

## A NOTE ON POWER INVARIANT RINGS

JOONG HO KIM

Department of Mathematics  
East Carolina University  
Greenville, N.C. 27834  
U.S.A.

(Received September 1, 1980)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R^{((n))} = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  the power series ring in  $n$  independent indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over  $R$ .  $R$  is called power invariant if whenever  $S$  is a ring such that  $R[[X_1]] \cong S[[X_1]]$ , then  $R \cong S$ .  $R$  is said to be forever-power-invariant if  $S$  is a ring and  $n$  is any positive integer such that  $R^{((n))} \cong S^{((n))}$ , then  $R \cong S$ . Let  $I_c(R)$  denote the set of all  $a \in R$  such that there is  $R$ -homomorphism  $\sigma: R[[X]] \rightarrow R$  with  $\sigma(X) = a$ . Then  $I_c(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . It is shown that if  $I_c(R)$  is nil,  $R$  is forever-power-invariant.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Power series ring, Power invariant ring, Forever-power-invariant, Ideal-adic topology.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification Codes. 13F25

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper all rings are assumed to be commutative and to have identity elements. Throughout this paper the symbol  $\omega$  and  $\omega_0$  are used to denote the sets of positive and negative integers, respectively. Let  $R^{((n))} = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  be the formal power series ring in  $n$  indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over a ring  $R$  and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be elements of  $R^{((n))}$ . Let  $(R^{((n))}, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  denote the topological ring  $R^{((n))}$  with the  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ -adic topology where  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is the ideal of  $R^{((n))}$  generated by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . It is well known that  $(R^{((n))}, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\bigcap_{j \in \omega} (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^j = (0)$ . In this case, the topological ring  $(R^{((n))}, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  is metrizable, and we say that  $(R^{((n))}, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  is complete if

each Cauchy sequence of  $R^{((n))}$  converges in  $R^{((n))}$ . Clearly,  $(R^{((n))}, (X_1, \dots, X_n))$  is a complete Hausdorff space. If  $\alpha \in R^{((n))}$ , then  $\alpha$  is uniquely expressible in the form  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j$ , where  $\alpha_j \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  for each  $j \in \omega_0$  such that  $\alpha_j$  is 0 or a homogenous polynomial (that is form) of degree  $j$  in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over  $R$ . We call  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j$  the homogenous decomposition of  $\alpha$ , and for each  $j \in \omega_0$ ,  $\alpha_j$  is called the  $j$ -th homogenous component of  $\alpha$ .

Coleman and Enochs [3] raised the following question: Can there be non-isomorphic rings  $R$  and  $S$  whose polynomial rings  $R[X]$  and  $S[X]$  are isomorphic? Hochster [8] answered the question in the affirmative. The analogous question about formal power series rings was raised by O'Malley [13]: If  $R[[X]] \cong S[[X]]$ , must  $A \cong B$ ? Hermann [7] showed that there are non-isomorphic rings  $R$  and  $S$  whose formal power series ring  $R[[X]]$  and  $S[[X]]$  are isomorphic. Then what is necessary and sufficient conditions on a ring  $R$  in order that whenever  $S$  is a ring such that  $R[[X]] \cong S[[X]]$ , then  $R \cong S$ ? Several authors [7,10,13] investigated sufficient conditions on  $R$  so that  $R$  should be power invariant, but we do not know the necessary conditions on  $R$ . The fact that rings with nilpotent Jacobson radical are power invariant is known in [10] and Hamann [7] proved that a ring  $R$  is power invariant, if  $J(R)$ , the Jacobson radical of  $R$ , is nil. In this paper we impose more relaxed condition on  $J(R)$  so that  $R$  should be power invariant and forever-power-invariant. Let  $I_c(R)$  denote the set of all  $a \in R$  such that there is an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\sigma: R[[X]] \rightarrow R$  with  $\sigma(X) = a$ . Then  $I_c(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$  contained in  $J(R)$  and contains the nil-radical of  $R$  (by Theorem E, [4]). Then  $I_c(R)$  may be properly contained in  $J(R)$  and it may properly contain the nil-radical of  $R$ . For example, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}/(4)[X]$ , then  $M = (2, X)$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ . Let  $R = A_M[[Y]]$ , then the nil-radical of  $R$  is  $2R$  and  $I_c(R) = (2, Y)$  and  $J(R) = (2, X, Y)$ . Also it is easy to see that the nil-radical of  $A_M$  is  $(2)$  and  $I_c(A_M) = (2)$  and  $J(A_M) = (2, X)$ . This shows that for some ring  $R$ ,  $I_c(R)$  is nil, but  $J(R)$  is not nil. It is well known that  $J(R^{((n))}) = J(R) + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i R^{((n))}$ . Analogously, the following relation was proved in [6]:  $I_c(R^{((n))}) = I_c(R) + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i R^{((n))}$ ; therefore, for any ring  $R$  and any positive integer  $n$ ,  $I_c(R^{((n))})$  can not be nil.

2. SOME POWER INVARIANT RINGS.

Let  $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in R[[X]]$ . If  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_0^n) = (0)$  (or  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha^n) = (0)$ ) and  $R$  is complete with respect to the  $(a_0)$ -adic topology (or  $R[[X]]$  is complete with respect to the  $(\alpha)$ -adic topology), then there is an  $R$ -endomorphism  $\phi$  of  $R[[X]]$  such that  $\phi(X) = \alpha$ , ([14] and [15]).

The following theorem from [15] will be needed for our main results.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in R[[X]]$ . Then there exists an  $R$ -automorphism  $\phi$  of  $R[[X]]$  such that  $\phi(X) = \alpha$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $(R[[X]], (\alpha))$  is a complete Hausdorff space;
- (2)  $a_1$  is a unit of  $R$ .

The next theorem (Theorem 5.6, [5]) is the more generalized form of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j^{(i)} \in R^{((n))}$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ , be homogeneous decompositions of elements of  $R^{((n))}$ . There exists an  $R$ -automorphism  $\phi$  of  $R^{((n))}$  such that  $\phi(X_i) = \alpha_i$  for each  $i$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $(R^{((n))}, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))$  is a complete Hausdorff space;
- (2)  $R \alpha_1^{(1)} + \dots + R \alpha_1^{(n)} = R X_1 + \dots + R X_n$ .

Moreover, if such an automorphism  $\phi$  exists, then it is unique.

Also, we need the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let  $M$  be a unitary free  $R$ -module of finite rank  $n$  and let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a free basis for  $M$ . Let  $M_n(R)$  denote the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ ,

and let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be elements of  $M$  such that  $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j$  for each  $i=1, \dots, n$  where  $a_{ij} \in R$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $R z_1 + \dots + R z_n = R x_1 + \dots + R x_n$
- (2)  $\det(A)$ , the determinant of  $A$ , is a unit of  $R$  where  $A = (a_{ij})$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix.
- (3)  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a free basis for  $M$ .

The proof of the proposition is straightforward so we omit its proof.

Finally, we list the theorem from [4] which plays a particularly important role in this paper.

THEOREM 4. Let

$I_1 = \{a \in R \mid \text{there exists an } R\text{-automorphism } \sigma: R[[X]] \rightarrow R[[X]] \text{ with } \sigma(x) = X + a$   
 and  $I_2 = \{a \in R \mid \text{there exists an } R\text{-homomorphism } \sigma: R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow R[[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]]$   
 such that  $\sigma(X_1) = a + f$  for some  $X_1$  and  $f \in \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j R[[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]]\}$ .

Then  $I_c(R) = I_1 = I_2$ .

Now we are ready for our first result.

THEOREM 5. If  $R$  is a ring such that  $I_c(R)$  is nil, then  $R$  is power invariant.

PROOF. Suppose that  $I_c(R)$  is nil. Let  $\phi$  be an isomorphism of  $R[[X]]$  onto  $S[[X]]$ . Then  $\phi(R)[[\phi(X)]] = S[[X]]$ ; therefore, in order to show power invariance of  $R$ , it suffices to show that  $R[[X]] = S[[Y]]$  implies  $R \cong S$ , where  $Y$  is an indeterminate over a ring  $S$ . Let  $W = R[[X]] = S[[Y]]$  and let  $Y = a_0 + XU$  and  $X = b_0 + YV$  where  $a_0 \in R$ ,  $b_0 \in S$  and  $U, V \in W$ . Clearly  $(W, (Y))$  is a complete Hausdorff space; therefore, there is an  $R$ -endomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $R[[X]]$  such that  $\sigma(X) = Y = a_0 + XU$ . Then by Theorem 4,  $a_0 \in I_c(R)$  and so  $a_0$  is a nilpotent element of  $R$ . Let  $a_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i Y^i$  where  $c_i \in S$  for each  $i \in \omega_0$ , then  $c_i$  is nilpotent for each  $i \in \omega_0$  and we have

$$Y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i Y^i + b_0 U + YVU \quad (1)$$

The  $Y$  coefficients in both sides of (1) yields  $1 = c_1 + b_0 u_1 + v_0 u_0$  where  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are constant terms of  $U$  and  $V$  considered as elements of  $S[[Y]]$ , respectively and  $u_1$  is the  $Y$  coefficient of  $U$  considered as an element of  $S[[Y]]$ . Since  $X$  is an element of  $J(R[[X]]) = J(W)$ ,  $b_0 + YV$  is an element of  $J(S[[Y]])$  and so  $b_0$  is an element of  $J(S)$ . Recall that  $c_1$  is a nilpotent element of  $S$ , then  $c_1 + b_0 u_1 \in J(S)$ ; therefore,  $v_0 u_0 = 1 - c_1 - b_0 u_1$  is a unit of  $S$ . This forces  $U$  and  $V$  to be units of  $W = S[[Y]]$ . If we consider  $U$  as an element of  $R[[X]]$  and let  $U = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i+1} X^i$ ,  $a_{i+1} \in R$  for each  $i \in \omega_0$ , then the constant term  $a_1$  is a unit of  $R$ . Then  $Y = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$  where  $a_1$ , the  $X$  coefficient, is a unit of  $R$ , and  $(W, (Y))$  is a complete Hausdorff Space. Then by Theorem 1, there exists an  $R$ -automorphism  $\psi$  of  $R[[X]]$  which maps  $X$  onto  $Y = a_0 + XU = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$ .

Then  $R \cong R[[X]]/(X) \cong W/(a_0 + XU) = W/(Y) \cong S$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $R[t]$  be the polynomial ring in an indeterminate  $t$  over a ring  $R$ , then  $J(R[t])$  coincides with the nil-radical of  $R[t]$ ; therefore,  $I_c(R[t])$  is a nil ideal

of  $R[t]$  and by Theorem 5,  $R[t]$  is power invariant. Similarly, if  $R[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  is the polynomial ring in  $n$  indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  over  $R$ , then  $R[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  is power invariant.

It is natural to raise the following question: For what kind of ring  $R$ , is  $R$  isomorphic to  $S$  whenever  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $S[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  are isomorphic for some positive integer  $n$ ? To wit, we give the following definition.

**DEFINITION.** A ring  $R$  is said to be forever-power-invariant provided  $R$  is isomorphic to  $S$  whenever there is a ring  $S$  and a positive integer  $n$  such that  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  and  $S[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  are isomorphic where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent indeterminates over  $R$  and  $S$ .

**EXAMPLE.** If  $R$  is a quasi-local ring then so is  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Since any quasi-local ring is power invariant [7],  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  is power invariant if  $R$  is a quasi-local ring. Then clearly every quasi-local ring is forever-power-invariant.

**THEOREM 6.** If  $R$  is a ring such that  $I_c(R)$  is nil, then  $R$  is forever-power-invariant.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $R$  is a ring such that  $I_c(R)$  is nil. Let  $W = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] = S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$ . To prove this theorem, it suffices to show that  $R$  and  $S$  are isomorphic. Let  $Y_i = a_0^{(i)} + X_1 U_{11}^{(i)} + \dots + X_n U_{n1}^{(i)}$  and  $X_i = b_0^{(i)} + Y_1 V_{11}^{(i)} + \dots + Y_n V_{n1}^{(i)}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where  $U_k^{(i)}$  and  $V_k^{(i)}$  are elements of  $W$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $a_0^{(i)} \in R$ ,  $b_0^{(i)} \in S$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $(W, (Y_i))$  is a complete Hausdorff space, there is a  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $R[[X_1]]$  into  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  such that  $\phi(X_i) = (Y_i) = a_0^{(i)} + X_1 U_{11}^{(i)} + \dots + X_n U_{n1}^{(i)}$ . Then by Theorem 4,  $a_0^{(i)} \in I_c(R)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and so  $a_0^{(i)}$  are nilpotent for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The relation defined between  $Y_i$ 's and  $X_i$ 's yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_i = & a_0^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_0^{(k)} U_k^{(i)} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n V_1^{(k)} U_k^{(i)} \right) Y_1 + \dots \\
 & + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n V_i^{(k)} U_k^{(i)} \right) Y_i + \dots + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n V_n^{(k)} U_k^{(i)} \right) Y_n. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_0^{(i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{(i)}$  be a homogenous decomposition in  $S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$ . Then since

$a_0^{(i)}$  is nilpotent,  $c_k^{(i)}$  is nilpotent for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $C_1^{(i)} = c_{11}^{(i)}Y_1 + \dots + c_{1n}^{(i)}Y_n$ , then  $c_{1j}^{(i)}$  is a nilpotent element of  $S$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $U_k^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U_{kj}^{(i)}$  and  $V_k^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_{kj}^{(i)}$  be homogeneous decompositions of elements  $U_k^{(i)}$  and  $V_k^{(i)}$  in  $S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$  and let  $U_{kl}^{(i)} = u_{k1l}Y_1 + \dots + u_{kln}Y_n$  and  $V_{kl}^{(i)} = v_{k1l}Y_1 + \dots + v_{kln}Y_n$ . Then the  $Y_j$  coefficient of the right side of (1) is

$$c_{1j}^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_0^{(k)} u_{k1j}^{(i)} + \sum_{k=1}^n v_{j0}^{(k)} U_{k0}^{(i)}$$

which is equal to 1 if  $j = i$ , otherwise, 0. Since  $c_{1j}^{(i)}$  is nilpotent and

$\sum_{k=1}^n b_0^{(k)} u_{k1j}^{(i)} \in J(S)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^n v_{j0}^{(k)} U_{k0}^{(i)}$  is a unit of  $S$  if  $i = j$  and it is in

$J(S)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $A = (v_{j0}^{(k)})_{jk}$  and  $B = (U_{k0}^{(i)})_{ki}$  be  $n \times n$  matrices over  $S$ ,

then  $AB = (\sum_{k=1}^n v_{j0}^{(k)} U_{k0}^{(i)})_{ji}$  in which every diagonal entry is a unit of  $S$  and

the rest of entries are elements of  $J(S)$ . So  $AB$  is invertible in  $M_n(S)$ ; therefore,

both  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible in  $M_n(S)$ . Clearly,  $(W, (X_1, \dots, X_n))$  is a complete

Hausdorff space. Recall that the linear homogeneous component of  $X_i = b_0^{(i)}$

$+ Y_1 V_{11}^{(i)} + \dots + Y_n V_{n1}^{(i)}$  considered as an element of  $S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$  is  $Y_1 V_{10}^{(i)} + \dots$

$+ Y_n V_{n0}^{(i)}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (v_{j0}^{(i)})_{ji}$  is invertible in

$M_n(S)$ . Then by Theorem 2 and Proposition 3, there is an  $S$ -automorphism  $\psi$  of

$S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$  such that  $\psi(Y_i) = b_0^{(i)} + Y_1 V_{11}^{(i)} + \dots + Y_n V_{n1}^{(i)}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then  $S \cong S[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]] / (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \cong W / (\psi(Y_1), \dots, \psi(Y_n)) = W / (X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$= R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] / (X_1, \dots, X_n) \cong R$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 7.** If  $R[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  is the polynomial ring in indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  over a ring  $R$ , then it is a forever-power-invariant.

It is easy to see that if  $R$  is a ring such that  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  is power invariant for any positive integer  $n$ . Then  $R$  is forever-power-invariant. This raises the following open question: If  $R$  is a ring such that  $I_c(R)$  is nil then for any positive integer, is  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  power invariant?

## REFERENCES

1. S. Abhyanker, W. Heinzer, and P. Eakin, On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in polynomial rings, J. Algebra 23 (1972), 310-342.
2. N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Chap. 3 and 7, Paris, Hermann, 1965.
3. D. Coleman and E. Enochs, Isomorphic polynomial rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 247-252.
4. P. Eakin and A. Sathaye, R-endomorphisms of  $R[[X]]$  are essentially continuous, Pacific J. Math. 66 (1976), 83-87.
5. R. Gilmer and M. O'Malley, R-endomorphisms of  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , J. Algebra 48 (1977), 30-45.
6. R. Gilmer and M. O'Malley, On R-homomorphisms of power series rings, Advances in Math., (to appear).
7. E. Hamann, On power-invariance, Pacific J. Math., 61 (1975), 153-159.
8. M. Hochster, Non-uniqueness of coefficient rings in a polynomial ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 34 (1972), 81-82.
9. I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings, Boston, Allyn and Bacon, 1970.
10. J. H. Kim, Power invariant rings, Pacific J. Math. 51 (1974), 207-213.
11. J. H. Kim, R-automorphisms of  $R[t][[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , J. Algebra (to appear).
12. M. Nagata, Local Rings, New York, Interscience, 1962.
13. M. O'Malley, Isomorphic power series rings, Pacific J. Math., 41 (1972), 503-512.
14. M. O'Malley, R-automorphisms of  $R[[X]]$ , Proc. London Math. Soc., 20 (1970), 60-78.
15. M. O'Malley and C. Wood, R-endomorphisms of  $R[[X]]$ , J. Algebra, 15 (1970), 314-327.
16. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra, Vol. II, Princeton, N.J.: Van Nostrand, 1960.