2. MAIN THEOREMS.

Let N denote the set of all positive integers. In this section we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM A. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and let $\{A_p\}, \{B_q\} (p, q = 1, 2, \dots)$, be two sequences of mappings from M to M .

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied; for all $m, n \in N$ and all $x, y \in M$,

(a) there exists a constant k ($0 < k < 1$) such that

$$d(A_{2n-1}x, A_{2n}y) \leq kd(B_{2n-1}x, B_{2n}y),$$

$$d(A_{2n}x, A_{2m+1}y) \leq kd(B_{2n}x, B_{2m+1}y), \text{ for all } m \geq n \geq 1,$$

(b) $A_{2n}B_{2m} = B_{2m}A_{2n}$ and $A_{2n-1}B_{2m-1} = B_{2m-1}A_{2n-1}$,

(c) $B_{2n}B_{2m} = B_{2m}B_{2n}$ and $B_{2m-1}B_{2n-1} = B_{2n-1}B_{2m-1}$,

(d) $A_{2n-1}(M) \subset B_{2n}(M)$ and $A_{2n}(M) \subset B_{2n+1}(M)$.

If each $B_q (q = 1, 2, \dots)$ is continuous, then there exists a unique fixed point for two sequences $\{A_p\}$ and $\{B_q\} (p, q = 1, 2, \dots)$.

PROOF. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in M . By condition (d) there exists a point $x_1 \in M$ such that $A_1x_0 = B_2x_1$. Next we choose a point $x_2 \in M$ such that $A_2x_1 = B_3x_2$. Inductively, we can define by condition (d), the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$A_{2n-1}x_{2n-2} = B_{2n}x_{2n-1} \text{ and } A_{2n}x_{2n-1} = B_{2n+1}x_{2n}, \quad n \in N. \quad (2.1)$$

First of all we shall show that $\{B_n x_{n-1}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By (2.1) and condition (a), we obtain that for all $n \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} d(B_{2n-1}x_{2n-2}, B_{2n}x_{2n-1}) &= d(A_{2n-2}x_{2n-3}, A_{2n-1}x_{2n-2}) \\ &\leq kd(B_{2n-2}x_{2n-3}, B_{2n-1}x_{2n-2}) = kd(A_{2n-3}x_{2n-4}, A_{2n-2}x_{2n-3}) \\ &\leq k^2d(B_{2n-3}x_{2n-4}, B_{2n-2}x_{2n-3}) \leq \dots \leq k^{2n-2}d(B_1x_0, B_2x_1) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} d(B_{2n}x_{2n-1}, B_{2n+1}x_{2n}) &= d(A_{2n-1}x_{2n-2}, A_{2n}x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq kd(B_{2n-1}x_{2n-2}, B_{2n}x_{2n-1}) \leq \dots \leq k^{2n-1}d(B_1x_0, B_2x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < k < 1$, this implies that the sequence $\{B_n x_{n-1}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus $\{B_n x_{n-1}\}$ converges to some point v in M because M is complete. Now since each $B_q (q \in N)$ is continuous, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2m}v &= B_{2m}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{2n+1}x_{2n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_{2m}B_{2n+1}x_{2n}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_{2m}A_{2n}x_{2n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_{2n}B_{2m}x_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly that $B_{2m+1}v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_{2n+1}B_{2m+1}x_{2n})$ and $B_{2m-1}v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_{2n-1}B_{2m-1}x_{2n-2})$. Hence by condition (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(B_{2m}v, B_{2m+1}v) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(A_{2n}B_{2m}x_{2n-1}, A_{2n+1}B_{2m+1}x_{2n}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} kd(B_{2n}B_{2m}x_{2n-1}, B_{2n+1}B_{2m+1}x_{2n}) \\ &= kd(B_{2m}v, B_{2m+1}v) \end{aligned}$$

and $d(B_{2m}v, B_{2m-1}v) \leq kd(B_{2m}v, B_{2m-1}v)$ ($m \in N$) in like manner, which implies that $B_m v = B_{m+1}v$ for all $m \geq 1$. Next we shall show that $A_n v = B_n v$ for all $n \leq 1$. By (2.1), conditions (b) and (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(B_{2n+1}B_{2m+2}x_{2m+1}, A_{2n}v) &= d(A_{2m+1}B_{2n+1}x_{2m}, A_{2n}v) \\ &\leq kd(B_{2m+1}B_{2n+1}x_{2m}, B_{2n}v) \\ &= kd(B_{2n+1}B_{2m+1}x_{2m}, B_{2n}v) \end{aligned}$$

Thus letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $d(B_{2n+1}v, A_{2n}v) \leq kd(B_{2n+1}v, B_{2n}v)$ from which it follows that $A_{2n}v = B_{2n+1}v$ for all $n \geq 1$. And since

$$d(A_{2n-1}v, A_{2n}v) \leq kd(B_{2n-1}v, B_{2n}v) \text{ and } d(A_{2n+1}v, A_{2n}v) \leq kd(B_{2n+1}v, B_{2n}v),$$

we obtain that $A_n v = A_{n+1}v = B_{n+1}v = B_n v$ for all $n \in N$. Furthermore, for all $n \in N$, we obtain

$$d(A_{2n}v, A_{2n-1}A_{2n+1}v) \leq kd(B_{2n}v, B_{2n-1}A_{2n+1}v) = kd(A_{2n}v, A_{2n-1}A_{2n+1}v)$$

$$\text{and } d(A_{2n-1}v, A_{2n}A_{2n+1}v) \leq kd(B_{2n-1}v, B_{2n}A_{2n+1}v) = kd(A_{2n-1}v, A_{2n}A_{2n+1}v).$$

Therefore we obtain $u = A_p(u) = B_p(u)$ for all $p \geq 1$ setting $u = A_n v$ because $0 < k < 1$.

Now we shall prove that u is a unique common fixed point of $\{A_p\}$ and $\{B_p\}$. If there exists another point w such that $w = A_p w = B_p w$ for all $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, w) &= d(A_{2m-1}u, A_{2m}w) \leq kd(B_{2m-1}u, B_{2m}w) \\ &\leq kd(u, w), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction since $0 < k < 1$. Therefore u is a unique common fixed point of two sequences of self-mappings $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$. This completes the proof.

If $S = B_{2n-1}$ and $T = B_{2n}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), we obtain Theorem 1 as the corollary of Theorem A. Next we obtain the following theorem which is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [4].

THEOREM B. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and let $\{T_p\}$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of mappings from M to M . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied for all $m \geq n \geq 1$ and $x, y \in M$

(e) there exists a constant h ($h > 1$) such that

$$d(T_{2n-1}x, T_{2n}y) \geq hd(x, y) \text{ and } d(T_{2n}x, T_{2m+1}y) \geq hd(x, y),$$

(f) $T_p T_q = T_q T_p$ (p, q are even or odd respectively).

If every T_n is continuous on M and $T_n(M) = M$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), then there exists a unique fixed point for T_n .

PROOF. Set $A_n = I$ (I is the identity map from M to M) in Theorem A. The proof is complete.

REMARK 1. We remark that the mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ in Theorem 1 of [4] is continuous from the condition of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. HADZIC, O. Common Fixed Point Theorems for Family of Mappings in Complete Metric Spaces, Math.Japan., **29** (1984), 127-134.
2. JOSHI, M.C. and BOSE, R.K., Some Topics in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1985.
3. ISEKI, K. On Common Fixed Points of Mappings, Bull.Austr.Math.Soc. **10**(1975), 365-370.
4. WANG, S.Z., LI, B.Y., GAO, Z.M., and ISEKI, K. Some Fixed Point Theorems on Expansion Mappings, Math.Japan., **29**(1984), 631-636.
5. CIRIC, B. A Generalization of Banach's Contraction Principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1974), 267-237.
6. GILLESPIE, A.A. and WILLIAMS, B.B. Fixed Point Theorems for Expanding Maps, Applicable Analysis, **14** (1984), 161-165.
7. JUNGCK, G. Commuting Mappings and Fixed Points, Amer.Math.Monthly, **83**(1976), 261-263.
8. RHOADES, B.E., Contractive Definitions Revisited, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., **21**(1983), 190-205.

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be