

APPROXIMATING FIXED POINTS OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

GUIMEI LIU, DENG LEI, and SHENGHONG LI

(Received 27 October 1998 and in revised form 19 April 1999)

ABSTRACT. We consider a mapping S of the form

$$S = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 T_1 + \alpha_2 T_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k T_k,$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\alpha_0 > 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$. We show that the Picard iterates of S converge to a common fixed point of T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) in a Banach space when T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are nonexpansive.

Keywords and phrases. Fixed point, nonexpansive mapping, iterative process.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space and C a convex subset of X . A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all x, y in C .

Specifically, the iterative process studied by Kirk is given by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_0 x_n + \alpha_1 T x_n + \alpha_2 T^2 x_n + \cdots + \alpha_k T^k x_n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

Kirk [1] has investigated an iterative process for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mapping on convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space. Recently, Maiti and Saha [2] improved the result of Kirk.

Let $T_i: C \rightarrow C$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be nonexpansive mappings, and let

$$S = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 T_1 + \alpha_2 T_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k T_k, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\alpha_0 > 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i = 1$.

In this paper, we show that the Picard iterates (1.2) of S converge to a common fixed point of T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) in a Banach space, without any assumption on convexity of Banach space. This result generalizes the corresponding result of Kirk [1], Maiti and Saha [2], Senter and Dotson [4].

2. Main results

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be a normed space and $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences in X satisfying*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\| = d$,
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\| \leq d$ and $\{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\}$ is bounded,
- (iii) there is a constant t with $0 < t < 1$ such that $a_{n+1} = (1-t)a_n + tb_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $d = 0$.

PROOF. Suppose that $d > 0$ and it follows from (ii) that $\sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} b_i$ is bounded for all n and m . Let

$$M = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} b_i \right\| : n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Choose a number N such that

$$N > \max \left(\frac{2tM}{d}, 1 \right). \tag{2.2}$$

We can choose a positive ε such that

$$1 - 2\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{N+1}{1-t} \right) > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

By $0 < t < 1$, there exists a natural k such that

$$N < kt \leq N + 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\| = d$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\| \leq d$ and ε independent of n , without loss of generality we may assume that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$d(1 - \varepsilon) < \|a_n\| < d(1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_n\| < d(1 + \varepsilon). \tag{2.5}$$

Setting $s = 1 - t$ from (iii), we obtain by induction

$$a_{k+1} = s^k a_1 + t s^{k-1} b_1 + \dots + t s b_{k-1} + t b_k, \quad a_{k+1} \in B := \text{co} \{a_1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $x = (1/k) \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ and

$$y = \frac{s^k}{1-s^k} \{a_1 + t[s^{-1} - (kt)^{-1}]b_1 + t[s^{-2} - (kt)^{-1}]b_2 + \dots + t[s^{-k} - (kt)^{-1}]b_k\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then it is clear that $x, y \in B$ and $a_{k+1} = s^k x + (1 - s^k)y$. Therefore,

$$d(1 - \varepsilon) < \|a_{k+1}\| \leq s^k \|x\| + (1 - s^k)\|y\| \leq s^k \|x\| + (1 - s^k)d(1 + \varepsilon). \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &> d(1 - s^{-k}(2 - s^k)\varepsilon) > d(1 - 2\varepsilon s^{-k}) \\ &= d \left\{ 1 - 2\varepsilon \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{1-t} \right) \right] \right\} \geq d \left[1 - 2\varepsilon \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{t}{1-t} \right) \right] \\ &= d \left[1 - 2\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{kt}{1-t} \right) \right] \geq d \left[1 - 2\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{N+1}{1-t} \right) \right] > \frac{d}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

since $\log(1 + u) \leq u$ for $-1 < u < \infty$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\|x\| = \frac{1}{k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \right\| \leq \frac{M}{k} \leq \frac{d}{2M} M = \frac{d}{2}, \tag{2.10}$$

arriving at a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 2.2. *Let C be a subset of a normed space X and $T_n : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$. If for an arbitrary $x_0 \in C$ and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.2), then*

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \tag{2.11}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $p \in F(T)$, where $F(T)$ denotes the common fixed point set of T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$).

PROOF. Since $p = Sp$ for all $p \in F(T)$ and T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) is nonexpansive, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|Sx_n - Sp\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \|T_i x_n - T_i p\| = \|x_n - p\| \tag{2.12}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all $p \in F(T)$. This completes the proof. □

THEOREM 2.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a Banach space X and $T_i : C \rightarrow C$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be nonexpansive mappings. If for an arbitrary $x_0 \in C$ and $\{x_n\}$ is defined by (1.2), then $\|x_n - Sx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. By (1.2) and T_i is nonexpansive mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - Sx_{n+1}\| &\leq \|Sx_n - Sx_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \alpha_0 \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \|T_i x_n - T_i x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_n - Sx_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Hence $\|x_n - Sx_n\| \rightarrow d$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Set $a_n = x_n - Sx_n$, $b_n = 1/(1 - \alpha_0) \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (T_i x_n - T_i x_{n+1})$, we have $a_{n+1} = \alpha_0 a_n + (1 - \alpha_0) b_n$ and

$$\|b_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \|T_i x_n - T_i x_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = \|a_n\|. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = d$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n\| \leq d. \tag{2.15}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (T_i x_j - T_i x_{j+1}) \right] \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (T_i x_j - T_i x_{j+1}) \right] \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (T_i x_1 - T_i x_{n+1}) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \|T_i x_1 - T_i x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_1 - x_{n+1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Then $\|\sum_{j=1}^n b_j\|$ is bounded. Setting $t = 1 - \alpha_0$, then $a_{n+1} = (1 - t)a_n + tb_n$ and $0 < t < 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $d = 0$, this completes the proof. \square

Recall that a Banach space X is said to satisfy Opial's condition [3] if the condition $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ weakly implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| \tag{2.17}$$

for all $y \neq x_0$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X be a Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition, C be weakly compact and convex, and let T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and $\{x_n\}$ be as in Theorem 2.3. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of S .*

PROOF. Due to weak compactness of C , there exists $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to a $p \in C$. With standard proof we show that $p = Sp$. We suppose that $\{x_n\}$ does not converge weakly to p ; then there are $\{x_{n_l}\}$ and $q \neq p$ such that $x_{n_l} \rightarrow q$ weakly and $q = Sq$. By Theorem 2.3 and Opial's condition of X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - p\| < \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - q\| \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_l} - q\| < \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_l} - p\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Let D be a subset of a Banach space X . Mappings $T_i : D \rightarrow X$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) with a nonempty common fixed points set $F(T)$ in D will be said to satisfy condition A [2, 4] if there is a nondecreasing function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$, such that $\|x - Sx\| \geq f(d(x, F(T)))$ for all $x \in D$, where S is defined by (1.2), $d(x, F(T)) = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in F(T)\}$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let X, C , and $\{x_n\}$ be as in Theorem 2.3. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow X$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be nonexpansive mappings with a nonempty common fixed points set $F(T)$ in C . If T_i satisfies condition A, then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a member of $F(T)$.*

PROOF. By condition A, we have

$$\|x_n - Sx_n\| \geq f\{d[x_n, F(T)]\} \tag{2.19}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since $\{d[x_n, F(T)]\}$ is decreasing by Lemma 2.2, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d[x_n, F(T)]\} = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

We can thus choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\|x_{n_j} - p_j\| < 2^{-j} \tag{2.21}$$

for all integers $j \geq 1$ and some sequence $\{p_j\}$ in $F(T)$. Again by Lemma 2.2, we have $\|x_{n_{j+1}} - p_j\| \leq \|x_{n_j} - p_j\| < 2^{-j}$, and hence

$$\|p_{j+1} - p_j\| \leq \|p_{j+1} - x_{n_{j+1}}\| + \|x_{n_{j+1}} - p_j\| \leq 2^{-(j+1)} + 2^{-j} < 2^{-j+1}, \tag{2.22}$$

which show that $\{p_j\}$ is Cauchy and therefore converges strongly to a point p in $F(T)$ since $F(T)$ is closed. Now it is readily seen that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ and hence $\{x_n\}$ itself, by Lemma 2.2, converges strongly to p . \square

REMARK 2.6. Theorem 2.5 generalizes [2, 4, Theorem 2.3] to a Banach space.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X , and T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be nonexpansive mappings from C into a compact subset of X . If $\{x_n\}$ is as in Theorem 2.3, then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of S .*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.3 and the precompactness of $S(C)$, we see that $\{x_n\}$ admits a strongly convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ whose limit we denote by z . Then, again by Theorem 2.3, we have $z = Sz$; namely, z is a fixed point of S . Since $\|x_n - z\|$ is decreasing by Lemma 2.1, z is actually the strong limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ itself. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is partially supported by NNSF under grant no. 79790130; ZJNSFC no. 198013; Zhejiang University Cai Guangbiao Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. A. Kirk, *On successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **12** (1971), 6-9. MR 45#7553. Zbl 223.47024.
- [2] M. Maiti and B. Saha, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive and generalized non-expansive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **16** (1993), no. 1, 81-86. MR 93j:47083. Zbl 774.47032.
- [3] Z. Opial, *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591-597. MR 35#2183. Zbl 179.19902.
- [4] H. F. Senter and W. G. Dotson, Jr., *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 375-380. MR 49#11333. Zbl 299.47032.

GUIMEI LIU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA

DENG LEI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIBEI, CHONGQING 400715, CHINA

SHENGHONG LI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: lsh@math.zju.edu.cn