

Research Article

Commutative Pseudo Valuations on BCK-Algebras

Myung Im Doh¹ and Min Su Kang²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Republic of Korea

² Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to

Myung Im Doh, sansudo6@hanmail.net and Min Su Kang, sinchangmyun@hanmail.net

Received 26 September 2010; Accepted 10 November 2010

Academic Editor: Young Bae Jun

Copyright © 2011 M. I. Doh and M. S. Kang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The notion of a commutative pseudo valuation on a BCK-algebra is introduced, and its characterizations are investigated. The relationship between a pseudo valuation and a commutative pseudo-valuation is examined.

1. Introduction

D. Buşneag [1] defined pseudo valuation on a Hilbert algebra and proved that every pseudo valuation induces a pseudometric on a Hilbert algebra. Also, D. Buşneag [2] provided several theorems on extensions of pseudo valuations. C. Buşneag [3] introduced the notions of pseudo valuations (valuations) on residuated lattices, and proved some theorems of extension for these (using the model of Hilbert algebras [2]). Using the Buşneag's model, Doh and Kang [4] introduced the notion of a pseudo valuation on BCK/BCI-algebras, and discussed several properties.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a commutative pseudo valuation on a BCK-algebra, and investigate its characterizations. We discuss the relationship between a pseudo valuation and a commutative pseudo valuation. We provide conditions for a pseudo valuation to be a commutative pseudo valuation.

2. Preliminaries

A BCK-algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki and was extensively investigated by several researchers.

An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type (2,0) is called a *BCI-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0)$,
- (iii) $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0)$,
- (iv) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

If a BCI-algebra X satisfies the following identity:

$$(v) (\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0),$$

then X is called a *BCK-algebra*. Any BCK/BCI-algebra X satisfies the following conditions:

- (a1) $(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x)$,
- (a2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * y = 0 \Rightarrow (x * z) * (y * z) = 0, (z * y) * (z * x) = 0)$,
- (a3) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y)$,
- (a4) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0)$.

We can define a partial ordering \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

A BCK-algebra X is said to be *commutative* if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ for all $x, y \in X$ where $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$.

A subset A of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (b1) $0 \in A$,
- (b2) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y \in A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A)$.

A subset A of a BCK-algebra X is called a *commutative ideal* of X (see [6]) if it satisfies (b1) and

$$(b3) (\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z \in A, z \in A \Rightarrow x * (y \wedge x) \in A).$$

We refer the reader to the book in [7] for further information regarding BCK-algebras.

3. Commutative Pseudo Valuations on BCK-Algebras

In what follows let X denote a BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1 (see [4]). A real-valued function φ on X is called a *weak pseudo valuation* on X if it satisfies the following condition:

$$(c1) (\forall x, y \in X) (\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)).$$

Definition 3.2 (see [4]). A real-valued function φ on X is called a *pseudo valuation* on X if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (c2) $\varphi(0) = 0$,
- (c3) $(\forall x, y \in X) (\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(x * y) + \varphi(y))$.

Table 1: *-operation.

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	a	0	b
c	c	c	c	0

Proposition 3.3 (see [4]). *For any pseudo valuation φ on X , one has the following assertions:*

- (1) $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2) φ is order preserving,
- (3) $\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi(x * z) + \varphi(z * y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 3.4. A real-valued function φ on X is called a *commutative pseudo valuation* on X if it satisfies (c2) and

$$(c4) (\forall x, y, z \in X) (\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) \leq \varphi((x * y) * z) + \varphi(z)).$$

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the *-operation given by Table 1. Let ϑ be a real-valued function on X defined by

$$\vartheta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 7 & 9 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

Routine calculations give that ϑ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X .

Theorem 3.6. *In a BCK-algebra, every commutative pseudo valuation is a pseudo valuation.*

Proof. Let φ be a commutative pseudo valuation on X . For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x * (0 \wedge x)) \leq \varphi((x * 0) * z) + \varphi(z) = \varphi(x * z) + \varphi(z). \tag{3.2}$$

This completes the proof. □

Combining Theorem 3.6 and [4, Theorem 3.9], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *In a BCK-algebra, every commutative pseudo valuation is a weak pseudo valuation.*

The converse of Theorem 3.6 may not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 3.8. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the *-operation given by Table 2. Let ϑ be a real-valued function on X defined by

$$\vartheta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c & d \\ 0 & 5 & 8 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Table 2: *-operation.

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0	0
b	b	b	0	0	0
c	c	c	c	0	0
d	d	d	d	c	0

Then ϑ is a pseudo valuation on X . Since

$$\vartheta(b * (c \wedge b)) = 8 \not\leq 0 = \vartheta((b * c) * 0) + \vartheta(0), \quad (3.4)$$

ϑ is not a commutative pseudo valuation on X .

We provide conditions for a pseudo valuation to be a commutative pseudo valuation.

Theorem 3.9. For a real-valued function φ on X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) φ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X .
- (2) φ is a pseudo valuation on X that satisfies the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \quad (\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) \leq \varphi(x * y)). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Assume that φ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X . Then φ is a pseudo valuation on X by Theorem 3.6. Taking $z = 0$ in (c4) and using (a1) and (c2) induce the condition (3.5).

Conversely let φ be a pseudo valuation on X satisfying the condition (3.5). Then $\varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi((x * y) * z) + \varphi(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. It follows from (3.5) that

$$\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) \leq \varphi(x * y) \leq \varphi((x * y) * z) + \varphi(z) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ so that φ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X . □

Lemma 3.10 (see [8]). Every pseudo valuation φ on X satisfies the following implication:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) \quad ((x * y) * z = 0 \implies \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y) + \varphi(z)). \quad (3.7)$$

Theorem 3.11. In a commutative BCK-algebra, every pseudo valuation is a commutative pseudo valuation.

Proof. Let φ be a pseudo valuation on a commutative BCK-algebra X . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ((x * (y \wedge x)) * ((x * y) * z)) * z &= ((x * (y \wedge x)) * z) * ((x * y) * z) \\ &\leq (x * (y \wedge x)) * (x * y) \\ &= (x \wedge y) * (y \wedge x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Hence $((x * (y \wedge x)) * ((x * y) * z)) * z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that $\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) \leq \varphi((x * y) * z) + \varphi(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore φ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X . \square

For any real-valued function φ on X , we consider the set

$$I_\varphi := \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) = 0\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.12 (see [4]). *If φ is a pseudo valuation on X , then the set I_φ is an ideal of X .*

Lemma 3.13 (see [7]). *For any nonempty subset I of X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) I is a commutative ideal of X .
- (2) I is an ideal of X that satisfies the following condition:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \quad (x * y \in I \implies x * (y \wedge x) \in I). \quad (3.10)$$

Theorem 3.14. *If φ is a commutative pseudo valuation on X , then the set I_φ is a commutative ideal of X .*

Proof. Let φ be a commutative pseudo valuation on a BCK-algebra X . Using Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.12, we conclude that I_φ is an ideal of X . Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in I_\varphi$. Then $\varphi(x * y) = 0$. It follows from (3.5) that $\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) \leq \varphi(x * y) = 0$ so that $\varphi(x * (y \wedge x)) = 0$. Hence $x * (y \wedge x) \in I_\varphi$. Therefore I_φ is a commutative ideal of X by Lemma 3.13. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.14 is not true.

Example 3.15. Consider a BCK-algebra $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with the $*$ -operation given by Table 3. Let φ be a real-valued function on X defined by

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 3 & 7 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Then $I_\varphi = \{0, c\}$ is a commutative ideal of X . Since

$$\varphi(b) = 7 > 6 = \varphi(b * a) + \varphi(a), \quad (3.12)$$

φ is not a pseudo valuation on X and so φ is not a commutative pseudo valuation on X .

Using an ideal, we establish a pseudo valuation.

Theorem 3.16. *For any ideal I of X , we define a real-valued function φ_I on X by*

$$\varphi_I(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ t_1 & \text{if } x \in I \setminus \{0\}, \\ t_2 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus I \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Table 3: *-operation.

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	a	0	b
c	c	c	c	0

for all $x \in X$ where $0 < t_1 < t_2$. Then φ_I is a pseudo valuation on X .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. If $x = 0$, then clearly $\varphi_I(x) \leq \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. Assume that $x \neq 0$. If $y = 0$, then $\varphi_I(x) \leq \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. If $y \neq 0$, we consider the following four cases:

- (i) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$,
- (ii) $x * y \notin I$ and $y \notin I$,
- (iii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \notin I$,
- (iv) $x * y \notin I$ and $y \in I$.

Case (i) implies that $x \in I$ because I is an ideal of X . If $x * y = 0$, then $\varphi_I(x * y) = 0$ and so $\varphi_I(x) = t_1 = \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. If $x * y \neq 0$, then $\varphi_I(x * y) = t_1$ and thus $\varphi_I(x) = t_1 \leq \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. The second case implies that $\varphi_I(x * y) = t_2$ and $\varphi_I(y) = t_2$. Hence $\varphi_I(x) \leq t_2 < \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. Let us consider the third case. If $x * y = 0$, then $\varphi_I(x * y) = 0$ and thus $\varphi_I(x) \leq t_2 = \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. If $x * y \neq 0$, then $\varphi_I(x * y) = t_1$ and so $\varphi_I(x) \leq t_2 < t_1 + t_2 = \varphi_I(x * y) + \varphi_I(y)$. For the final case, the proof is similar to the third case. Therefore φ_I is a pseudo valuation on X . \square

Before ending our discussion, we pose a question.

Question 1. If I is commutative ideal of X , then is the function φ_I in Theorem 3.16 a commutative pseudo valuation on X ?

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] D. Buşneag, "Hilbert algebras with valuations," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 285–289, 1996.
- [2] D. Buşneag, "On extensions of pseudo-valuations on Hilbert algebras," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 263, no. 1-3, pp. 11–24, 2003.
- [3] C. Buşneag, "Valuations on residuated lattices," *Annals of the University of Craiova. Mathematics and Computer Science Series*, vol. 34, pp. 21–28, 2007.
- [4] M. I. Doh and M. S. Kang, "BCK/BCI-algebras with pseudo-valuations," *Honam Mathematical Journal*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 217–226, 2010.
- [5] Y. S. Huang, *BCI-Algebra*, Science Press, China, 2006.
- [6] J. Meng, "Commutative ideals in BCK-algebras," *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 49–53, 1991.
- [7] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-Algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, Republic of Korea, 1994.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, and S. S. Ahn, "Positive implicative pseudovaluations on BCK-algebras," *Kybernetika*. In press.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

