

## RESEARCH NOTES

### A CHARACTERIZATION OF PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS

**PRABDUH RAM MISRA**

I.M.F. - U.F.G.  
Caixa Postal - 597  
74000 - Goiânia - Go, BRASIL  
and

**VINODKUMAR**

I.I.T.  
New Delhi, INDIA

(Received October 6, 1980)

ABSTRACT. It is proved here that a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  is pseudocompact if and only if for any continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to a pseudocompact space (or a compact space)  $Y$ ,  $f^* \phi$  is  $z$ -ultrafilter whenever  $\phi$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Pseudocompact,  $\beta X$ ,  $z$ -filter,  $z$ -ultra function.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 54D99.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION.

For notations and basic results one is referred to [1]. We only consider here completely regular Hausdorff spaces.

Let  $f$  be continuous from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Let  $\phi$  be a  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$ , then  $f^* \phi$  denotes the  $z$ -filter  $\{B \in Z(Y) : f^{-1}(B) \in \phi\}$  on  $Y$  and is known to be prime. We further know that a prime  $z$ -filter is contained in a unique  $z$ -ultrafilter. Let  $\Delta(f)\phi$  denote the  $z$ -ultrafilter containing  $f^* \phi$ . Thus we have a function  $\Delta(f)$  from  $\beta X$  to  $\beta Y$  sending  $\phi$  to  $\Delta(f)\phi$ . The function  $f$  is called  $z$ -ultra if  $f^* \phi = \Delta(f)\phi$  for every  $z$ -ultrafilter  $\phi$  on  $X$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**PROPOSITION.** A continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is  $z$ -ultra if and only if for every zero-set  $B$  in  $Y$ ,  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y}) = \overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\beta X}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $f$  be  $z$ -ultra. Then,  $\phi \in \Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y})$  if and only if  $\Delta(f)\phi = f^*\phi \in \overline{B}^{\beta Y}$ . But this is equivalent to  $B \in f^*\phi$  or to  $f^{-1}(B) \in \phi$ , which happens if and only if  $\phi \in \overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\beta X}$ .

Conversely,  $B \in f^*\phi$  if and only if  $\phi \in \overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\beta X}$ , i.e.  $\Delta(f)\phi \in \overline{B}^{\beta Y}$ , since  $\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\beta X} = \Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y})$ . But  $\Delta(f)\phi \in \overline{B}^{\beta Y}$  is equivalent to saying that  $B \in \Delta(f)\phi$ . We see that  $f^*\phi = \Delta(f)\phi$ .

In order to prove the main theorem of the paper we need the following observations for pseudocompact spaces. If  $X$  is pseudocompact, then a subset of  $\beta X$  is a zero-set if and only if it is closure of a zero-set in  $X$  and conversely, a subset of  $X$  is a zero-set in  $X$  if and only if its closure is so in  $\beta X$ .

**THEOREM.** If a space  $X$  is pseudocompact then any continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to any pseudocompact space  $Y$  is  $z$ -ultra. Conversely, if the inclusion of  $X$  in  $\beta X$  is  $z$ -ultra, then  $X$  is pseudocompact.

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a zero-set in  $Y$ . Since  $\overline{B}^{\beta Y}$  is a zero-set in  $\beta Y$  as  $Y$  is pseudocompact,  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y})$  is a zero-set in  $\beta X$ . Pseudocompactness of  $X$  implies that  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y}) = \overline{A}^{\beta X}$  for some zero-set  $A$  in  $X$ . We show that  $A = f^{-1}(B)$ . Since  $\Delta(f)/X = f$ , we observe that  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(B) \cap X = f^{-1}(B)$ . Clearly,  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y}) \cap X = \Delta(f)^{-1}(B) \cap X = f^{-1}(B)$ . Next,  $\Delta(f)^{-1}(\overline{B}^{\beta Y}) \cap X = \overline{A}^{\beta X} \cap X = A$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(B) = A$ , and we have  $f$  to be  $z$ -ultra.

Conversely, let  $i$  be the inclusion of  $X$  in  $\beta X$ . Since  $\Delta(i)/X = i$ ,  $\Delta(i)$  is the identity on  $\beta X$ . Let  $B$  be a nonempty zero-set in  $\beta X$ . Since  $i$  is  $z$ -ultra, from the above proposition we have that  $B = \Delta(i)^{-1}(B) = \overline{i^{-1}(B)}^{\beta X} = \overline{B \cap X}^{\beta X}$  and [1,6I.1] shows that  $X$  is pseudocompact.

As an application of our theorem we prove the following well known theorem due to Glucksberg [2].

THEOREM. If  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $Y$  is compact, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact.

PROOF. Let  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a continuous function,  $Z$  some pseudocompact space. Consider a  $z$ -ultrafilter  $\phi$  on  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\pi_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  denote the projection on the second coordinate. Since  $Y$  is compact and  $\pi_2^* \phi$  is a  $z$ -filter, it is fixed as well. Let  $y_0 \in \bigcap \pi_2^* \phi$ . Hence  $\phi_1$ , the restriction of  $\phi$  to the subspace  $X \times \{y_0\}$  is a  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X \times \{y_0\}$ . Let  $f_1$  denote the restriction of  $f$  to the subspace  $X \times \{y_0\}$ . Since  $X$  is pseudocompact,  $f_1$  is  $z$ -ultra. Clearly,  $f^* \phi \subseteq f_1^* \phi_1$ . Next, let  $B \in f^* \phi$ . Hence  $f_1^{-1}(B) \in \phi_1$ . Since  $f_1^{-1}(B)$  contains  $f_1^{-1}(B)$ ,  $f_1^{-1}(B)$  intersects every member of  $\phi$ . Thus  $f_1^{-1}(B) \in \phi$  as it is a  $z$ -ultrafilter. We get that  $B \in f^* \phi$ . Hence  $f^* \phi = f_1^* \phi_1$  and it follows that  $f$  is  $z$ -ultra.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was done while the first author was visiting Mehta Research Institute, Allahabad in summer 1977.

#### REFERENCES

1. Gillman, L. and Jerison, M., Rings of Continuous Functions. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
2. Glicksberg, I., Stone-Cech Compactifications of Products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 369-382.