

## Research Article

# Some Remarks Concerning Quasiconformal Extensions in Several Complex Variables

Paula Curt<sup>1</sup> and Gabriela Kohr<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, Forecasts and Mathematics, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Babeş-Bolyai University, 58-60 Teodor Mihali Street, 400591 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University, 1 M. Kogălniceanu Street, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Correspondence should be addressed to Gabriela Kohr, gkohr@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received 29 January 2008; Accepted 27 May 2008

Recommended by Ramm Mohapatra

Let  $B$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with respect to the Euclidean norm. In this paper, we obtain a sufficient condition for a normalized quasiregular mapping  $f \in H(B)$  to be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself. In the last section we consider the asymptotical case of this result and we obtain certain applications.

Copyright © 2008 P. Curt and G. Kohr. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Becker [1] proved that if  $0 \leq q < 1$ ; and  $f$  is a holomorphic function on the unit disc  $U$  which satisfies the condition

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{q}{1-|z|^2}, \quad z \in U, \quad (1.1)$$

then  $f$  is univalent on  $U$  and extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  onto itself.

This result was generalized by Pfaltzgraff [2] (cf. [3]) to several complex variables. He proved that if  $0 \leq q < 1$  and  $f \in H(B)$  is a quasiregular mapping, which satisfies the condition

$$(1 - \|z\|^2) \| [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) \| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad (1.2)$$

then  $f$  is biholomorphic on  $B$  and extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.

Recently, the problem of quasiconformal extensions for quasiregular holomorphic mappings on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has been studied by Hamada and Kohr ([4–6]; see also [7]), Curt ([8–10]), Curt and Kohr [11].

In this paper we will generalize certain results due to Pfaltzgraff [2], Curt ([8, 9]), Hamada and Kohr [5].

## 2. Notations and preliminary results

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  denote the space of  $n$ -complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with the usual inner product  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{w}_i$  and Euclidean norm  $\|z\| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$ . Let  $B$  denote the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $U$  be the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Also let  $\bar{B}$  be the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\bar{\mathbb{R}^m} = \mathbb{R}^m \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  be the set of holomorphic mappings from a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ , let  $J_f(z) = \det Df(z)$  be the complex jacobian determinant of  $f$  at  $z$ . Also let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the space of continuous linear mappings from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the standard operator norm

$$\|A\| = \sup \{ \|Az\| : \|z\| = 1 \}, \quad (2.1)$$

and let  $I$  be the identity in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . A mapping  $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  is said to be normalized if  $f(0) = 0$  and  $Df(0) = I$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ , let  $Df(z)$  be the Fréchet derivative of  $f$  at  $z \in B$  given by

$$Df(z) = \left( \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z_k}(z) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}. \quad (2.2)$$

Also let  $D^2f(z)$  be the second Fréchet derivative of  $f$  at  $z \in B$ . Clearly  $D^2f(z)(z, \cdot)$  is the linear operator from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that is obtained by restricting to  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  the symmetric bilinear operator  $D^2f(z)$ . Then

$$D^2f(z)(z, \cdot) = \left( \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial z_k \partial z_m}(z) z_m \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}. \quad (2.3)$$

We say that a mapping  $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  is  $K$ -quasiregular,  $K \geq 1$ , if

$$\|Df(z)\|^n \leq K |J_f(z)|, \quad z \in B. \quad (2.4)$$

A mapping  $f \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  is called quasiregular if  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiregular for some  $K \geq 1$ . It is well known that quasiregular holomorphic mappings are locally biholomorphic.

*Definition 2.1.* Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be domains in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . A homeomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is said to be  $K$ -quasiconformal if it is differentiable a.e., absolutely continuous on lines (ACL) and

$$\|Df(x)\|^m \leq K |\det Df(x)| \quad \text{a.e. } x \in G, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $Df(x)$  denotes the real Jacobian matrix of  $f$ ; and  $K$  is a constant.

Note that a  $K$ -quasiregular biholomorphic mapping is  $K^2$ -quasiconformal.

If  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ , we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  (and write  $f < g$ ) if there exists a Schwarz mapping  $v$  (i.e.,  $v \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  and  $\|v(z)\| \leq \|z\|$ ,  $z \in B$ ) such that  $f(z) = g(v(z))$ ,  $z \in B$ .

*Definition 2.2.* A mapping  $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a subordination chain if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $L(0, t) = 0$  and  $L(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  for  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $L(\cdot, s) \prec L(\cdot, t)$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ .

If  $L(z, t)$  is a subordination chain such that  $L(\cdot, t)$  is biholomorphic on  $B$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , then we say that  $L(z, t)$  is a univalent subordination chain (or a Loewner chain). In this case there exists a biholomorphic Schwarz mapping  $v = v(z, s, t)$  (which is called the transition mapping associated with  $L(z, t)$ ) such that

$$L(z, s) = L(v(z, s, t), t), \quad z \in B, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (2.6)$$

If  $L(z, t)$  is a univalent subordination chain such that  $DL(0, t) = e^t I$ , we say that  $L(z, t)$  is a normalized subordination chain (or a normalized Loewner chain).

An important role in our discussion is played by the  $n$ -dimensional version of the Carathéodory set (i.e., the class of holomorphic functions on the unit disc with positive real part):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= \{h \in \mathcal{H}(B) : h(0) = 0, \Re \langle h(z), z \rangle > 0, z \in B \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ \mathcal{M} &= \{h \in \mathcal{N}, Dh(0) = I\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The authors ([12, Theorem 1.10] and [13, Theorem 2.3]) proved that normalized univalent subordination chains satisfy the generalized Loewner differential equation.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized univalent subordination chain. Then there exists a mapping  $h = h(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $h(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{M}$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $h(z, \cdot)$  is measurable on  $[0, \infty)$  for  $z \in B$ , and*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t)h(z, t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in B. \quad (2.8)$$

Using an elementary change of variable, it is not difficult to reformulate the above result in the case of nonnormalized subordination chains  $L(z, t) = a(t)z + \dots$ , where  $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in C^1([0, \infty))$ ,  $a(0) = 1$ , and  $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (see [10, 14]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $L(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a Loewner chain such that  $L(z, t) = a(t)z + \dots$ , where  $a \in C^1([0, \infty))$ ,  $a(0) = 1$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a(t)| = \infty$ . Then there exists a mapping  $h = h(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $h(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{N}$  for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $h(z, \cdot)$  is measurable on  $[0, \infty)$  for  $z \in B$ , and*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t)h(z, t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in B. \quad (2.9)$$

*Definition 2.5* ([15]). Let  $F = F(u, v) : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a mapping of class  $C^1$  with  $F(0, 0) = 0$ . We say that  $F$  satisfies the conditions (P) if the following assumptions hold.

- (i)  $F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ , for  $t \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $D_v F(u, v)$  is invertible, for all  $(u, v) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- (iii) For each  $t \geq 0$ , there exists a complex number  $a(t) \neq 0$ , with  $a(0) = 1$ , such that

$$e^{-t} D_u F(0, 0) + e^t D_v F(0, 0) = a(t)I. \quad (2.10)$$

Here  $D_u F(u, v)$  ( $D_v F(u, v)$ ) is the  $n \times n$  matrix for which the  $(i, j)$  entry is given by

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial u_j}(u, v) \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial v_j}(u, v) \right). \quad (2.11)$$

(iv)  $\{[e^{-t}D_u F(0, 0) + e^t D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1} F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a normal family on  $B$ .

Recently Hamada and Kohr [5, Theorem 3.2] (see also [11, Theorem 2.4]) proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $L = L(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized univalent subordination chain. Assume the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $L(\cdot, t)$  is  $K$ -quasiregular for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ;*
- (ii) *there exist some constants  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|DL(z, t)\| \leq \frac{e^t M}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty); \quad (2.12)$$

- (iii) *there exists a sequence  $\{t_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $t_m > 0$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \infty$ , and a mapping  $F \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t_m} L(z, t_m) = F(z) \quad (2.13)$$

*locally uniformly on  $B$ .*

Moreover, assume that the mapping  $h(z, t)$  defined by Theorem 2.3 satisfies the following conditions:

- (iv) *there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$C\|z\|^2 \leq \Re \langle h(z, t), z \rangle, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty); \quad (2.14)$$

- (v) *there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\|h(z, t)\| \leq C_1, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.15)$$

*Then  $f = L(\cdot, 0)$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.*

In this paper we continue the work begun in [5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 16]; and we obtain a sufficient condition for a normalized quasiregular holomorphic mapping on  $B$ , which can be imbedded as the first element of a nonnormalized univalent subordination chain, to be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself. We also obtain certain applications of this result, including the  $n$ -dimensional versions of the quasiconformal extension results due to Becker and Ahlfors-Becker.

### 3. Main results

We begin this section with the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $L(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $L(z, t) = a(t)z + \dots$  be a Loewner chain such that  $a(\cdot) \in C^1([0, \infty))$ ,  $a(0) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a(t)| = \infty$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $L(\cdot, t)$  is  $K$ -quasiregular for each  $t \geq 0$ ;*
- (ii) *there exist some constants  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|DL(z, t)\| \leq \frac{M|a(t)|}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty); \quad (3.1)$$

- (iii) *there exists a sequence  $\{t_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $t_m > 0$ ,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = \infty$ , and a mapping  $F \in \mathcal{H}(B)$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(z, t_m)}{a(t_m)} = F(z) \quad (3.2)$$

*locally uniformly on  $B$ .*

*Further, assume that the mapping  $h(z, t)$  defined by Theorem 2.4 satisfies the following conditions:*

- (iv) *there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$C\|z\|^2 \leq \Re\langle h(z, t), z \rangle, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty); \quad (3.3)$$

- (v) *there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\|h(z, t)\| \leq C_1, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.4)$$

*Then  $f = L(\cdot, 0)$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.*

*Proof.* Since  $L(z, t)$  is a Loewner chain, it follows that  $L(z, s) \prec L(z, t)$  for  $z \in B$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ . Hence  $|a(\cdot)|$  is increasing by Schwarz's lemma. Moreover, taking into account the condition (3.3) and the fact that  $Dh(0, t) = (a'(t)/a(t))I$  for  $t \geq 0$ , it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\Re\left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}\right) \geq C, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed, fix  $w \in \partial B$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $q_t : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by

$$q_t(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\zeta} \langle h(\zeta w, t), w \rangle, & 0 < |\zeta| < 1, \\ \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}, & \zeta = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Then  $q_t$  is a holomorphic function on  $U$ , and in view of the relation (3.3) we deduce that  $\Re q_t(\zeta) \geq C$  for  $0 < |\zeta| < 1$ . Hence, we must have  $\Re q_t(0) \geq C$ , that is,  $\Re(a'(t)/a(t)) \geq C$ , as claimed.

As in the proof of [17, Theorem 2] (see also [14]), we use the change of parameter  $\theta(t) = \arg a(t)$ ,  $t^* = \ln |a(t)|$ , in order to pass from the nonnormalized subordination chain  $L(z, t)$  to the normalized subordination chain  $L^*(z, t^*)$  given by

$$L^*(z, t^*) = L(e^{-i\theta(t)} z, t), \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.7)$$

Also let  $h^* = h^*(z, t^*) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$h^*(z, t^*) = \frac{1}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \left[ h(ze^{-i\theta(t)}, t) e^{i\theta(t)} - i \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} z \right], \quad z \in B, \quad t^* \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.8)$$

In the proof of [17, Theorem 2] (see also [14]), it was shown that  $L^*(z, t^*)$  is a normalized subordination chain, which satisfies the Loewner differential equation

$$\frac{\partial L^*}{\partial t^*}(z, t^*) = DL^*(z, t^*) h^*(z, t^*), \quad \text{a.e. } t^* \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in B. \quad (3.9)$$

We next prove that the mapping  $L^* = L^*(z, t^*)$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 2.6. Indeed, since  $L(\cdot, t)$  is  $K$ -quasiregular for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DL^*(z, t^*)\|^n &= \|DL(e^{-i\theta(t)} z, t)\|^n \leq K |\det DL(e^{-i\theta(t)} z, t)| \\ &= K |\det DL^*(z, t^*)|, \quad z \in B, \quad t^* \in [0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and hence  $L^*(z, t^*)$  is also  $K$ -quasiregular on  $B$  for  $t^* \in [0, \infty)$ .

Taking into account condition (ii) in the hypothesis, we deduce that

$$\|DL^*(z, t^*)\| = \|DL(e^{-i\theta(t)} z, t)\| \leq \frac{Me^{t^*}}{(1 - \|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad t^* \geq 0, \quad z \in B. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence  $L^*$  satisfies assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.6.

On the other hand, in view of condition (iv), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle h^*(z, t^*), z \rangle &= \frac{1}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \Re \left\langle h(ze^{-i\theta(t)}, t) e^{i\theta(t)} - i \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} z, z \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \Re \langle h(ze^{-i\theta(t)}, t), e^{-i\theta(t)} z \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{C \|z\|^2}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \geq \frac{C}{\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \|z\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We next prove that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \Re \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} < \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

Since  $\|h(z, t)\| \leq C_1$  for  $z \in B$  and  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , it follows by Schwarz's lemma that

$$\|Dh(0, t)\| \leq C_1, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, since  $Dh(0, t) = (a'(t)/a(t))I$ , we deduce in view of the previous inequality that  $|a'(t)/a(t)| \leq C_1$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and hence

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \Re \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \leq C_1 < \infty, \quad (3.15)$$

as claimed.

In view of the above relations, we obtain that

$$\Re \langle h^*(z, t^*), z \rangle \geq \frac{C}{C_1} \|z\|^2, \quad z \in B, \quad t^* \geq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Further, taking into account (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^*(z, t^*)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \left[ \|h(ze^{-i\theta(t)}, t)e^{i\theta(t)}\| + \left\| \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} z \right\| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \left[ \|h(ze^{-i\theta(t)}, t)\| + \left| \Im \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right| \right] \leq \frac{2C_1}{\inf_{t \geq 0} \Re(a'(t)/a(t))} \leq \frac{2C_1}{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, we have proved that the mapping  $h^*(z, t^*)$  satisfies conditions (iv) and (v) in Theorem 2.6.

Finally, since  $L^*(z, 0) = L(ze^{-i\theta(0)}, 0) = L(z, 0)$ ,  $z \in B$ , we conclude that  $L(\cdot, 0)$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $F$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself such that  $F|_B = L(\cdot, 0)$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next consider the following class of mappings which satisfy the conditions (3.3) and (3.4). The proof of this result may be found in [16].

*Remark 3.2.* Let  $q \in [0, 1)$  and let  $h = h(z, t) : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$h(z, t) = [I - E(z, t)]^{-1} [I + E(z, t)](z), \quad (3.18)$$

where the mapping  $E(z, t)$  satisfies the following conditions.

- (i)  $E(z, t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $z \in B$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .
- (ii)  $E(\cdot, t) : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is a holomorphic mapping.
- (iii)  $\|E(z, t)\| \leq q$  for  $z \in B$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

Then the mapping  $h(z, t)$  satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\| \frac{1-q}{1+q} &\leq \|h(z, t)\| \leq \|z\| \frac{1+q}{1-q}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0; \\ \|z\|^2 \frac{1-q}{1+q} &\leq \Re \langle h(z, t), z \rangle \leq \|z\|^2 \frac{1+q}{1-q}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

#### 4. Applications

In this section we obtain certain applications of Theorem 3.1. The main result of this paper is given in Theorem 4.1, which provides a general quasiconformal extension result in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and let  $F = F(u, v) : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a mapping which satisfies the conditions (P) in Definition 2.5. Assume that*

$$\| [D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1} [D_u F(0, 0)] \| \leq q, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\| G(z, z) \| \leq q, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\left\| G\left(z, \frac{z}{\|z\|^2}\right) \right\| \leq q, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$G(u, v) = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} [D_v F(u, v)]^{-1} [D_u F(u, v)], \quad u \in B, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, assume that there exist some constants  $M > 0$ ,  $K \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\| D_v F(u, v) \| \leq \frac{M}{(1 - \|u\|)^\alpha}, \quad u \in B, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\| D_v F(u, v) \|^n \leq K |\det D_v F(u, v)|, \quad u \in B, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (4.6)$$

Then the mapping  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , given by  $f(z) = F(z, z)$ , extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.

*Proof.* We prove that the mapping  $L : B \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$L(z, t) = F(e^{-t}z, e^t z), \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.7)$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

Indeed, it is obvious that  $L(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ ,  $L(0, t) = F(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $DL(0, t) = e^{-t}D_u F(0, 0) + e^t D_v F(0, 0) = a(t)I$ , where  $a(\cdot) \in C^1([0, \infty))$  and  $a(0) = 1$ . Since the mapping  $F = F(u, v)$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $B \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , it follows that  $L(z, \cdot)$  is locally absolutely continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  locally uniformly with respect to  $z \in B$ . In view of (4.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} DL(z, t) &= e^{-t}D_u F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) + e^t D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) \\ &= e^t D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) \{ I + e^{-2t} [D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)]^{-1} D_u F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) \} \\ &= e^t D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) [I - E(z, t)], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where for each fixed  $(z, t) \in B \times [0, \infty)$ ,  $E(z, t)$  is the linear operator defined by

$$E(z, t) = -e^{-2t} [D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)]^{-1} [D_u F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)]. \quad (4.9)$$



It is easy to see that  $E(z, t) = -G(e^{-t}z, e^t z)$  for  $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Then

$$\|E(0, t)\| = e^{-2t} \| [D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1} [D_u F(0, 0)] \| \leq q, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

by (4.1). Also

$$\|E(z, 0)\| = \|G(z, z)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.11)$$

by (4.2). Moreover, in view of the weak maximum modulus theorem for holomorphic mappings and relation (4.3) (see also the proof of [15, Theorem 2]), we obtain that

$$\|E(z, t)\| \leq \max_{\|w\|=1} \|E(w, t)\| = \max_{\|w\|=1} \left\| G\left(e^{-t}w, \frac{e^{-t}w}{\|e^{-t}w\|^2}\right) \right\| \leq q, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Hence, taking into account the above relations, we deduce that

$$\|E(z, t)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

On the other hand, using elementary computations, it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t) [I - E(z, t)]^{-1} [I + E(z, t)](z), \quad (4.14)$$

and thus  $L(z, t)$  satisfies the Loewner differential equation (2.9) with

$$h(z, t) = [I - E(z, t)]^{-1} [I + E(z, t)](z), \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Also, in view of (4.13), and (3.19), we deduce that the mapping  $h(z, t)$  satisfies relations (3.3) and (3.4) with

$$C = \frac{1-q}{1+q}, \quad C_1 = \frac{1+q}{1-q}. \quad (4.16)$$

We now prove that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a(t)| = \infty$ . Indeed, since

$$a(t)I = DL(0, t) = e^t D_v F(0, 0) [I - E(0, t)], \quad (4.17)$$

it follows that

$$a(t) [I - E(0, t)]^{-1} = e^t D_v F(0, 0). \quad (4.18)$$

Further, since

$$\| [I - E(0, t)]^{-1} \| \leq (1 - \|E(0, t)\|)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.19)$$

we obtain in view of the above relations that

$$|a(t)| \geq (1-q) \|D_v F(0, 0)\| e^t. \quad (4.20)$$

Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a(t)| = \infty$ , as desired.

Now, we prove that  $L(\cdot, t)$  is  $K^*$ -quasiregular for  $t \geq 0$ , where  $K^*$  is a positive constant. Indeed, in view of (4.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|DL(z, t)\|^n &\leq e^{nt} \|D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)\|^n \|I - E(z, t)\|^n \\ &\leq e^{nt} (1+q)^n \|D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)\|^n \\ &\leq e^{nt} (1+q)^n K |\det D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z)| \\ &= (1+q)^n K \frac{|\det DL(z, t)|}{|\det [I - E(z, t)]|} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1+q}{1-q}\right)^n K |\det DL(z, t)|, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Hence  $L(\cdot, t)$  is  $K^*$ -quasiregular for  $t \geq 0$ , where  $K^* = K(1+q)^n / (1-q)^n$ .

It remains to prove relations (3.1) and (3.2). Clearly, (3.2) is a direct consequence of condition (iv) in Definition 2.5. On the other hand, taking into account (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|DL(z, t)\| &\leq |a(t)| \cdot \| [I - E(0, t)]^{-1} \cdot \| \cdot \| [D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1} \cdot \| \cdot \| D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) \cdot \| \cdot \| I - E(z, t) \| \\ &\leq \frac{1+q}{1-q} |a(t)| \frac{M}{(1-\|z\|)^\alpha} \| [D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1} \| = \frac{M^* |a(t)|}{(1-\|z\|)^\alpha}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Concluding the above arguments, we deduce that the mapping  $L(z, t)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, and thus  $f(z) = L(z, 0) = F(z, z)$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next obtain some particular cases of Theorem 4.1. The following result, due to Pfaltzgraff [2], is the  $n$ -dimensional version of Becker's quasiconformal extension result [1].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $q \in [0, 1)$  and let  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized quasiregular holomorphic mapping on  $B$ . If*

$$(1 - \|z\|^2) \| [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) \| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \tag{4.23}$$

*then  $f$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.*

*Proof.* Let  $F : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by  $F(u, v) = f(u) + Df(u)(v - u)$ . Then  $F$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $B \times \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $F(0, 0) = 0$ . Since  $f(z) = F(z, z)$ , it suffices to prove that the mapping  $F$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. First we prove that  $F$  satisfies conditions (P). Indeed, the mapping

$$F(e^{-t}z, e^t z) = f(e^{-t}z) + (e^t - e^{-t}) Df(e^{-t}z)(z) \tag{4.24}$$

is holomorphic on  $B$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Also, since

$$D_v F(u, v) = Df(u), \quad D_u F(u, v) = D^2 f(u)(v - u, \cdot), \tag{4.25}$$

we deduce that  $D_v F(u, v)$  is invertible for all  $(u, v) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $a(t) = e^t$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Further calculations yield that  $G(z, z) \equiv 0$  and

$$G\left(z, \frac{z}{\|z\|^2}\right) = (1 - \|z\|^2) [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot), \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.26)$$

Hence, in view of (4.23), we deduce that relations (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) hold.

It remains to prove relations (4.5) and (4.6). Since  $D_v F(u, v) = Df(u)$ , it follows by arguments similar to those in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.4] that relations (4.5) and (4.6) are fulfilled. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The second particular case of Theorem 4.1 is the  $n$ -dimensional version of Ahlfors' and Becker's quasiconformal extension result [8].

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized quasiregular holomorphic mapping on  $B$ . If there exist some constants  $q \in [0, 1)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|c| \leq q$ , such that*

$$\|c\|z\|^2 I + (1 - \|z\|^2) [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad (4.27)$$

then  $f$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.

*Proof.* Let  $F : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$F(u, v) = f(u) + \frac{1}{1+c} Df(u)(v - u). \quad (4.28)$$

We next apply arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.2 to deduce that the mapping  $F$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned} D_v F(u, v) &= \frac{1}{1+c} Df(u) \\ D_u F(u, v) &= \frac{c}{1+c} Df(u) + \frac{1}{1+c} D^2 f(u)(v - u, \cdot), \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

we obtain that  $D_v F(u, v)$  is invertible for all  $(u, v) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , and

$$a(t) = \frac{e^{-t} + ce^t}{1+c}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.30)$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that

$$G(u, v) = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} [cI + [Df(u)]^{-1} D^2 f(u)(v - u, \cdot)]. \quad (4.31)$$

Hence  $G(z, z) = cI$  for  $z \in B$ , and

$$G\left(z, \frac{z}{\|z\|^2}\right) = c\|z\|^2 I + (1 - \|z\|^2) [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot), \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.32)$$

Next, taking into account (4.27), we deduce that the relations (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) hold.

Finally, using the fact that  $D_v F(u, v) = Df(u)/(1+c)$ , we obtain the relations (4.5) and (4.6), by using arguments similar to those in [5, 8]. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

The following result was obtained by Ren and Ma [18] (see also [6, 9]; compare with [19]).

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $f, g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be normalized holomorphic mappings such that  $g$  is quasiregular on  $B$ . Assume that there exists  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [Dg(z)]^{-1} Df(z) - I \| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \\ & \| \|z\|^2 \| \{ [Dg(z)]^{-1} Df(z) - I \} + (1 - \|z\|^2) [Dg(z)]^{-1} D^2g(z)(z, \cdot) \| \leq q, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

for all  $z \in B$ . Then  $f$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  onto itself.

*Proof.* Let  $F : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$F(u, v) = f(u) + Dg(u)(v - u). \quad (4.34)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} D_v F(u, v) &= Dg(u), \\ D_u F(u, v) &= Df(u) - Dg(u) + D^2g(u)(v - u, \cdot), \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

it follows that  $D_v F(u, v)$  is invertible for  $(u, v) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $a(t) = e^t$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

On the other hand, straightforward computations yield that

$$G(u, v) = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} [ [Dg(u)]^{-1} Df(u) - I + [Dg(u)]^{-1} D^2g(u)(v - u, \cdot) ]. \quad (4.36)$$

The previous equality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, z) &= [Dg(z)]^{-1} Df(z) - I, \quad z \in B, \\ G\left(z, \frac{z}{\|z\|^2}\right) &= \|z\|^2 [Dg(z)]^{-1} Df(z) - I + (1 - \|z\|^2) [Dg(z)]^{-1} D^2g(z)(z, \cdot), \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

for  $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$ . Next, taking into account (4.33), we deduce that the relations (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) are fulfilled. Finally, since  $D_u F(u, v) = Dg(u)$ , we obtain the relations (4.5) and (4.6), by using arguments similar to those in [5, 9, 19]. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. The asymptotical case of Theorem 4.1

Let  $F = F(u, v)$  be the mapping which satisfies the assumptions of Definition 2.5. In this section we prove that under certain assumptions the mapping  $f(z) = F(z, z)$  can be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  onto itself. To this end, we need the following result due to the authors [14, Theorem 2.2] (cf. [6, Theorem 3.1]).

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $a : [0, \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function of class  $C^1$  such that  $a(0) = 1$ ,  $a(t) \neq 0$ , and  $\Re[a'(t)/a(t)] > 0$  for  $t \in [0, \eta]$ . Let  $h = h(z, t) : B \times [0, \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be such that  $h(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $Dh(0, t) = (a'(t)/a(t))I$  for  $t \in [0, \eta]$ , and  $h(z, \cdot)$  is measurable on  $[0, \eta]$  for  $z \in B$ . Also let  $L(z, t) = a(t)z + \dots$  be a mapping such that  $L(\cdot, t) \in H(B)$ ,  $L(0, t) = 0$ ,  $DL(0, t) = a(t)I$ , and  $L(z, \cdot)$*

is absolutely continuous on  $[0, \eta]$  locally uniformly with respect to  $z \in B$ . Suppose that  $L(z, t)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t)h(z, t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \eta], \quad \forall z \in B. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, assume that  $L(\cdot, 0)$  is continuous and injective on  $\overline{B}$ . Also assume that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exist some constants  $M > 0$  and  $k \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|DL(z, t)\| \leq \frac{M|a(t)|}{(1 - \|z\|)^k}, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta]. \quad (5.2)$$

(ii) There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\Re(h(z, t), z) \geq c_1 \|z\|^2, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta]. \quad (5.3)$$

(iii) There exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|h(z, t)\| \leq c_2, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta]. \quad (5.4)$$

(vi) There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $f(\cdot, t)$  is  $K$ -quasiregular for each  $t \in [0, \eta]$ .

Then there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $F$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  onto itself such that  $F|_B = L(\cdot, 0)$ .

Taking into account Lemma 5.1, we may prove the following asymptotical case of Theorem 4.1:

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and let  $F = F(u, v)$  be a mapping which satisfies conditions (P) in Definition 2.5. Assume that  $F(z, z)$  is continuous and injective on  $\overline{B}$ . Also, assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|[D_v F(0, 0)]^{-1}[D_u F(0, 0)]\| &\leq q, \\ \|G(z, z)\| &\leq q, \quad z \in B \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and there exists  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\left\| G\left(z, \frac{z}{\|z\|^2}\right) \right\| \leq q, \quad r \leq \|z\| < 1, \quad (5.6)$$

where  $G(u, v)$  is the mapping given by (4.4). Moreover, assume that there exist some constants  $M > 0$ ,  $K \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that conditions (4.5) and (4.6) hold. Then the mapping  $f(z) = F(z, z)$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  onto itself.

*Proof.* Let  $\eta = -\ln r$  and let  $L : B \times [0, \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$L(z, t) = F(e^{-t}z, e^tz), \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta]. \quad (5.7)$$

We prove that  $L(z, t)$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.1.

Indeed, the differentiability and the local absolute continuity properties of  $L(z, t)$  are clear. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, let  $E(z, t)$  be the linear operator

$$E(z, t) = -e^{-2t} [D_v F(e^{-t}z, e^tz)]^{-1} D_u F(e^{-t}z, e^tz), \quad z \in B, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Then  $E(z, t) = -G(e^{-t}z, e^tz)$  for  $z \in B \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Hence

$$\|E(z, 0)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad (5.9)$$

by (5.5). Moreover, using the weak maximum modulus theorem for holomorphic mappings and condition (5.6), we obtain that

$$\|E(z, t)\| \leq \max_{\|w\|=1} \|E(w, t)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in (0, \eta]. \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore

$$\|E(z, t)\| \leq q, \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta]. \quad (5.11)$$

On the other hand, if

$$h(z, t) = [I - E(z, t)]^{-1} [I + E(z, t)](z), \quad z \in B, \quad t \in [0, \eta], \quad (5.12)$$

then

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}(z, t) = DL(z, t)h(z, t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, \eta], \quad \forall z \in B. \quad (5.13)$$

Finally, it suffices to apply similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to deduce that the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 hold.  $\square$

We next obtain the following particular cases of Theorem 5.2. The first result is the asymptotical case of Theorem 4.2. This result was obtained by Hamada and Kohr [6]. In the case of one complex variable, see [20, Satz 4].

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $f : \overline{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized quasiregular holomorphic mapping on  $B$  and continuous and injective on  $\overline{B}$ . If*

$$\limsup_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1-0} (1 - \|z\|^2) \| [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) \| < 1, \quad (5.14)$$

*then  $f$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  onto itself.*

*Proof.* It suffices to apply arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.2 to show that the mapping  $F(u, v) = f(u) + Df(u)(v - u)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

*Remark 5.4.* In view of condition (5.14), we have (compare [3, Theorem 2.4])

$$(1 - \|z\|^2) \| [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) \| \leq q, \quad r \leq \|z\| < 1, \quad (5.15)$$

for some  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $q \in [0, 1)$ .

The second result due to the authors [14] may be considered the asymptotical case of the  $n$ -dimensional version of Ahlfors' and Becker's quasiconformal extension result [20].

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $f : \overline{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a normalized quasiregular holomorphic mapping on  $B$  and continuous and injective on  $\overline{B}$ . If there exist some constants  $q \in [0, 1)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|c| \leq q$ , and  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$\|c\|z\|^2 I + (1 - \|z\|^2) [Df(z)]^{-1} D^2 f(z)(z, \cdot) \| \leq q, \quad r \leq \|z\| < 1, \quad (5.16)$$

then  $f$  extends to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$  onto itself.

*Proof.* It suffices to apply arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.3 to show that the mapping  $F(u, v) = f(u) + (1/(1+c))Df(u)(v-u)$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, UEFISCSU Grant PN-II-ID 524/2007. The authors would also like to thank the referees for helpful comments and suggestions that improved the paper.

## References

- [1] J. Becker, "Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen," *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 255, pp. 23–43, 1972.
- [2] J. A. Pfaltzgraff, "Subordination chains and quasiconformal extension of holomorphic maps in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ. Series A I*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–25, 1975.
- [3] J. A. Pfaltzgraff, "Subordination chains and univalence of holomorphic mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 210, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 1974.
- [4] H. Hamada and G. Kohr, "The growth theorem and quasiconformal extension of strongly spirallike mappings of type  $\alpha$ ," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 281–297, 2001.
- [5] H. Hamada and G. Kohr, "Loewner chains and quasiconformal extension of holomorphic mappings," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 85–100, 2003.
- [6] H. Hamada and G. Kohr, "Quasiconformal extension of biholomorphic mappings in several complex variables," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 96, pp. 269–282, 2005.
- [7] I. Graham and G. Kohr, *Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions*, vol. 255 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- [8] P. Curt, "Sufficient conditions for quasiconformal extensions in several complex variables," *Mathematica*, vol. 45(68), no. 2, pp. 137–142, 2003.
- [9] P. Curt, "Quasiconformal extensions of holomorphic maps in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Mathematica*, vol. 46(69), no. 1, pp. 55–60, 2004.
- [10] P. Curt, *Special Chapters of Geometric Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, Editura Albastră, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2001.
- [11] P. Curt and G. Kohr, "Quasiconformal extensions and  $q$ -subordination chains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Mathematica*, vol. 49(72), no. 2, pp. 149–159, 2007.

- [12] I. Graham, H. Hamada, and G. Kohr, "Parametric representation of univalent mappings in several complex variables," *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 324–351, 2002.
- [13] P. Curt and G. Kohr, "Subordination chains and Loewner differential equations in several complex variables," *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sectio A*, vol. 57, pp. 35–43, 2003.
- [14] G. Kohr, "Subordination chains and solutions of the Loewner differential equation in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Mathematica*, vol. 47(70), no. 1, pp. 77–88, 2005.
- [15] P. Curt and N. Pascu, "Loewner chains and univalence criteria for holomorphic mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 18, pp. 45–48, 1995.
- [16] P. Curt and G. Kohr, "The asymptotical case of certain quasiconformal extension results for holomorphic mappings in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 653–667, 2007.
- [17] P. Curt, "A generalization in  $n$ -dimensional complex space of Ahlfors' and Becker's criterion for univalence," *Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai. Studia Mathematica*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 31–38, 1994.
- [18] F. Ren and J. Ma, "Quasiconformal extension of biholomorphic mappings of several complex variables," *Journal of Fudan University (Natural Science)*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 545–556, 1995.
- [19] A. A. Brodskii, "Quasiconformal extension of biholomorphic mappings," in *Theory of Mappings and Approximation of Functions*, pp. 30–34, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, Ukraine, 1983.
- [20] J. Becker and C. Pommerenke, "Über die quasikonforme Fortsetzung schlichter Funktionen," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 1978.