

## MULTIMODAL CYCLES WITH LINEAR MAP HAVING EXACTLY ONE FIXED POINT

IRENE MULVEY

(Received 5 October 1999)

**ABSTRACT.** We describe a class of cycles that cannot be forced by a cycle whose linear map has exactly one fixed point.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37E05, 37E15.

**1. Introduction.** This note is concerned with the forcing relation on cycles. In particular, we consider cycles  $\theta$  for which the  $\theta$ -linear map has exactly one fixed point. We prove a theorem which describes a large class of cycles that cannot be forced by  $\theta$ .

**2. Definitions.** Throughout this note,  $f : I \rightarrow I$  denotes a continuous map of a compact interval. For  $x \in I$ ,  $f^0(x) = x$ , and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^n(x) = f(f^{n-1}(x))$ . An element  $x \in I$  is a periodic point for  $f$  if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $f^k(x) = x$ . The least such  $k$  is called the period of  $x$ . A point of period 1 is called a fixed point. The orbit of  $x \in I$  is the set  $\{f^n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and is denoted  $\mathbb{O}(x)$ . If  $x$  is periodic with period  $k$ , then  $\mathbb{O}(x)$  is a finite set consisting of  $k$  distinct elements.

A cycle of order  $n$  is a bijection  $\theta : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfying  $\theta^k(1) \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq k < n$ . Let  $x$  be a periodic point for  $f$  with least period  $n$  and  $\mathbb{O}(x) = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n\}$ . We say that  $x$  has orbit type  $\theta$  if  $\theta$  is a cycle of order  $n$  and  $f(x_i) = x_{\theta(i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . In this case, we also say that the periodic orbit  $\mathbb{O}(x)$  has orbit type  $\theta$ . We say that  $f$  has a periodic orbit of orbit type  $\theta$  if there exists a periodic point  $x \in I$  which has orbit type  $\theta$ . A cycle  $\theta$  forces a cycle  $\eta$  if whenever  $f$  has a periodic orbit of type  $\theta$ ,  $f$  has a periodic point of type  $\eta$ .

For a cycle  $\theta$  of order  $n$ , the  $\theta$ -linear map  $L_\theta : [1, n] \rightarrow [1, n]$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_\theta(k) &= \theta(k), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ L_\theta &\text{ is linear on } [i, i+1], \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The graph of  $L_\theta$  consists of at most  $n-1$  linear segments, each having a slope  $m$  satisfying  $|m| \geq 1$ . A cycle  $\eta$  is forced by  $\theta$  if and only if  $L_\theta$  has a periodic orbit of type  $\eta$  [1].

Baldwin [2] defined the forcing relation and proved that the forcing relation induces a partial order on the set of cycles. He provided an exhaustive but inefficient algorithm for determining whether one cycle forces another. Jungreis [6] provided a combinatorial method to determine if one cycle forces another in certain cases. In [3] a geometric version of Jungreis's algorithm is given and in [4] this algorithm is generalized to any

two cycles. In [8], another geometric algorithm is given to determine the forcing relation. This algorithm is similar to Baldwin’s original algorithm but more efficient. A cycle is called unimodal if  $L_\theta$  has exactly one turning point (a maximum, say). In [5] the forcing relation on the set of unimodal cycles is studied. In particular, it is shown that the forcing relation induces a total order on the set of unimodal cycles. In [7, 9] the structure of this totally ordered set is investigated.

**3. Preliminaries.** In this section, we define the  $RL$ -pattern for any cycle, and we define the step number for a cycle  $\theta$  for which  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $\eta$  be any cycle of order  $k$ . The  $RL$ -pattern for  $\eta$  is the sequence

$$G = G_1 G_2 \cdots G_k \in \{R, L\}^k \tag{3.1}$$

defined by

$$G_i = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } \eta^i(1) > \eta^{i-1}(1), \\ L & \text{if } \eta^i(1) < \eta^{i-1}(1). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $R(\eta)$  denote the length of the longest string of consecutive  $R$ ’s in the  $RL$ -pattern for  $\eta$ .

Obviously, every  $RL$ -pattern begins with an  $R$  and ends with an  $L$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a cycle of order  $n$  such that  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point. Let  $p_1 \in (1, n)$  denote the unique fixed point and let  $E_1 = \{x < p_1 \mid f(x) = p_1\}$ . If  $E_1 \neq \emptyset$ , we let  $p_2 = \max\{E_1\}$ . For  $i > 1$ , if the points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i$  and nonempty sets  $E_1, \dots, E_{i-1}$  have been defined, we set

$$E_i = \{x < p_i \mid f(x) = p_i\}. \tag{3.3}$$

If  $E_i \neq \emptyset$ , we let  $p_{i+1} = \max\{E_i\}$ . We see that for some  $i \geq 1$ ,  $E_i = \emptyset$ , for otherwise, there would exist a strictly decreasing sequence  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $[1, n]$ , converging to a point  $p < p_1$  but satisfying, for each  $n$ ,

$$L_\theta(p_n) = p_{n-1}, \tag{3.4}$$

so that by continuity,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(p_n) = L(p) \tag{3.5}$$

and at the same time

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(p_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n-1} = p. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus  $L(p) = p$ , which would contradict the assumption that  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point. Therefore we can make the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Let  $\theta$  be a cycle of order  $n$  such that  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point. The step number of  $\theta$ , denoted  $S(\theta)$ , is the (smallest) value of  $i$  for which  $E_i = \emptyset$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.3.** The cycle  $\eta_1 = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  has  $RL$ -pattern  $RRRL$ . The cycle  $\eta_2 = (1\ 4\ 7\ 2\ 6\ 8\ 5)$  has  $RL$ -pattern  $RRLRLLL$ ;  $R(\eta_1) = 3$  and  $R(\eta_2) = 2$ .

**4. Results.** For any cycle  $\theta$  such that  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point, the following theorem describes a large class of cycles that cannot be forced by  $\theta$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\theta$  be a cycle of order  $n \geq 2$  such that  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point. Let  $S(\theta)$  denote the step number of  $\theta$ . Let  $\eta$  be any cycle. If  $R(\eta) > S(\theta)$ , then  $\theta$  does not force  $\eta$ .*

**PROOF.** We have

$$1 < p_{S(\theta)} < p_{S(\theta)-1} < \dots < p_2 < p_1 < n. \tag{4.1}$$

We write

$$[1, n] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{S(\theta)+1} I_i, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= [p_1, n], \\ I_i &= [p_i, p_{i-1}] \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq S(\theta), \\ I_{S(\theta)+1} &= [1, p_{S(\theta)}]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

For any  $x \in \text{int}(I_1)$ ,  $L_\theta(x) < x$ . So  $x$  cannot be the leftmost point in any periodic orbit. For  $2 \leq i \leq S(\theta) + 1$ , we argue inductively. If  $x \in \text{int}(I_i)$ , then  $L_\theta(x) > x$  and  $L_\theta(x) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} I_j$ , so if  $x$  is the leftmost point of a periodic orbit of type  $\gamma$ , the  $RL$ -pattern of  $\gamma$  consist of at most  $i - 1$  consecutive  $R$ 's followed by an  $L$ . That is,  $R(\gamma) \leq i - 1$ . This shows that any cycle  $\eta$  forced by  $\theta$  must have  $R(\eta) \leq S(\theta)$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Let  $\theta = (1\ 2\ 6\ 3\ 4\ 5)$ .  $L_\theta$  has exactly one fixed point and  $S(\theta) = 3$ . From [Theorem 4.1](#), we know that for all  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\theta$  does not force  $(1\ 2\ 3 \dots n)$ . Using the technique developed in [\[8\]](#) it is seen that  $\theta$  does force  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  and that there are exactly two distinct orbits of type  $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ . Also,  $\theta$  forces  $(1\ 2\ 3)$  and there are six distinct orbits of type  $(1\ 2\ 3)$ .

**EXAMPLE 4.3.** Let  $\theta = (1\ 3\ 5\ 2\ 8\ 4\ 7\ 6)$ .  $L_\theta$  has one fixed point and  $S(\theta) = 2$ . From [Theorem 4.1](#), we see that for all  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\theta$  does not force  $(1\ 2\ 3 \dots n)$ . Using [\[8\]](#), one can find exactly two distinct orbits of type  $(1\ 2\ 4\ 3)$ , exactly fourteen distinct orbits of type  $(1\ 3\ 2\ 4)$ , exactly eleven distinct orbits of type  $(1\ 4\ 2\ 3)$  and one can show that there are now orbits of type  $(1\ 3\ 4\ 2)$  and no orbits of type  $(1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$ . These are the only orbit types of period 4 forced by  $\theta$ .

**REFERENCES**

[1] L. Alsedà, J. Llibre, and M. Misiurewicz, *Combinatorial Dynamics and Entropy in Dimension One*, Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 5, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey, 1993. [MR 95j:58042](#). [Zbl 843.58034](#).  
 [2] S. Baldwin, *Generalizations of a theorem of Šarkovskii on orbits of continuous real-valued functions*, *Discrete Math.* **67** (1987), no. 2, 111-127. [MR 89c:58057](#). [Zbl 632.06005](#).  
 [3] C. Bernhardt, E. Coven, M. Misiurewicz, and I. Mulvey, *Comparing periodic orbits of maps of the interval*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **333** (1992), no. 2, 701-707. [MR 92m:58106](#). [Zbl 764.58026](#).  
 [4] C. Bernhardt and E. M. Coven, *A polynomial-time algorithm for deciding the forcing relation on cyclic permutations*, *Symbolic Dynamics and its Applications* (New Haven, CT,

- 1991) (Rhode Island), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 135, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, pp. 85–93. [MR 93j:58041](#). [Zbl 770.58016](#).
- [5] P. Collet and J.-P. Eckmann, *Iterated Maps on the Interval as Dynamical Systems*, Progress in Physics, vol. 1, Birkhäuser, Massachusetts, 1980. [MR 82j:58078](#). [Zbl 458.58002](#).
- [6] I. Jungreis, *Some results on the Šarkovskii partial ordering of permutations*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **325** (1991), no. 1, 319–344. [MR 91h:58060](#). [Zbl 715.58028](#).
- [7] I. Mulvey, *Symbolic representation for a class of unimodal cycles*, *Topology and its Applications*, to appear in *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*
- [8] ———, *A geometric algorithm to decide the forcing relation on cycles*, *Real Anal. Exchange* **23** (1997/98), no. 2, 709–717. [MR 99j:58164](#). [Zbl 939.37021](#).
- [9] ———, *On the structure of the totally ordered set of unimodal cycles*, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **25** (2001), no. 5, 323–329.

IRENE MULVEY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FAIRFIELD UNIVERSITY, FAIRFIELD, CT 06430, USA

*E-mail address:* [mulvey@fair1.fairfield.edu](mailto:mulvey@fair1.fairfield.edu)