

Research Article

Common Fixed Points of Generalized Meir-Keeler Type Condition and Nonexpansive Mappings

R. K. Bisht

Department of Mathematics, Kumaun University, D. S. B. Campus, Nainital 263002, India

Correspondence should be addressed to R. K. Bisht, ravindra.bisht@yahoo.com

Received 20 March 2012; Revised 19 May 2012; Accepted 2 June 2012

Academic Editor: Naseer Shahzad

Copyright © 2012 R. K. Bisht. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of the present paper is to obtain common fixed point theorems by employing the recently introduced notion of weak reciprocal continuity. The new notion is a proper generalization of reciprocal continuity and is applicable to compatible mappings as well as noncompatible mappings. We demonstrate that weak reciprocal continuity ensures the existence of common fixed points under contractive conditions, which otherwise do not ensure the existence of fixed points. Our results generalize and extend Banach contraction principle and Meir-Keeler-type fixed point theorem.

1. Introduction

In his earlier works, Pant [1, 2] introduced the notion of reciprocal continuity and obtained the first results that established a situation in which a collection of mappings has a fixed point, which is a point of discontinuity for all the mappings. These papers are the genesis of a large number of papers (e.g., [3–16]) that employ or deal with reciprocal continuity to study fixed points of discontinuous mappings in various settings. Imdad and Ali [4] used this concept in the setting of non-self-mappings. Singh et al. [9, 10] have obtained applications of reciprocal continuity for hybrid pair of mappings. Balasubramaniam et al. [14] (see also [15]) extended the study of reciprocal continuity to fuzzy metric spaces. Kumar and Pant [16] studied this concept in the setting of probabilistic metric space. Muralisankar and Kalpana [11] established a common fixed point theorem in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space using contractive condition of integral type.

In 1986, Jungck [17] generalized the notion of weakly commuting maps by introducing the concept of compatible maps.

Definition 1.1. Two self-maps f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called compatible [17] if $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X .

The definition of compatibility implies that the mappings f and g will be non-compatible if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X but $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n)$ is either nonzero or nonexistent.

Definition 1.2. Two self-maps f and g are called pointwise R -weakly commuting [1] (see also [18, 19]) on X if given x in X there exists $R > 0$ such that $d(fgx, gfx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$.

Definition 1.3. Two self-maps f and g are called pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) [20] (see also [21]) on X if given x in X there exists $R > 0$ such that $d(fgx, ggx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$.

Definition 1.4. Two self-maps f and g are called pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_g) [20] on X if given x in X there exists $R > 0$ such that $d(ffx, gfx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$.

Definition 1.5. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings defined on a nonempty set X is said to be weakly compatible [22] if the pair commutes on the set of coincidence points, that is, $fx = gx$ ($x \in X$) implies $fgx = gfx$.

It is well known now that pointwise R -weak commutativity and analogous notions of pointwise R -weak commutativity of type (A_f) or pointwise R -weak commutativity of type (A_g) are equivalent to commutativity at coincidence points and in the setting of metric spaces these notions are equivalent to weak compatibility. On the other hand, pointwise R -weak commutativity and analogous notions of pointwise R -weak commutativity of type (A_f) or (A_g) are more useful in establishing common fixed point theorems since they not only imply commutativity at coincidence points but may also help in the determination of coincidence points [19, 21].

In a recent work, Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [23] generalized the notion of nontrivial weakly compatible maps by introducing the notion of occasionally weakly compatible mappings.

Definition 1.6. A pair (f, g) of self-mappings defined on a nonempty set X is said to be occasionally weakly compatible [23] (in short owc) if there exists a point x in X , which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Definition 1.7. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called conditionally commuting [24] if they commute on a nonempty subset of the set of coincidence points whenever the set of their coincidences is nonempty.

From the definition itself, it is clear that if two maps are weakly compatible or owc then they are necessarily conditionally commuting; however, the conditionally commuting mappings are not necessarily weakly compatible or owc [24].

Definition 1.8. Let f and g ($f \neq g$) be two self-maps of a metric space (X, d) , then f is called g -absorbing [25] if there exists some positive real number R such that $d(gx, gfx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$ for all x in X . Similarly, g will be called f -absorbing if there exists some positive real number R such that $d(fx, fgx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$ for all x in X .

It is well known that the absorbing maps are neither a subclass of compatible maps nor a subclass of noncompatible maps [25].

Definition 1.9. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called reciprocally continuous [1, 2] if and only if $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ and $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X .

If f and g are both continuous, then they are obviously reciprocally continuous but the converse is not true [1, 2]. The notion of reciprocal continuity is mainly applicable to compatible mapping satisfying contractive conditions [7]. To widen the scope of the study of fixed points from the class of compatible mappings satisfying contractive conditions to a wider class including compatible as well as noncompatible mappings satisfying contractive, nonexpansive, or Lipschitz-type condition Pant et al. [7] generalized the notion of reciprocal continuity by introducing the new concept of weak reciprocal continuity as follows.

Definition 1.10. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are called weakly reciprocally continuous [7] iff $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n fx_n = \lim_n gx_n = t$ for some t in X .

We now give examples of compatible and weakly reciprocally continuous mappings with or without common fixed points.

Example 1.11. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = x, \quad \forall x, \quad gx = \frac{x}{2} \quad \text{if } x > 0, \quad g(0) = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Then it can be verified that f and g are compatible as well as weakly reciprocally continuous mappings but do not have a common fixed point.

Example 1.12. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = (1 - x), \quad \forall x, \quad gx = \text{fractional part of } (1 - x). \quad (1.2)$$

It may be noted that f and g are compatible as well as weakly reciprocally continuous mappings and have infinitely many common fixed points. Examples of noncompatible weakly reciprocally continuous mappings are given on the following pages.

If f and g are reciprocally continuous, then they are obviously weakly reciprocally continuous but, as shown in Example 2.2 below, the converse is not true. As an application of weak reciprocal continuity we prove common fixed point theorems under contractive conditions that extend the scope of the study of common fixed point theorems from the class of compatible continuous mappings to a wider class of mappings, which also includes noncompatible and discontinuous mappings. Our results also demonstrate the usefulness of the notion of the absorbing maps in fixed point considerations.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) such that

- (i) $fX \subseteq gX$,
- (ii) $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy), k \in [0, 1)$.

If g is f -absorbing or f is g -absorbing, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any point in X . Define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X by

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}. \quad (2.1)$$

We claim that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Using (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq kd(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ &= kd(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \cdots \leq k^n d(y_0, y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, for every integer $p > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + kd(y_n, y_{n+1}) + \cdots + k^{p-1}d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &= \left(1 + k + k^2 + \cdots + k^{p-1}\right)d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{1-k}\right)d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{k^n}{1-k}\right)d(y_0, y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This means that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point t in X such that $y_n \rightarrow t$. Moreover, $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1} \rightarrow t$.

Suppose that g is f -absorbing. Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Let $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. By virtue of (2.1), this also yields $ggx_{n+1} = gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Since g is f -absorbing, $d(fx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $fgx_n \rightarrow t$. Using (ii), we get $d(ft, gfx_n) \leq kd(gt, gfx_n)$. On making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. Hence $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) \leq kd(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = gu$. Since f and g are pointwise R -weak commutative of type (A_f) , we have $d(fgu, ggu) \leq R_1d(fu, gu) = 0$ for some $R_1 > 0$, that is, $fgu = ggu$. Thus $fgu = gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Finally using (ii), we obtain $d(fu, ffu) \leq kd(gu, gfx_n) = kd(fu, ffu)$, that is, $(1-k)d(fu, ffu) = 0$. Hence $fu = ffu = gfx_n$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Next suppose that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. Since g is f -absorbing, $d(fx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) \leq kd(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = t$. Thus $fu = gu$. Since f and g are pointwise R -weak commutative of type (A_f) , we have $d(fgu, ggu) \leq R_1d(fu, gu) = 0$ for some $R_1 > 0$, that is, $fgu = ggu$. Thus $fgu = gfx_n \rightarrow ft$.

$ggu = ffu$. Finally using (ii), we obtain $d(fu, ffu) \leq kd(gu, gfu) = kd(fu, ffu)$, that is, $(1 - k)d(fu, ffu) = 0$. Hence $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Finally suppose that f is g -absorbing. Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Let us first assume that $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Since f is g -absorbing, $d(gx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $t = gt$. Using (ii) we get $d(fx_n, ft) \leq kd(gx_n, gt)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fx_n \rightarrow ft$. Hence $t = ft = gt$ and t is a common fixed point of f and g .

Next suppose that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that $ft = gu$ for some $u \in X$ and $fgx_n \rightarrow gu$. By virtue of (2.1), this also yields $ffx_{n-1} \rightarrow gu$. Since f is g -absorbing, $d(gx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $gfx_n \rightarrow t$. Now, using (ii), we get $d(fx_n, ffx_n) \leq kd(gx_n, gfx_n)$. On making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $t = gu$. Again, by virtue of (ii), $d(fx_n, fu) \leq kd(gx_n, gu)$. Making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $fu = t$. Hence $t = fu = gu$. Since f and g are pointwise R -weak commutative of type (A_f) , we have $d(fgu, ggu) \leq R_1d(fu, gu) = 0$ for some $R_1 > 0$, that is, $fgu = ggu$. Thus $fgu = gfu = ggu = ffu$. Finally using (ii), we obtain $d(fu, ffu) \leq kd(gu, gfu) = kd(fu, ffu)$, that is, $(1 - k)d(fu, ffu) = 0$. Hence $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g . \square

Uniqueness of the common fixed point theorem follows easily in each of the two cases. We now give an example to illustrate the above theorem.

Example 2.2. Let $X = [2, 20]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} fx &= 2 \quad \text{if } x = 2 \text{ or } x > 5, & fx &= 6 \quad \text{if } 2 < x \leq 5, \\ g2 &= 2, \quad gx = 12 \quad \text{if } 2 < x \leq 5, & gx &= \frac{(x+1)}{3} \quad \text{if } x > 5. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and have a unique common fixed point at $x = 2$. It can be verified in this example that f and g satisfy the contraction condition (ii) for $k = 4/5$. The mappings f and g are pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) maps as they commute at their only coincidence point $x = 2$. Furthermore, f is g -absorbing with $R = 29/18$. It can also be noted that f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \rightarrow t$, $gx_n \rightarrow t$ for some t . Then $t = 2$ and either $x_n = 2$ for each n from some place onwards or $x_n = 5 + \varepsilon_n$, where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $x_n = 2$ for each n from some place onwards, $fgx_n \rightarrow 2 = f2$ and $gfx_n \rightarrow 2 = g2$. If $x_n = 5 + \varepsilon_n$, then $fx_n \rightarrow 2$, $gx_n = (2 + \varepsilon_n/3) \rightarrow 2$, $fgx_n = f(2 + \varepsilon_n/3) \rightarrow 6 \neq f2$, and $gfx_n \rightarrow g2 = 2$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = g2$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n \neq f2$. Hence f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. It is also obvious that f and g are not reciprocally continuous mappings.

Remark 2.3. Putting g equal to identity map, we get the famous Banach fixed point theorem as a particular case of the above theorem.

We now establish a common fixed point theorem for a pair of mappings satisfying an (ϵ, δ) type contractive condition. It is now well known (e.g., Example 2.4 below) that an (ϵ, δ) contractive condition does not ensure the existence of a fixed point.

Example 2.4 (see [26]). Let $X = [0, 2]$ and d be the Euclidean metric on X . Define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$fx = \frac{(1+x)}{2} \quad \text{if } x < 1, \quad fx = 0 \quad \text{if } x \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Then f satisfies the contractive condition

$$\varepsilon \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy)\} < \varepsilon + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) < \varepsilon \quad (2.6)$$

with $\delta(\varepsilon) = 1$ for $\varepsilon \geq 1$ and $\delta(\varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon < 1$ but f does not have a fixed point.

In view of the above example, the next theorem demonstrates the usefulness of weak reciprocal continuity and shows that the new notion ensures the existence of a common fixed point under an (ε, δ) contractive condition.

Theorem 2.5. *Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) such that*

- (i) $fX \subseteq gX$;
- (ii) $d(fx, fy) < d(gx, gy)$ whenever $gx \neq gy$;
- (iii) given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon < d(gx, gy) < \varepsilon + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2.7)$$

If g is f -absorbing or f is g -absorbing, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any point in X . Define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X by

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}. \quad (2.8)$$

We claim that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Using (ii), we obtain

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) < d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = d(y_{n-1}, y_n). \quad (2.9)$$

Thus $\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and, therefore, tends to a limit $r \geq 0$, that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = r$, $r \geq 0$. We assert that $r = 0$. For, if not, suppose that $r > 0$. Then given $\delta > 0$, no matter small δ may be, there exists a positive integer N such that for each $n \geq N$, we have

$$r < d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) < r + \delta, \quad (2.10)$$

that is,

$$r < d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) < r + \delta. \quad (2.11)$$

Selecting δ in (2.11) in accordance with (iii), for each $n \geq N$, we get $d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) \leq r$, that is, $d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq r$, a contradiction to (2.11). Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$. We now show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose it is not. Then there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{y_{n_i}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $d(y_{n_i}, y_{n_{i+1}}) \geq 2\varepsilon$. Select δ in (iii) so that $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$, there exists an integer N such that $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \delta/6$ whenever $n \geq N$.

Let $n_i \geq N$. Then, there exist integers m_i satisfying $n_i < m_i < n_{i+1}$ such that $d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i}) \geq \varepsilon + (\delta/3)$. If not, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{n_i}, y_{n_{i+1}}) &\leq d(y_{n_i}, y_{n_{i+1}-1}) + d(y_{n_{i+1}-1}, y_{n_{i+1}}) \\ &< \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\delta}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{\delta}{6}\right) < 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

a contradiction. Let m_i be the smallest integer such that $d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i}) \geq \varepsilon + (\delta/3)$. Then $d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i-2}) < \varepsilon + (\delta/3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\delta}{3}\right) &\leq d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i}) \leq d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i-2}) + d(y_{m_i-2}, y_{m_i-1}) + d(y_{m_i-1}, y_{m_i}) \\ &< \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\delta}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{\delta}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{\delta}{6}\right) < \varepsilon + \left(\frac{2\delta}{3}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

that is, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon + (\delta/3) \leq d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i}) < \varepsilon + (2/3)\delta$. In view of (iii), this yields $d(y_{n_i+1}, y_{m_i+1}) \leq \varepsilon$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{n_i}, y_{m_i}) &\leq d(y_{n_i}, y_{n_i+1}) + d(y_{n_i+1}, y_{m_i+1}) + d(y_{m_i+1}, y_{m_i}) \\ &< \left(\frac{\delta}{6}\right) + \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\delta}{6}\right) = \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\delta}{3}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

which contradicts (2.13). Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point t in X such that $y_n \rightarrow t$. Moreover, $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1} \rightarrow t$.

Suppose that g is f -absorbing. Now, weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Let $gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. By virtue of (2.8), this also yields $ggx_{n+1} = gfx_n \rightarrow gt$. Since g is f -absorbing, $d(fx_n, fgx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fgx_n \rightarrow t$. Using (ii), we get $d(ft, fgx_n) < d(gt, ggx_n)$. On making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. Hence $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) < d(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = t$. Thus $fu = gu$. Since f and g are pointwise R -weak commutative of type (A_f) , we have $d(fgu, ggu) \leq R_1d(fu, gu) = 0$ for some $R_1 > 0$, that is, $fgu = ggu$. Thus $fgu = gfu = ggu = ffu$. If $fu \neq ffu$, then using (ii) we get $d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, gfu) = d(fu, ffu)$, a contradiction. Hence $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

Next suppose that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. Since g is f -absorbing, $d(fx_n, fgx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) < d(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = t$. Thus $fu = gu$. Since f and g are pointwise R -weak commutative of type (A_f) , we have $d(fgu, ggu) \leq R_1d(fu, gu) = 0$ for some $R_1 > 0$, that is, $fgu = ggu$. Thus $fgu = gfu = ggu = ffu$. If $fu \neq ffu$ then using (ii) we get $d(fu, ffu) < d(gu, gfu) = d(fu, ffu)$, a contradiction. Hence $fu = ffu = gfu$ and fu is a common fixed point of f and g .

When f is assumed g -absorbing, the proof follows on similar lines as in the corresponding part of Theorem 2.1. \square

We now give an example to illustrate Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.6. Let $X = [2, 20]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} fx &= 2 \quad \text{if } x = 2 \text{ or } x > 5, & fx &= 6 \quad \text{if } 2 < x \leq 5, \\ g2 &= 2, & gx &= \frac{(x+31)}{3} \quad \text{if } 2 < x \leq 5, & gx &= \frac{(x+1)}{3} \quad \text{if } x > 5. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 and have a unique common fixed point at $x = 2$. It can be seen in this example that f and g satisfy the condition (ii) and the condition

$$\varepsilon < d(gx, gy) < \varepsilon + \delta \implies d(fx, fy) \leq \varepsilon \quad (2.16)$$

with $\delta(\varepsilon) = 1$ for $\varepsilon \geq 4$ and $\delta(\varepsilon) = 4 - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon < 4$. Furthermore, f is g -absorbing with $R = 2$. It can also be noted that f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \rightarrow t, gx_n \rightarrow t$ for some t . Then $t = 2$ and either $x_n = 2$ for each n from some place onwards or $x_n = 5 + \varepsilon_n$ where $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $x_n = 2$ for each n from some place onwards, $fgx_n \rightarrow 2 = f2$ and $gfx_n \rightarrow 2 = g2$. If $x_n = 5 + \varepsilon_n$, then $fx_n \rightarrow 2, gx_n = (2 + \varepsilon_n/3) \rightarrow 2, ffgx_n = f(2 + \varepsilon_n/3) \rightarrow 6 \neq f2$, and $gfgx_n \rightarrow g2 = 2$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfgx_n = g2$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffgx_n \neq f2$. Hence f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. It is also obvious that f and g are not reciprocally continuous mappings. Further, f and g are pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) maps as they commute at their only coincidence point $x = 2$.

Remark 2.7. Theorem 2.5 generalizes the well-known fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler [27].

It may be observed that the mappings f and g in Examples 2.2 and 2.6 are noncompatible mappings. However, in the case of noncompatible mappings there is an alternative method of proving the existence of fixed points [6, 7, 11, 19, 24, 26, 28–32]. This alternative method was introduced by Pant [19, 26, 28–30] and is also applicable under strictly contractive [19, 26, 31–33], nonexpansive [7], and Lipschitz-type conditions [6, 24, 30]. The existence of such a method is important since there is no general method for studying the fixed points of nonexpansive or Lipschitz-type mapping pairs in ordinary metric spaces.

In the area of fixed point theory, Lipschitz type mappings constitute a very important class of mappings and include contraction mappings, contractive mappings and, nonexpansive mappings as subclasses. The next theorem provides a good illustration of the applicability of recently introduced notions of conditional commutativity and weak reciprocal continuity to establish a situation in which a pair of mappings may possess common fixed points as well as coincidence points, which may not be common fixed points.

Theorem 2.8. Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous noncompatible self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying

- (i) $fX \subseteq gX$,
- (ii) $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(gx, gy), k \geq 0$.

If f and g are conditionally commuting and g is f -absorbing or f is g -absorbing, then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof. Since f and g are noncompatible maps, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $fx_n \rightarrow t$ and $gx_n \rightarrow t$ for some t in X but either $\lim_n d(fgx_n, gfx_n) \neq 0$ or the limit does not exist. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, for each x_n there exists y_n in X such that $fx_n = gy_n$. Thus $fx_n \rightarrow t$, $gx_n \rightarrow t$ and $gy_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By virtue of this and using (ii), we obtain $fy_n \rightarrow t$. Therefore, we have

$$fx_n = gy_n \rightarrow t, \quad gx_n \rightarrow t, \quad fy_n \rightarrow t. \quad (2.17)$$

Suppose that g is f -absorbing. Then $d(fx_n, fgx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$ and $d(fy_n, fgy_n) \leq Rd(fy_n, gy_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, these inequalities yield

$$fgx_n \rightarrow t, \quad fgy_n (= ffy_n) \rightarrow t. \quad (2.18)$$

Weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$ or $fgx_n \rightarrow gt$. Let $fgx_n \rightarrow gt$. By virtue of (ii), we get $d(fx_n, ft) \leq kd(gfx_n, gt)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $ffx_n \rightarrow ft$. In view of (2.18), this yields $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) \leq kd(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = t$. Thus $fu = gu$. Conditional commutativity of f and g implies that f and g commute at u , or there exists a coincidence point v of f and g at which f and g commute. Suppose f and g commute at the coincidence point v . Then $fv = gv$ and $fgv = gfv$. Also $ffv = fgv = gfv = ggv$. Since g is f -absorbing $d(fv, fgv) \leq Rd(fv, gv)$. This yields $fv = fgv$. Hence $fv = ffv = gfv$ and fv is a common fixed point of f and g .

Next suppose that $fgx_n \rightarrow ft$. In view of (2.18), we get $t = ft$. Since $fX \subseteq gX$, there exists u in X such that $t = ft = gu$. Now using (ii), we obtain $d(fx_n, fu) \leq kd(gx_n, gu)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $fu = t$. Thus $fu = gu$. This, in view of conditional commutativity and f -absorbing property of g , implies that f and g have a common fixed point.

Now suppose that f is g -absorbing. Then $d(gx_n, gfx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$ and $d(gy_n, fgy_n) \leq Rd(fy_n, gy_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, these inequalities yield

$$gfx_n (= ggy_n) \rightarrow t, \quad gfy_n \rightarrow t. \quad (2.19)$$

Weak reciprocal continuity of f and g implies that $fgy_n \rightarrow ft$ or $fgy_n \rightarrow gt$. Let us first assume that $fgy_n \rightarrow gt$. In view of (2.19), this yields $t = gt$. Using (ii) we get $d(fx_n, ft) \leq kd(gx_n, gt)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $t = ft$. Hence $t = ft = gt$ and t is a common fixed point of f and g .

Next suppose that $fgy_n \rightarrow ft$. Then $fX \subseteq gX$ implies that $ft = gu$ for some $u \in X$. Therefore, $fgy_n \rightarrow ft = gu$. Using (ii) and in view of (2.19), we get $d(fy_n, fgy_n) \leq kd(gy_n, ggy_n)$. On letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $t = gu$. Again, by virtue of (ii), we obtain $d(fy_n, fu) \leq kd(gy_n, gu)$. Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $t = fu$. Hence $fu = gu$. Conditional commutativity of f and g implies that f and g commute at u or there exists a coincidence point v of f and g at which f and g commute. Suppose f and g commute at the coincidence point v . Then $fv = gv$ and $fgv = gfv$. Also $ffv = fgv = gfv = ggv$. Since f is g -absorbing, $d(gv, gfv) \leq Rd(fv, gv)$. This yields $gv = gfv$. Hence $fv = ffv = gfv$ and fv is a common fixed point of f and g . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We now give examples to illustrate Theorem 2.8.

Example 2.9. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ by $fx = (1/2) - |x - (1/2)|$,

$$gx = \frac{2}{3}(1 - x). \quad (2.20)$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have two coincidence points $x = 1, 2/5$ and a common fixed point $x = 2/5$. It may be verified in this example that $f(X) = [0, 1/2]$, $g(X) = [0, 2/3]$ and $fX \subseteq gX$. Also that f and g are noncompatible but conditionally commuting maps. Furthermore, f and g are conditionally commuting since they commute at their coincidence point $2/5$. To see that f and g are noncompatible, let us consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ given by $x_n = 1 - (1/n)$. Then $fx_n \rightarrow 0$, $gx_n \rightarrow 0$, $fgx_n \rightarrow 0$, and $gfx_n \rightarrow 2/3$. Hence f and g are noncompatible. It may also be verified that f and g are not pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) as they do not commute at the coincidence point $x = 1$, since $f(g(1)) = 0$ and $g(f(1)) = 2/3$. It is also easy to verify that f and g satisfy the Lipschitz-type condition $d(fx, fy) \leq (3/2)d(gx, gy)$ together with f -absorbing condition $d(fx, fgx) \leq d(fx, gx)$ for all x . It can also be noted that f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous since both f and g are continuous.

In Example 2.9, f and g are not pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) as they do not commute at the coincidence point $x = 1$. We now give an example of pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) maps satisfying Theorem 2.8.

Example 2.10. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and d be the usual metric on X . Define $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$fx = \frac{1}{2} - \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right|, \quad (2.21)$$

$$gx = \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) \text{fractional part of } (1 - x).$$

Then f and g satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have three coincidence points $x = 0, 2/5, 1$ and two common fixed point $x = 0, 2/5$. It may be verified in this example that $f(X) = [0, 1/2]$, $g(X) = [0, 2/3]$ and $fX \subseteq gX$. Also, f and g are pointwise R -weakly commuting of type (A_f) maps, hence also conditionally commuting, since they commute at each of their coincidence points, namely, $x = 0, 2/5, 1$. To see that f and g are noncompatible, let us consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ given by $x_n = 1 - 1/n$. Then $fx_n \rightarrow 0$, $gx_n \rightarrow 0$, $fgx_n \rightarrow 0$, and $gfx_n \rightarrow 2/3$. Hence f and g are noncompatible. It is also easy to verify that f and g satisfy the Lipschitz-type condition $d(fx, fy) \leq (3/2)d(gx, gy)$. The mapping g is f -absorbing since $d(fx, fgx) \leq d(fx, gx)$ for all x . It can also be noted that f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous. To see this, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $fx_n \rightarrow t$, $gx_n \rightarrow t$ for some t . Then $t = 0$ and either $x_n = 0$ for each n or $x_n \rightarrow 1$. If $x_n = 0$ for each n , then $fx_n \rightarrow 0$, $gx_n \rightarrow 0$, $fgx_n \rightarrow 0 = f(0)$, and $gfx_n \rightarrow 0 = g(0)$. If $x_n \rightarrow 1$, then $fx_n \rightarrow 0$, $gx_n \rightarrow 0$, $fgx_n \rightarrow 0 = f(0)$, and $gfx_n \rightarrow 2/3 \neq g(0)$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = f(0)$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n \neq g(0)$. Hence f and g are weakly reciprocally continuous.

Putting $k = 1$ in Theorem 2.8, we get a common fixed point theorem for a non-expansive-type mapping pair.

Corollary 2.11. *Let f and g be weakly reciprocally continuous noncompatible self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfying*

- (i) $fX \subseteq gX$,
- (ii) $d(fx, fy) \leq d(gx, gy)$.

If f and g are conditionally commuting and g is f -absorbing or f is g -absorbing, then f and g have a common fixed point.

Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the learned referee for his deep observations and pertinent suggestions, which improved the exposition of the paper.

References

- [1] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 281–286, 1998.
- [2] R. P. Pant, "A common fixed point theorem under a new condition," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 147–152, 1999.
- [3] A. Aliouche and F. Merghadi, "A common fixed point theorem via a generalized contractive condition," *Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae*, vol. 36, pp. 3–14, 2009.
- [4] M. Imdad and J. Ali, "Reciprocal continuity and common fixed points of nonself mappings," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1457–1473, 2009.
- [5] M. Imdad, J. Ali, and M. Tanveer, "Remarks on some recent metrical common fixed point theorems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1165–1169, 2011.
- [6] R. K. Bisht and R. U. Joshi, "Common fixed point theorems of weakly reciprocally continuous maps," *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 79, no. 1–4, pp. 01–12, 2012.
- [7] R. P. Pant, R. K. Bisht, and D. Arora, "Weak reciprocal continuity and fixed point theorems," *Annali dell'Università di Ferrara*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 181–190, 2011.
- [8] R. Chugh and S. Kumar, "Minimal commutativity and common fixed points," *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 70, no. 1–4, pp. 169–177, 2003.
- [9] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, "Coincidences and fixed points of reciprocally continuous and compatible hybrid maps," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 627–635, 2002.
- [10] S. L. Singh, Y. J. Cho, and A. Kumar, "Fixed points of Meir-Keeler type hybrid contractions," *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 35–54, 2006.
- [11] S. Muralisankar and G. Kalpana, "Common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space using general contractive condition of integral type," *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 505–518, 2009.
- [12] U. Mishra, A. S. Ranadive, and D. Gopal, "Fixed point theorems via absorbing maps," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 49–60, 2008.
- [13] V. Popa, "Some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings," *Radovi Matematički*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 245–252, 2001.
- [14] P. Balasubramaniam, S. Muralisankar, and R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 379–384, 2002.
- [15] R. P. Pant and V. Pant, "Some fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 599–611, 2008.
- [16] S. Kumar and B. D. Pant, "A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space using implicit relation," *Filomat*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 43–52, 2008.
- [17] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 771–779, 1986.
- [18] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 188, no. 2, pp. 436–440, 1994.

- [19] R. P. Pant, *A Study on Fixed Points of Noncommuting Mappings [D. Sc. thesis]*, Kumaun University, Nainital, India, 2000.
- [20] H. K. Pathak, Y. J. Cho, and S. M. Kang, "Remarks of R-weakly commuting mappings and common fixed point theorems," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 247–257, 1997.
- [21] S. Padaliya and R. P. Pant, "Common fixed point theorems of R-weakly commuting mapping of type (A_f) ," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 155–163, 2005.
- [22] G. Jungck, "Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on nonmetric spaces," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 199–215, 1996.
- [23] M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad, "Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 867–876, 2008.
- [24] V. Pant and R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of conditionally commuting maps," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 113–118, 2010.
- [25] D. Gopal, R. P. Pant, and A. S. Ranadive, "Common fixed points of absorbing maps," *Bulletin of the Marathwada Mathematical Society*, vol. 9, pp. 43–48, 2008.
- [26] R. P. Pant, "Noncompatible mappings and common fixed points," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2000.
- [27] A. Meir and E. Keeler, "A theorem on contraction mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 28, pp. 326–329, 1969.
- [28] R. P. Pant, "Discontinuity and fixed points," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 240, no. 1, pp. 284–289, 1999.
- [29] R. P. Pant, "R-weak commutativity and common fixed points of noncompatible maps," *Ganita*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 19–27, 1998.
- [30] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of Lipschitz type mapping pairs," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 240, no. 1, pp. 280–283, 1999.
- [31] R. P. Pant and V. Pant, "Common fixed points under strict contractive conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 248, no. 1, pp. 327–332, 2000.
- [32] T. Kamran, "Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 299, no. 1, pp. 235–241, 2004.
- [33] M. Imdad, J. Ali, and L. Khan, "Coincidence and fixed points in symmetric spaces under strict contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 320, no. 1, pp. 352–360, 2006.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

