

Research Article

Regularity and Green's Relations on a Semigroup of Transformations with Restricted Range

Jintana Sanwong¹ and Worachad Sommanee²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Chiang Mai University, Chiangmai 50200, Thailand

² Department of Mathematics, Chiangmai Rajabhat University, Chiangmai 50300, Thailand

Correspondence should be addressed to Jintana Sanwong, scmti004@chiangmai.ac.th

Received 14 May 2008; Accepted 18 September 2008

Recommended by Robert Redfield

Let $T(X)$ be the full transformation semigroup on the set X and let $T(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\}$. Then $T(X, Y)$ is a sub-semigroup of $T(X)$ determined by a nonempty subset Y of X . In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T(X, Y)$ to be regular. In the case that $T(X, Y)$ is not regular, the largest regular sub-semigroup is obtained and this sub-semigroup is shown to determine the Green's relations on $T(X, Y)$. Also, a class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups of $T(X, Y)$ is obtained.

Copyright © 2008 J. Sanwong and W. Sommanee. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let Y be a nonempty subset of X and let $T(X)$ denote the semigroup of transformations from X into itself. We consider the sub-semigroup of $T(X)$ defined by

$$T(X, Y) = \{\alpha \in T(X) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\}, \quad (1.1)$$

when $X\alpha$ denotes the range of α . In fact, if $|Y| = 1$, then $T(X, Y)$ contains exactly one element (namely, the constant map with range Y) and if $Y = X$, then $T(X, Y) = T(X)$.

In 1975, Symons [1] described all the automorphisms of $T(X, Y)$ and found that the most difficult case occurs when $|Y| = 2$. He also determined when $T(X_1, Y_1)$ is isomorphic to $T(X_2, Y_2)$ and, surprisingly, the answer depends on the cardinals $|X_i|$ and $|X_i \setminus Y_i|$, not on $|Y_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Here, we study other algebraic properties of this semigroup. Recall that an element a of a semigroup S is called *regular* if $a = axa$ for some x in S . A semigroup S is *regular* if every element of S is regular. It is already known that $T(X)$ is a regular semigroup (see [[2], page 33]). But $T(X, Y)$ is not regular in general. So, in Section 2, we prove that $T(X, Y)$ is regular if and only if $|Y| = 1$ or $Y = X$. We also prove that if $T(X, Y)$ is not regular,

the set $F = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha\}$ is the largest regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$. In Section 3, we characterize the Green's relations on $T(X, Y)$ and find that its \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{J} relations are surprising, but they reduce to those on $T(X)$ when $Y = X$. And in Section 4, we give a class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups of $T(X, Y)$, of the form $F_a = \{\alpha \in F : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}$. When $Y = X$, the set $F_a = \{\alpha \in T(X) : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}$ is a class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups of $T(X)$ given in [4].

Note that throughout the paper, we write functions on the right; in particular, this means that for a composition $\alpha\beta$, α is applied first.

2. Regularity of $T(X, Y)$

To give a necessary and sufficient condition for the semigroup $T(X, Y)$ to be regular, we first note the following.

- (1) If $|Y| = 1$, say $Y = \{a\}$, then $T(X, Y)$ contains exactly one element (namely, the constant map X_a with range $\{a\}$), so $T(X, Y)$ is regular.
- (2) If $Y = X$, then $T(X, Y) = T(X)$ which is a regular semigroup.
- (3) If $|X| \leq 2$, then $|Y| = 1$ or $Y = X$, and $T(X, Y)$ is regular by (1) and (2).

Now, we need some notation. We adopt the convention introduced in ([3], page 241]), namely, if $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, then we write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

and take as understood that the subscript i belongs to some (unmentioned) index set I , the abbreviation $\{a_i\}$ denotes $\{a_i : i \in I\}$, and that $X\alpha = \{a_i\}$ and $a_i\alpha^{-1} = X_i$.

Theorem 2.1. $T(X, Y)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $|Y| = 1$ or $Y = X$.

Proof. Assume that $|Y| \neq 1$ and $Y \neq X$. Let $a, b \in Y$ be such that $a \neq b$ and choose $c \in X \setminus Y$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

be any element in $T(X, Y)$, where $X\alpha = \{y_i\} \subseteq Y$ and $X_i = y_i\alpha^{-1}$.

We define $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} c & X \setminus \{c\} \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$, and it is clear that $\beta \in T(X, Y)$.

Since $c \notin Y$, so $y_i \neq c$ for all i , and

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & X \setminus \{c\} \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ b \end{pmatrix} \neq \beta. \quad (2.3)$$

So, we conclude that $\alpha\beta \neq \beta$ for all $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, this implies that β is a nonregular element in $T(X, Y)$. Therefore, $T(X, Y)$ is not a regular semigroup. The converse is clear by the previous note. \square

Now, we consider the set

$$F = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha\}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is easy to see that $F = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : (X \setminus Y)\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha\} = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : X\alpha = Y\alpha\}$. Since $Y \neq \emptyset$, there exists $a \in Y$ and we see that the constant map X_a with range $\{a\}$ satisfies the condition in F , therefore, $X_a \in F$ and so $F \neq \emptyset$. And for each $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \in T(X, Y)$, we have $X\alpha\beta = (X\alpha)\beta \subseteq (Y\alpha)\beta = Y\alpha\beta$, and thus $\alpha\beta \in F$. This proves the following.

Lemma 2.2. *F is a right ideal of $T(X, Y)$.*

In general, F is not a left ideal of $T(X, Y)$ as shown in the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers, let Y denote the set of all positive even integers, and define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 2n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 2n & X \setminus Y \\ 2n & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$ and $\beta \in F$, but $\alpha\beta = \alpha \notin F$. Thus F is not a left ideal of $T(X, Y)$.

Theorem 2.4. *F is the largest regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, we see that F is a sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$. Let $\alpha \in F$ and write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_i\alpha^{-1} \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\bigcup_{i \in I} x_i\alpha^{-1} = X$ and $X\alpha = \{x_i : i \in I\} = Y\alpha$. For each $x \in Y\alpha$, choose $d_x \in x\alpha^{-1} \cap Y$, so $d_x\alpha = x$, and $d_y \neq d_z$, for all $y, z \in Y\alpha$ such that $y \neq z$. Choose $k \in I$ and let $J = I \setminus \{k\}$. Define

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} x_j & X \setminus \{x_j\} \\ d_{x_j} & d_{x_k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\{x_j : j \in J\} = Y\alpha \setminus \{x_k\}$. Then $\beta \in T(X, Y)$ and $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Since $X\beta = \{d_{x_i} : i \in I\} \subseteq (Y\alpha)\beta \subseteq Y\beta$, we have $\beta \in F$. Hence F is a regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$. Now, let α be any regular element in $T(X, Y)$. Then $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$, for some $\beta \in T(X, Y)$, so $X\alpha = X\alpha\beta\alpha = (X\alpha\beta)\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha$, and thus $\alpha \in F$. Therefore, F is the largest regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$ as required. \square

Note that if $Y = \{a\}$, then for each $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, we have $X\alpha = \{a\} = Y\alpha$ which implies that $F = T(X, Y)$ consists of only one element and so is a regular semigroup, and if $X = Y$, then $F = \{\alpha \in T(X, Y) : X\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha\} = \{\alpha \in T(X) : X\alpha \subseteq X\alpha\} = T(X)$ which is also a regular semigroup.

3. Green's relations on $T(X, Y)$

Let S be a semigroup. Then we define S^1 to be a semigroup of adding an identity to S if S does not already have an identity element in it and $S^1 = S$ if S contains an identity. The following definitions are due to J. A. Green. For any $a, b \in S$, we define

$$a\mathcal{L}b \text{ iff } S^1a = S^1b, \quad (3.1)$$

or equivalently; $a\mathcal{L}b$ if and only if $a = xb$, $b = ya$ for some $x, y \in S^1$.

Dually, we define

$$a\mathcal{R}b \text{ to means } aS^1 = bS^1, \quad (3.2)$$

or equivalently; $a\mathcal{R}b$ if and only if $a = bx$, $b = ay$ for some $x, y \in S^1$.

And we define

$$a\mathcal{J}b \text{ to means } S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1, \quad (3.3)$$

or equivalently; $a\mathcal{J}b$ if and only if $a = xby$, $b = uav$ for some $x, y, u, v \in S^1$.

Finally, we define $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$.

In [2, 3], Clifford and Preston characterized Green's relations on the full transformation semigroup $T(X)$, where X is an arbitrary set. They proved that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\mathcal{L}\beta & \text{ iff } X\alpha = X\beta, \\ \alpha\mathcal{R}\beta & \text{ iff } \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here, we do the same for the semigroup $T(X, Y)$ and we obtain results which generalize the same results on $T(X)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$. If $\beta \in F$, then $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ if and only if $\alpha = \gamma\beta$ for some $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let β be an element of F . It is clear that if $\alpha = \gamma\beta$ for some $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$, then $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$. Now, we assume that $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ and write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_i\alpha^{-1} \\ a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_j\beta^{-1} \\ b_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\{a_i\} \subseteq \{b_j\}$. For each $a \in X\alpha \subseteq X\beta \subseteq Y\beta$ (since $\beta \in F$), we get $a = y\beta$ for some $y \in Y$ which implies $y \in a\beta^{-1}$ and thus $y \in Y \cap a\beta^{-1} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $d_a \in Y \cap a\beta^{-1}$, so $d_a \in Y$ and $d_a\beta = a$. Since $X = \bigcup_{a_i \in X\alpha} a_i\alpha^{-1}$ is the disjoint union of the $a_i\alpha^{-1}$, we can define

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_i\alpha^{-1} \\ d_{a_i} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$ and $\gamma\beta = \alpha$. □

From now on, the notations L_α ($R_\alpha, H_\alpha, D_\alpha$) denote the set of all elements of $T(X, Y)$ which are \mathcal{L} -related (\mathcal{R} -related, \mathcal{H} -related, \mathcal{D} -related) to α , where $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$.

Theorem 3.2. For $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, the following statements hold.

- (1) If $\alpha \in F$, then $L_\alpha = \{\beta \in F : X\alpha = X\beta\}$.
- (2) If $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$, then $L_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$.

Proof. Let α be any element in $T(X, Y)$ and let $\beta \in L_\alpha$. Then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ which implies that $\alpha = \alpha'\beta$ and $\beta = \beta'\alpha$, for some $\alpha', \beta' \in T(X, Y)$ ¹.

(1) Assume that $\alpha \in F$. If $\beta = \alpha$, then $\beta \in F$ and $X\alpha = X\beta$. If $\beta \neq \alpha$, then α' and β' both belong to $T(X, Y)$. Thus $X\beta = (X\beta'\alpha')\beta \subseteq Y\beta$, and hence $\beta \in F$. From $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta = \beta'\alpha$, we get $X\beta \subseteq X\alpha$ by Lemma 3.1. Similarly, from $\beta \in F$ and $\alpha = \alpha'\beta$, we get $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$. Therefore, $X\alpha = X\beta$. Now, if $\gamma \in F$ and $X\alpha = X\gamma$, then it is clear by Lemma 3.1 that $\gamma \in L_\alpha$.

(2) Assume that $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$. If $\alpha', \beta' \in T(X, Y)$, then $X\alpha = X(\alpha'\beta) = X(\alpha'(\beta'\alpha)) = (X\alpha'\beta')\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha$. Thus $\alpha \in F$ which is a contradiction, so $\alpha' = 1$ or $\beta' = 1$ and $\beta = \alpha$. \square

We note that for any $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, $\pi_\alpha = \{(a, b) \in X \times X : a\alpha = b\alpha\}$ is an equivalence on X and $|X/\pi_\alpha| = |X\alpha|$. The relation π_α is usually called the *kernel* of α .

Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$. Then $\pi_\beta \subseteq \pi_\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$. Hence $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$.

Proof. It is clear that if $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$, then $\pi_\beta \subseteq \pi_\alpha$. Now, suppose that $\pi_\beta \subseteq \pi_\alpha$. If $x \in X\beta$, then $x = z\beta$ for some $z \in X$, so we define $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} z\alpha, & \text{if } x \in X\beta, \\ x\beta, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus X\beta. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Then γ is well defined (since $\pi_\beta \subseteq \pi_\alpha$) and $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$. For each $x \in X$, let $y = x\beta \in X\beta$, so $x\beta\gamma = (x\beta)\gamma = y\gamma = x\alpha$ by the definition of γ . Thus $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ as required, and the remaining assertion is clear. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$. If $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ then either both α and β are in F , or neither is in F .

Proof. Assume that $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ and suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in F$ is false. So one of α or β is not in F , we suppose that $\alpha \notin F$. Thus $(X \setminus Y)\alpha \not\subseteq Y\alpha$, so there is $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$ such that $x_0\alpha \neq y\alpha$ for all $y \in Y$. Thus $(x_0, y) \notin \pi_\alpha$ for all $y \in Y$. If $\beta \in F$, then $X\beta = Y\beta$, so $(x_0, y) \in \pi_\beta$ for some $y \in Y$ which contradicts $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$. Therefore, $\beta \notin F$. \square

Using Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. For $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, the following statements hold.

- (1) If $\alpha \in F$, then $R_\alpha = \{\beta \in F : \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta\}$.
- (2) If $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$, then $R_\alpha = \{\beta \in T(X, Y) \setminus F : \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta\}$.

As a direct consequence of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we have the following.

Theorem 3.6. For $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, the following statements hold.

- (1) If $\alpha \in F$, then $H_\alpha = \{\beta \in F : X\alpha = X\beta \text{ and } \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta\}$.
- (2) If $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$, then $H_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$.

In [2, 3], volume 1, Clifford and Preston proved that two elements of $T(X)$ are \mathfrak{D} -related if and only if they have the same rank (i.e., the ranges of the two elements have the same cardinality). But for $T(X, Y)$, we have the following.

Theorem 3.7. For $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$, the following statements hold.

- (1) If $\alpha \in F$, then $D_\alpha = \{\beta \in F : |X\alpha| = |X\beta|\}$.
- (2) If $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$, then $D_\alpha = \{\beta \in T(X, Y) \setminus F : \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta\}$.

Proof. Let α be any element in $T(X, Y)$ and let $\beta \in D_\alpha$. Then $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma$ and $\gamma \mathcal{R} \beta$ for some $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$.

(1) If $\alpha \in F$, then since $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma$, we must have $\gamma \in F$ and $X\alpha = X\gamma$. From $\gamma \mathcal{R} \beta$, we get $\pi_\gamma = \pi_\beta$ and $\beta = \gamma\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in T(X, Y)^1$. Since F is a right ideal of $T(X, Y)$, so $\beta \in F$. And $|X\alpha| = |X\gamma| = |X/\pi_\gamma| = |X/\pi_\beta| = |X\beta|$. Conversely, assume that $\lambda \in F$ and $|X\alpha| = |X\lambda|$. Then there is a bijection $\theta : X\lambda \rightarrow X\alpha$. We let $\mu = \lambda\theta$, then $\mu \in T(X, Y)$ and $X\mu = X\lambda\theta = (X\lambda)\theta = X\alpha$. Since $\lambda \in F$ implies $X\lambda \subseteq Y\lambda$, so $X\mu = X\lambda\theta \subseteq Y\lambda\theta = Y\mu$, hence $\mu \in F$. Since $\alpha, \mu \in F$ and $X\alpha = X\mu$, so $\alpha \mathcal{L} \mu$ by Theorem 3.2. Now, since $\mu = \lambda\theta$ and θ is injective on $X\lambda$, we get $\pi_\mu = \pi_\lambda$, so $\mu \mathcal{R} \lambda$. Therefore, α and λ are \mathfrak{D} -related and $\lambda \in D_\alpha$.

(2) If $\alpha \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$, then $\gamma = \alpha$ (since $\alpha \mathcal{L} \gamma$) and thus $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ which implies that $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$. So by Lemma 3.4 we must have $\beta \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$. The other containment is clear since $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. \square

In order to characterize the \mathcal{J} -relation on $T(X, Y)$, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$. If $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$ for some $\lambda \in T(X, Y)$ and $\mu \in T(X, Y)^1$, then $|X\alpha| \leq |Y\beta|$.

Proof. If $\alpha = \lambda\beta\mu$ for some $\lambda \in T(X, Y)$ and $\mu \in T(X, Y)^1$. Then $X\lambda \subseteq Y$, which implies that $(X\lambda)\beta \subseteq Y\beta$ and so $|X\lambda\beta| \leq |Y\beta|$. If $\mu = 1$, then $\alpha = \lambda\beta$ and so $|X\alpha| = |X\lambda\beta| \leq |Y\beta|$. If $\mu \in T(X, Y)$, then $|X\alpha| = |X(\lambda\beta\mu)| = |(X\lambda\beta)\mu| \leq |X\lambda\beta| \leq |Y\beta|$. Thus $|X\alpha| \leq |Y\beta|$ as required. \square

Theorem 3.9. Let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$. Then

$$\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta \quad \text{iff } \pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta \text{ or } |X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. First, assume that $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$. Then $\alpha = \gamma\beta\lambda$ and $\beta = \gamma'\alpha\lambda'$ for some $\gamma, \lambda, \gamma', \lambda' \in T(X, Y)^1$. If $\gamma = 1 = \gamma'$, then $\alpha = \beta\lambda$ and $\beta = \alpha\lambda'$ which imply $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ and thus $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$. If $\gamma \in T(X, Y)$ or $\gamma' \in T(X, Y)$, then we conclude that $\alpha = \sigma\beta\delta$ and $\beta = \sigma'\alpha\delta'$ for some $\sigma, \sigma' \in T(X, Y)$ and $\delta, \delta' \in T(X, Y)^1$. For example, if $\gamma = 1$ and $\gamma' \in T(X, Y)$, then $\alpha = \beta\lambda$ and $\beta = \gamma'\alpha\lambda'$ imply $\alpha = \beta\lambda = (\gamma'\alpha\lambda')\lambda = \gamma'\alpha(\lambda'\lambda) = \gamma'(\beta\lambda)\lambda' = \gamma'\beta(\lambda\lambda')$. By using Lemma 3.8, we get that $|Y\beta| \geq |X\alpha| \geq |Y\alpha| \geq |X\beta| \geq |Y\beta|$, so it follows that $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|$.

Conversely, if $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$, then $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ which implies that $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ since $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. If $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|$, then by applying Lemma 2.7 in [2, 3], to $|X/\pi_\alpha| = |X\alpha| = |Y\beta|$ and $|X/\pi_\beta| = |X\beta| = |Y\alpha|$, we get there are $\gamma, \lambda \in T(X, Y)$ such that $\pi_\gamma = \pi_\alpha$, $X\gamma = Y\beta$; and $\pi_\lambda = \pi_\beta$, $X\lambda = Y\alpha$. From

$\pi_\gamma = \pi_\alpha$ and $\pi_\lambda = \pi_\beta$, we get $\gamma\mathcal{R}\alpha$ and $\lambda\mathcal{R}\beta$, so $\alpha = \gamma\gamma'$ and $\beta = \lambda\lambda'$ for some $\gamma', \lambda' \in T(X, Y)^1$. And from $X\gamma = Y\beta$, we write $Y\beta = \{y_i : i \in I\}$, so

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} y_i\gamma^{-1} \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

For each $i \in I$, choose $a_i \in y_i\beta^{-1} \cap Y$ and define $\beta' : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} y_i\gamma^{-1} \\ a_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then $\beta' \in T(X, Y)$ and $\gamma = \beta'\beta$. Similarly, from $X\lambda = Y\alpha$, we can prove that $\lambda = \alpha'\alpha$ for some $\alpha' \in T(X, Y)$.

Therefore, $\alpha = \gamma\gamma' = \beta'\beta\gamma'$ and $\beta = \lambda\lambda' = \alpha'\alpha\lambda'$ which implies that $\alpha\mathcal{J}\beta$ as required. \square

Recall that $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ on any semigroup and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{J}$ on $T(X)$; but in $T(X, Y)$, this is not always true, as shown in the following example.

Example 3.10. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers and let Y denote the set of all positive even integers. Then we define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 2n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 2n & X \setminus Y \\ 4n & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y) \setminus F$ and $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = \aleph_0 = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|$, so $\alpha\mathcal{J}\beta$. Since $\pi_\alpha \neq \pi_\beta$, we have α and β are not \mathfrak{D} -related on $T(X, Y)$.

As a consequence of Theorems 3.7 and 3.9, we see that $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{J}$ on the sub-semigroup F of $T(X, Y)$.

Corollary 3.11. *If $\alpha, \beta \in F$, then $\alpha\mathcal{J}\beta$ on $T(X, Y)$ if and only if $\alpha\mathfrak{D}\beta$ on $T(X, Y)$.*

Proof. In general, we have $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $\alpha\mathcal{J}\beta$ on $T(X, Y)$. Then $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ or $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|$. If $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$, then $|X\alpha| = |X/\pi_\alpha| = |X/\pi_\beta| = |X\beta|$. Thus, both cases imply $|X\alpha| = |X\beta|$ and $\alpha\mathfrak{D}\beta$ on $T(X, Y)$ by Theorem 3.7. \square

If we replace Y with X in the above corollary, we then get $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{J}$ on $T(X, Y) = T(X)$. Next, we will consider the case when Y is a finite subset of X .

Theorem 3.12. *If Y is a finite subset of X , then $\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{J}$ on $T(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let Y be a finite subset of X and let $\alpha, \beta \in T(X, Y)$ be such that α and β are \mathcal{J} -related. Then $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ or $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha| = |Y\beta| = |X\beta|$. We note that if $\alpha \notin F$, then $|X\alpha| > |Y\alpha|$. For if $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha|$, then $X\alpha$ is a finite set (since Y is finite) which implies that $X\alpha = Y\alpha$ and thus $\alpha \in F$. Now, if $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \notin F$, then $|X\alpha| = |Y\alpha|$ but $|Y\beta| < |X\beta|$, so $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ which contradicts Lemma 3.4. Therefore, either both α and β are in F , or neither is in F . If $\alpha, \beta \in F$, then $\alpha\mathfrak{D}\beta$ by Corollary 3.11. If $\alpha, \beta \notin F$, then $|X\alpha| > |Y\alpha|$ which implies that $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ and thus $\alpha\mathfrak{D}\beta$ by Theorem 3.7. Therefore, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ and the other containment is clear. \square

4. Maximal inverse sub-semigroups on $T(X, Y)$

We first recall that a semigroup is said to be an *inverse semigroup* if it is regular and any two idempotents commute. In this section, we give one class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups on $T(X, Y)$. If $|Y| = 1$, then there is only one element in $T(X, Y)$, the constant map. Hence, in this case, there is no maximal inverse sub-semigroup on $T(X, Y)$. Therefore, from now on, we assume that $|Y| \geq 2$.

In 1976, Nichols [4] gave a class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups of $T(X)$. Later in 1978, Reilly [5] generalized Nichols' result. Here, with some mild modifications of the proof given in [4], we get one class of maximal inverse sub-semigroups of $T(X, Y)$ which generalizes Nichols' result.

Let X be a set and Y a nonempty subset of X . For each $a \in Y$, define

$$F_a = \{\alpha \in F : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}. \quad (4.1)$$

We see that $F_a \neq \emptyset$, since the constant map $X_a \in F_a$. To describe maximal inverse sub-semigroups on $T(X, Y)$, we first prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha \in T(X, Y)$ and $a \in Y$. Then $\alpha \in F_a$ if and only if $\{a\} \cup (X \setminus Y) \subseteq a\alpha^{-1}$ and α is injective on $Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$.*

Proof. If $Y = X$, then $X \setminus Y = \emptyset$ and $F = T(X)$, thus $\alpha \in F_a$ if and only if $\alpha \in T(X)$, $\{a\} \subseteq a\alpha^{-1}$ and α is injective on $X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$.

Now, we prove for the case $Y \neq X$. Assume that $\alpha \in F_a$. So $\alpha \in F$, $a\alpha = a$ and α is injective on $X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$. We show that $(X \setminus Y)\alpha = \{a\}$. Let $b \in (X \setminus Y)\alpha$, so there exists $x \in X \setminus Y$ such that $x\alpha = b$. Thus $b \in (X \setminus Y)\alpha \subseteq Y\alpha$ since $\alpha \in F$. Hence $b = y\alpha$ for some $y \in Y$. So, $x, y \in b\alpha^{-1}$ and $x \neq y$. By the definition of F_a , we must have $b = a$. Therefore, $\{a\} \cup (X \setminus Y) \subseteq a\alpha^{-1}$ and α is injective on $Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$. Conversely, assume that the conditions hold. Since $a \in Y$ and $\{a\} \subseteq a\alpha^{-1}$, we get $a = a\alpha \in Y\alpha$, and thus $\{a\} \subseteq Y\alpha$. So $(X \setminus Y)\alpha = \{a\} \subseteq Y\alpha$ and therefore $\alpha \in F$. Since α is injective on $Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$ and $Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1} = X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, it follows that α is injective on $X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, and so $\alpha \in F_a$. \square

Recall that for each $\alpha \in T(X)$, α is an idempotent in $T(X)$ if and only if $x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in X\alpha$. Since $T(X, Y)$ is a sub-semigroup of $T(X)$, we conclude that α is an idempotent in $T(X, Y)$ if and only if $x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in X\alpha$. And by Theorem 4.1, if α is an idempotent in F_a , then $x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let L be a regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$ such that $F_a \subsetneq L$ and suppose that $\alpha \in L \setminus F_a$. Then*

- (i) $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ on L for some idempotent $\beta \in F_a$.
- (ii) If $a\alpha = a$, then α is not \mathcal{R} -related on L to any element in F_a .

Proof. (i) We write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a\alpha^{-1} & A_i \\ a & a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\bigcup A_i = X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, and define $\beta \in T(X, Y)$ by

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & X \setminus B \\ a_i & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\{a_i\} = B$. Then $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$, is an identity map on B and $X \setminus B = a\beta^{-1}$. So $B = X \setminus a\beta^{-1}$ and β is injective on $X \setminus a\beta^{-1} = B$. Since $a \in X \setminus B$, so $a = a\beta \in Y\beta$ and $\{a\} \subseteq Y\beta$. From $B = \{a_i\} \subseteq Y$, we get $(X \setminus Y)\beta \subseteq (X \setminus B)\beta = \{a\} \subseteq Y\beta$, so $\beta \in F$. Thus $\beta \in F_a$ and it is also an idempotent. From the fact that $L \subseteq F$ is a regular sub-semigroup of $T = T(X, Y)$, it follows from Hall's theorem that $\mathcal{L}^L = \mathcal{L}^T \cap (L \times L) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^T \cap (F \times F)$, where $(a, b) \in \mathcal{L}^S$ means there exist s, t in S^1 such that $a = sb$, $b = ta$. Since $X\alpha = X\beta$, we must have by Theorem 3.2 that $\alpha\mathcal{L}\beta$ on L .

(ii) Assume that $a\alpha = a$ and suppose that $\alpha\mathcal{R}\beta$ on L for some $\beta \in F_a$. Thus $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$ by Theorem 3.3, and hence $a\alpha^{-1} = a\beta^{-1}$. Now, let $x_1, x_2 \in X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$ be such that $x_1\alpha = x_2\alpha$. Then $(x_1, x_2) \in \pi_\alpha$ implies $(x_1, x_2) \in \pi_\beta$ (since $\pi_\alpha = \pi_\beta$), so $x_1\beta = x_2\beta$ and since β is injective on $X \setminus a\beta^{-1} = X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, we get $x_1 = x_2$ which implies that α is injective on $X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, which contradicts $\alpha \notin F_a$. Therefore, α is not \mathcal{R} -related to any element in F_a . \square

Theorem 4.3. F_a is a maximal inverse sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$.

Proof. First, we prove that F_a is a sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$.

Let α, β be elements in F_a . Then $\alpha, \beta \in F$, $a\alpha = a = a\beta$, and α, β are injective on $X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$ and $X \setminus a\beta^{-1}$, respectively. Since F is a right ideal of $T(X, Y)$, it follows that $\alpha\beta \in F$. Clearly $a(\alpha\beta) = a$, and $\alpha\beta$ is injective on $X \setminus a(\alpha\beta)^{-1}$. Therefore, $\alpha\beta \in F_a$.

Next, we show that F_a is a regular sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$. For each $\alpha \in F_a$, $a\alpha = a$ and $|x\alpha^{-1}| = 1$ for all $x \in Y\alpha \setminus \{a\}$ (see Theorem 4.1). Let $\{x_i\} = Y\alpha \setminus \{a\}$ and write $x_i\alpha^{-1} = y_i$ for all i , thus

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} y_i & a\alpha^{-1} \\ x_i & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\bigcup\{y_i\} = Y \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$, $X = Y \cup a\alpha^{-1}$ and define $\beta \in T(X, Y)$ by

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & A \\ y_i & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\{x_i\} = Y\alpha \setminus \{a\}$ and $A = X \setminus \{x_i\}$. Since $a \in A$, we get $a = a\beta \in Y\beta$, and so $\{a\} \subseteq Y\beta$. And for each $x \in X \setminus Y$, $x \neq x_i$ for all i since $x_i \in Y$. Thus $(X \setminus Y)\beta = \{a\} \subseteq Y\beta$, and so $\beta \in F$. Since $a\beta = a$ and β is injective on $\{x_i\} = X \setminus a\beta^{-1}$, it follows that $\beta \in F_a$. And, it is clear that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$.

Now, we prove that any two idempotents in F_a commute, which is enough to show that F_a is an inverse semigroup. Assume that α, β are idempotents in F_a . Then $x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$ and $x\beta = x$ for all $x \in X \setminus a\beta^{-1}$. Let x be any element in X .

Case 1. $x \in X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}$. Then $x\alpha = x$. So, if $x \in X \setminus a\beta^{-1}$, we get $x\beta = x$ and $x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta = x\beta = x = x\alpha = (x\beta)\alpha = x(\beta\alpha)$. But if $x \in a\beta^{-1}$, then $x\beta = a$, and $x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta = x\beta = a = a\alpha = (x\beta)\alpha = x(\beta\alpha)$. Thus in this case $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$.

Case 2. $x \in a\alpha^{-1}$. Then $x\alpha = a$. So by the same proof as given in Case 1, we get $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$.
Therefore, F_a is an inverse sub-semigroup of $T(X, Y)$.

To prove the maximality, we suppose that F_a is properly contained in an inverse sub-semigroup L of $T(X, Y)$, where $L \subseteq F \subseteq T(X, Y)$ and let $\alpha \in L \setminus F_a$. Let β be the constant map with range $\{a\}$, so β is an idempotent in F_a , and thus $\beta\alpha$ is an idempotent in L . Since $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta$ and every two idempotents in L commute, it follows that $\beta = \beta\alpha\beta = \beta\beta\alpha = \beta\alpha$ and $a\alpha = (a\beta)\alpha = a(\beta\alpha) = a\beta = a$. Since L is regular, $\alpha = \alpha\alpha'\alpha$ for some $\alpha' \in L$ and $\alpha\mathcal{R}\alpha\alpha'$ on L such that $\alpha\alpha'$ is an idempotent in L . Let $\gamma = \alpha\alpha'$, then by Lemma 4.2 we must have $\gamma \in L \setminus F_a$ and $\gamma\mathcal{L}\sigma$ for some idempotent $\sigma \in F_a$. Since every idempotent e in a semigroup is a right identity for L_e , we have $\gamma = \gamma\sigma = \sigma\gamma = \sigma$ which is a contradiction since $\gamma \notin F_a$ but $\sigma \in F_a$. Therefore, $L = F_a$ as required. \square

As an application of Theorem 4.3, we get the following corollary which first appeared in [4].

Corollary 4.4. $F_a = \{\alpha \in T(X) : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}$ is a maximal inverse sub-semigroup of $T(X)$.

Proof. By taking $Y = X$ in Theorem 4.3, we get $T(X, Y) = T(X) = F$ and $F_a = \{\alpha \in T(X) : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}$ which is a maximal inverse sub-semigroup of $T(X)$. \square

Recall that the number of combinations of n distinct things taken r at a time written $\binom{n}{r}$ is given by

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}. \quad (4.6)$$

That is, $\binom{n}{r}$ is the number of ways that r objects can be chosen from n distinct objects.

In the next result, we use the above information to find the number of elements in F_a when Y is a finite subset of X .

Theorem 4.5. Suppose that X is an arbitrary set and Y is a nonempty subset of X such that $|Y| = n$. Then for each $a \in Y$, $|F_a| = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} r! \binom{n-1}{r}^2$.

Proof. Let $a \in Y$ and $\alpha \in F_a$. Then by Theorem 4.1 we see that

$$\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c} (X \setminus Y) \cup Y_1 \quad Y_2 \\ a \quad \quad \quad Y_3 \end{array} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, $a \in Y_1$, $Y_3 \subseteq Y \setminus \{a\}$, and $|Y_2| = |Y_3|$. If $Y_2 = \emptyset$, then α can have only one form, the constant map X_a . If Y_2 has t elements, where $1 \leq t \leq n-1$, then Y_2 can have $\binom{n-1}{t}$ choices and for each choice of Y_2 , Y_3 can have $\binom{n-1}{t}$ choices, thus there are $\binom{n-1}{t}^2$ ways to choose Y_2 and Y_3 . Since the restriction of α to Y_2 is a permutation, for each choice of Y_2 and Y_3 , the map α has $t!$ possible forms. Hence in this case α can have $t! \binom{n-1}{t}^2$ forms.

Therefore, $|F_a| = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} r! \binom{n-1}{r}^2 = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} r! \binom{n-1}{r}^2$ as required. \square

We observe that the number of elements in F_a depends only on the elements of Y , and when we replace Y with X in Theorem 4.5, we have the following corollary which first appeared in [4].

Corollary 4.6. *If X is a finite set with $|X| = n$, then the number of elements in $F_a = \{\alpha \in T(X) : a\alpha = a \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is injective on } X \setminus a\alpha^{-1}\}$ equals $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} r! \binom{n-1}{r}^2$.*

Acknowledgments

Most of the work in this paper formed part of an MS thesis written by W. Sommanee under the supervision of J. Sanwong. W. Sommanee greatly appreciates the help of his supervisor in this work; and both authors are very grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading of the original manuscript and helpful comments.

References

- [1] J. S. V. Symons, "Some results concerning a transformation semigroup," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, Series A*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 413–425, 1975.
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Vol. I*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1961.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups. Vol. II*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1967.
- [4] J. W. Nichols, "A class of maximal inverse subsemigroups of T_X ," *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 187–188, 1976.
- [5] N. R. Reilly, "Maximal inverse subsemigroups of $T_X^{(1)}$," *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 319–326, 1977.