

## Research Article

# Oscillatory Property of Solutions for $p(t)$ -Laplacian Equations

Qihu Zhang

Received 24 March 2007; Revised 6 June 2007; Accepted 5 July 2007

Recommended by Marta Garcia-Huidobro

We consider the oscillatory property of the following  $p(t)$ -Laplacian equations  $-(|u'|^{p(t)-2}u')' = 1/t^{\theta(t)}g(t, u)$ ,  $t > 0$ . Since there is no Picone-type identity for  $p(t)$ -Laplacian equations, it is an unsolved problem that whether the Sturmian comparison theorems for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations are valid or not. We obtain sufficient conditions of the oscillatory of solutions for  $p(t)$ -Laplacian equations.

Copyright © 2007 Qihu Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of differential equations and variational problems with non-standard  $p(x)$ -growth conditions have been an interesting topic (see [1–6]). The study of such problems arise from nonlinear elasticity theory, electrorheological fluids (see [3, 6]). On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations on unbounded domain, we refer to [5].

In this paper, we consider the oscillation problem

$$-\Delta_{p(t)} u := -(|u'|^{p(t)-2}u')' = \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}}g(t, u), \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  is a function, and  $-\Delta_{p(t)}$  is called  $p(t)$ -Laplacian.

By an oscillatory solution we mean one having an infinite number of zeros on  $0 < t < \infty$ . Otherwise, the solution is said to be nonoscillatory. Hence, a nonoscillatory solution eventually keeps either positive or negative. It is called a positive (or negative) solution.

If  $p(t) \equiv p$  is a constant, then  $-\Delta_{p(t)}$  is the well-known  $p$ -Laplacian, and (1.1) is the usual  $p$ -Laplacian equation. But if  $p(t)$  is a function, the  $-\Delta_{p(t)}$  is more complicated

than  $-\Delta_p$ , since it represents a nonhomogeneity and possesses more nonlinearity; for example, if  $\Omega$  is bounded, the Rayleigh quotient

$$\lambda_{p(t)} = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p(t)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (1/p(t)) |\nabla u|^{p(t)} dt}{\int_{\Omega} (1/p(t)) |u|^{p(t)} dt}, \tag{1.2}$$

is zero in general, and only under some special conditions  $\lambda_{p(t)} > 0$  (see [2]), but the fact that  $\lambda_p > 0$  is very important in the study of  $p$ -Laplacian problems.

It is well known that, there exists Picone-type identity for  $p$ -Laplacian equations, and then it is easy to obtain Sturmian comparison theorems for  $p$ -Laplacian equations, which is very important in the study of the oscillation of the solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian equations. There are many papers about the oscillation problem of  $p$ -Laplacian equations (see [7–10]). On the typical  $p$ -Laplacian problem

$$-\Delta_p u = \frac{\lambda}{t^p} |u|^{p-2} u, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

when  $\lambda > ((p - 1)/p)^p$ , then all the solutions oscillation, but when  $\lambda \leq ((p - 1)/p)^p$ , then all the solutions are nonoscillation (see [10]). But there is no Picone-type identity for  $p(t)$ -Laplacian equations, it is an unsolved problem that whether the Sturmian comparison theorems for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations are valid or not. The results on the oscillation problem of  $p(t)$ -Laplacian equations are rare.

We say a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  possesses property (H) if it is continuous and satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = f_{\infty}$ , and  $t^{|f(t)-f_{\infty}|} \leq M^*$  for  $t > 0$ .

Throughout the paper, we always assume that

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $\theta \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, (1, \infty))$  and satisfies

$$1 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p(x) < +\infty; \tag{1.4}$$

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $g$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(t, \cdot)$  is increasing for any fixed  $t > 0$ ,  $g(t, u)u > 0$  for any  $u \neq 0$  and satisfies

$$0 < \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t, u)u \leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t, u)u < +\infty, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.5}$$

The main results of this paper are as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume that  $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(t) < \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)$ , suppose that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$ , then  $u$  is increasing for  $t$  sufficiently large, and  $u$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Assume that  $p$  possesses property (H) and  $g(t, u) = |u|^{q(t)-2}u$ , where  $\theta$  satisfies*

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(t) < \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t), \tag{1.6}$$

where  $q$  satisfies

$$1 < \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) < \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t), \tag{1.7}$$

or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  possesses property (H), then all the solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory.

## 2. Proofs of main results

In the following, we denote  $-(\varphi(t, u'))' = -(|u'|^{p(t)-2}u')'$ , and use  $C_i$  and  $c_i$  to denote positive constants.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $u(t)$  be a positive solution of (1.1), then there exists a  $T > 0$  such that  $u(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . Hence, by (A<sub>2</sub>), we have

$$(\varphi(t, u'))' = -\frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}}g(t, u) < 0 \quad \text{for } t > T. \quad (2.1)$$

We first show that  $u' > 0$  for  $t > T$ . If it is false, we suppose that there exists a  $t_1 \geq T$  such that  $u'(t_1) \leq 0$ . Since  $ug(t, u) > 0$  when  $u \neq 0$ , by (2.1), we have

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) < \varphi(t_1, u'(t_1)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t > t_1. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence we can find a  $t_2 > t_1$  such that  $u'(t_2) < 0$ . Integrating both sides of (2.1) from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we get  $\varphi(t, u'(t)) \leq \varphi(t_2, u'(t_2)) < 0$  for  $t > t_2$ , and therefore

$$u'(t) \leq -|u'(t_2)|^{(p(t_2)-1)/(p(t)-1)} \leq -\min_{t \geq t_2} |u'(t_2)|^{(p(t_2)-1)/(p(t)-1)} := -a < 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Integrate this inequality to obtain  $u(t) \leq -a(t - t_2) + u(t_2) \rightarrow -\infty$ , as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . It is a contradiction. Thus,  $u(t)$  is increasing for  $t \geq T$ .

We next suppose that there exists a  $K > 0$  such that  $u(t) \leq K$  for  $t \geq T$ . Since  $u(t)$  is increasing, then  $u(t) \geq u(T)$  for  $t \geq T$ . From (2.1), we have

$$0 < \varphi(t, u'(t)) = \varphi(T, u'(T)) - \int_T^t \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}}g(t, u)dt. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $u$  is a bounded positive solution, then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t, u'(t)) = \varphi(T, u'(T)) - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_T^t \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}}g(t, u)dt, \\ \varphi(t, u'(t)) &= \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}}g(t, u)dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Denote  $\theta_* = \{\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) + \max\{1, \overline{\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(t)}\}/2$ , when  $t$  is large enough, we have  $u'(t) \geq \varphi^{-1}(t, \int_t^{+\infty} (1/t^{\theta_*})c dt)$ , then

$$u(t) - u(T) \geq \int_T^t \varphi^{-1}\left(t, \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta_*}}c dt\right)dt \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.6)$$

It is a contradiction, thereby completing the proof. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* If it is false, then we may assume that (1.1) has a positive solution  $u$ . From Theorem 1.1, we can see that  $u$  is increasing, then

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t, u'(t)) = \varphi(T, u'(T)) - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_T^t \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt. \tag{2.7}$$

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t, u'(t)) > 0$ , then there exists a positive constant  $a$  such that

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \varphi(T, u'(T)) - \int_T^t \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt = a + \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt, \tag{2.8}$$

then there exists a positive constant  $k$  such that  $u(t) \geq kt$  for  $t \geq T$ . From (1.6), when  $t$  is large enough, we have

$$\varphi(T, u'(T)) \geq \varphi(t, u'(t)) = a + \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} (kt)^{q(t)-1} dt = +\infty. \tag{2.9}$$

It is a contradiction. Then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t, u'(t)) = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt. \tag{2.11}$$

There are two cases.

(i) Equation (1.7) is satisfied. From (1.6) and (1.7), there exists a  $T_1 > T$  which is large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^+ &:= \sup_{t \geq T_1} \theta(t) < q^- := \inf_{t \geq T_1} q(t), \\ q^+ &:= \sup_{t \geq T_1} q(t) < p^- := \inf_{t \geq T_1} p(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

If  $\theta^+ \leq 1$ , since  $u$  is increasing, then

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+}} c_1 dt = +\infty, \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \tag{2.13}$$

It is a contradiction to (2.10). Thus  $1 < \theta^+ < p^-$ . Since  $u$  is increasing, then

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+}} c_1 dt = \frac{c_1}{\theta^+ - 1} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+ - 1}}, \quad \forall t \geq T_1, \tag{2.14}$$

$$u'(t) \geq \varphi^{-1} \left( t, \frac{c_1}{\theta^+ - 1} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+ - 1}} \right), \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, there exist  $T_2 > T_1$  and positive constants  $C_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$u'(t) \geq c_2 \left( \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+ - 1}} \right)^{1/(p^- - 1)}, \quad u(t) \geq C_1 t^{-((\theta^+ - 1)/(p^- - 1)) + 1} = C_1 t^{(p^- - \theta^+)/(p^- - 1)}, \quad \forall t > T_2. \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.11), when  $t > T_2$ , we have

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+}} (C_1 t^{(p^- - \theta^+)/(p^- - 1)})^{(q^- - 1)} dt = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(C_1)^{(q^- - 1)}}{t^{\theta^+ - ((p^- - \theta^+)/(p^- - 1))(q^- - 1)}} dt. \quad (2.17)$$

Denote  $\theta_0 = \theta^+$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta^+ - ((p^- - \theta_0)/(p^- - 1))(q^- - 1)$ . If  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then we have

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(C_1)^{(q^- - 1)}}{t^{\theta_1}} dt = +\infty. \quad (2.18)$$

It is a contradiction to (2.10). Thus  $1 < \theta_1 < p^-$ , and we have

$$u'(t) \geq \varphi^{-1} \left( t, \frac{(C_1)^{(q^- - 1)}}{\theta_1 - 1} \frac{1}{t^{\theta_1 - 1}} \right), \quad \forall t > T_2, \quad (2.19)$$

then, there exists  $T_3 > T_2$  and positive constant  $c_3$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$u'(t) \geq c_3 \left( \frac{1}{t^{\theta_1 - 1}} \right)^{1/(p^- - 1)}, \quad u(t) \geq C_2 t^{-((\theta_1 - 1)/(p^- - 1)) + 1} = C_2 t^{(p^- - \theta_1)/(p^- - 1)}, \quad \forall t > T_3. \quad (2.20)$$

Thus

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(c_2)^{(q^- - 1)}}{t^{\theta^+ - ((p^- - \theta_1)/(p^- - 1))(q^- - 1)}} dt. \quad (2.21)$$

Denote  $\theta_2 = \theta^+ - ((p^- - \theta_1)/(p^- - 1))(q^- - 1)$ . If  $\theta_2 \leq 1$ , then

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(c_2)^{(q^- - 1)}}{t^{\theta_2}} dt = +\infty. \quad (2.22)$$

It is a contradiction to (2.10). Thus  $1 < \theta_2 < p^-$ . So, we get a sequence  $\theta_n > 1$  and satisfy  $\theta_{n+1} = \theta^+ - ((p^- - \theta_n)/(p^- - 1))(q^- - 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \frac{q^- - 1}{p^- - 1} \right)^k (\theta_1 - \theta_0), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.23)$$

Since (1.7) is valid, then  $q^- < p^-$ , thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta_{n+1} = \theta_0 - \frac{p^- - \theta_0}{p^- - q^-} (q^- - 1) \leq \theta_0 - (q^- - 1) < 1. \quad (2.24)$$

It is a contradiction to  $\theta_n > 1$ .

(ii) Equation (1.7) is not satisfied. Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  possesses property (H). From (2.15), we can see that

$$u'(t) \geq \left( \frac{c_1}{\theta^+ - 1} \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+ - 1}} \right)^{1/(p(t)-1)}, \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \tag{2.25}$$

Since  $p$  possesses property (H), then, there exist  $T_2 > T_1$  and positive constants  $C_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$u'(t) \geq c_2 \left( \frac{1}{t^{\theta^+ - 1}} \right)^{1/(p_\infty - 1)}, \quad u(t) \geq C_1 t^{-((\theta^+ - 1)/(p_\infty - 1)) + 1} = C_1 t^{(p_\infty - \theta^+)/(p_\infty - 1)}, \quad \forall t > T_2. \tag{2.26}$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  possesses property (H), then  $q_\infty = p_\infty$ . From (2.26), when  $t > T_2$ , we have

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) = \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u) dt \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(C_1)^{(q(t)-1)}}{t^{\theta^+ - (p_\infty - \theta^+)}} dt. \tag{2.27}$$

Denote  $\theta_0 = \theta^+$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta^+ - (p_\infty - \theta_0)$ . If  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ , then we have

$$\varphi(t, u'(t)) \geq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{(C_1)^{(q(t)-1)}}{t^{\theta_1}} dt = +\infty. \tag{2.28}$$

It is a contradiction to (2.10). Thus  $1 < \theta_1 < p_\infty$ , and there exist  $T_3 > T_2$  and positive constant  $c_3$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$u'(t) \geq c_3 \left( \frac{1}{t^{\theta_1 - 1}} \right)^{1/(p_\infty - 1)}, \quad u(t) \geq C_2 t^{-((\theta_1 - 1)/(p_\infty - 1)) + 1} = C_2 t^{(p_\infty - \theta_1)/(p_\infty - 1)}, \quad \forall t > T_3. \tag{2.29}$$

Repeating the above step, we can obtain a sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$  such that

$$1 < \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n - (p_\infty - \theta^+) = \theta_0 - n(p_\infty - \theta^+). \tag{2.30}$$

It is a contradiction to (1.6). □

### 3. Applications

Let  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| > r_0\}$ ,  $p, q$ , and  $\theta$  are radial. Let us consider

$$-\operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\theta(x)}} |u|^{q(x)-2} u \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{3.1}$$

Write  $t = |x|$ . If  $u$  is a radial solution of (3.1), then (3.1) can be transformed into

$$-(t^{N-1} |u'|^{p(t)-2} u')' = \frac{t^{N-1}}{t^{\theta(t)}} |u|^{q(t)-2} u, \quad t > r_0. \tag{3.2}$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** Assume that  $p(t)$  satisfies  $N < \inf p(x)$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) = p$ ,  $p(t)$ ,  $q(t)$ , and  $\theta(t)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2, then every radial solution of (3.1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Denote  $s = \int_0^t \tau^{(1-N)/(p(\tau)-1)} d\tau$ , then  $ds/dt = t^{(1-N)/(p(t)-1)}$ , and  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  if and only if  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . It is easy to see that (3.2) can be transformed into

$$-\frac{d}{ds} \left( \left| \frac{d}{ds} u \right|^{p(s)-2} \frac{d}{ds} u \right) = t^{(N-1)/(p(t)-1)} \frac{t^{N-1}}{t^{\theta(t)}} g(t, u), \quad t > r_0. \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{t^{((N-1)/(p(t)-1)+N-1-\theta(t))}}{s^{-((p-1)/(p-N))(\theta(t)-((N-1)p/(p-1)))}} \right] \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{t^{((N-1)/(p(t)-1)+N-1-\theta(t))}}{s^{-((p-1)/(p-N))(\theta(t)-((N-1)p/(p-1)))}} \right] < +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(t) < \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t)$ , it is easy to see that

$$\frac{p-1}{p-N} \left( \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(s) - \frac{(N-1)p}{p-1} \right) < \underline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow +\infty} q(s). \tag{3.5}$$

According to Theorem 1.2, then every radial solution of (3.1) is oscillatory. □

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (10671084) and the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Education Committee (2007110037).

### References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, “Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids,” *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 164, no. 3, pp. 213–259, 2002.
- [2] X. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, and D. Zhao, “Eigenvalues of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 302, no. 2, pp. 306–317, 2005.
- [3] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, vol. 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [4] Q. H. Zhang, “A strong maximum principle for differential equations with nonstandard  $p(x)$ -growth conditions,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 312, no. 1, pp. 24–32, 2005.
- [5] Q. H. Zhang, “The asymptotic behavior of solutions for  $p(x)$ -laplace equations,” to appear in *Journal of Zhengzhou University of Light*.
- [6] V. V. Zhikov, “Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory,” *Mathematics of the USSR. Izvestija*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 33–36, 1987.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal and S. R. Grace, “On the oscillation of certain second order differential equations,” *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 201–213, 2000.
- [8] J. Jaroš, K. Takaši, and N. Yoshida, “Picone-type inequalities for nonlinear elliptic equations with first-order terms and their applications,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2006, Article ID 52378, 17 pages, 2006.

- [9] S. Lorca, "Nonexistence of positive solution for quasilinear elliptic problems in the half-space," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 65126, 4 pages, 2007.
- [10] J. Sugie and N. Yamaoka, "Growth conditions for oscillation of nonlinear differential equations with  $p$ -Laplacian," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 306, no. 1, pp. 18–34, 2005.

Qihu Zhang: Information and Computation Science Department, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou, Henan 450002, China  
*Email address:* zhangqh1999@yahoo.com.cn