

COMPLETELY CONTRACTIVE MAPS BETWEEN C^* -ALGEBRAS

W. T. SULAIMAN

Received 15 November 2001

We give a simple proof that any completely contractive map between C^* -algebras is the top right hand corner of a two completely positive unital matrix operator. Some well-known results are deduced.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B65.

1. Introduction. Let A and B be C^* -algebras, $S \subset A$ be a subspace, and $\phi : S \rightarrow B$ be a linear map. We define $\phi_n : M_n(S) \rightarrow M_n(B)$ by

$$\phi_n[a_{ij}] = [\phi(a_{ij})]. \quad (1.1)$$

We said that ϕ is n -positive if ϕ_n is positive and that ϕ is completely positive if ϕ_n is positive for all n . The map ϕ is said to be n -bounded (resp., n -contractive) if $\|\phi_n\| \leq c$ (resp., $\|\phi_n\| \leq 1$). The map ϕ is said to be completely bounded (resp., completely contractive) if $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \sup_n \|\phi_n\| < \infty$ (resp., $\|\phi\|_{cc} = \sup_n \|\phi_n\| \leq 1$). n -positivity (resp., n -boundedness or n -contractivity) implies $(n-1)$ -positivity (resp., $(n-1)$ -boundedness or $(n-1)$ -contractivity). The converse is not true in general.

For any C^* -algebra A , $M_n(M_p(A))$ is identified with $M_p(M_n(A))$ because there is a canonical isomorphism between $M_n(M_p(A))$ and $M_p(M_n(A))$ by the rearrangement of an $n \times n$ matrix of $p \times p$ blocks as a $p \times p$ matrix of $n \times n$ blocks with the (i, j) th entry of the (k, ℓ) -block becoming the (k, ℓ) th entry of the (i, j) th block. This rearrangement corresponds to a pre- and post-multiplying of a given matrix by a unitary and its adjoint.

2. Main results

LEMMA 2.1 (see [1]). *Let A be a C^* -algebra, R, S , and $T \in A$ with T being positive and invertible. Then,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \iff R \geq S^* T^{-1} S. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. The lemma follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -S^* T^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -S^* T^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}^* \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R - S^* T^{-1} S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} & 0 \\ S^* T^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} & 0 \\ S^* T^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a linear map. We denote by $\phi^* : A \rightarrow B$ the linear map defined by

$$\phi^*(a) = (\phi(a^*))^*. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $S(A)$ be the linear subspace of $M_2(A)$ given by

$$S(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in A, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\Phi : S(A) \rightarrow S(B)$ be defined by

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \phi(a) \\ \phi^*(b) & \mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

□

THEOREM 2.2. *The map ϕ is n -contractive which implies Φ is n -positive.*

PROOF. Let

$$X = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & a_{ij} \\ b_{ij} & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \in M_n(S(A))^+. \tag{2.6}$$

We may identify X with

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda_{ij}] & [a_{ij}] \\ [b_{ij}] & [\mu_{ij}] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, Y is positive which implies $[\lambda_{ij}]$ and $[\mu_{ij}]$ are positive in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since $Y \geq 0$ if and only if $Y + (1/m)I > 0$ for every $m \in I$, we may assume that $[\lambda_{ij}]$ and $[\mu_{ij}]$ are invertible. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & a_{ij} \\ a_{ji}^* & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left[\begin{pmatrix} [\lambda_{ij}] & [a_{ij}] \\ [a_{ij}]^* & [\mu_{ij}] \end{pmatrix} \right] \geq 0, \text{ via identification} \\ \Leftrightarrow & [\mu_{ij}] \geq [a_{ij}]^* [\lambda_{ij}]^{-1} [a_{ij}], \text{ by Lemma 2.1} \\ \Leftrightarrow & I \geq [\mu'_{ij}] [a_{ij}] [\lambda'_{ij}] [\lambda'_{ij}] [a_{ij}] [\mu'_{ij}], \text{ where } [\mu'_{ij}] = [\mu_{ij}]^{-1/2}, \quad [\lambda'_{ij}] = [\lambda_{ij}]^{-1/2} \\ \Leftrightarrow & I \geq ([\lambda'_{ij}] [a_{ij}] [\mu'_{ij}])^* ([\lambda'_{ij}] [a_{ij}] [\mu'_{ij}]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| \left([\lambda'_{ij}][a_{ij}][\mu'_{ij}] \right)^* \left([\lambda'_{ij}][a_{ij}][\mu'_{ij}] \right) \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq 0 \left\| \left([\lambda'_{ij}][a_{ij}][\mu'_{ij}] \right) \right\|^2 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| \left([\lambda_{ij}][a_{ij}][\mu'_{ij}] \right) \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq 0 \left\| \left[\sum_{s,t=1}^n \lambda'_{us} a_{st} \mu'_{tr} \right]_{u,r} \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| \phi_n \left[\sum_{s,t=1}^n \lambda'_{us} a_{st} \mu'_{tr} \right]_{u,r} \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| \left[\sum_{s,t=1}^n \lambda'_{us} \phi_n(a_{st}) \mu'_{tr} \right]_{u,r} \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| [\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| [\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right\|^2 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow 1 \geq \left\| \left([\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right)^* \left([\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right) \right\| \\
 &\Leftrightarrow I \geq \left([\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right)^* \left([\lambda'_{ij}][\phi(a_{ij})][\mu'_{ij}] \right) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow [\mu_{ij}] \geq [\phi(a_{ij})]^* [\lambda_{ij}]^{-1} [\phi(a_{ij})] \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \left[\begin{pmatrix} [\lambda_{ij}] & [\phi(a_{ij})] \\ [\phi(a_{ij})]^* & [\mu_{ij}] \end{pmatrix} \right] \geq 0 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & \phi(a_{ij}) \\ \phi^*(a_{ji}^*) & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \geq 0, \text{ via identification} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \phi_n \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & a_{ij} \\ a_{ji}^* & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2.8)

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\phi : E \rightarrow B$ be a map from a selfadjoint subspace E of a C*-algebra A into a C*-algebra B . Define a map $\Psi : S(E) \rightarrow B$ by*

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda + \mu)I + \phi(a) + \phi^*(b). \tag{2.9}$$

- (i) *The map ϕ is n -contractive $\Rightarrow \Psi$ is n -positive.*
- (ii) *The map Ψ is $2n$ -positive $\Rightarrow \phi$ is n -contractive.*
- (iii) *The map ϕ is completely contractive $\Rightarrow \Psi$ is completely positive.*
- (iv) *The map Ψ is n -positive $\Rightarrow \|\phi_n\| \leq 2$.*

PROOF. (i) Define maps $\Phi : S(E) \rightarrow S(B)$, $\delta : M_2(B) \rightarrow B$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \phi(a) \\ \phi^*(b) & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \\ \delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= a + b + c + d.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

The map Φ is n -positive by [Theorem 2.2](#). As δ is n -positive (in fact it is completely positive), then $\Psi = \delta \circ \Phi$ is n -positive.

(ii) There are two methods to prove (ii).

METHOD 1 (see [\[4\]](#)). Via identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_n \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda_{ij}] & [a_{ij}] \\ [a_{ij}]^* & [\mu_{ij}] \end{pmatrix} &= ([\lambda_{ij}] + [\mu_{ij}])I + \phi_n[a_{ij}] + \phi_n^*[b_{ij}], \\ [\lambda_{ij}], [\mu_{ij}] &\in M_n(\mathbb{C}); \quad [a_{ij}], [b_{ij}] \in M_n(A).\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

Let $\|[a_{ij}]\| \leq 1$, we want to show that $\|\phi_n[a_{ij}]\| \leq 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\|[a_{ij}]\| \leq 1 &\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} I_n & [a_{ij}] \\ [a_{ij}]^* & I_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 & [a_{ij}] \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ [a_{ij}]^* & 0 & 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_n \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \Psi_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & [a_{ij}] \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Psi_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ [a_{ij}]^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \Psi_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \phi_n[a_{ij}] \\ \phi_n^*[a_{ij}]^* & I_n \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \|\phi_n[a_{ij}]\| \leq 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \|\phi_n\| \leq 1.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

METHOD 2. Since $\Psi_{2n} = \delta_{2n} \circ \Phi_{2n}$ and Ψ_{2n}, δ_{2n} are both positive, then Φ_{2n} is also positive. As Φ_{2n} is unital, then $\|\Phi_{2n}\| \leq 1$. Hence

$$\|\Psi_{2n}\| \leq \|\delta_{2n}\| \|\Phi_{2n}\| = 2 \cdot 1 = 2. \tag{2.13}$$

We identified

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & a_{ij} \\ b_{ij} & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_n \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} H & A \\ B & K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.14}$$

and write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & a_{ij} \\ b_{ij} & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_n \longleftarrow \begin{pmatrix} H & B \\ A & K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H &= [\lambda_{ij}], \quad K = [\mu_{ij}], \quad A = [a_{ij}], \quad B = [b_{ij}], \quad H, K \in M_n, \quad A, B \in M_n(A); \\ \Psi_{2n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} H & A \\ B & K \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_2 \right) &= \delta_{2n} \left(\Phi_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} H & A \\ B & K \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_2 \right) = \delta_{2n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} H & \phi(A) \\ \phi^*(B) & K \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_2 \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \delta_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} H \otimes M_2 & \phi(A) \otimes M_2 \\ \phi(B) \otimes M_2 & K \otimes M_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H & \phi(A) \\ \phi^*(B) & K \end{pmatrix} \times 4; \\ \|\phi_n(A)\| &= \left\| 4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi_n(A) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \Psi_{2n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_n \right) \right\| \\ &= \|\Psi_{2n}\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes M_n \right\| = 4\|A\| \\ &\Rightarrow \|\phi_n\| \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

(iii) The proof of (iii) is obvious.

(iv) Via identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} H & A \\ B & K \end{pmatrix} &= H + K + \phi_n(A) + \phi_n^*(B) \\ \|\phi_n(A)\| &= \left\| \Psi_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \delta_n \circ \Phi_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \|\delta_n\| \|\Phi_n\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq 2\|A\| \\ &\Rightarrow \|\phi_n\| \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

□

3. Applications

THEOREM 3.1 (see [2]). *Let E be a linear subspace of a C^* -algebra and let B be a commutative C^* -algebra. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow B$ be a linear map. If ϕ is contractive, then it is completely contractive.*

PROOF. Define a map $\Psi : S(E) \rightarrow B$ by

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda + \mu)I + \phi(a) + \phi^*(b),$$

ϕ is contractive $\Rightarrow \Psi$ is positive (Theorem 2.3) (3.1)

$\Rightarrow \Psi$ is completely positive [1, Proposition 1.2.2]

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is completely contractive (Theorem 2.3). □

THEOREM 3.2 (see [4, Theorem 1.1.13]). *Let E be a closed selfadjoint subspace of a C^* -algebra. Let B be a commutative C^* -algebra. Let $\Phi : E \rightarrow M_n(B)$ be a linear map. If Φ is n -positive then, it is completely positive.*

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let E be a selfadjoint subspace of a C^* -algebra. Let B be a commutative C^* -algebra. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow M_n(B)$ be a linear map. If ϕ is n -contractive then, it is completely contractive.*

PROOF. Define a map $\Psi : S(E) \rightarrow M_n(B)$ by

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda + \mu)I + \phi(a) + \phi^*(b),$$

ϕ is n -contractive $\Rightarrow \Psi$ is n -positive (Theorem 2.3) (3.2)

$\Rightarrow \Psi$ is completely positive (Theorem 3.2)

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is completely contractive (Theorem 2.3). □

THEOREM 3.4 (see [4, Theorem 1.1.12]). *Let E be a closed selfadjoint subspace of a C^* -algebra, A containing the identity, and let $\phi : E \rightarrow M_n = B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be n -positive map. Then, ϕ possesses a completely positive extension $\Psi : A \rightarrow M_n$ and therefore, ϕ is completely positive.*

In 1983 Smith [3] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.5 (see [3]). *Let $\phi : A \rightarrow M_n$ be bounded. Then $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \|\phi_n\|$.*

Here, we generalize Theorem 3.5 by giving the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let E be a closed selfadjoint subspace of a C^* -algebra. If $\phi : E \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is n -contractive, then ϕ is completely contractive.*

PROOF. Define $\Psi : S(E) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & a \\ b & \mu \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda + \mu)I + \phi(a) + \phi^*(b),$$

ϕ is n -contractive $\Rightarrow \Psi$ is n -positive (Theorem 2.3) (3.3)

$\Rightarrow \Psi$ is completely positive (Theorem 3.4)

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is completely contractive (Theorem 2.3). □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. Choi, *Some assorted inequalities for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory 4 (1980), no. 2, 271–285.
- [2] R. I. Loebel, *Contractive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Michigan Math. J. 22 (1975), no. 4, 361–366.
- [3] R. R. Smith, *Completely bounded maps between C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1983), no. 1, 157–166.
- [4] W. T. Sulaiman, *Some classes of linear maps between C^* -algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, 1985.

W. T. SULAIMAN: COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, AJMAN UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 346, AJMAN, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

E-mail address: waad@ajman.ac.ae