

Research Article

Schur-Convexity of Two Types of One-Parameter Mean Values in n Variables

Ning-Guo Zheng, Zhi-Hua Zhang, and Xiao-Ming Zhang

Received 10 July 2007; Revised 9 October 2007; Accepted 9 November 2007

Recommended by Simeon Reich

We establish Schur-convexities of two types of one-parameter mean values in n variables. As applications, Schur-convexities of some well-known functions involving the complete elementary symmetric functions are obtained.

Copyright © 2007 Ning-Guo Zheng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers and \mathbb{R}_+ denotes the set of strictly positive real numbers. Let $n \geq 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, and $\mathbf{x}^{1/r} = (x_1^{1/r}, x_2^{1/r}, \dots, x_n^{1/r})$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \neq 0$; let $E_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the simplex

$$E_{n-1} = \left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) : u_i > 0 (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

and let $d\mu = du_1, \dots, du_{n-1}$ be the differential of the volume in E_{n-1} .

The weighted arithmetic mean $A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ and the power mean $M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ of order r with respect to the numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the positive weights u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n with $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 1$ are defined, respectively, as $A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i x_i$, $M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n u_i x_i^r)^{1/r}$ for $r \neq 0$, and $M_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i}$. For $\mathbf{u} = (1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n)$, we denote $A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \triangleq A(\mathbf{x})$, $M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \triangleq M_r(\mathbf{x})$.

The well-known logarithmic mean $L(x_1, x_2)$ of two positive numbers x_1 and x_2 is

$$L(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\ln x_1 - \ln x_2}, & x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1, & x_1 = x_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

As further generalization of $L(x_1, x_2)$, Stolarsky [1] studied the one-parameter mean, that is,

$$L_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x_1^{r+1} - x_2^{r+1}}{(r+1)(x_1 - x_2)} \right)^{1/r}, & r \neq -1, 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\ln x_1 - \ln x_2}, & r = -1, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{x_1^{x_1}}{x_2^{x_2}} \right)^{1/(x_1 - x_2)}, & r = 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1, & x_1 = x_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Alzer [2, 3] obtained another form of one-parameter mean, that is,

$$F_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{r+1} \cdot \frac{x_1^{r+1} - x_2^{r+1}}{x_1^r - x_2^r}, & r \neq -1, 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1 x_2 \cdot \frac{\ln x_1 - \ln x_2}{x_1 - x_2}, & r = -1, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\ln x_1 - \ln x_2}, & r = 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1, & x_1 = x_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

These two means can be written also as

$$L_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 (x_1 u + x_2(1-u))^r du \right)^{1/r}, & r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left(\int_0^1 \ln(x_1 u + x_2(1-u)) du \right), & r = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

$$F_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 (x_1^r u + x_2^r(1-u))^{1/r} du, & r \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 x_1^u x_2^{1-u} du, & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Correspondingly, Pittenger [4] and Pearce et al. [5] investigated the means above in n variables, respectively,

$$L_r(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \left((n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^r d\mu \right)^{1/r}, & r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left((n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} \ln A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu \right), & r = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

$$F_r(\mathbf{x}) = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu,$$

where $u_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i$.

Expressions (1.3) and (1.4) can be also written by using 2-order determinants, that is,

$$L_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{r+1} \cdot \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2^{r+1} \\ 1 & x_1^{r+1} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}} \right)^{1/r}, & r \neq -1, 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ln x_2 \\ 1 & \ln x_1 \end{vmatrix}}, & r = -1, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \ln x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \ln x_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}} \right) - 1 \right\}, & r = 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1, & x_1 = x_2, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

$$F_r(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \left(r \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2^{r+1} \\ 1 & x_1^{r+1} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}} \right) / \left((r+1) \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2^r \\ 1 & x_1^r \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}} \right), & r \neq -1, 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1 x_2 \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ln x_2 \\ 1 & \ln x_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}}, & r = -1, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ln x_2 \\ 1 & \ln x_1 \end{vmatrix}}, & r = 0, x_1 \neq x_2, \\ x_1, & x_1 = x_2. \end{cases}$$

Utilizing higher-order generalized Vandermonde determinants, Xiao et al. [8, 7, 6, 9] gave the analogous definitions of $L_r(\mathbf{x})$ and $F_r(\mathbf{x})$.

Obviously, $L_r(\mathbf{x})$ and $F_r(\mathbf{x})$ are symmetric with respect to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , $r \mapsto L_r(\mathbf{x})$ and $r \mapsto F_r(\mathbf{x})$ are continuous for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

In [4, 5, 10, 11], the authors studied the Schur-convexities of $L_r(x_1, x_2)$ and $F_r(x_1, x_2)$. In this paper, we establish the Schur-convexities of two types of one-parameter mean values $L_r(\mathbf{x})$ and $F_r(\mathbf{x})$ for several positive numbers. As applications, Schur-convexities of some well-known functions involving the complete elementary symmetric functions are obtained.

2. Some definitions and lemmas

The Schur-convex function was introduced by Schur [12] in 1923, and has many important applications in analytic inequalities. The following definitions can be found in many references such as [12–17].

Definition 2.1. For $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, without loss of generality, it is assumed that $u_1 \geq u_2 \geq \dots \geq u_n$ and $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots \geq v_n$. Then \mathbf{u} is said to be majorized by \mathbf{v} (in symbols $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$) if $\sum_{i=1}^k u_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k v_i$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A function $\varphi : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Schur-convex (Schur-concave) function if $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$ implies $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) \leq (\geq) \varphi(\mathbf{v})$.

Every Schur-convex function is a symmetric function [18]. But it is not hard to see that not every symmetric function can be a Schur-convex function [15, page 258]. However, we have the following so-called Schur condition.

LEMMA 2.3 [12, page 57]. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is symmetric with respect to permutations and convex set, and has a nonempty interior set Ω^0 . Let $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on Ω and continuously differentiable in Ω^0 . Then, φ is a Schur-convex (Schur-concave) function if and only if it is symmetric and if*

$$(u_1 - u_2) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_2} \right) \geq (\leq) 0 \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \Omega^0$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 2, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \phi : \Lambda \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x})$ be continuous with respect to $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. Let Δ be a set of all $\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda$ such that the function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x})$ is a Schur-convex (Schur-concave) function. Then Δ is a closed set of Λ .*

Proof. Let $l \geq 1, l \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{v}_l \in \Delta, \mathbf{v}_0 \in \Lambda, \mathbf{v}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_0$ if $l \rightarrow +\infty$. According to Definition 2.2, $\phi(\mathbf{v}_l, \mathbf{y}) \geq (\leq) \phi(\mathbf{v}_l, \mathbf{z})$ holds for any $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{y} \succ \mathbf{z}$. Let $l \rightarrow +\infty$, then we have $\phi(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{y}) \geq (\leq) \phi(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{z})$. Hence $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \Delta$, so Δ is a closed set of Λ . □

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Given $r \in \mathbb{R}, L_r(\mathbf{x})$ is Schur-convex if $r \geq 1$ and Schur-concave if $r \leq 1$.*

Proof. Denote $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (u_2, u_1, u_3, \dots, u_n)$.

If $r \neq 0$, owing to the symmetry of $L_r(x)$ with respect to x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , we have

$$g_r(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \int_{E_{n-1}} (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^r d\mu = \int_{E_{n-1}} (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^r d\mu. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} &= r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} d\mu = r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_2 (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} d\mu, \\ \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} &= r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} d\mu = r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_2 (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} &= r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 \left[(A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} - (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} \right] d\mu, \\ \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} &= r \int_{E_{n-1}} u_2 \left[(A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} - (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} \right] d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By combining (3.3) with (3.2), we have

$$\frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} = \frac{r}{2} \int_{E_{n-1}} (u_1 - u_2) \left[(A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} - (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} \right] d\mu. \tag{3.4}$$

By Lagrange's mean value theorem, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{r-1} - (A(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{r-1} &= (r-1)(x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2 - x_2 u_1 - x_1 u_2) \left(\xi + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i \right)^{r-2} \\ &= (r-1)(u_1 - u_2)(x_1 - x_2) \left(\xi + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i \right)^{r-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where ξ is between $x_1 u_1 + x_2 u_2$ and $x_2 u_1 + x_1 u_2$.

From (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$(x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} \right) = \frac{r(r-1)}{2} (x_1 - x_2)^2 S_r(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$S_r(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{E_{n-1}} (u_1 - u_2)^2 \left(\xi + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i \right)^{r-2} d\mu \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, for $r \neq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial L_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial L_r}{\partial x_2} \right) &= (n-1)! \cdot \frac{1}{r} \cdot (L_r)^{1-r} \cdot (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial g_r}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &= (n-1)! \cdot \frac{r-1}{2} \cdot (L_r)^{1-r} \cdot (x_1 - x_2)^2 S_r(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From Lemma 2.3, it is clear that L_r is Schur-convex for $r > 1$ and Schur-concave for $r < 1$ and $r \neq 0$.

According to Lemma 2.4 and the continuity of $r \mapsto L_r(\mathbf{x})$, let $r \rightarrow 0, 1-,$ or $1+$ in $L_r(\mathbf{x})$, we know that $L_0(\mathbf{x})$ is a Schur-concave function, and $L_1(\mathbf{x})$ is both a Schur-concave function and a Schur-convex function. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Given $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_r(\mathbf{x})$ is Schur-convex if $r \geq 1$ and Schur-concave if $r \leq 1$.*

Proof. Denote $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (u_2, u_1, u_3, \dots, u_n)$. For $r \neq 0$,

$$F_r(\mathbf{x}) = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} M_r(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) d\mu, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_1} = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} x_1^{r-1} u_1 (M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}))^{1-r} d\mu = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})}{x_1} \right]^{1-r} d\mu, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_2} = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} x_2^{r-1} u_1 (M_r(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}))^{1-r} d\mu = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{x_2} \right]^{1-r} d\mu. \quad (3.11)$$

Combination of (3.10) with (3.11) yields

$$\frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_2} = (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 \left\{ \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})}{x_1} \right]^{1-r} - \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{x_2} \right]^{1-r} \right\} d\mu. \tag{3.12}$$

By using the mean value theorem, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})}{x_1} \right]^{1-r} - \left[\frac{M_r(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{x_2} \right]^{1-r} \\ &= \left(u_1 + \frac{u_2 x_2^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r}{x_1^r} \right)^{(1-r)/r} - \left(u_1 + \frac{u_2 x_1^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r}{x_2^r} \right)^{(1-r)/r} \\ &= \frac{1-r}{r} \left(\frac{u_2 x_2^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r}{x_1^r} - \frac{u_2 x_1^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r}{x_2^r} \right) (u_1 + \theta_1)^{(1-2r)/r} \\ &= \frac{1-r}{r} \cdot \frac{u_2 x_2^{2r} + x_2^r \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r - u_2 x_1^{2r} - x_1^r \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r}{x_1^r x_2^r} \cdot (u_1 + \theta_1)^{(1-2r)/r} \\ &= (1-r)(x_2 - x_1)(u_1 + \theta_1)^{(1-2r)/r} T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}; \theta_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where θ_1 is between $(u_2 x_2^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r)/x_1^r$ and $(u_2 x_1^r + \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r)/x_2^r$, θ_2 is between x_1 and x_2 , and $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}; \theta_2) = (2u_2 \theta_2^{2r-1} + \theta_2^{r-1} \sum_{i=3}^n u_i x_i^r)/x_1^r x_2^r \geq 0$.

From (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ &= (r-1)(x_1 - x_2)^2 (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} u_1 (u_1 + \theta_1)^{(1-2r)/r} T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}; \theta_2) d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

It follows that F_r is Schur-convex for $r > 1$ and Schur-concave for $r < 1$ and $r \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.3.

According to Lemma 2.4 and the continuity of $r \mapsto F_r(\mathbf{x})$, let $r \rightarrow 0, 1-,$ or $1+$ in $F_r(\mathbf{x})$. We know that $F_0(\mathbf{x})$ is a Schur-concave function, and $F_1(\mathbf{x})$ is both a Schur-concave function and a Schur-convex function. □

THEOREM 3.3. $L_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})$ and $F_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})$ are Schur-concave functions if $r \geq 1$, and Schur-convex functions if $r \leq 1$ and $r \neq 0$.

Proof. We can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r}) &= \left[(n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} M_{1/r}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) d\mu \right]^{1/r} = F_{1/r}^{1/r}(\mathbf{x}), \\ F_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r}) &= (n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} [A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})]^{1/r} d\mu = L_{1/r}^r(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial L_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial L_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})}{\partial x_2} \right) &= \frac{1}{r} (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial F_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \cdot F_{1/r}^{(1-r)/r}(\mathbf{x}), \\ (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial F_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial F_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})}{\partial x_2} \right) &= r (x_1 - x_2) \left(\frac{\partial L_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial L_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \right) \cdot L_{1/r}^{r-1}(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we know that both $L_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})$ and $F_{1/r}(\mathbf{x})$ are Schur-concave functions if $r \geq 1$ and Schur-convex functions if $0 < r \leq 1$ or $r < 0$. According to Lemma 2.3 and (3.16), the required result of Theorem 3.3 is proved. \square

4. Applications

As applications of the theorems above, we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 4.1 (See [19, Theorem 3.1] and [12, page 82]). *For $r \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the complete elementary symmetric function*

$$C_r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r, \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \text{ are integers}}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n} \quad (4.1)$$

is Schur-convex.

Proof. If $r \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then (see [20, page 164])

$$C_r(\mathbf{x}) = \binom{n-1+r}{r} L_r^r(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4.2)$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that $L_r^r(\mathbf{x})$ is a Schur-convex function. Therefore, $C_r(\mathbf{x})$ is a Schur-convex function. \square

COROLLARY 4.2. *The complete symmetric function of the first degree:*

$$D_r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r, \\ i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \text{ are integers}}} (x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n})^{1/r} \quad (4.3)$$

(see [6, Theorem 5] and [9]), is Schur-concave for $r \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If $r \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have (see [6, Theorem 5])

$$D_r(\mathbf{x}) = \binom{n-1+r}{r} F_{1/r}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{4.4}$$

By considering Theorem 3.2, we prove the required result. □

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $r \neq 0$, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\mathbf{x}^r \succ \mathbf{y}^r$. Then $L_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq L_r(\mathbf{y})$ and $F_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq F_r(\mathbf{y})$ if $r \geq 1$. They are reversed if $r \leq 1$ and $r \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $r \geq 1$ ($r \leq 1, r \neq 0$). $L_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})$ is a Schur-concave (Schur-convex) function by Theorem 3.3. Then

$$L_r\left((\mathbf{x}^r)^{1/r}\right) \leq (\geq) L_r\left((\mathbf{y}^r)^{1/r}\right), \quad L_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq (\geq) L_r(\mathbf{y}). \tag{4.5}$$

For $F_r(\mathbf{x}^{1/r})$, the proof is similar; we omit the details. □

COROLLARY 4.4. *If $r \geq 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{x}) &\leq L_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq M_r(\mathbf{x}), \\ A(\mathbf{x}) &\leq F_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq M_r(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Inequalities (4.6) are reversed if $r \leq 1$.

Proof. If $r \geq 1$, owing to Theorem 3.1 and

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \succ (A(\mathbf{x}), A(\mathbf{x}), \dots, A(\mathbf{x})) \triangleq \bar{A}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{4.7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_r(\mathbf{x}) &\geq L_r(\bar{A}(\mathbf{x})) = \left((n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(\mathbf{x}) u_i \right)^r d\mu \right)^{1/r} \\ &= A(\mathbf{x}) \left((n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \right)^r d\mu \right)^{1/r} = A(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Obviously, if $r \leq 1$, $r \neq 0$, inequality (4.8) is reversed by Theorem 3.1. For $r = 0$, because of the continuity of $r \mapsto L_r(\mathbf{x})$, we have $L_0(\mathbf{x}) \leq A(\mathbf{x})$.

By the same way, we find that $F_r(\mathbf{x}) \geq A(\mathbf{x})$ if $r \geq 1$, and $F_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq A(\mathbf{x})$ if $r \leq 1$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^r &= (x_1^r, x_2^r, \dots, x_n^r) \succ (M_r^i(\mathbf{x}), M_r^i(\mathbf{x}), \dots, M_r^i(\mathbf{x})) \\ &\triangleq (M_r(\mathbf{x}), M_r(\mathbf{x}), \dots, M_r(\mathbf{x}))^r \triangleq (\bar{M}_r(\mathbf{x}))^r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

If $r \geq 1$, according to Corollary 4.3, we get

$$L_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq L_r(\overline{M}_r(\mathbf{x})) = \left((n-1)! \int_{E_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_r(\mathbf{x}) u_i \right)^r d\mu \right)^{1/r} = M_r(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4.10)$$

If $r \leq 1$, inequality (4.10) is obviously reversed by Corollary 4.3 again.

Similarly, we have $F_r(\mathbf{x}) \leq M_r(\mathbf{x})$ if $r \geq 1$, and $F_r(\mathbf{x}) \geq M_r(\mathbf{x})$ if $r \leq 1$. □

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSF of Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University under Grant no. XKT-07G19. The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] K. B. Stolarsky, "Generalizations of the logarithmic mean," *Mathematics Magazine*, vol. 48, pp. 87–92, 1975.
- [2] H. Alzer, "Über eine einparametrische familie von mittelwerten [On a one-parameter family of means]," *Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse. Sitzungsberichte*, pp. 1–9, 1987 (German).
- [3] H. Alzer, "Über eine einparametrische Familie von Mittelwerten. II. [On a one-parameter family of mean values. II]," *Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse. Sitzungsberichte*, pp. 23–39, 1988 (German).
- [4] A. O. Pittenger, "The logarithmic mean in n variables," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 99–104, 1985.
- [5] C. E. M. Pearce, J. Pečarić, and V. Šimić, "On weighted generalized logarithmic means," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 459–465, 1998.
- [6] Z.-G. Xiao and Z.-H. Zhang, "The Heron mean of n positive numbers," *Journal of YueYang Normal University*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2001 (Chinese).
- [7] Z.-G. Xiao, Z.-H. Zhang, and F. Qi, "A type of mean values of several positive numbers with two parameters," *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, vol. 9, no. 2, article 11, 2006.
- [8] Z.-G. Xiao and Z.-H. Zhang, "The Stolarsky mean of n positive numbers," *Journal of YueYang Normal University*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 5–8, 2001 (Chinese).
- [9] Z.-G. Xiao, Z.-H. Zhang, and V. Loksha, "The weighted Heron mean of several positive numbers," *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, vol. 8, no. 3, article 6, 2005.
- [10] N. Elezović and J. Pečarić, "A note on Schur-convex functions," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 853–856, 2000.
- [11] F. Qi, "A note on Schur-convexity of extended mean values," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1787–1793, 2005.
- [12] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, vol. 143 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [13] J.-C. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shandong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [14] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, vol. 1965 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.

- [15] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex Functions. Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 57, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1973.
- [16] B.-Y. Wang, *Foundations of Majorization Inequalities*, Beijing Normal University Press, Beijing, China, 1990.
- [17] X.-M. Zhang, *Geometrically Convex Functions*, Anhui University Press, Hefei, China, 2004.
- [18] X.-M. Zhang, "Optimization of Schur-convex functions," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 319–330, 1998.
- [19] K. Guan, "Schur-convexity of the complete elementary symmetric function," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2006, Article ID 67624, 9 pages, 2006.
- [20] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 1952.

Ning-Guo Zheng: Huzhou Broadcast and TV University, Huzhou, Zhejiang 313000, China
Email address: yczng@hzvtc.net

Zhi-Hua Zhang: Zixing Educational Research Section, Chenzhou, Hunan 423400, China
Email address: zxzh1234@163.com

Xiao-Ming Zhang: Haining College, Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University, Haining, Zhejiang 314400, China
Email address: zjzxm79@tom.com