

## ON STABILITY OF ADDITIVE MAPPINGS

ZBIGNIEW GAJDA

Institute of Mathematics  
Silesian University  
Bankowa 14  
40-007 Katowice  
Poland

(Received January 26, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we answer a question of Th. M. Rassias concerning an extension of validity of his result proved in [3].

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Additive mappings, linear mappings, Banach spaces, stability.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** Primary 39B70, Secondary 39C05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In connection with a problem posed by Ulam (cf. [5]; see also [2]) Th. M. Rassias [3] proved the following theorem on stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces.

**THEOREM 1.** (see [3]) Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two (real) Banach spaces and let  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be a mapping such that for each fixed  $x \in E_1$  the transformation  $\mathbf{R} \ni t \rightarrow f(tx)$  is continuous. Moreover, assume that there exist  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . Then there exists a unique linear mapping  $T: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta \|x\|^p \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ , where  $\delta = \frac{2\varepsilon}{2-2^p}$ .

As was mentioned by Th. M. Rassias [4], the proof presented in [3] reveals that, in fact, it works for every  $p$  from the interval  $(-\infty, 1)$  and, therefore, the theorem holds true for all such  $p$ 's. It is also readily seen that the only purpose of assuming that all the transformations of the form  $t \rightarrow f(tx)$  are continuous is to guarantee the real homogeneity of the mapping  $T$ . Without this assumption one can show that  $f$  is approximated by an additive mapping  $T$  which means that  $T$  satisfies the following equation

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . Finally, it should be noticed that the completeness of the space  $E_1$  may be removed from the assumptions of Theorem 1. However, there is still one non-trivial (as it seems) question concerning a possible extension of the range of validity of Theorem 1. Namely, one can ask whether the same result holds true under the hypothesis that  $p$  is taken from the interval  $[1, \infty)$  (obviously in this case the constant  $\delta$  should have been defined in a different manner). Such a

problem was raised by Th. M. Rassias during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations which was held in Bielsko-Biala, Katowice and Krokow in August 1989. The goal of the present note is to give a complete solution to this problem.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

First, let us realize why the proof of Theorem 1 in its original form (see [3]) does not work for  $p \geq 1$ . The fundamental role in this proof is played by the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

which, under the assumptions of Theorem 1 (in fact as long as  $p \in (-\infty, 1)$ ) is convergent for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ . Then  $T: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  defined by the formula

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x), \quad x \in E_1 \tag{2.2}$$

is the desired linear mapping approximating  $f$ . The argument ensuring the convergence of sequence (2.1) is no longer valid when  $p$  becomes greater or equal to 1, so in order to carry the proof over to this case, one has to change the argument itself or the definition of the mapping  $T$ . It turns out that, for  $p > 1$ , the latter modification of the proof is possible. As a result we obtain the following extension of Theorem 1:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two (real) normed linear spaces and assume that  $E_2$  is complete. Let  $f: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be a mapping for which there exist two constants  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$  such that

$$\| f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y) \| \leq \varepsilon (\| x \|^p + \| y \|^p) \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\| f(x) - T(x) \| \leq \delta \| x \|^p \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ , where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{2\varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} & \text{for } p < 1, \\ \frac{2\varepsilon}{2^p - 2} & \text{for } p > 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, is for each  $x \in E_1$  the transformation  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow f(tx)$  is continuous, then the mapping  $T$  is linear.

**PROOF.** In view of what has been said so far, it remains to consider the case  $p > 1$ . The main innovation in comparison with the case  $p < 1$  consists in defining the mapping  $T$  by the formula

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad x \in E_1 \tag{2.5}$$

instead of (2.2). Obviously, one has to verify the convergence of the sequence occurring on the right-hand side of (2.5).

Putting  $\frac{x}{2}$  in place of  $x$  and  $y$  in inequality (2.3), we obtain

$$\| f(x) - 2 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \| \leq 2\varepsilon \left\| \frac{x}{2} \right\|^p = 2^{1-p} \varepsilon \| x \|^p$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ . Hence for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in E_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| f(x) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \| &\leq \| f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \| + 2 \| f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^2}\right) \| + \dots + 2^{n-1} \| f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \| \\ &\leq 2^{1-p} \varepsilon \| x \|^p + 2 \cdot 2^{1-p} \varepsilon \left\| \frac{x}{2} \right\|^p + \dots + 2^{n-1} \cdot 2^{1-p} \varepsilon \left\| \frac{x}{2^{n-1}} \right\|^p \\ &= (2^{1-p} + 2^{2(1-p)} + \dots + 2^{n(1-p)}) \varepsilon \| x \|^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \delta \|x\|^p, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\delta$  is the sum of the following convergent series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-p)} \varepsilon = \frac{2\varepsilon}{2^p - 2}.$$

Now, fix an  $x \in E_1$  and choose arbitrary  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m > n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|2^m f(\frac{x}{2^m}) - 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\| &= 2^n \|2^{m-n} f(\frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \cdot \frac{x}{2^n}) - f(\frac{x}{2^n})\| \\ &\leq 2^n \delta \|\frac{x}{2^n}\|^p = 2^{n(1-p)} \delta \|x\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which becomes arbitrarily small as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On account of the completeness of the space  $E_2$ , this implies that the sequence  $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is convergent for each  $x \in E_1$ . Thus  $T$  is correctly defined by (2.5). Moreover, it satisfies condition (2.4) which results on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.6).

Finally, replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2^n}$  and  $y$  by  $\frac{y}{2^n}$  in (2.3) and then multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality by  $2^n$ , we get

$$\|2^n f(\frac{x+y}{2^n}) - 2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n}) - 2^n f(\frac{y}{2^n})\| \leq 2^{n(1-p)} \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

for  $x, y \in E_1$ . Since the right-hand side of this inequality tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it becomes apparent that the mapping  $T$  defined by (2.5) is additive.

The proof of the homogeneity of  $T$  (under the supplementary assumption that  $t \rightarrow f(tx)$  is continuous for each  $x \in E_1$ ) needs no essential alterations in comparison with the case  $p < 1$ . It is also clear what has to be changed in the proof of the uniqueness of  $T$ .

Theorem 2 leaves the case  $p = 1$  undecided. This is not a mere coincidence. It turns out that 1 is the only critical value of  $p$  to which Theorem 2 can not be extended. In fact, we shall show that  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon(|x| + |y|) \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , but, at the same time, there is no constant  $\delta \in [0, \infty)$  and no additive function  $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \delta |x| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.8}$$

This singularity is illustrated by the following:

EXAMPLE. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and put  $\mu := \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ . First we define a function  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} \mu & \text{for } x \in [1, \infty), \\ \mu x & \text{for } x \in (-1, 1), \\ -\mu & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, -1]. \end{cases}$$

Evidently,  $\phi$  is continuous and  $|\phi(x)| \leq \mu$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is correctly defined by the formula

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x)}{2^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $f$  is defined by means of a uniformly convergent series of continuous functions,  $f$  itself is continuous. Moreover,

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{2^n} = 2\mu, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We are going to show that  $f$  satisfies (2.7).

If  $x = y = 0$ , then (2.7) is trivially fulfilled. Next assume that  $0 < |x| + |y| < 1$ . Then there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$2^N \leq |x| + |y| < \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}.$$

Hence,  $|2^{N-1}x| < 1$ ,  $|2^{N-1}y| < 1$  and  $|2^{N-1}(x+y)| \leq 2^{N-1}(|x| + |y|) < 1$ , which implies that for each  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  the numbers  $2^n x$ ,  $2^n y$  and  $2^n(x+y)$  remain in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ . Since  $\phi$  is linear on this interval, we infer that

$$\phi(2^n(x+y)) - \phi(2^n x) - \phi(2^n y) = 0$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|}{(|x| + |y|)} &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\phi(2^n(x+y)) - \phi(2^n x) - \phi(2^n y)|}{2^n(|x| + |y|)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\mu}{2^k 2^N (|x| + |y|)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\mu}{2^k} = 6\mu = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assume that  $|x| + |y| \geq 1$ . Then merely by virtue of the boundedness of  $f$  we have

$$\frac{|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|}{|x| + |y|} \leq 6\mu = \varepsilon.$$

Thus we conclude that  $f$  satisfies (2.7) for all real  $x$  and  $y$ .

Now, contrary to what we claim, suppose that there exist a  $\delta \in [0, \infty)$  and an additive function  $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that (2.8) holds true. Hence, from the continuity of  $f$  it follows that  $T$  is bounded on some neighbourhood of zero. Then, by a classical result (see e.g. [1], 2.1.1., Theorem 1) there exists a real constant  $c$  such that

$$T(x) = cx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Hence,

$$|f(x) - cx| \leq \delta |x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which implies that

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} \right| \leq \delta + |c|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, we can choose an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so large that  $N\mu > \delta + |x|$ . Then picking out an  $x$  from the interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2^{N-1}})$ , we have  $2^n x \in (0, 1)$  for each  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ . Consequently, for such an  $x$  we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x)}{2^n x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu 2^n x}{2^n x} = N\mu > \delta + |x|,$$

which yields a contradiction. Thus the function  $f$  provides a good example to the effect that Theorem 2 fails to hold for  $p = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. ACZEL, J. Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications, Academic Press, New York - San Francisco - London, 1966.
2. HYERS, D.H. On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., **27** (1941), 222-224.
3. RASSIAS, TH. M. On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
4. RASSIAS, TH. M. Communication, 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations, Bielsko-Biala, Katowice, Krokow, Poland, 1989.
5. ULAM, S.M. Problems in modern mathematics, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1960.

## Special Issue on Space Dynamics

### Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

### Lead Guest Editor

**Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado**, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; [prado@dem.inpe.br](mailto:prado@dem.inpe.br)

### Guest Editors

**Maria Cecilia Zanardi**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [cecilia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:cecilia@feg.unesp.br)

**Tadashi Yokoyama**, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; [tadashi@rc.unesp.br](mailto:tadashi@rc.unesp.br)

**Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter**, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; [silvia@feg.unesp.br](mailto:silvia@feg.unesp.br)