

***k*-COMPLEMENTING SUBSETS OF NONNEGATIVE INTEGERS**

AUGUSTINE O. MUNAGI

Received 9 September 2004

A collection $\{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$ of nonempty sets is called a complementing system of subsets for a set X of nonnegative integers if every element of X can be uniquely expressed as a sum of elements of the sets S_1, S_2, \dots . We present a complete characterization of all complementing systems of subsets for the set of the first n nonnegative integers as well as an explicit enumeration formula.

1. Introduction

Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$ represent a collection of nonempty sets of nonnegative integers in which each member contains the integer 0. Then S is called a *complementing system of subsets* for $X \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots\}$ if every $x \in X$ can be uniquely represented as $x = s_1 + s_2 + \dots$ with $s_i \in S_i$. We will also write $X = S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots$ and, when necessary, refer to X as the direct sum of the S_i .

We will denote the set of all complementing systems for X by $CS(X)$. Then $\{X\} \in CS(X) \neq \emptyset$.

If there is a positive integer k such that $X = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k$, then $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ will be called a *k-complementing system of subsets*, or a *complementing k-tuple*, for X .

Denote the set of all complementing k -tuples for X by $CS(k, X)$.

We will address the problem of characterizing all $S \in CS(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$, where $\mathbb{N}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. The corresponding more general problem for $CS(\mathbb{N})$ was solved by de Bruijn [2], where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Long [4] has given a complete solution for $CS(2, \mathbb{N}_n)$. Since the appearance of Long's paper, no progress seems to have been made to solve the problem for $k > 2$. Tijdeman [6] gives a survey of the evolution of this problem and related work.

In Section 2, we give an alternative proof of Long's theorem (Theorem 2.5) followed in Section 3 by its natural extension (Theorem 3.2) and a general structure theorem for $CS(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ (Theorem 3.5).

A complementing system $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\} \in CS(\mathbb{N})$ will be called *usual* if for any sequence g_1, g_2, \dots ($g_i > 1$) of integers, each $S_i \in S$ is given by

$$S_i = \{0, m_{i-1}, 2m_{i-1}, \dots, (g_i - 1)m_{i-1}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $m_0 = 1, m_i = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_i (i > 0)$. We will refer to the collection $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_i, \dots\}$ as the complementing system corresponding to (or generated by) the integers g_1, g_2, \dots

We will denote the set of all usual complementing systems of subsets for \mathbb{N} by $\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$. For positive integers a and c , the set $U = \{0, a, 2a, \dots, (c - 1)a\}$ will be called a *simplex*, written additively (after Tijdeman [6]). We will adopt the notation $U = [a, c]$. Thus, by (1.1) every member of a usual complementing system is a simplex.

We can derive usual complementing systems of subsets for \mathbb{N}_n from the following adaptation of a theorem of Long [4].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $n = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k (g_i > 1)$ represent any factorization of n as a product of positive integers and let the sets S_1, \dots, S_k be defined as in (1.1). Then $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$.*

Proof. If $k = 1$, then $n = g_1$ and $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} = \{S_1\}$ which is clearly a complementing system. For $k = 2$ we have $n = g_1 g_2$ and, by (1.1), $i = 1$ gives $S_1 = [1, g_2]$. If $i = 2$, then for $\{S_1, S_2\}$ to form a complementing pair for \mathbb{N}_n , the least nonzero element of S_2 must be g_1 (since S_1 already contains $0, 1, \dots, g_1 - 1$) and thenceforth elements of S_2 must be consecutively spaced g_1 apart. This shows that S_2 has the form $S_2 = [g_1, g_2]$. Assume that the proposition holds for some fixed integer v and consider the system $\{S_1, \dots, S_v, S_{v+1}\}$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\{S_1, \dots, S_v\} \in \text{UCS}([1, m_v])$. So $\{S_1, \dots, S_v, S_{v+1}\}$ is equivalent to $\{[1, m_v], S_{v+1}\}$; and the case for $k = 2$ shows that S_{v+1} has the required form. Hence the theorem is proved by mathematical induction. \square

Remarks 1.2. (i) The proof of Theorem 1.1 also shows that if the sequence g_1, g_2, \dots generates $\{S_1, S_2, \dots\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$, then every partial sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k generates $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ with $n = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k$ such that $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \cup \{S_{k+1}, \dots\} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$, where S_i is given by (1.1), for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and for $i > k$ by $S_{k+j} = [m_{k+j-1}, g_{k+j}] (j = 1, 2, \dots)$. Moreover, $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \subset \{S_1, \dots, S_{k+1}\}$ for every k .

(ii) It is clear that $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ implies that $aS = \{aS_1, \dots, aS_k\} \in a\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) (1 \leq a < \infty)$, where $aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\}$. It is natural to define $a\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) = \text{UCS}(a\mathbb{N}_n)$. Usual complementing systems for finite sets will be taken to include all of the systems $aS = \{aS_1, \dots, aS_k\} \in \text{UCS}(a\mathbb{N}_n) (a \geq 1)$.

(iii) It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $|\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| = f(n)$, where $f(n)$ denotes the number of ordered factorizations of n . A simple bijection is as follows: given any ordered factorization $n = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k$, then $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k \leftrightarrow \{[m_0, g_1], [m_1, g_2], \dots, [m_{k-1}, g_k]\}$.

$f(n)$ can be computed using the recurrence [5, 7]

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d), \tag{1.2}$$

where $f(1) = 1$ and the sum is over divisors d of $n, d < n$.

If n has the prime factorization $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_r^{x_r}, 1 < p_1 < \cdots < p_r, x_i \geq 1$, then $f(n)$ can also be found using MacMahon's formula [7]:

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{x_j + k - i - 1}{x_j}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Omega(n) = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$.

We deduce at once that $|\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| = f(n, k)$, where $\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ denotes the set of usual k -complementing systems of subsets for \mathbb{N}_n and $f(n, k)$ is the number of ordered k -factorizations of n .

It follows from (1.3) (see also [1, page 59]) that $f(n, k)$ can be computed from the formula

$$f(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{x_j + k - i - 1}{x_j}. \tag{1.4}$$

Definition 1.3. Let $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\} \in \text{CS}(\mathbb{N})$. Then every partition p of the set $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ of subscripts of members of S induces a $T = \{T_1, T_2, \dots\} \in \text{CS}(\mathbb{N})$ with the property that each $T_j = S_{j_1} \oplus S_{j_2} \oplus \dots$ where j_1, j_2, \dots belong to a certain class of p . de Bruijn [2] is followed and T is called a *degeneration* of S . When necessary, T is also said to be induced by the partition or shape p , without reference to S .

The following fundamental classification theorem [2] for complementing systems of subsets for \mathbb{N} , which also applies to \mathbb{N}_n via Theorem 1.1, is crucial to all what follows.

THEOREM 1.4 (N. G. de Bruijn). *Every complementing system of subsets for \mathbb{N} is the degeneration of a usual complementing system.*

Other relevant properties of usual complementing systems are summarized in the next theorem.

THEOREM 1.5. (i) *A collection of sets S is a usual complementing system for a finite set if and only if $S \in \text{CS}(X)$, where X is a simplex.*

(ii) *Let $X = [a_1, c_1] \oplus \dots \oplus [a_k, c_k]$. Then X is a simplex if and only if the $[a_i, c_i]$ are consecutive simplices of some $S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$.*

(iii) *The simplex $[a, n]$ ($a \geq 1, n \geq 2$) is the direct sum of more than one simplex if and only if n is composite.*

(iv) *For $S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$ to be the degeneration of $T \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$, where $S \neq T$, it is necessary and sufficient that some member of S has composite cardinality.*

Proof. (i) If S is a usual complementing system for a finite set, then S is generated by a finite sequence of positive integers. Thus by Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2(i), $S \in \text{UCS}(X)$, where $X = [1, n]$ for some n . Conversely, if $S \in \text{CS}([a, n])$, where a and n are positive integers, then by Remark 1.2(ii) we can form $\{H_1, \dots, H_v\} \in \text{UCS}([1, n])$. Thus $S = \{aH_1, \dots, aH_v\}$.

(ii) This follows from Remark 1.2(i) and part (i).

(iii) By Theorem 1.1, $\{S_1, S_2\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) \Leftrightarrow n = |S_1 \oplus S_2| = |S_1| |S_2|$; $\mathbb{N}_n = [1, n]$ and $[a, n] = a[1, n]$.

(iv) This follows from part (iii). □

Remark and Definition 1.6. Theorem 1.5(iv) implies that a fixed $P \in \text{CS}(\mathbb{N})$ is not the nontrivial degeneration of any $T \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if each $P_i \in P$ has prime cardinality, that is, P is a usual complementing system generated by a sequence of prime numbers. P will be called a *prime complementing system* of subsets for \mathbb{N} .

Hence we have the following.

COROLLARY 1.7. *Every usual complementing system of subsets is a degeneration of a prime complementing system.*

We can now state the following complementing subset-systems analogue of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

THEOREM 1.8. *Every complementing system of subsets is a degeneration of a prime complementing system.*

Proof. The theorem follows by transitivity from Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.7. □

Remarks 1.9. (i) Denote the set of prime complementing systems for \mathbb{N} by $\text{PCS}(\mathbb{N})$. It is clear that there are strict inclusions: $\text{PCS}(\mathbb{N}) \subset \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}) \subset \text{CS}(\mathbb{N})$.

(ii) Theorem 1.8 guarantees that to generate all complementing systems via degenerations it suffices to use the minimal generating set $\text{PCS}(\mathbb{N})$ rather than the whole $\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N})$. The same remark applies to the finite case for the corresponding sets $\text{PCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$, $\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$, and $\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$. $\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) = \text{PCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ if and only if n is prime.

(iii) If n has the prime factorization $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_r^{x_r}$, $1 < p_1 < \cdots < p_r$, $x_i \geq 1$, then it follows from Remark 1.2(iii) that $|\text{PNS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| = (x_1 + \cdots + x_r)! / x_1! \cdots x_r!$.

2. Complementing pairs and the theorem of C. T. Long

Let $\text{SP}(m)$ denote the set of all partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ so that $|\text{SP}(m)| = B(m)$, the m th Bell number. Also let $\text{SP}(m, k)$ denote the set of all k -partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ so that $|\text{SP}(m, k)| = s_2(m, k)$, a Stirling number of the second kind.

An element p of $\text{SP}(m, k)$ will be called nonconsecutive if no member of p contains a pair of consecutive integers. Let $\text{NC}(m, k)$ denote the set of all nonconsecutive k -partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and let $\text{nc}(m, k) = |\text{NC}(m, k)|$.

THEOREM 2.1. *$\text{nc}(m, k)$ satisfies the following recurrence:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nc}(m, k) &= \text{nc}(m - 1, k - 1) + (k - 1)\text{nc}(m - 1, k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \\ \text{nc}(1, 1) &= 1, \quad \text{nc}(2, 1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. To find a $p \in \text{NC}(m, k)$ ($m > k > 2$), we can either insert the singleton $\{m\}$ into any $p \in \text{NC}(m - 1, k - 1)$ or put the integer m into any $k - 1$ members of a $p \in \text{NC}(m - 1, k)$ which do not contain $m - 1$. There are clearly $(k - 1)\text{nc}(m - 1, k)$ possibilities in the second case. Hence the main result follows. The boundary conditions are clear from the definition and imply that $\text{nc}(m, 1) = 0$ ($m \neq 1$), $\text{nc}(m, m) = 1$. □

Remark 2.2. A close observation of Table 2.1 shows that the $\text{nc}(m, k)$ are just Stirling numbers of the second kind which have been shifted one step to the right and one step down, that is,

$$s_2(m, k) = \text{nc}(m + 1, k + 1), \quad k \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Table 2.1. Values of $nc(m, k)$ for $m = 1, \dots, 10, k = 1, \dots, 10$.

$m \backslash k$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	1	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	1	15	25	10	1	0	0	0	0
7	0	1	31	90	65	15	1	0	0	0
8	0	1	63	301	350	140	21	1	0	0
9	0	1	127	966	1701	1050	266	28	1	0
10	0	1	255	3025	7770	6951	2646	462	36	1

Thus

$$nc(m, k) = s2(m - 1, k - 1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \tag{2.3}$$

Indeed $nc(1, 1) = 1 = s2(0, 0)$, $nc(2, 1) = 0 = s2(1, 0)$, and $nc(2, 2) = 1 = s2(1, 1)$.

Assume that (2.3) holds for all positive integers up to m . Then Theorem 2.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} nc(m + 1, k) &= nc(m, k - 1) + (k - 1) \cdot nc(m, k) \\ &= s2(m - 1, k - 2) + (k - 1)s2(m - 1, k - 1) = s2(m, k - 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the second equality follows from the inductive hypothesis and the last equality follows from the usual recurrence for $s2(m, k)$. Thus (2.3) is also established by mathematical induction.

Hence the standard formula [3, page 251]

$$s2(m, k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i} i^m}{k!} \binom{k}{i} \quad (m, k \geq 0) \tag{2.5}$$

yields the following corresponding formula:

$$nc(m, k) = \sum_{c=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1-c} c^{m-1}}{(k-1)!} \binom{k-1}{c}, \quad nc(1, 1) = 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \tag{2.6}$$

If $b^*(m)$ denotes the total number of nonconsecutive partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then it is easily deduced from (2.3) that

$$b^*(m) = B(m - 1), \quad m \geq 1, \tag{2.7}$$

where $B(m)$ denotes the m th Bell number.

LEMMA 2.3. $nc(m, 2) = 1, m > 1$.

Proof. This follows from (2.6) or, more completely, from the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Notation 2.4. Given any $S \in \text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$, let $\text{Degen}(S)$ denote the set of all degenerations of S . Let $\text{Degen}(S, k)$ denote the set of all k -degenerations of S and let $\text{degen}(S, k)$ be an element of $\text{Degen}(S, k)$. Theorem 1.4 says that $\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n) = \cup(\text{Degen}(S), S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n))$, and implies that $\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n) = \cup(\text{Degen}(S, k), S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ and $|S| \geq k$).

It is now straightforward to deduce the following characterization theorem [4, Theorems 1 and 2] for complementing pairs for \mathbb{N}_n .

THEOREM 2.5 (C. T. Long). (i) $\{A, B\} \in \text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)$ ($n \geq 2$) if and only if there exists a sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_ν of integers corresponding to the factorization $n = g_1 \cdots g_\nu$ of n such that A and B are sets of all finite sums of the form

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\nu-1)/2 \rfloor} x_{2i} m_{2i}, \quad b = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\nu-2)/2 \rfloor} x_{2i+1} m_{2i+1}, \tag{2.8}$$

respectively, with $m_0 = 1$, $m_i = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_i$, and $0 \leq x_i < m_{i+1}$.

(ii) $|\text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)| = f(n) - 1$, where $f(n)$ is the number of ordered factorizations of n .

Proof. (i) This follows from the fact that the unique shape $p = \{\{1, 3, \dots\}, \{2, 4, \dots\}\}$ given by Lemma 2.3 induces $\text{degen}(S, 2) = \{S_1 \oplus S_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\lfloor (\nu-1)/2 \rfloor}, S_2 \oplus S_4 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\lfloor (\nu-2)/2 \rfloor}\}$ for every $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_\nu\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$, $\nu = 2, 3, \dots, \Omega(n)$. For a fixed $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_\nu\}$, any other partition q induces $\text{degen}(S, 2)$ if and only if $\{u, u+2, \dots, x, x+1, \dots\} \in q$ ($u \in \{1, 2\}$) if and only if $S_x \oplus S_{x+1}$ is a simplex by Theorem 1.5(ii) if and only if there exists some $T \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ such that $\nu > |T| \geq 2$ and $\text{degen}(S, 2) = \text{degen}(T, 2)$ such that $\text{degen}(T, 2)$ is induced by p . Thus the action of p on each $S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ with $|S| > 1$ contributes a unique member to $\text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)$.

(ii) In Remark 1.2(iii) we showed that $|\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| = f(n)$ and, by part (i), $|\text{Degen}(S, 2)| = 1$ for every $S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ with $|S| > 1$. \square

Remark 2.6. In his original theorem, Long [4] states the result of Theorem 2.5(ii) as $|\text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)| = f(n)$ such that $\{\mathbb{N}_n\} = \{\{0\}, \mathbb{N}_n\} \in \text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)$. However, the strict form given above is more suitable for generalization as shown below.

3. Essential complementing k -tuples and a structure theorem

Definition 3.1. Let $S \in \text{UCS}(\nu, \mathbb{N}_n)$, $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, \Omega(n)$. Then any $T \in \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ ($1 < k \leq \nu$) will be called essential if it is induced by the partition p of $\{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$ into a complete set of residue classes, modulo k . p is also referred to as essential.

Denote the set of essential k -complementing systems of subsets for \mathbb{N}_n by $\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$. Then $\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n) \neq \emptyset$ since $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \in \text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$. Thus $\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) \subseteq \text{ECS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$.

We have the following natural extension of Long's theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. (i) $\{T_1, \dots, T_k\} \in \text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ ($n \geq 2$) if and only if there exists a sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_ν of integers corresponding to the factorization $n = g_1 \cdots g_\nu$ ($k \leq \nu$) of n such that

each set T_i consists of all finite sums of the form

$$t_i = \sum_{j \geq 0} x_{k+j} m_{k+j}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $m_0 = 1$, $m_i = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_i$, and $0 \leq x_i < m_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

(ii) $|\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| = \sum_{i=k}^{\Omega(n)} f(n, i)$, where $f(n, k)$ is the number of ordered k -factorizations of n .

Proof. (i) For each k and essential partition p of $\{1, \dots, v \mid v \geq k\}$ there is an injective degeneration map

$$\text{dgn}(p) : \{S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) \mid |S| \geq k\} \longrightarrow \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n). \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed $\text{dgn}(p)(S) \neq \text{dgn}(p)(H) \Rightarrow \{T_i = \oplus S_r \mid r \equiv i \pmod{k}\} \neq \{T_i = \oplus H_r \mid r \equiv i \pmod{k}\} \Rightarrow S \neq H$. Hence $\text{dgn}(p)$ is a well-defined mapping. The injectivity of $\text{dgn}(p)$ is easily established by following the above implications backward (see also the statement immediately following (3.4) below).

The image of $\text{dgn}(p)$ is clearly $\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$. We see that the restriction of $\text{dgn}(p)$ to $\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ is the identity map.

(ii) By part (i) and Remark 1.2(iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| &= |\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| + |\text{ECS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n) - \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| \\ &= f(n, k) + \sum_{i>k} f(n, i). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

□

We next define the class vector of a set partition [8].

Definitions 3.3. The class vector of a k -partition of $\{1, \dots, v\}$ is the v -vector (e_1, \dots, e_v) in which $e_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and e_i belongs to class i for each i .

For example the partition $\{\{1, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{4, 6\}\} \in \text{SP}(7, 3)$ is represented by the class vector $(1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 1)$.

Thus if (e_1, \dots, e_w) represents $p \in \text{NC}(w, k)$, then $e_i \neq e_{i+1}$ for all $i, 1 \leq i < w$; but if $w \leq v$ and (e_1, \dots, e_v) represents $q \in \text{SP}(v, k) - \text{NC}(w, k)$, then $e_i = e_{i+1} = \dots = e_{i+c}$ ($0 < c < v$) for some i .

Thus for $1 \leq k \leq w \leq v$, the contraction map

$$F : \text{SP}(v, k) \longrightarrow \text{NC}(w, k) \tag{3.4}$$

can be defined by setting $F(q) = q$ if $q \in \text{NC}(w, k)$ and $F(q) = p$ if p is represented by the class vector obtained from the class vector h_q of q by replacing every sequence of equal and consecutive components $e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_{i+c} \in h_q$ with the common value e_i .

It follows that the restriction of F to $\text{NC}(w, k)$ is the identity map.

Since every essential partition p (represented by the class vector $\{1, 2, \dots, k, 1, 2, \dots, k, 1, 2, \dots\}$) also belongs to $\text{NC}(w, k)$, the last sentence implies that the map (3.2) is indeed injective.

Remark 3.4. Theorem 2.5 also follows from Theorem 3.2 by setting $k = 2$ and noting that the degeneration map (3.2) is then surjective. To see this let $T \in \text{CS}(2, \mathbb{N}_n)$. Then by Theorem 1.4 there exists $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_\nu\} \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$, $\nu \geq 2$, and a map $\text{dgn}(q)$ such that $\text{dgn}(q)(S, k) = T$. But Lemma 2.3 shows that $|\text{NC}(w, 2)| = 1$ which, by (3.4), implies that $q = p$. Hence (3.2) is surjective.

We now turn to the problem of characterizing all complementing k -tuples for \mathbb{N}_n . First we observe that it is not possible to state a simple rule for all $T \in \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$, $k > 2$, as appeared in (3.1) since the sets in a general $p \in \text{SP}(\nu, k)$ ($\nu > k$) can be constituted quite arbitrarily.

Theorem 1.4 implies that every $T \in \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ is induced by some $p \in \text{SP}(\nu, k)$, $k \leq \nu$. But operationally we need only $\text{NC}(\nu, k)$, and not $\text{SP}(\nu, k)$, to determine all of $\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$, using (3.2), in view of the surjective contraction map (3.4) and the fact that Theorem 1.5(ii) enables the automatic coupling of consecutive simplices thus making all partitions in $\text{SP}(\nu, k) - \text{NC}(\nu, k)$ redundant. Hence the partitions in $\text{NC}(\nu, k)$ effectively account for all $S \in \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$, for each $\nu \geq k$.

Since $\text{nc}(k, k) = 1$ and the singleton $\text{NC}(k, k)$ contains the essential partition, it follows from (3.2) that every map $\text{dgn}(q)$ in which $q \in \text{NC}(\nu, k)$ is not the essential partition is necessarily defined on the reduced domain $\{S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) \mid |S| > k\}$. Hence for each ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq \Omega(n)$), there exist precisely $\text{nc}(\nu, k)$ maps, $\text{dgn}(p)$, with $p \in \text{NC}(\nu, k)$; and so by Remark 1.2(iii), there is a total of $f(n, \nu) \text{nc}(\nu, k)$ contributions to $\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$. Thus $|\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)|$ may be found by summing $f(n, \nu) \text{nc}(\nu, k)$ over ν .

Hence we obtain the following structure theorem for $\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$.

THEOREM 3.5. (i) $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k\} \in \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ ($n \geq 2$) if and only if there exists a sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_ν of integers corresponding to the factorization $n = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\nu$ of n such that each set T_i is given by all finite sums of the form

$$t_i = \sum_{j \geq 0} x_j m_j, \quad j \in p_i \in p \in \text{NC}(\nu, k), \quad \nu \geq k, \tag{3.5}$$

where $m_0 = 1$, $m_i = g_1 g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_i$, $0 \leq x_i < m_{i+1}$, $p_i \subset \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$, and $\text{NC}(\nu, k)$ is the set of all k -partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}$ in which no member of each partition contains a pair of consecutive integers.

(ii)

$$|\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\Omega(n)} f(n, \nu) \text{nc}(\nu, k) = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\Omega(n)} f(n, \nu) s_2(\nu - 1, k - 1), \tag{3.6}$$

where the second equality follows from (2.3) and $f(n, k)$ denotes the number of ordered k -factorizations of n .

Remark 3.6. (i) Theorem 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.5 by noting that the essential partition p is the unique member of $\text{NC}(v, k)$ such that $\text{dgn}(p)$ is defined on the maximal domain $\{S \in \text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) \mid |S| \geq k\}$ which forces $\text{nc}(v, k) = 1$ for all $v \geq k$.

(ii) We observe that any fixed $S \in \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ gives rise, via degenerations, to a total of $B(k)$ complementing systems $T \in \text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$. In particular, if n is a prime power, then a single Bell number counts the whole of $\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)$ in view of Theorem 1.8, that is,

$$|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| = B(r), \quad n = p^r. \tag{3.7}$$

(iii) Furthermore, $n = p^r$ has a unique ordered prime factorization, which implies that $\text{PCS}(\mathbb{N}_n) = \{S = \{[1, p], [p, p], \dots, [p^{r-1}, p]\}\}$. By Theorem 1.5(ii) each $T \in \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)$ is a degeneration of S induced by a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$ into subsets of consecutive integers. For each k ($1 \leq k \leq r$) this corresponds to the process of putting $k - 1$ slashes into any of the $r - 1$ possible spaces between r identical symbols. Thus $|\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)|$ is counted by the number of k -compositions of r [1, page 55]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)| &= \binom{r-1}{k-1}, \quad n = p^r \ (r > 0), \\ \implies |\text{UCS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| &= 2^{r-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

(iv) Thus the function $B(m) - 2^{m-1}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ [5] also counts the complementing systems of subsets for $\{0, 1, \dots, p^m - 1\}$ in which at least one member is not a simplex or, equivalently, the partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ in which at least one class of each partition contains a pair of nonconsecutive integers.

(v) Formula (3.7) can be generalized by summing $|\text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_n)|$ over k , $1 \leq k \leq \Omega(n)$, to give

$$|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_n)| = \sum_{v=1}^{\Omega(n)} f(n, v) b^*(v) = \sum_{v=1}^{\Omega(n)} f(n, v) B(v-1), \tag{3.9}$$

where $b^*(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Omega(n)} \text{nc}(v, k)$ and the second equality follows from (2.7).

(vi) Taking (3.9) in conjunction with (3.7) we have $B(r) = \sum_{v=1}^r f(n, v) B(v-1)$, where $n = p^r$; and since $f(n, v) = \binom{r-1}{v-1}$ from (3.8), we obtain the familiar recurrence for the Bell numbers:

$$B(r) = \sum_{v=1}^r \binom{r-1}{v-1} B(v-1) = \sum_{v=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{v} B(v). \tag{3.10}$$

The distributions of $|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_{32})|$ and $|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_{60})|$ are provided as examples in Tables 3.1 and 3.2.

Table 3.1. Distribution of $|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_{32})|$.

k	1	2	3	4	5	Sum
$ \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{32}) $	1	4	6	4	1	16
$ \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{32}) - \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{32}) $	0	11	19	6	0	36
$ \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{32}) $	1	15	25	10	1	52

Table 3.2. Distribution of $|\text{CS}(\mathbb{N}_{60})|$.

k	1	2	3	4	Sum
$ \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{60}) $	1	10	21	12	44
$ \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{60}) - \text{UCS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{60}) $	0	33	36	0	69
$ \text{CS}(k, \mathbb{N}_{60}) $	1	43	57	12	113

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] N. G. de Bruijn, *On number systems*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **4** (1956), 15–17.
- [3] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1989.
- [4] C. T. Long, *Addition theorems for sets of integers*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 107–112.
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, *The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences*, Sequences A074206, A058681, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>.
- [6] R. Tijdeman, *Decomposition of the integers as a direct sum of two subsets*, Number Theory (Paris, 1992–1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 215, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 261–276.
- [7] E. W. Weisstein, *Ordered factorization*, Math World—A Wolfram Web Resource, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/OrderedFactorization.html>.
- [8] H. Wilf, *East Side, West Side—an Introduction to Combinatorial Families with Maple Programming*, online version, 2000, <http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~wilf/>.

Augustine O. Munagi: Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Akoka-Yaba, Lagos 101017, Nigeria

E-mail address: amunagi@yahoo.com