

ON OUTER MEASURES AND SEMI-SEPARATION OF LATTICES

ROBERT W. SCHUTZ

P.O. Box 1149
West Babylon, N.Y. 11704 U.S.A.

(Received October 13, 1992 and in revised form September 21, 1993)

ABSTRACT. This present paper is concerned with set functions related to $\{0, 1\}$ two valued measures. These set functions are either outer measures or have many of the same characteristics. We investigate their properties and look at relations among them. We note in particular their association with the semi-separation of lattices.

To be more specific, we define three set functions μ'' , μ' , and $\bar{\mu}$ related to $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ the $\{0, 1\}$ two valued set functions defined on the algebra generated by the lattice of sets \mathbf{L} st μ is a finitely additive monotone set function for which $\mu(\emptyset)=0$. We note relations among them and properties they possess. In particular necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the semi-separation of lattices in terms of equality of set functions over a lattice of subsets.

Finally the notion of I-lattice is defined, we look at some properties of these with certain other side conditions assume, and end with an application involving semi-separation and I-lattices.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Two valued measures, regular measures, sigma-smooth measures, pre-measure, lattice, delta lattice, lindelof, separation, semi-separation, regular, normal, complement generated, I-lattice.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 28A60, 28A32.

1) INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider set functions that are related to a measure μ , namely, μ' , μ'' , μ^* and also some associated premeasures. We will investigate some of their properties and look at relations among them, and note in particular their association with semi-separation.

To be more precise let X be an abstract set and \mathbf{L} a lattice of sets containing X and \emptyset . Then for $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$, the two valued $\{0, 1\}$ finitely additive non-trivial measures defined on $A(\mathbf{L})$ the algebra generated by the lattice \mathbf{L} , we define μ' , and note that it is a finitely subadditive "outer measure". (See section 2 for notations and terminology, sections 3 for definitions of μ' , μ'' .) We also prove that a) If \mathbf{L} is regular $S(\mu)=S(\mu')$. b) $S\mu'=\{E \mid X \supseteq E \text{ and either } E \supseteq L \text{ or } E' \supseteq L \text{ where } \mu(L)=1 \text{ for } L \in \mathbf{L}\}$ where $S\mu'$ are μ' -measurable sets. c) $L\mu=\{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \mu(L)=\mu'(L)\}$ is a lattice. d) If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathbf{L})$ and $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ (\mathbf{L}) then $L\mu_2 \supseteq L\mu_1$. e) $S\mu' \cap L = L\mu$.

We also define μ'' for $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and prove that it is a countably sub-additive outer measure. We then prove that the collection of measurable sets $S\mu''=\{E \mid X \supseteq E \text{ st } E \supseteq \cap L_n \text{ } n=1, 2, \dots, \mu(L_n)=1 \text{ all } n \text{ } L_n \in \mathbf{L} \text{ or } E' \supseteq \cap L_n \text{ } n=1, 2, \dots, \mu(L_n)=1 \text{ all } n \text{ } L_n \in \mathbf{L}\}$.

Then we prove the following relations hold among μ' and μ'' ; a) $\mu \leq \mu'' \leq \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}) $\mu'' \leq \mu = \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}'). b) If $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and \mathbf{L} cg then $\mu'' = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}' . c) If $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and $\mu = \mu'' = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L} then $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$. d) $\mu \in IS(\mathbf{L})$ for $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ iff $\mu' = \mu''$ (\mathbf{L}'). e) Finally after defining $\bar{\mu}$ another finitely subadditive measure with $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ (see section 4) we have the following. If $\mathbf{L}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}_1$ then \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 iff $\mu' = \bar{\mu}$ on \mathbf{L}_2 for $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_2)$.

In the fourth and final section we define I-lattices. If $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ (see sections four and two for definitions) then there exists a $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ st $\pi \leq \mu$ (\mathbf{L}) holds, and we prove in particular the following.a) If \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice as well as a delta lattice and $I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})=IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ then \mathbf{L} is complemented.b) If $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2$ are lattices such that $\mathbf{L}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_1$ a delta lattice, and for every $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}_1)$ $\mu^* = \tilde{\mu}$ (\mathbf{L}_2) then \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 .

2)BASIC NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

In this section we introduce notation and terminology that will be used throughout the paper.We also introduce background material for the readers convenience and references to further background material.Our notation is consistent with Alexandrov [1], Frolik [4], Grassi [5], and Szeto [7].

Let X be an abstract set, and \mathbf{L} a lattice of subsets of X st $X, \emptyset \in \mathbf{L}$.A delta lattice is one that is closed under countable intersections and the delta lattice generated by \mathbf{L} is denoted by $\delta(\mathbf{L})$.In addition \mathbf{L} is complement generated iff for every element $L \in \mathbf{L}$ $L = \bigcap L_n$ where the $L_n \in \mathbf{L}, n=1, 2, \dots$, and the prime will denote complement throughout.A tau lattice is one that is closed under arbitrary intersections, and the tau lattice generated by \mathbf{L} is denoted by $\tau \mathbf{L}$. $A(\mathbf{L})$ will denote the algebra generated by the lattice \mathbf{L} . $\sigma(\mathbf{L})$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by \mathbf{L} .

Let $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2$ be two lattices such that $\mathbf{L}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_1$ semi-separates (ss) \mathbf{L}_2 if for $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$, and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ then there exists $\tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $L_1 \sim \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$ and $L_1 \cap \tilde{L}_1 = \emptyset$.

Let $I(\mathbf{L})$ denote the set of non-trivial two valued $\{0, 1\}$ finitely additive measures on the algebra generated by \mathbf{L} , and let $I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ denote those elements of $I(\mathbf{L})$ that are sigma-smooth on \mathbf{L} , i.e. $\{L_n\} \in \mathbf{L}, L_n \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ then $\lim \mu(L_n) = 0$. $I(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ denotes those elements if $I(\mathbf{L})$ that are sigma-smooth on $A(\mathbf{L})$, i.e if $\{A_n\} \in A(\mathbf{L}), A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\mu \in I(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ then $\lim \mu(A_n) = 0$.This is equivalent to countable additivity of μ on $A(\mathbf{L})$. $IR(\mathbf{L})$ will stand for the measures on $A(\mathbf{L})$ that are \mathbf{L} -regular, i.e. $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}), \mu(A) = \sup \mu(L), L \in \mathbf{L}, A \supseteq L$ and $A \in A(\mathbf{L})$.This is equivalent to μ being \mathbf{L} -regular just on \mathbf{L} . $IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ will denote those measures that sigma-smooth and \mathbf{L} -regular on $A(\mathbf{L})$.The obvious relations hold $I(\mathbf{L}) \supseteq I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}) \supseteq I(\sigma, \mathbf{L}) \supseteq IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ and $I(\mathbf{L}) \supseteq IR(\mathbf{L})$.The support of a measure $S(\mu), \mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ is defined as $S(\mu) = \bigcap \{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \mu(L) = 1\}$.

A lattice is said to be disjunctive if for $x \in X, L \in \mathbf{L}, x \notin L$ then there exists $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}$ st $x \in \tilde{L}$ and $L \cap \tilde{L} = \emptyset$. \mathbf{L} is said to be regular if for $x \in X, L \in \mathbf{L}, x \notin L$ then there exists $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{L}$ st $x \in L_1, L_2 \supseteq L$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$. \mathbf{L} is normal if for $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{L}$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$, there exists $L_3, L_4 \in \mathbf{L}$ st $L_3 \supseteq L_1, L_4 \supseteq L_2$ and $L_3 \cap L_4 = \emptyset$. \mathbf{L} is lindelof if for $\{L_\alpha\} \in \mathbf{L} \alpha \in \Lambda$ an arbitrary index set and $\bigcap L_\alpha = \emptyset \alpha \in \Lambda$ then there exists a countable subindexing such that $\bigcap L_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset i=1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{L}$ is countably compact if for any $\{L_n\} \in \mathbf{L}$ and $\bigcap L_n = \emptyset n=1, 2, \dots$, there exists a finite subindexing such that $\bigcap L_{n_i} = \emptyset i=1, 2, \dots, N$.

Note. For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathbf{L})$ we write $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ (\mathbf{L}) if $\mu_1(L) \leq \mu_2(L)$ for all $L \in \mathbf{L}$.

By a premeasure is meant a set function π defined on \mathbf{L} st a) $\pi: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \pi$ non trivial and $\pi(\emptyset) = 0$.b) $\pi(A \cap B) = \pi(A)\pi(B) A, B \in \mathbf{L}$.c) π is monotonic.The set of all such premeasures is denoted by $\Pi(\mathbf{L})$.By $\Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ we mean those $\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{L})$ st $\pi(A_n) = 1$ all n implies that $\bigcap A_n \neq \emptyset n=1, 2, \dots$, and $A_n \in \mathbf{L}$.

We note some measure equivalence of topological properties.

- 1) \mathbf{L} is disjunctive iff for all $x \in X, \mu_x \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ where μ_x is the point measure, i.e. $\mu_x(A) = 1$ if $x \in A, \mu_x(A) = 0$ $x \notin A A \in A(\mathbf{L})$.
- 2) \mathbf{L} is regular iff $\mu \leq \mu_1$ (\mathbf{L}) $\mu, \mu_1 \in I(\mathbf{L})$ implies $S(\mu) = S(\mu_1)$.
- 3) \mathbf{L} is normal iff $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L}), \mu_1, \mu_2 \in IR(\mathbf{L}), \mu \leq \mu_1$ (\mathbf{L}), and $\mu \leq \mu_2$ (\mathbf{L}) implies that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.
- 4) \mathbf{L} is countably compact iff $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ implies that $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$.
- 5) \mathbf{L} is lindelof iff $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ implies $S(\pi) \neq \emptyset$.Where $S(\pi) = \bigcap \{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \pi(L) = 1\}$.

The following facts will be used in this paper.There exists a one to one correspondence between prime \mathbf{L} -filters and elements of $I(\mathbf{L})$, and a one to one correspondence between \mathbf{L} -ultrafilters and elements of $IR(\mathbf{L})$.This correspondence is set up by letting $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ and $H = \{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \mu(L) = 1\}$.Then H is a prime \mathbf{L} -filter and conversely if H is a prime \mathbf{L} -filter there exists a measure $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ associated with H st $\mu(L) = 1$, iff $L \in H$.Also if $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L})$ then H is an \mathbf{L} -ultrafilter and conversely if H is an \mathbf{L} -ultrafilter then there exists a

$\mu \in \mathcal{IR}(\mathbf{L})$ such that $\mu(L) = 1$ iff $L \in H$. In addition there exists a one to one correspondence between $\Pi(\mathbf{L})$ and all \mathbf{L} filters F given by $\pi \leftrightarrow F$ an \mathbf{L} filter iff $\pi(L) = 1$ for $L \in F$, F an \mathbf{L} filter .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$ then for E st $X \supseteq E$ $\mu^*(E) = \inf \mu(L')$ and the inf is taken over all $L \in \mathbf{L}$ and $L' \supseteq E$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{IW}(\mathbf{L})$ if $\mu(L') = 1$ $L \in \mathbf{L}$ implies that there exists a $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}$ st $L' \supseteq \tilde{L}$ and $\mu'(\tilde{L}) = 1$.

DEFINITION 2.3. $\mu \in \mathcal{IS}(\mathbf{L})$ if for $\{A_n\} \in \mathcal{L}$ $A_n \downarrow$, and $\bigcap A_n = A \in \mathbf{L}$ then $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$. Note. $\mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}) \supseteq \mathcal{IS}(\mathbf{L})$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and for E st $X \supseteq E$ define $\mu''(E) = \inf \sum \mu(L_i')$ where the inf is over all $\bigcup L_i'$, $i=1, 2, \dots, L_i \in \mathbf{L}$, and $\bigcup L_i' \supseteq E$.

Next we consider various sets of measures defined on the algebra generated by a lattice \mathbf{L} . For example $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$, $\mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$, $\mathcal{IR}(\mathbf{L})$ or $\mathcal{IR}(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$. Denote such sets by \mathcal{I} . Also consider the collection of sets $H(\mathbf{L})$ where $H(\mathbf{L}) = \{H(L) \mid L \in \mathbf{L}\}$, $H(L) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{I} \mid \mu(L) = 1\}$. Then the following hold the . a) $H(A \cap B) = H(A) \cap H(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathbf{L}$. b) $H(A \cup B) = H(A) \cup H(B)$ $A, B \in \mathbf{L}$. c) $H(A') = H(A)'$ for $A \in \mathbf{L}$. d) If $A \supseteq B$ then $H(A) \supseteq H(B)$ $A, B \in \mathbf{L}$. e) If \mathbf{L} is disjunctive (if necessary) then $H(A) \supseteq H(B)$ implies $A \supseteq B$, $A, B \in \mathbf{L}$. f) The collection $H(\mathbf{L})$ is a lattice and $H(A(\mathbf{L})) = A(H(\mathbf{L}))$.

We will assume that in discussing $H(\mathbf{L})$ for convenience, that \mathbf{L} is disjunctive, although it will be clear that this assumption is not always needed.

If $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ then define a measure on $A(H(\mathbf{L}))$ $\hat{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}(H(\mathbf{L}))$ by $\hat{\mu}(H(A)) = \mu(A)$ for $A \in A(\mathbf{L})$. Conversely if $\hat{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}(H(\mathbf{L}))$ define a measure on $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ by $\mu(A) = \hat{\mu}(H(A))$ $H(A) \in A(H(\mathbf{L}))$. Then the following hold.

THEOREM 2.1. If \mathbf{L} is disjunctive (if necessary) then there exists a one to one correspondence between the sets \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}(A(\mathbf{L}))$ given by $\mu \leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}$. Further $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ is σ -smooth or \mathbf{L} -regular iff $\hat{\mu} \in \mathcal{I}(H(\mathbf{L}))$ is σ -smooth or $H(\mathbf{L})$ regular respectively.

If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{IR}(\mathbf{L})$ we let $H(\mathbf{L}) = \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{L})$.

If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{IR}(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ we let $H(\mathbf{L}) = \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$.

We define μ^* for $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ such that if $X \supseteq E$ $\mu^*(E) = \inf \sum \mu(A_i)$, $A_i \in A(\mathbf{L})$, $\bigcup A_i \supseteq E$ $i=1, 2, \dots$. As is well known μ^* is an outer measure, the μ^* measurable sets form a σ -algebra containing $\sigma(\mathbf{L})$ and the restriction of μ^* to $A(\mathbf{L})$ agrees with μ .

Further related material can be found in Camacho [2], Eid [3], and Huerta [6] .

3) DEFINITIONS OF μ' , μ'' AND THEIR BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section we examine two set functions μ'' , μ' that are related to a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$ or $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$. First we look at μ'' which is genuine countably subadditive outer measure and is defined for all $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$. We also define μ' which is finitely subadditive "outer measure" defined for $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$. We then investigate some of the properties of these set functions and relationships that hold for them. We finally consider conditions for one lattice to semi-separate another in terms of μ' and $\tilde{\mu}$ another related set function.

We first have the following theorem involving μ'' and μ' .

THEOREM 3.1. a) Let $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$, μ'' is an outer measure on X . b) Let $S\mu''$ denote the μ'' measurable sets where $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$, then $S\mu'' = \{E, X \supseteq E \mid E \supseteq \bigcap L_n \text{ st } \mu(L_n) = 1 \text{ all } n, \text{ or } E' \supseteq \bigcap L_n \text{ where for all } n \mu(L_n) = 1 \text{ } L_n \in \mathbf{L}\}$. c) For $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$, μ' is a finitely subadditive "outer measure". d) Let $S\mu'$ denote the μ' measurable sets where $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$, then $S\mu' = \{E, X \supseteq E \mid \text{and either } E \supseteq \tilde{L} \text{ or } E' \supseteq \tilde{L} \text{ where } \mu(\tilde{L}) = 1 \text{ } L, \tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}\}$. e) If \mathbf{L} is a regular lattice , then $S(\mu) = S(\mu')$, where $S(\mu')$ is the support of the set function μ' , $S(\mu') = \bigcap \{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \mu'(L) = 1\}$.

We will only prove parts b and e since the other parts follow readily or are similar in spirit.

Proof . b) Let $E \in S\mu''$ then $\mu''(A) = \mu''(A \cap E) + \mu''(A \cap E')$ for all A st $X \supseteq A$. In particular let $A = X$ then $1 = \mu''(E) + \mu''(E')$ and either $\mu''(E) = 1$ and $\mu''(E') = 0$ or $\mu''(E) = 0$ and $\mu''(E') = 1$. Assume $\mu''(E) = 0$ then $\mu''(E) = \inf \sum \mu(L_n')$, $\bigcup L_n' \supseteq E$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, and $L_n \in \mathbf{L}$. Thus $\mu(L_n) = 0$ or $\mu(L_n) = 1$ all n and $E' \supseteq \bigcap L_n$. Similarly if $\mu''(E) = 1$ then $E \supseteq \bigcap L_n$ and $\mu(L_n) = 1$ all $n, n=1, 2, \dots, \infty$.

Proof. e) Since $\mu \leq \mu'$ on \mathbf{L} then $S(\mu) \supseteq S(\mu')$. Suppose that $S(\mu) \neq S(\mu')$. Let $x \in S(\mu)$ and $x \notin S(\mu')$. Then there exists a $L \in \mathbf{L}$ st $\mu(L)=1$, $x \in L$, and $\mu(L)=0$. Since \mathbf{L} is regular there exists $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{L}$ st $x \in L_1$, $L_2 \supseteq L$ and $L_1' \cap L_2' = \emptyset$, $\mu(L_2') = \mu'(L_2') = 1$, $L_1 \cup L_2 = X$, $\mu(L_2) = 0$ therefore $\mu(L_1) = \mu'(L_1) = 1$ and $x \in L_1$. Thus $x \in S(\mu)$, a contradiction. $S(\mu) = S(\mu')$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\mathbf{L}\mu = \{L \in \mathbf{L} \mid \mu(L) = \mu'(L) = 1\}$

THEOREM 3.2. If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathbf{L})$ and if $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ (\mathbf{L}) then $\mathbf{L}\mu_1 \supseteq \mathbf{L}\mu_2$.

PROOF. Let $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}\mu_1$ then $\mu_1(L_1) = \mu_1'(L_1)$. If $\mu_1'(L_1) = \mu_1(L_1) = 1$ then $\mu_2(L_1) = 1$ and since $\mu_2 \leq \mu_2'$ on \mathbf{L} $\mu_2'(L_1) = 1$ and $\mu_2(L_1) = \mu_2'(L_1)$ and $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}\mu_2$. Now suppose $\mu_1(L_1) = \mu_1'(L_1) = 0$ $\mu_2(L_1) = 0$ and $\mu_2'(L_1) = 1$. Then $\mu_2'(L_1) = \inf \mu_2(\tilde{L}) = 1$ where $\tilde{L} \supseteq L_1$. But since $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ (\mathbf{L}) then $\mu_2 \leq \mu_1$ on \mathbf{L}' and $0 = \inf \mu_1(\tilde{L}') = \mu_1'(L_1) \geq \inf \mu_2(\tilde{L}') = \mu_2'(L_1) = 1$ a contradiction. Thus $\mu_2'(L_1) = 0$ and $\mu_2(L_1) = \mu_2'(L_1) = 0$. If $\mu_1'(L_1) = \mu_1(L_1) = 0$ and $\mu_2(L_1) = 1$ then $\mu_2'(L_1) = 1$, but $\mu_1' \geq \mu_2'$ (\mathbf{L}'), a contradiction. Thus $\mu_2(L_1) = \mu_2'(L_1) = 0$. This implies then that $\mathbf{L}\mu_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}\mu_1$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$, then $S\mu' \cap \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}\mu$.

Proof. Let $L \in S\mu' \cap \mathbf{L}$ then $\mu'(L) = \mu'(L \cap E) + \mu'(E \cap L')$ for all E st $X \supseteq E$. In particular for $E = X$ $1 = \mu'(L) + \mu'(L')$. If $\mu'(L) = 1$ then $\mu'(L) = 0$, and since $\mu' \geq \mu$ (\mathbf{L}) $\mu(L) = 0$ and $\mu(L) = \mu'(L) = 0$. If $\mu'(L) = 1$ then $\mu'(L) = 0$ which implies that $\mu(L) = 0$ since $\mu = \mu'$ on (\mathbf{L}') or $\mu(L) = 1$, and $\mu(L) = \mu'(L) = 1$. Thus in both cases $L \in \mathbf{L}\mu$ and $\mathbf{L}\mu \supseteq S\mu' \cap \mathbf{L}$.

Conversely let $L \in \mathbf{L}\mu$, $\mathbf{L}\mu$ is contained in \mathbf{L} . Need to prove that $S\mu' \supseteq \mathbf{L}\mu$. For $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}\mu$ and $L \supseteq \tilde{L}$, assume that $\mu(\tilde{L}) = 0$ for all such \tilde{L} . In particular it holds for $\tilde{L} = L$ or $\mu(L) = 0$. But since $L \in \mathbf{L}\mu$ $\mu'(L) = 0$, $\mu'(L) = \inf \mu(L_1') = 0$ for $L_1' \supseteq L$ or there exists a $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}$ st $\mu(L_1) = 0$ or $\mu(L_1) = 1$, $L' \supseteq L_1$ thus $L \in S\mu'$. If $\mu(L) = 1$ $L \supseteq L$ and $L \in S\mu'$. Thus $S\mu' \cap \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}\mu$.

COROLLARY 3.1. $\mathbf{L}\mu$ is a lattice.

PROOF. Since by theorem 3.3 $S\mu' \cap \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}\mu$, $S\mu'$, \mathbf{L} are lattices and the intersection of two lattices is a lattice the result follows.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$. Then $\mu \leq \mu'' \leq \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}) and $\mu'' \leq \mu = \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}').

PROOF. It is clear that $\mu'' \leq \mu = \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}') and that $\mu'' \leq \mu'$ everywhere. Thus we must just show that $\mu \leq \mu''$ (\mathbf{L}). Assume not then there exists $L \in \mathbf{L}$ st $\mu(L) = 1$ and $\mu''(L) = 0$. Thus $\mu''(L) = \inf \sum \mu(L_i') = 0$ and thus there exists $\cup L_i' \supseteq L$ $i=1, 2, \dots$, st $L_i \in \mathbf{L}$ and $\mu(L_i') = 0$ all i or $\mu(L_i) = 1$ all i . Then $L' \supseteq \cap L_i$ and $L \cap (\cap L_i) = \emptyset$. Since $\mu(L) = 1$ and $\mu(L_i) = 1$ all i , then $\mu(L \cap L_i) = 1$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\{L \cap L_i\} \downarrow \emptyset$, then since $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$, $0 = \lim \mu(L \cap L_i) = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $\mu(L) = 0$ and $\mu \leq \mu'' \leq \mu'$ (\mathbf{L}).

THEOREM 3.5. If $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and if $\mu = \mu' = \mu''$ on \mathbf{L} then $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$.

PROOF. Let $\mu(L') = 1$ $L \in \mathbf{L}$ then $\mu(L) = 0$ and $\mu'(L) = 0$. Thus there exists a $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}$ st $\tilde{L} \supseteq L$ and $\mu(\tilde{L}') = 0$ or $\mu(\tilde{L}) = 1$ and $L' \supseteq \tilde{L}$. Therefore $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ then $\mu' = \mu''$ (\mathbf{L}') iff $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$.

PROOF. Let $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L})$ and $\mu' = \mu''$ (\mathbf{L}'). Assume that $\mu \notin I\$(\mathbf{L})$ and let $\cap A_n \downarrow A$, $A, A_n \in \mathbf{L}$ such that $\mu(A_n) = 1$ all n and $\mu(A) = 0$. Then $\mu(A') = 1$ $\mu(A') = \mu'(A') = \mu''(A') = 1$ by hypothesis. But $\mu''(A') = \mu''(\cup A_n) = \sum \mu(A_n) = 0$ since $\mu(A_n) = 0$ all n , a contradiction. $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$.

Conversely let $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$ and assume that $\mu'' \leq \mu = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}' . Let $\mu''(L') = 0$ then there exists $\cup L_i' \supseteq L'$, $L_i \in \mathbf{L}$ $i=1, 2, \dots$, st $\mu(L_i') = 0$ all i or $\mu(L_i) = 1$ all i , and $L \supseteq \cap L_i$, also $L = \cap (L \cup L_i)$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\{L \cup L_i\} \downarrow L$ then $\mu(L) = \inf \mu(L \cup L_i) = \inf 1 = 1$ since $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$. Then $\mu(L') = \mu'(L') = 0$ thus $\mu' = \mu''$ on \mathbf{L}' .

We now look at another class of measures we defined previously, $IW(\mathbf{L})$.

THEOREM 3.7. If $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$ and if the lattice \mathbf{L} is cg the $\mu \in IW(\mathbf{L})$.

PROOF. Suppose that $L \in \mathbf{L}$ and $\mu'(L) = \mu(L) = 1$. Then from theorem 3.6 $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$ implies $\mu' = \mu''$ on \mathbf{L}' , hence $\mu''(L') = 1$. Since \mathbf{L} is cg then $L' = \cup L_i$ $L_i \in \mathbf{L}$ $i=1, 2, \dots$, and $1 = \mu''(\cup L_i) \leq \sum \mu''(L_i)$, since μ'' is an outer measure. Thus $\mu''(L_i) = 1$ for some i . Then because $\mu'' \geq \mu''$ (\mathbf{L}) $\mu'(L_i) = \mu''(L_i)$ $L' \supseteq L_i$. Thus $\mu \in IW(\mathbf{L})$.

THEOREM 3.8. $IW(\mathbf{L}) \supseteq IR(\mathbf{L})$ and if \mathbf{L} is normal $IR(\mathbf{L}) = IW(\mathbf{L})$.

PROOF. Let $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L})$ and let $\mu(L') = 1 \ L' \in \mathbf{L}$. Then since $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L})$ there exists a $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}$ st $L' \supseteq \tilde{L} \sim$ st $\mu(\tilde{L}) = 1$ and since $\mu \geq \mu(\mathbf{L}) \ \mu'(\tilde{L}) = 1$ and thus $\mu \in IW(\mathbf{L})$.

Assume now that \mathbf{L} is normal and let $\mu \in IW(\mathbf{L})$ and $\mu(L') = 1 \ L' \in \mathbf{L}$. Then since $\mu \in IW(\mathbf{L}) \ \mu'(\tilde{L}) = 1$ and $L' \supseteq \tilde{L}$, $\tilde{L} \in \mathbf{L}$ and $L \cap \tilde{L} = \emptyset$. Since \mathbf{L} is normal there exists $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{L}$ st $L_1' \supseteq L \ L_2' \supseteq \tilde{L}$ and $L_1' \cap L_2' = \emptyset$. $\mu(L_2') = 1$ since $L_2' \supseteq \tilde{L}$ and $\mu'(\tilde{L}) = 1$ implies that $\mu'(L_2') = \mu(L_2') = 1$, thus $\mu(L_2) = 0$, and since $L_1 \cup L_2 = X$, then $\mu(L_1) = 1$ and $L' \supseteq L_1$ implies that $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L})$.

REMARK. By theorems 3.7 and 3.8 if \mathbf{L} is cg and normal then $\mu \in I\$(\mathbf{L})$ implies $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$.

THEOREM 3.9. If \mathbf{L} is cg and $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}')$ implies $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L})$.

PROOF. Result is well known see references Camacho [2], Eid [3], Grassi [5], and Szeto [7].

THEOREM 3.10. If $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}')$ and \mathbf{L} is cg then $\mu = \mu' = \mu''$ on \mathbf{L}' .

PROOF. Since $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}')$ then $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ and $\mu = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}' . Assume that $\mu(L') = \mu'(L') = 1$ and since \mathbf{L} is cg $L' = \cup L_i \ L_i \in \mathbf{L} \ i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\mu''(L') \leq \sum \mu''(L_i)$ and assume that $\mu''(L_i) = 0$ all i , then $\mu(L_i) = 0$ all i , and $\mu(L_i') = 1$ all i . Since $L = \cap L_i' \ i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $L \cap L' = L \cap (\cap L_i') = \emptyset$. We can assume then, without loss of generality that $\{L' \cap L_i'\} \downarrow \emptyset$. Since $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}')$, $\lim \mu(L' \cap L_i') = 0$. But $\mu(L') = 1 \ \mu(L_i') = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $\mu''(L_i) = 1$ for some i . Since $L' \supseteq L_i$ by the monotone nature of μ'' , $\mu''(L') = 1$ and $\mu = \mu' = \mu''$ on \mathbf{L}' .

We now introduce a definition preparatory to presenting our final theorem in this section, relating semi-separation of lattices.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let $\mu \in I(\mathbf{L})$ and $X \supseteq E$. We define $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \inf \mu(L) \ L \supseteq E$ and $L \in \mathbf{L}$.

Note that $\mu \sim$ is a finite subadditive "outer measure".

THEOREM 3.11. Let \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 be lattices of subsets of X st $\mathbf{L}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}_1$. If \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 then $\tilde{\mu} = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}_2 for $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_1)$. Conversely if for every $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_1) \ \tilde{\mu} = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}_2 , then \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 .

PROOF. Let \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separate \mathbf{L}_2 , and look at $\mu'(L_2) = \inf \mu(L_1') \ L_1' \supseteq L_2, \ L_1' \in \mathbf{L}_1$ and $L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$. Then since $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ and \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 there exists $\tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\tilde{L}_1 \cap L_1 = \emptyset$ and $\tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$, or $L_1' \supseteq \tilde{L}_1$. Thus $\inf \mu(L_1') \geq \inf \mu(\tilde{L}_1) \ \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2 \ L_1' \supseteq L_2$ or $\mu \geq \mu'$. Now look at $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = \inf \mu(\tilde{L}_1) \ \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2 \ \tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1, \ L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$. Assume $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = 0$ then there exists $\tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2 \ \tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\mu(\tilde{L}_1) = 0$ or $\mu(\tilde{L}_1') = 1$. Since $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_1)$ there exists $L_3 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\tilde{L}_1' \supseteq L_3 \ \mu(L_3) = 1$ or $\mu(L_3') = 0 \ L_3' \supseteq \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$ or $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = \mu'(L_2) = 0$. Thus $\tilde{\mu} = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}_2 .

Conversely let $\tilde{\mu} = \mu'$ on \mathbf{L}_2 for all $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_1)$ and assume that \mathbf{L}_1 does not semi-separate \mathbf{L}_2 . Then there exists $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1 \ L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$ st $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ and $\tilde{L}_1 \cap L_1 \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$. Look at $H = \{ \tilde{L}_1 \mid \tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1 \text{ and } \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2 \}$. Then H has the finite intersection property, and thus there exists a filter and thus an ultrafilter and its associated measure $\mu \in IR(\mathbf{L}_1)$ st $\mu(\tilde{L}_1) = 1 \ L_1' \sim \epsilon H$ and since $L_1 \cap \tilde{L}_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\mu(L_1) = 1$. Now look at $\mu'(L_2)$. Since $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ then $L_1' \supseteq L_2$ and since $\mu(L_1) = 1 \ \mu(L_1') = 0$ and thus $\mu'(L_2) = 0$. Also $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = \inf \mu(L_4) \ L_4 \supseteq L_2$ and $L_4 \in \mathbf{L}_1$. Then since every such L_4 is a member of H and thus $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = \inf \mu(L_4) = 1$, a contradiction. \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 .

4) PROPERTIES OF I-LATTICES AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO SEMI-SEPARATION

In this section we define the notion of an I-lattice and look at necessary and sufficient conditions for an I-lattice to exist such as countable compactness, disjointiveness and lindelof property to hold. We finally investigate the semi-separation of two lattices $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2$ with \mathbf{L}_1 an I-lattice in terms of outer measures associated with $\mu \in I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}_1)$.

DEFINITION 4.1. \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice iff for every $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ there exists a $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ st $\pi \leq \mu(\mathbf{L})$.

DEFINITION 4.2. \mathbf{L} is replete iff for every $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}) \ S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$.

The results of theorem 4.1 are well known see references Szeto [7]. We prove part d in a more straight forward manner than the above reference shows.

THEOREM 4.1. a) If \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice, and if \mathbf{L} is replete then \mathbf{L} is lindelof. b) If \mathbf{L} is a countably compact lattice then \mathbf{L} is I-lattice. c) If \mathbf{L} is a disjointive lattice and if \mathbf{L} is lindelof then \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice. d) Suppose \mathbf{L} is disjointive, then $IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}), \ \tau W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof iff \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice.

PROOF. Of part d) Assume that \mathbf{L} is disjunctive. First $W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof iff $\tau W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$, thus it is sufficient to prove that $W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof. Look at $\hat{\pi} \in \Pi(\sigma, W(\sigma, \mathbf{L}))$ then projecting down look at $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ $\pi(L) = \hat{\pi}(W(\sigma, \mathbf{L}))$ for $L \in \mathbf{L}$. Since \mathbf{L} is disjunctive $IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}) \supseteq \{\mu_X, \chi \in X\}$ and if $W(\sigma, L_n) \downarrow \emptyset$, then $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$. Since \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice there exists a $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ st $\pi \leq \mu$ (\mathbf{L}). Projecting upward $\hat{\mu} \in IR(\sigma, W(\sigma, \mathbf{L}))$ and $\hat{\pi} \leq \hat{\mu}$ ($W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$). Since $\mu \in S(\hat{\mu})$, $S(\hat{\pi}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof and thus so is $\tau W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$.

Conversely if $\tau W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof then so is $W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$. Let $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$, then projecting upwards $\hat{\pi} \in \Pi(\sigma, W(\sigma, \mathbf{L}))$ and $\hat{\pi}(W(\sigma, L)) = \pi(L)$ $L \in \mathbf{L}$. Since $W(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ is lindelof $S(\hat{\pi}) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a $\mu \in S(\hat{\pi})$ st $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ and if $\hat{\pi}(W(\sigma, L)) = \pi(L) = 1$ $L \in \mathbf{L}$ then $\mu \in W(\sigma, L)$ and $\mu(L) = 1$. Thus $\pi \leq \mu$ (\mathbf{L}) and \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice.

THEOREM 4.2. Let \mathbf{L} be an I-lattice, and also a delta lattice then $I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}) = IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ implies \mathbf{L} is complemented.

PROOF. Assume that \mathbf{L} is not complemented then for some $L \in \mathbf{L}$ $L' \notin \mathbf{L}$. Consider $F = \{\tilde{L}_1 \mid \tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}, \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L'\}$, then F has the finite intersection property and associated with F is a filter $\pi \in \Pi(\mathbf{L})$. In addition, since \mathbf{L} is delta, then $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ and L' is not cg (otherwise L' would belong to \mathbf{L} , which would contradict the hypothesis). Since \mathbf{L} is an I-lattice there exists $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ st $\pi \leq \mu$ (\mathbf{L}) and since $I(\sigma^*, \mathbf{L}) = IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ then $\mu \in IR(\sigma, L')$ and $\mu(L') = 1$. But since $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$, μ is associated with an \mathbf{L} -ultrafilter and thus $\mu(L) = 1$. Thus \mathbf{L} is complemented.

We finally prove our last theorem in this section involving semi-separation, I-lattices and μ^* , $\tilde{\mu}$.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2$ be lattices of subsets of X st $\mathbf{L}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{L}_1$, \mathbf{L}_1 a delta I-lattice, and for every $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}_1)$ $\mu^*(L_2) = \tilde{\mu}(L_2)$ $L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$, then \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 .

PROOF. Suppose \mathbf{L}_1 did not semi-separate \mathbf{L}_2 then there exists $L_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$, $L_2 \in \mathbf{L}_2$ st $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$, but there does not exist a $L_1' \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$ and $L_1 \cap \tilde{L}_1 = \emptyset$. Look at $H = \{\tilde{L}_1 \mid \tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1, \tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2\}$ then H has the finite intersection property and is a filter base and so can be extended to a filter. Since \mathbf{L}_1 is delta, there exists $\pi \in \Pi(\sigma, \mathbf{L})$ associated with H . In addition since \mathbf{L}_1 is an I-lattice there exists a $\mu \in IR(\sigma, \mathbf{L}_1)$ st $\pi \leq \mu$ on \mathbf{L}_1 .

Now look at $\mu^*(L_2) = \tilde{\mu}(L_2)$. $\tilde{\mu}(L_2) = 1$ since $\mu(\tilde{L}_1) = 1$ all $\tilde{L}_1 \in \mathbf{L}_1$ st $\tilde{L}_1 \supseteq L_2$, thus $\mu^*(L_2) = 1$. In addition $\mu(L_1) = \mu^*(L_1) = 1$ since \mathbf{L}_1 has non-empty intersection with H , μ is associated with an \mathbf{L} -ultrafilter and the outer measure $\mu^* = \mu$ restricted to $A(\mathbf{L}_1)$. Thus $1 = \mu^*(L_2) \leq \mu^*(L_1') = \mu(L_1') = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore \mathbf{L}_1 semi-separates \mathbf{L}_2 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I wish to thank the work and efforts of the referee's in reading this paper and greatly enhancing its presentation.

REFERENCES

1. A.D. ALEXSANDROV (ALEKSANDROV), Additive set functions in abstract spaces, (chapter 1), Mat. Sb. 8 (1940), 307-348. MR-315.
2. J. CAMACHO, JR., Extensions of lattice regular measures with applications, Jour. Indian Math. Soc., 54 (1989), 233-244.
3. G.M. EID, On normal lattices and Wallman spaces, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 13 No.1 (1990), 31-38.
4. Z. FROLIK, Prime filters with the cip, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, Vol. 13 (1972), 553-575.
5. P. GRASSI, On subspaces of replete and measure replete spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 27 (1), (1984), 58-64.
6. C.C. HUERTA, Measure requirements on distributive lattices for Boolean algebras and topological applications, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (12), 1989, 307-308.
7. M. SZETO, Measure repleteness and mapping preservations, Jour. Indian Math. Soc. 43 (1979), 35-52.