

Research Article

On Fuzzy Corsini's Hyperoperations

Yuming Feng^{1,2} and P. Corsini²

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Wanzhou, Chongqing 404100, China

² Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Architettura, Università degli Studi di Udine, Via delle Scienze, 206, 33100 Udine, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuming Feng, yumingfeng25928@163.com

Received 22 February 2012; Accepted 7 May 2012

Academic Editor: Said Abbasbandy

Copyright © 2012 Y. Feng and P. Corsini. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We generalize the concept of C-hyperoperation and introduce the concept of F-C-hyperoperation. We list some basic properties of F-C-hyperoperation and the relationship between the concept of C-hyperoperation and the concept of F-C-hyperoperation. We also research F-C-hyperoperations associated with special fuzzy relations.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Hyperstructures and binary relations have been studied by many researchers, for instance, Chvalina [1, 2], Corsini and Leoreanu [3], Feng [4], Hort [5], Rosenberg [6], Spartalis [7], and so on.

A partial hypergroupoid $\langle H, * \rangle$ is a nonempty set H with a function from $H \times H$ to the set of subsets of H .

A hypergroupoid is a nonempty set H , endowed with a hyperoperation, that is, a function from $H \times H$ to $P(H)$, the set of nonempty subsets of H .

If $A, B \in P(H) - \{\emptyset\}$, then we define $A * B = \cup\{a * b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$, $x * B = \{x\} * B$ and $A * y = A * \{y\}$.

A Corsini's hyperoperation was first introduced by Corsini [8] and studied by many researchers; for example, see [3, 8–15].

Definition 1.1 (see [8]). Let $\langle H, R \rangle$ be a pair of sets where H is a nonempty set and R is a binary relation on H . Corsini's hyperoperation (briefly, *C-hyperoperation*) $*_R$ associated with

R is defined in the following way:

$$*_R : H \times H \longrightarrow P(H) : x *_R y = \{z \in H \mid xRz, zRy\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $P(H)$ denotes the family of all the subsets of H .

A fuzzy subset A of a nonempty set H is a function $A : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The family of all the fuzzy subsets of H is denoted by $F(H)$.

We use \emptyset to denote a special fuzzy subset of H which is defined by $\emptyset(x) = 0$, for all $x \in H$.

For a fuzzy subset A of a nonempty set H , the p -cut of A is denoted A_p , for any $p \in (0, 1]$, and defined by $A_p \doteq \{x \in H \mid A(x) \geq p\}$.

A fuzzy binary relation R on a nonempty set H is a function $R : H \times H \rightarrow [0, 1]$. In the following, sometimes we use fuzzy relation to refer to fuzzy binary relation.

For any $a, b \in [0, 1]$, we use $a \wedge b$ to stand for the minimum of a and b and $a \vee b$ to denote the maximum of a and b .

Given $A, B \in F(H)$, we will use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} A \subseteq B &\doteq A(x) \leq B(x), \quad \forall x \in H, \\ A = B &\doteq A(x) = B(x), \quad \forall x \in H, \\ (A \cup B)(x) &\doteq A(x) \vee B(x), \quad \forall x \in H, \\ (A \cap B)(x) &\doteq A(x) \wedge B(x), \quad \forall x \in H. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

A partial fuzzy hypergroupoid $\langle H, * \rangle$ is a nonempty set endowed with a fuzzy hyperoperation $* : H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$. Moreover, $\langle H, * \rangle$ is called a fuzzy hypergroupoid if for all $x, y \in H$, there exists at least one $z \in H$, such that $(x * y)(z) \neq 0$ holds.

Given a fuzzy hyperoperation $* : H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$, for all $a \in H, B \in F(H)$, the fuzzy subset $a * B$ of H is defined by

$$(a * B)(x) \doteq \vee_{B(b)>0} (a * b)(x). \quad (1.3)$$

$B * a, A * B$ can be defined similarly. When B is a *crisp* subset of H , we treat B as a fuzzy subset by treating it as $B(x) = 1$, for all $x \in B$ and $B(x) = 0$, for all $x \in H - B$.

2. Fuzzy Corsini's Hyperoperation

In this section, we will generalize the concept of Corsini's hyperoperation and introduce the fuzzy version of Corsini's hyperoperation.

Definition 2.1. Let $\langle H, R \rangle$ be a pair of sets where H is a non-empty set and R is a fuzzy relation on H . We define a fuzzy hyperoperation $*_R : H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$, for any $x, y, z \in H$, as follows:

$$(x *_R y)(z) \doteq R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y). \quad (2.1)$$

Table 1

R	a	b
a	0.1	0.2
b	0.3	0.4

Table 2

$*_R$	a	b
a	$0.1/a + 0.2/b$	$0.1/a + 0.2/b$
b	$0.1/a + 0.3/b$	$0.2/a + 0.4/b$

$*_R$ is called a *fuzzy Corsini's hyperoperation* (briefly, *F-C-hyperoperation*) associated with R . The fuzzy hyperstructure $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ is called a *partial F-C-hypergroupoid*.

Remark 2.2. It is obvious that the concept of F-C-hyperoperation is a generalization of the concept of C-hyperoperation.

Example 2.3. Letting $H = \{a, b\}$ be a non-empty set, R is a fuzzy relation on H as described in Table 1.

From the previous definition, by calculating, for example, $(a *_R a)(a) = R(a, a) \wedge R(a, a) = 0.1 \wedge 0.1 = 0.1$, $R(a *_R b)(a) = R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) = 0.1 \wedge 0.2 = 0.1$, we can obtain Table 2 which is a partial F-C-hypergroupoid.

Definition 2.4. Supposing R, S are two fuzzy relations on a non-empty set H , the composition of R and S is a fuzzy relation on H and is defined by $(R \circ S)(x, y) \doteq \bigvee_{z \in H} (R(x, z) \wedge S(z, y))$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Proposition 2.5. A partial F-C-hypergroupoid $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ is a F-C-hypergroupoid if and only if $\text{supp}(R \circ R) = H \times H$, where $\text{supp}(R \circ R) = \{(x, y) \mid (R \circ R)(x, y) \neq 0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ is a hypergroupoid. For any $x, y \in H$, there exists at least one $z \in H$, such that $(x *_R y)(z) \neq 0$ holds.

So $(R \circ R)(x, y) = \bigvee_{z \in H} (R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)) \neq 0$. Thus $(x, y) \in \text{supp}(R \circ R)$. And we conclude that $H \times H \subseteq \text{supp}(R \circ R)$.

$\text{supp}(R \circ R) \subseteq H \times H$ is obvious. And so $\text{supp}(R \circ R) = H \times H$.

Conversely, if $\text{supp}(R \circ R) = H \times H$, then for any $x, y \in H$, $(x, y) \in H \times H = \text{supp}(R \circ R)$. So $(R \circ R)(x, y) = \bigvee_{z \in H} (R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)) \neq 0$. That is, there exists at least one $z \in H$ such that $(x *_R y)(z) \neq 0$ holds. And so $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ is a hypergroupoid.

Thus we complete the proof. □

Definition 2.6. Letting H be a non-empty set, $*$ is a fuzzy hyperoperation of H , the hyperoperation $*_p$ is defined by $x *_p y = (x *_y)_p$, for all $x, y \in H$, $p \in [0, 1]$. $*_p$ is called the p -cut of $*$.

Definition 2.7. Letting R be a fuzzy relation on a non-empty set H , we define a binary relation R_p on H , for all $p \in (0, 1]$, as follows:

$$xR_p y \doteq R(x, y) \geq p. \quad (2.2)$$

R_p is called the p -cut of the fuzzy relation R .

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid. Then $(*_R)_p$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with R_p , for all $0 < p \leq 1$.*

Proof. For any $0 < p \leq 1$ and for any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(*_R)_p y &= (x *_R y)_p = \{z \in H \mid (x *_R y)(z) \geq p\} = \{z \in H \mid R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y) \geq p\} \\ &= \{z \in H \mid R(x, z) \geq p, R(z, y) \geq p\} = \{z \in H \mid xR_p z, zR_p y\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

From the definition of C-hyperoperation, we conclude that $(*_R)_p$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with R_p .

Thus we complete the proof. \square

From the previous proposition and the construction of the F-C-hyperoperation, we can easily conclude that a fuzzy hyperoperation is a F-C-hyperoperation if and only if every p -cut of the F-C-hyperoperation is a C-hyperoperation. That is, consider the following.

Proposition 2.9. *Let H be a non-empty set and let $*$ be a fuzzy hyperoperation of H , then the fuzzy hyperoperation $*$ is an F-C-hyperoperation associated with a fuzzy relation R on H if and only if $*_p$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with R_p , for any $0 < p \leq 1$.*

3. Basic Properties of F-C-Hyperoperations

In this section, we list some basic properties of F-C-hyperoperations.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial or nonpartial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$. Then, for all $x, y, a, b \in H$, we have*

$$x *_R y \cap a *_R b = x *_R b \cap a *_R y. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. For any $x, y, a, b, z \in H$, we have that $(x *_R y \cap a *_R b)(z) = (x *_R y)(z) \wedge (a *_R b)(z) = R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y) \wedge R(a, z) \wedge R(z, b) = R(x, z) \wedge R(z, b) \wedge R(a, z) \wedge R(z, y) = (x *_R b \cap a *_R y)(z)$.

So

$$x *_R y \cap a *_R b = x *_R b \cap a *_R y, \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y, a, b \in H$. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid and $x, y \in H$, $x *_R y = \emptyset$. Then,

- (1) $x *_R H \cap H *_R y = \emptyset$;
- (2) If $H = x *_R H$ then $H *_R y = \emptyset$;
- (3) If $H = H *_R x$ then $y *_R H = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) Supposing $x *_R H \cap H *_R y \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $a, b \in H$, such that $x *_R a \cap b *_R y \neq \emptyset$. So from the previous proposition, we have $x *_R y \cap b *_R a \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction.

(2) From $H = x *_R H$ and $x *_R H \cap H *_R y = \emptyset$, we have that $H \cap H *_R y = \emptyset$, and so, $H *_R y = \emptyset$.

(3) is proved similar to (2). \square

Proposition 3.3. Letting $*_R$ be the F-C-hyperoperation defined on the non-empty set H , $p \in (0, 1]$, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) for some $a \in H$, $(a *_R a)_p = H$;
- (2) for all $x, y \in H$, $a \in (x *_R y)_p$.

Proof. Let $(a *_R a)_p = H$. Then, for all $x, y \in H$, we have that $(a *_R a)(x) \geq p$, $(a *_R a)(y) \geq p$, that is $R(a, x) \geq p$, $R(x, a) \geq p$, $R(a, y) \geq p$, $R(y, a) \geq p$ and so $R(x, a) \wedge R(a, y) \geq p$. Thus $a \in (x *_R y)_p$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Conversely, let $a \in (x *_R y)_p$, for all $x, y \in H$. Specially, we have $a \in (a *_R x)_p$ and $a \in (x *_R a)_p$. Thus, $R(a, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, a) \geq p$. And so $x \in (a *_R a)_p$. \square

Proposition 3.4. Let $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial or nonpartial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$. Then, for all $a, b \in H$, $p \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$a \in (b *_R b)_p \iff b \in (a *_R a)_p. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For any $a, b \in H$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (b *_R b)_p &\implies (b *_R b)(a) \geq p \implies R(b, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p \\ &\implies R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p \implies (a *_R a)(b) \geq p \implies b \in (a *_R a)_p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The remaining part can be proved similarly. \square

4. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p-Fuzzy Reflexive Relations

In this section, we will assume that R is a p-fuzzy reflexive relation on a non-empty set.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy relation R on a non-empty set H is called *p-fuzzy reflexive* if for any $x \in H$,

$$R(x, x) \geq p. \quad (4.1)$$

Example 4.2. The fuzzy relation R introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.1-fuzzy reflexive. Of course, it is p-fuzzy reflexive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.1$.

Proposition 4.3. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for all $a, b \in H$, $p \in (0, 1]$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $R(a, b) \geq p$;
- (2) $a \in (a *_R b)_p$;
- (3) $b \in (a *_R b)_p$.

Proof. “(1) \Rightarrow (2)”

From $R(a, a) \geq p$ and $R(a, b) \geq p$ we have that $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p$ which shows that $a \in (a *_R b)_p$.

“(2) \Rightarrow (3)”

From $a \in (a *_R b)_p$ we have that $R(a, b) \geq p$. Since $R(b, b) \geq p$, so $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, b) \geq p$ which implies that $b \in (a *_R b)_p$.

“(3) \Rightarrow (1)”

It is obvious. □

Proposition 4.4. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a \in H$, we have that

$$a \in (a *_R a)_p. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. From $R(a, a) \geq p$ we have $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. That is $a \in (a *_R a)_p$. □

Proposition 4.5. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H$, $p \in (0, 1]$, we have that

$$b \in (a *_R a)_p \iff a \in (a *_R b \cap b *_R a)_p. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. From $b \in (a *_R a)_p$ we have that $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \geq p$ and $R(b, a) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p$ and $R(b, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. That is $(a *_R b)(a) \geq p$ and $(b *_R a)(a) \geq p$. So $(a *_R b \cap b *_R a)(a) \geq p$. Thus $a \in (a *_R b \cap b *_R a)_p$.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in (a *_R b \cap b *_R a)_p$. Then $(a *_R b)(a) \wedge (b *_R a)(a) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. That is $b \in (a *_R a)_p$. □

Corollary 4.6. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H$, $p \in (0, 1]$, we have that

$$b \in (a *_R a)_p \iff a \in (b *_R b)_p \iff a \in (a *_R b \cap b *_R a)_p \iff b \in (a *_R b \cap b *_R a)_p. \quad (4.4)$$

Proposition 4.7. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H$, we have that

$$c \in (a *_R b)_p \iff c \in (a *_R c \cap c *_R b)_p. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. If $c \in (a *_R b)_p$, then $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $c \in (a *_R c)_p$ and $c \in (c *_R b)_p$. So $c \in (a *_R c \cap c *_R b)_p$.

Conversely, if $c \in (a *_R c \cap c *_R b)_p$, then $(a *_R c)(c) \wedge (c *_R b)(c) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, c) \wedge R(c, c) \wedge R(c, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. And so $R(a, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $c \in (a *_R b)_p$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b, c \in H$, $p \in (0, 1]$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $c \in (a *_R b)_p$;
- (2) $a \in (a *_R c)_p$ and $b \in (c *_R b)_p$;
- (3) $a \in (a *_R c)_p$ and $c \in (c *_R b)_p$.

Proof. “(1) \Rightarrow (2)”

Suppose that $c \in (a *_R b)_p$. Then $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. So $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \wedge R(b, b) \geq p$. Thus $a \in (a *_R c)_p$ and $b \in (c *_R b)_p$.

“(2) \Rightarrow (3)”

Suppose that $b \in (c *_R b)_p$. Then $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $R(c, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. And so $c \in (c *_R b)_p$.

“(3) \Rightarrow (1)”

From $a \in (a *_R c)_p$ and $c \in (c *_R b)_p$, we have that $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. So $c \in (a *_R b)_p$. \square

5. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p -Fuzzy Symmetric Relations

In this section, we will assume that R is a p -fuzzy symmetric relation on a non-empty set.

Definition 5.1. A fuzzy binary relation R on a non-empty set H is called p -fuzzy symmetric if for any $x, y \in H$,

$$R(x, y) \geq p \implies R(y, x) \geq p. \quad (5.1)$$

Example 5.2. The fuzzy relation R introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.2-fuzzy symmetric. Of course, it is p -fuzzy reflexive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.2$.

Proposition 5.3. *Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is p -fuzzy symmetric relation. Then, for all $a, b \in H$, we have that*

$$(a *_R b)_p = (b *_R a)_p. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. For all $a, b \in H$, two cases are possible.

- (1) If $(a *_R b)_p = \emptyset$, then $(a *_R b)_p \subseteq (b *_R a)_p$.
- (2) If $(a *_R b)_p \neq \emptyset$, let $x \in (a *_R b)_p$. Then $R(a, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, b) \geq p$.

Since R is p -fuzzy symmetric, so $R(x, a) \geq p$ and $R(b, x) \geq p$. Thus $(b *_R a)(x) = R(b, x) \wedge R(x, a) \geq p$. So $x \in (b *_R a)_p$. And in this case, we also have that $(a *_R b)_p \subseteq (b *_R a)_p$.

The remaining part can be proved by exchanging a and b . \square

Proposition 5.4. Let $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, $p \in (0, 1]$, if

- (1) for all $a, b \in H$, $(a *_R b)_p = (b *_R a)_p$,
- (2) for any $x \in H$, there exists a $y \in H$, such that $R(x, y) \geq p$.

Then R is a p -fuzzy symmetric binary relation on H .

Proof. For all $a, b \in H$, suppose that $R(a, b) \geq p$. We need to show that $R(b, a) \geq p$.

Since for $b \in H$, there exists a $x \in H$, such that $R(b, x) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, x) \geq p$. That is, $b \in (a *_R x)_p = (x *_R a)_p$. And so $R(x, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. And finally we have that $R(b, a) \geq p$. \square

6. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p -Fuzzy Transitive Relations

In this section, we will assume that R is a p -fuzzy transitive relation on a non-empty set.

Definition 6.1. A fuzzy binary relation R on a non-empty set H is called p -fuzzy transitive if for any $x, y, z \in H$,

$$R(x, y) \geq p, R(y, z) \geq p \implies R(x, z) \geq p. \quad (6.1)$$

Example 6.2. The fuzzy relation R introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.1-fuzzy transitive. Of course, it is p -fuzzy transitive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.1$.

Proposition 6.3. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is a p -fuzzy transitive relation on H , $p \in (0, 1]$. Then for all $x, y \in H$, we have that

$$R(x, y) \geq p \implies (x *_R x \cup y *_R y)_p \subseteq (x *_R y)_p. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. (1) If $(x *_R x)_p = \emptyset$, then obviously $(x *_R x)_p \subseteq (x *_R y)_p$.

Supposing that $(x *_R x)_p \neq \emptyset$, then for any $w \in (x *_R x)_p$, we have that $R(x, w) \wedge R(w, x) \geq p$, that is, $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, x) \geq p$. From $R(w, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, y) \geq p$ we have that $R(w, y) \geq p$. From $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$ we conclude that $w \in (x *_R y)_p$.

So $(x *_R x)_p \subseteq (x *_R y)_p$.

(2) If $(y *_R y)_p = \emptyset$, then obviously $(y *_R y)_p \subseteq (x *_R y)_p$.

Supposing that $(y *_R y)_p \neq \emptyset$, then for any $w \in (y *_R y)_p$, we have that $R(y, w) \wedge R(w, y) \geq p$, that is, $R(y, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$. From $R(y, w) \geq p$ and $R(x, y) \geq p$ we have that $R(x, w) \geq p$. From $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$ we conclude that $w \in (x *_R y)_p$.

So $(y *_R y)_p \subseteq (x *_R y)_p$. \square

Proposition 6.4. Letting $\langle H, *_R \rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$, R is a p -fuzzy transitive binary relation. For any $a, b, c \in H$, we have that

$$(1) ((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p \subseteq (a *_R c)_p;$$

$$(2) (a *_R (b *_R c)_p)_p \subseteq (a *_R c)_p.$$

Proof. (1) If $((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p = \emptyset$, then it is obvious that $((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p \subseteq (a *_R c)_p$.

Suppose that $((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $w \in ((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p$, there exists a $w_1 \in (a *_R b)_p$ such that $w \in (w_1 *_R c)_p$. That is $R(a, w_1) \geq p$, $R(w_1, b) \geq p$, $R(w_1, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, c) \geq p$. From $R(a, w_1) \geq p$ and $R(w_1, w) \geq p$, we have that $R(a, w) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, w) \wedge R(w, c) \geq p \wedge p = p$. That is, $w \in (a *_R c)_p$. So $((a *_R b)_p *_R c)_p \subseteq (a *_R c)_p$.

(2) Can be proved similarly. □

Acknowledgment

The paper is partially supported by CSC.

References

- [1] J. Chvalina, *Functional Graphs, Quasi-Ordered Sets and Commutative Hyper-Graph*, Vydavatelství Masarykovy Univerzity, Brno, Czech Republic, 1995.
- [2] J. Chvalina, "Commutative hypergroups in the sense of Marty and ordered sets," in *Proceedings of the Proceedings of the Summer School on General Algebra and Ordered Sets*, pp. 19–30, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 1994.
- [3] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, "Hypergroups and binary relations," *Algebra Universalis*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 321–330, 2000.
- [4] Y. Feng, "Algebraic hyperstructures obtained from algebraic structures with fuzzy binary relations," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 25, pp. 157–164, 2009.
- [5] D. Hort, "A construction of hypergroups from ordered structures and their morphisms," *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences & Cryptography*, vol. 6, no. 2-3, pp. 139–150, 2003.
- [6] I. G. Rosenberg, "Hypergroups and join spaces determined by relations," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 4, pp. 93–101, 1998.
- [7] S. I. Spartalis, "Hypergroupoids obtained from groupoids with binary relations," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 16, pp. 201–210, 2004.
- [8] P. Corsini, "Binary relations and hypergroupoids," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 7, pp. 11–18, 2000.
- [9] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of Hyperstructure Theory*, vol. 5 of *Advances in Mathematics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [10] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, "Survey on new topics of Hyperstructure Theory and its applications," in *Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications (AHA '03)*, pp. 1–37, 2003.
- [11] V. Leoreanu and L. Leoreanu, "Hypergroups associated with hypergraphs," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 4, pp. 119–126, 1998.
- [12] V. Leoreanu, "Weak mutually associative hyperstructures. II," in *Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on (AHA '03)*, pp. 183–189, 2003.
- [13] S. I. Spartalis and C. Mamaloukas, "Hyperstructures associated with binary relations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 41–50, 2006, Elsevier.
- [14] S. I. Spartalis, "The hyperoperation relation and the Corsini's partial or not-partial hypergroupoids (A classification)," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 24, pp. 97–112, 2008.
- [15] S. I. Spartalis, M. Konstantinidou-Serafimidou, and A. Taouktsoglou, "C-hypergroupoids obtained by special binary relations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 2628–2635, 2010.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

