IJMMS 2003:70, 4389–4397
PII. S016117120321070X
http://ijmms.hindawi.com

QUADRATIC PAIRS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2 AND THE WITT CANCELLATION THEOREM

MOHAMED ABDOU ELOMARY

Received 28 October 2002

We define the orthogonal sum of quadratic pairs and we show that there is no Witt cancellation theorem for this operation in characteristic 2.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16K20.

1. Introduction.

Quadratic pairs on central simple algebras were defined in [5]. They play the same role for quadratic forms as involutions for symmetric or skew-symmetric bilinear forms. In particular, they can be used to define twisted orthogonal groups in characteristic 2. In this paper, a notion of orthogonal sum of quadratic pairs is introduced on the model of Dejaiffe's orthogonal sum of involutions [2]. Moreover, an example is given to show that there is no cancellation for this operation.

2. Orthogonal sum of quadratic pairs

Definition 2.1. Let A be a central simple algebra of degree n over a field F of characteristic 2. A quadratic pair on A is a pair (σ, f), where σ is a symplectic involution on A and $f : \mathrm{Sym}(A,\sigma) \to F$ is a linear map satisfying the following condition:

$$f(x+\sigma(x)) = \mathrm{Trd}_A(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $\in A$. In this case, n is always even.

We recall from [2] that a Morita equivalence $((A,\sigma),(B,\tau),M,N,f,g,\nu)$ between two algebras with involutions of the first kind (A,σ) and (B,τ) is given by

(i) an A-B bimodule M;
(ii) a B-A bimodule N;
(iii) two bimodule homomorphisms $f : M \otimes_B N \to A$ and $g : N \otimes_A M \to B$ which are associative in the sense that $f(m\otimes n)\cdot m' = m \cdot g(n \otimes m')$ and $g(n\otimes m)\cdot n' = n\cdot f(m\otimes n')$, for all $m,m' \in M$ and $n,n' \in N$;
(iv) a bijective F-linear map $\nu : M \to N$ such that $\nu(amb) = \tau(b)\nu(m)\sigma(a)$ for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$, $b \in B$, and ν^{-1} is its inverse.

If $((A,\sigma),(A',\sigma'),M,N,g,h,\nu)$ is a Morita equivalence of two algebras with symplectic involutions and (σ,f) and (σ',f') are quadratic pairs, respectively, on A and A', then we define the *orthogonal sum* of (A,σ,f) and (A',σ',f') as follows:

$$(A,\sigma,f)\oplus(A',\sigma',f')=(S,{}^*,f''), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$S=\begin{pmatrix}A & M\\ N & A'\end{pmatrix},\qquad {}^*\begin{pmatrix}a & m\\ n & a'\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\sigma(a) & \nu^{-1}(n)\\ \nu(m) & \sigma'(a')\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Sym}(S,{}^*)=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}a & m\\ n & a'\end{pmatrix}\;\middle|\;\begin{matrix}\sigma(a)=a\\ \sigma'(a')=a'\\ n=\nu(m)\end{matrix}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

and $f'':\operatorname{Sym}(S,{}^*)\to F$ defined by

$$f''\begin{pmatrix}a & m\\ \nu(m) & a'\end{pmatrix}=f(a)+f'(a'). \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.2. *The orthogonal sum $(S,{}^*,f'')$ is an algebra with quadratic pair.*

Proof. It is clear that the involution $*$ is symplectic, and we have, for all

$$x=\begin{pmatrix}a & m\\ n & a'\end{pmatrix}\in S, \tag{2.6}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}f''(x+x^*)&=f''\begin{pmatrix}a+\sigma(a) & m+\nu^{-1}(n)\\ n+\nu(m) & a'+\sigma'(a')\end{pmatrix}\\ &=f(a+\sigma(a))+f'(a'+\sigma'(a'))\\ &=\operatorname{Trd}_A(a)+\operatorname{Trd}_{A'}(a')=\operatorname{Trd}_S(x).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

□

A particular case of this definition is the situation where $M=N=A=A'$. If A is a central simple algebra over a field of characteristic 2, we consider the two algebras with quadratic pairs (A,σ,f) and (A,σ',f'), where σ and σ' are symplectic involutions on A. Then we have $\sigma'=\operatorname{Int}(s)\circ\sigma$ with $s\in\operatorname{Alt}(A,\sigma)$. For $\lambda\in F^*$, we define on $M_2(A)$ the involution θ_λ by

$$\theta_\lambda\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\sigma(a) & \lambda^{-1}\sigma(c)s^{-1}\\ \lambda s\sigma(b) & \sigma'(d)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

The map ν is defined by $\nu(x) = \lambda s\sigma(x)$, for all $x \in A$, and we define the map $g : \mathrm{Sym}(\theta_\lambda) \to F$ by

$$g\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = f(a) + f'(d). \tag{2.9}$$

It is clear that $(M_2(A), \theta_\lambda, g)$ is an algebra with quadratic pair. We recall that $(A, \sigma, f) \simeq (A, \sigma', f')$ if and only if there exists $v \in A^*$ such that $\sigma' = \mathrm{Int}(v) \circ \sigma \circ \mathrm{Int}(v)^{-1} = \mathrm{Int}(v\sigma(v)) \circ \sigma$ and $f' = f \circ \mathrm{Int}(v^{-1})$.

3. Generalized quadratic forms. Let V be a right vector space on a central division F-algebra with involution $(D, *)$. A generalized quadratic form on V is a pair (ψ, Q) consisting of a hermitian form ψ and a map $Q : V \to D/\mathrm{Alt}(D, *)$ such that

(1) $Q(x + y) = Q(x) + Q(y) + [\psi(x, y)]$;
(2) $Q(x\lambda) = \lambda^* Q(x)\lambda$;
(3) $\psi(x, x) = Q(x) + Q(x)^*$.

This notion is due to Gross [4]. The space (V, ψ, Q) is called a quadratic space.

Let D be a central division algebra over F with an involution $*$ of any kind, V a D-vector space, and (ψ, Q) a generalized quadratic form. Then we have an F-linear map $\varphi_\psi : V \otimes_D {}^*V \to \mathrm{End}_D(V) = A$ such that

$$\varphi_\psi(v \otimes {}^*w)(x) = v \cdot \psi(w, x) \tag{3.1}$$

for $v, w, x \in V$. Here ${}^*V$ is the left D-vector space

$${}^*V = \{{}^*v \mid v \in V\} \tag{3.2}$$

with structure

$${}^*v + {}^*w = {}^*(v + w), \qquad \alpha \cdot {}^*v = {}^*(v \cdot \alpha^*), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in D$.

In fact, φ_ψ is one-to-one, by [5, page 54, Theorem 5.1]. If σ is the adjoint involution on $\mathrm{End}_D(V)$ with respect to ψ, then we have

$$\sigma(\varphi_\psi(v \otimes {}^*w)) = \varphi_\psi(w \otimes {}^*v) \tag{3.4}$$

for $v, w \in V$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Trd}_{\mathrm{End}_D(V)}(\varphi_\psi(v \otimes {}^*w)) = \mathrm{Trd}_D(\psi(w, v))$ for $v, w \in V$.

In [3], we established a relation between quadratic pairs and generalized quadratic forms.

Theorem 3.1. *To every generalized quadratic form (V, ψ, Q), a quadratic pair (σ, f) can be associated on $\mathrm{End}_D(V)$, where σ is the adjoint involution to ψ and f is defined by*

(1) $f(vd\otimes^* v)=\mathrm{Trd}_D(dQ(v))$ *for all* $v\in V$ *and* $d\in \mathrm{Sym}(D,^*)$*;*
(2) $f(x\otimes^* y+y\otimes^* x)=\mathrm{Trd}_D(\psi(x,y))$ *for all* $x,y\in V$*.*

The pair (σ,f) *is called the adjoint quadratic pair.*

From [3], we recall the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Every quadratic pair on* $\mathrm{End}_D(V)$ *is associated to a unique generalized quadratic form up to a scalar.*

We now have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The quadratic pair associated to the orthogonal sum of two generalized quadratic forms is the orthogonal sum of the associated quadratic pairs.*

Proof. Let (V,ψ,Q) and (W,ψ',Q') be two generalized quadratic forms. We can construct two algebras with quadratic pairs: $(\mathrm{End}_D(V),\sigma_\psi,f_Q)$ and $(\mathrm{End}_D(W),\sigma_{\psi'},f_{Q'})$. We know that $\mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W)$ is an $\mathrm{End}_D(W)$-$\mathrm{End}_D(V)$ bimodule and $\mathrm{Hom}_D(W,V)$ is an $\mathrm{End}_D(V)$-$\mathrm{End}_D(W)$ bimodule. Let

$$\nu:\mathrm{Hom}_D(W,V)\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W) \tag{3.5}$$

be defined by the condition

$$\psi(h(w),v)=\psi'(w,\nu(h)(v))\quad \forall h\in \mathrm{Hom}_D(W,V). \tag{3.6}$$

We can easily verify that

$$\big((\mathrm{End}_D(V),\sigma_\psi),(\mathrm{End}_D(W),\sigma_{\psi'}),\mathrm{Hom}(W,V),\mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W),g,h,\nu\big) \tag{3.7}$$

is a Morita equivalence (with the same notation as in Section 2), and

$$\mathrm{End}_D(V\oplus W)\simeq \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{End}_D(V) & \mathrm{Hom}_D(W,V)\\ \mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W) & \mathrm{End}_D(W)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using this isomorphism, we deduce that the quadratic pair $(\sigma_{\psi\oplus\psi'},f_{Q\oplus Q'})$ corresponds to the orthogonal sum of quadratic pairs (σ_ψ,f_Q) and $(\sigma_{\psi'},f_{Q'})$. In fact, for

$$\begin{pmatrix} f & h\\ \ell & g\end{pmatrix}\in \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{End}_D(V) & \mathrm{Hom}_D(W,V)\\ \mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W) & \mathrm{End}_D(W)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.9}$$

we want to show that

$$(\sigma_\psi\oplus\sigma_{\psi'})\begin{pmatrix} f & h\\ \ell & g\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_\psi(f) & \nu^{-1}(\ell)\\ \nu(h) & \sigma_{\psi'}(g)\end{pmatrix}=\sigma_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\begin{pmatrix} f & h\\ l & g\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.10}$$

that is, if we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} f & h \\ \ell & g \end{pmatrix} : V \oplus W \longrightarrow V \oplus W \\ (x,y) \longmapsto \big(f(x)+h(y), \ell(x)+g(y)\big), \tag{3.11}$$

then we have to show that

$$(\psi \oplus \psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f & h \\ \ell & g \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ = (\psi \oplus \psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\psi(f) & \nu^{-1}(\ell) \\ \nu(h) & \sigma_{\psi'}(g) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

We have

$$(\psi \oplus \psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f & h \\ \ell & g \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ = (\psi \oplus \psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f(x_2)+f(y_2) \\ \ell(x_2)+g(y_2) \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ = \psi\big(x_1, f(x_2)+h(y_2)\big) + \psi'\big(y_1, \ell(x_2)+g(y_2)\big). \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\psi \oplus \psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\psi(f)(x_1)+\nu^{-1}(\ell)(y_1) \\ \nu(h)(x_1)+\sigma_{\psi'}(g)(y_1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ = \psi\big(\sigma_\psi(f)(x_1), x_2\big) + \psi\big(\nu^{-1}(\ell)(y_1), x_2\big) \\ + \psi'\big(\nu(h)(x_1), y_2\big) \\ + \psi'\big(\sigma_{\psi'}(g)(y_1), y_2\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Now $\nu : \operatorname{Hom}_D(W,V) \to \operatorname{Hom}_D(V,W)$ has the property that

$$\psi\big(h(w), v\big) = \psi'\big(w, \nu(h)(v)\big) \tag{3.15}$$

for all $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_D(W,V)$. Since ν is bijective, $h = \nu^{-1}(\ell)$ for some $\ell \in \operatorname{Hom}_D(V,W)$, and we have that

$$\psi\big(\nu^{-1}(\ell)(w), v\big) = \psi'\big(w, \ell(v)\big) \tag{3.16}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathrm{Hom}_D(V,W)$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi\oplus\psi')&\left(\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_\psi(f)(x_1)+\nu^{-1}(\ell)(y_1)\\ \nu(h)(x_1)+\sigma_{\psi'}(g)(y_1)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)\\
&=\psi(\sigma_\psi(f)(x_1),x_2)+\psi'(y_1,\ell(x_2))\\
&\quad+\psi(x_1,h(y_2))+\psi'(\sigma_{\psi'}(g)(y_1),y_2)\\
&=\psi(x_1,f(x_2))+\psi'(y_1,\ell(x_2))\\
&\quad+\psi(x_1,h(y_2))+\psi'(y_1,g(y_2))\\
&=\psi(x_1,f(x_2)+h(y_2))+\psi'(y_1,\ell(x_2)+g(y_2))\\
&=(\psi\oplus\psi')\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ y_1\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}f & h\\ \ell & g\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

and this proves (3.12).

Observe that $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathrm{End}_D(V\oplus W),\sigma_{\psi\oplus\psi'})$ is linearly generated by elements of the two following types.

Type 1. The first type of generators is

$$\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix}d\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x\\ y\end{pmatrix}\right)=\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_\psi(xd\otimes{}^*x) & xd\psi'(y,\cdot)\\ yd\psi(x,\cdot) & \varphi_{\psi'}(yd\otimes{}^*y)\end{pmatrix}.\tag{3.18}$$

Type 2. The second type is

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}&\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ y_1\end{pmatrix}\right)\\
&=\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)+\sigma\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

For two symmetric elements f and g, we have, by definition,

$$f_Q\oplus f_{Q'}\begin{pmatrix}f & h\\ \nu(h) & g\end{pmatrix}=f_Q(f)+f_{Q'}(g).\tag{3.20}$$

So it suffices to show the following equality:

$$f_{Q\oplus Q'}\begin{pmatrix}f & h\\ \nu(h) & g\end{pmatrix}=f_Q(f)+f_{Q'}(g),\tag{3.21}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f & h \\ \nu(h) & g \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.22}$$

is a generator of Type 1 or Type 2.

We have the identification

$$\begin{gathered}(V \oplus V') \otimes_D {}^*(V \oplus V') \longmapsto \operatorname{End}_D(V \oplus V'), \\ (V \oplus V') \otimes_D {}^*(V \oplus V') = (V \otimes {}^*V) \oplus (V \otimes {}^*V') \oplus (V' \otimes {}^*V) \oplus (V' \otimes {}^*V').\end{gathered} \tag{3.23}$$

The definition of $\varphi_{\psi \oplus \psi'}$ implies that

$$\varphi_{\psi \oplus \psi'}(x_1 \otimes {}^*x_2)\begin{pmatrix} v \\ v' \end{pmatrix} = x_1(\psi \oplus \psi')(x_2, v) = x_2\psi(x_2, v) \tag{3.24}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V$, and it follows that

$$\varphi_{\psi \oplus \psi'}(x_1 \otimes {}^*x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\psi(x_1 \otimes {}^*x_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.25}$$

Now take $x \in V$, $y \in V'$, and $d \in \operatorname{Sym}(D, *)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\psi \oplus \psi'}&\left(\varphi_{\psi \oplus \psi'}\left[\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \cdot d \otimes {}^*\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right]\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Trd}_D\left(d \cdot (Q + Q')\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Trd}_D(d \cdot Q(x)) + \operatorname{Trd}_D(d \cdot Q'(y)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

by the definition of the associated quadratic pair.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\psi \oplus f_{\psi'}&\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_\psi(xd \otimes {}^*x) & xd\psi'(y, \cdot) \\ yd\psi(x, \cdot) & \varphi_{\psi'}(yd \otimes {}^*y) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f_\psi(\varphi_\psi(xd \otimes {}^*x)) + f_{\psi'}(\varphi_{\psi'}(yd \otimes {}^*y)) \\ &= \operatorname{Trd}_D(dQ(x)) + \operatorname{Trd}_D(dQ'(y)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

which implies that (3.21) holds for Type 1 generators of $\operatorname{Sym}(\operatorname{End}_D(V \oplus W), \sigma_{\psi \oplus \psi'})$. Now take $x_1, x_2 \in V$ and $y_1, y_2 \in V'$. Since $(\sigma_{\psi \oplus \psi'}, f_{\psi \oplus \psi'})$ is a quadratic pair, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=f_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\sigma\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Trd}_{\operatorname{End}_D(V\oplus V')}\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}\right)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi\oplus\psi'\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi(x_2,x_1)\right)+\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi'(y_2,y_1)\right)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi(x_1,x_2)\right)+\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi'(y_1,y_2)\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_\psi\oplus f_{\psi'}\left(\varphi_{\psi\oplus\psi'}\left(\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}x_2\\y_2\end{pmatrix}\otimes{}^*\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\y_1\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)\\
&\quad=f_\psi\left(x_1\otimes{}^*x_2+x_2\otimes{}^*x_1\right)+f_{\psi'}\left(y_1\otimes{}^*y_2+y_2\otimes{}^*y_1\right)\\
&\quad=\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi(x_1,x_2)\right)+\operatorname{Trd}_D\left(\psi'(y_1,y_2)\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

which implies that (3.21) also holds for Type 2 generators, and this completes our proof. □

Assume that (σ,f), (σ',f'), and (σ'',f'') are quadratic pairs on A such that

$$(\sigma,f)\perp(\sigma',f')\simeq(\sigma,f)\perp(\sigma'',f'').\tag{3.30}$$

Does this imply that $(\sigma',f')\simeq(\sigma'',f'')$?

Proposition 3.4. *There is no Witt cancellation theorem for quadratic pairs in characteristic* 2.

Counterexample 3.5. Let k be a field of characteristic 2 and $F=k(x,y,z,t)$. We consider the quadratic forms

$$q=\langle 1,1,x,y\rangle[1,t],\qquad q'=\langle 1,1,x,z\rangle[1,t],\qquad q''=\langle 1,x,y,yz\rangle[1,t]\tag{3.31}$$

(see [1, page 5] for notation). Then $q\perp q'$ and $q\perp q''$ are isometric up to a scalar factor, but q' and q'' are not isometric up to a scalar factor since the first form is isotropic whereas the second is anisotropic. We conclude that, in general, there is no Witt cancellation theorem for quadratic pairs.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor J. P. Tignol for his remarks and suggestions.

References

[1] R. Baeza, *Quadratic Forms over Semilocal Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 655, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

[2] I. Dejaiffe, *Somme orthogonale d'algèbres à involution et algèbre de Clifford* [*Orthogonal sum of involution algebras and Clifford algebra*], Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 5, 1589–1612 (French).

[3] M. A. Elomary and J.-P. Tignol, *Classification of quadratic forms over skew fields of characteristic* 2, J. Algebra **240** (2001), no. 1, 366–392.

[4] H. Gross, *Quadratic Forms in Infinite-Dimensional Vector Spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 1, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 1979.

[5] M.-A. Knus, A. S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The Book of Involutions*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998.

Mohamed Abdou Elomary: Département de Mathématiques, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

Current address: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Moulay Ismail, BP 509 Boutalamine, 52000 Errachidia, Morocco

E-mail address: elomary@math.net