

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

## BEST GENERALIZATION OF A HILBERT TYPE INEQUALITY

BAOJU SUN

Zhejiang Water Conservancy & Hydropower College  
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018  
People's Republic Of China.

*E*Mail: [sunbj@mail.zjwchc.com](mailto:sunbj@mail.zjwchc.com)



---

volume 7, issue 3, article 113,  
2006.

*Received 05 January, 2006;*  
*accepted 10 April, 2006.*

*Communicated by: B. Yang*

---

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



## Abstract

By introducing a parameter  $\lambda$ , we have given generalization of Hilbert's type integral inequality with a best possible constant factor. Also its equivalent form is considered, and the generalized formula corresponding to the double series inequalities are built.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26D15.

*Key words:* Hilbert's type integral inequality; Weight coefficient; Weight function; Hölder's inequality.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Main Results .....	5
	References	



### Best Generalization of a Hilbert Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 14

# 1. Introduction

If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$ , satisfy  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt < \infty$  and  $0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(t)dt < \infty$ , then


$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left[ \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty g^q(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant factor  $\pi/(\sin \pi/p)$  is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is called Hardy-Hilbert's inequality (see [1]) and is important in analysis and applications (cf. Mitrinović et al. [2]). Recently, Yang gave an extension of (1.1) as (see [4, 5]):

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy < B\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right) \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} f^p(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{1-\lambda} g^q(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant factor  $B\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right)$  ( $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ) is the best possible, and  $B(u, v)$  is the  $\beta$  function. Hardy et al. [1] gave an inequality similar to (1.1) as:

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < pq \left[ \int_0^\infty f^p(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty g^q(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 14

where the constant factor  $pq$  is the best possible. The double series inequality is:

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < pq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where the constant factor  $pq$  is the best possible. In particular, if  $p = q = 2$ , one has the Hilbert type integral inequality:


$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < 4 \left[ \int_0^{\infty} f^2(t) dt \int_0^{\infty} g^2(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Recently, Kuang gave an extension of (1.4) as (see [3]):

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [pq - G(p, n)] a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [pq - G(q, n)] b_m^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $G(r, n) = \frac{r+1/3r-4/3}{(2n+1)^{1/r}} > 0$  ( $r = p, q$ ). Yang and Debnath have also considered other Hilbert type integral inequalities in [6].

The main objective of this paper is to build a new inequality with a best constant factor, related to the double integral  $\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy$ , which improves inequality (1.5). The equivalent form and the corresponding double series form are considered.



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 14

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt < \infty$ ,  $0 < \int_0^\infty t^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt < \infty$ , then one has*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy < \frac{pq}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and


$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty y^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right)^p < \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx,$$

where the constant factors  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$ ,  $\left(\frac{pq}{\lambda}\right)^p$  are the best possible. Inequality (2.1) is equivalent to (2.2). In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , (2.1) and (2.2) respectively reduce to the following two equivalent inequalities:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx dy < pq \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{p-2} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{q-2} g^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\infty y^{p-2} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x, y\}} dx \right)^p dy < (pq)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx.$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 14



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 14

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{f(x)}{(\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{pq} - \frac{1}{p}} x^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \frac{g(y)}{(\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\})^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{pq} - \frac{1}{q}} y^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \right] dx dy \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f^p(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{q} - 1} x^{\frac{p}{q} - 1} dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{g^q(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p} - 1} y^{\frac{q}{p} - 1} dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds in (2.5) if there are two constants  $A, B$ , such that  $A^2 + B^2 \neq 0$  and

$$A \frac{f^p(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{q} - 1} x^{\frac{p}{q} - 1} = B \frac{g^q(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{p} - 1} y^{\frac{q}{p} - 1}$$

a.e. in  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , or  $Ax^{p-\lambda}f^p(x) = By^{q-\lambda}g^q(y) = \text{constant}$  a.e. in  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ , this contradicts the fact that  $0 < \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda}f^p(t)dt < \infty$ . Thus the inequality (2.5) is strict.

Define the weight function  $w_\lambda(r, t)$  as:

$$w_\lambda(r, t) = t^{\lambda-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{t^\lambda, u^\lambda\}} \left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - 1} du, \quad r = p, q; t \in (0, \infty).$$

By computing, one has:


$$(2.6) \quad w_\lambda(p, t) = \frac{pq}{\lambda} = w_\lambda(q, t),$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ & < \left[ \int_0^\infty w_\lambda(q, t) t^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty w_\lambda(p, t) t^{q-1-\lambda} f^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{pq}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{q-1-\lambda} f^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ , setting  $\tilde{f}(t), \tilde{g}(t)$  as:  $t \in (0, 1), \tilde{f}(t) = \tilde{g}(t) = 0; t \in [1, \infty)$ ,  
 $\tilde{f}(t) = t^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{g}(t) = t^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}}$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{g}(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ & = \int_1^\infty y^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}} \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} x^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right] dy \\ & = \int_1^\infty y^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}} \left[ \int_1^y \frac{1}{y^\lambda} x^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right] dy \\ & \quad + \int_1^\infty y^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}} \left[ \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{x^\lambda} x^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right] dy \end{aligned}$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 14

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{p}{\lambda - \varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{q}{\lambda - \lambda q - \varepsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{p}{\lambda p - \lambda + \varepsilon} \\
&= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{p}{\lambda - \varepsilon} + \frac{p}{\lambda p - \lambda + \varepsilon} \right) - \frac{pq}{(\lambda - \varepsilon)(\lambda q - \lambda + \varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.8) \quad \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda} \tilde{f}^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{q-1-\lambda} \tilde{g}^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$


If the constant factor  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$  in (2.1) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive number  $k$  (with  $k < \frac{pq}{\lambda}$ ), such that (2.1) is still valid if one replaces  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$  by  $k$ . By (2.7) and (2.8), one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \frac{p}{\lambda - \varepsilon} + \frac{p}{\lambda p - \lambda + \varepsilon} - \frac{pq\varepsilon}{(\lambda - \varepsilon)(\lambda q - \lambda + \varepsilon)} \\
&= \varepsilon \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\
&< \varepsilon k \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{p-1-\lambda} \tilde{f}^p(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{q-1-\lambda} \tilde{g}^q(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= k.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , then  $\frac{p}{\lambda} + \frac{p}{(p-1)\lambda} \leq k$  or  $\frac{pq}{\lambda} \leq k$ . By this contradiction we can conclude that the constant factor  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$  in (2.1) is the best possible.

Define the weight function  $g(y)$  as:

$$g(y) = y^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^{p-1}, \quad y \in (0, \infty).$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 14



By (2.1), one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad 0 &< \left[ \int_0^\infty y^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \right]^p \\
 &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy \right\}^p \\
 &= \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \right]^p \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx \left[ \int_0^\infty y^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \right]^{p-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad 0 &< \int_0^\infty y^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \\
 &= \int_0^\infty y^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right]^p dy \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by using (2.1), (2.10) takes the form of strict inequality; so does (2.11). One then has (2.2). On other hand, if (2.2) holds, by Hölder's inequality, one has

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 14



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 14

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^\infty \left[ y^{\frac{\lambda+1-q}{q}} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx \right] \left[ y^{\frac{q-1-\lambda}{q}} g(y) \right] dy \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q-1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we have (2.1).

If the constant factor in (2.2) is not the best possible, we may show that the constant factor in (2.1) is not the best possible by using (2.12). This is a contradiction. Hence the constant factor in (2.2) is the best possible. Inequality (2.1) is equivalent to (2.2). Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** For  $p = q = 2$ , (2.3) reduces to (1.5); (2.1), (2.3) are generalizations of (1.5), but (2.1) is not a generalization of (1.3).

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $p > 1$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ ,  $b_n \geq 0$  such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{q-1-\lambda} a_n^q < \infty$ , one has:

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} < \frac{pq}{\lambda} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{a_m}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \right)^p < \left( \frac{pq}{\lambda} \right)^p \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p,$$

where the constant factors  $\frac{pq}{\lambda}$ ,  $\left(\frac{pq}{\lambda}\right)^p$  are the best possible. Inequality (2.13) is equivalent to (2.14).

In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , (2.13) and (2.14) respectively reduce to the following two equivalent inequalities:

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < pq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-2} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{\max\{m, n\}} \right)^p < (pq)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p.$$

*Proof.* Define the weight coefficient  $\tilde{w}_\lambda(\lambda, n)$  as:

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{w}_\lambda(r, n) = n^{\lambda-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}, \quad r = p, q; n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , we have:

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_\lambda(r, n) &< n^{\lambda-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{m-1}^m \frac{1}{\max\{u^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \left( \frac{u}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du \\ &= n^{\lambda-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\max\{u^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \left( \frac{u}{n} \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du \\ &= w_\lambda(r, n) = \frac{pq}{\lambda}, \quad r = p, q \end{aligned}$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 14

By Hölder's inequality and (2.17), following the method of proof in Theorem 2.1, one has:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} < \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{w}_\lambda(q, n) n^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{w}_\lambda(p, n) n^{q-1-\lambda} a_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

By (2.18) we have (2.13), for  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}}$ ,  $\tilde{b}_n = n^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , by (2.9), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} &> \int_1^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{f}(x) \tilde{g}(y)}{\max\{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{p}{\lambda - \varepsilon} + \frac{p}{\lambda p - \lambda + \varepsilon} \right) - \frac{pq}{(\lambda - \varepsilon)(\lambda q - \lambda + \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$


On other hand,

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1-\lambda} \tilde{a}_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1-\lambda} \tilde{b}_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

By using the above inequalities and the method of proof in Theorem 2.1, we may show that the constant factor in (2.13) is the best possible.

Setting  $b_n$  as:

$$b_n = n^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \right)^{p-1},$$



Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 12 of 14

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}} \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.13) and using the same method of Theorem 2.1, we have (2.14). We may show that the constant factor in (2.14) is the best possible, and inequality (2.13) is equivalent to (2.14).  $\square$




---

**Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality**

Baoju Sun

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 14

## References

- [1] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1952.
- [2] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ AND A.M. FINK, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [3] J. KUANG AND L. DEBNATH, On new generalizations of Hilbert's inequality and their applications, *Math. Anal. Appl.*, **245**(1) (2000), 248–265.
- [4] B. YANG, On a generalization of Hardy Hilbert's integral inequality with a best value, *Chinese Ann. of Math.*, **A21**(4) (2000), 401–408.
- [5] B. YANG, On Hardy Hilbert's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **261** (2001), 295–306.
- [6] B. YANG AND L. DEBNATH, On a new strengthened Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **21**(1) (1998), 403–408.



---

Best Generalization of a Hilbert  
Type Inequality

Baoju Sun

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 14